

**Figure S1.** *Presteady-state binding of PAPS to SULT1A1*. Reactions were initiated by rapidly mixing 1:1 (v/v) a solution containing SULT1A1 (30 nM, dimer), MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mM) and NaPO<sub>4</sub> (25 mM), pH 7.2, with an identical solution that lacked enzyme and contained PAPS (2.0  $\mu$ M). Binding was monitored *via* nucleotide-induced changed in SULT1A1 intrinsic fluorescence ( $\lambda_{ex}$ = 290 nm,  $\lambda_{em} \ge 330$  nm). Fluorescence intensity is plotted relative to the protein fluorescence intensity at t = 0 (I/I<sub>0</sub>). Solutions were equilibrated at 25 ± 2 °C prior to mixing. The solid curve represents the best-fit behavior predicted by a single-exponential model.



**Figure S2.***TAM initial-rate studies.* **A.**) *The turnover of E•PAPS.* The reaction conditions were SULT1A1 (3.0 nM, dimer), <sup>35</sup>S-PAPS (3.5  $\mu$ M), MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mM), and NaPO<sub>4</sub> (50 mM), pH 7.2, T = 25 ± 2 °C. **B.**) *The turnover of E•(PAPS)*<sub>2</sub>. Conditions were identical to *A* except that [PAPS] = 300  $\mu$ M. Radiolabelled reactants were separated using reverse phase TLC and quantitated using storm imaging. Each point is the average of three independent determinations. K<sub>m</sub> and k<sub>cat</sub> values are compiled in Table 3. Further details are given in *Materials and Methods*.



**Figure S3.** *Fluorescence spectra of 1-HP & 1-HPS.* Emission spectra of 1-HP (1-hydroxypyrene) and 1-HPS (1-hydroxypyrene sulfate) at  $\lambda_{ex} = 320$  nm. Solutions contained 1-HP (1.0  $\mu$ M) or 1-HPS (1.0  $\mu$ M), MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mM), NaPO<sub>4</sub> (50 mM), pH 7.2, T = 25 ± 1 °C.



**Figure S4**. *1-HP binding to the E and*  $E \cdot (PAP)_2$  *forms of SULT1A1*. A.) *1-HP binding to E*. Ligand binding was monitored *via* changes in SULT1A1 intrinsic fluorescence ( $\lambda_{ex} = 280 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\lambda_{em} = 335 \text{ nm}$ ). The conditions were as follows: SULT1A1 (8.0 µM, dimer), MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mM), NaPO<sub>4</sub> (50 mM), pH 7.2, T = 25 ± 2°C. Each point is the average of three independent determinations. The line through the points is the behavior predicted by a best-fit of the data assuming a single binding site *per* subunit. **B.**) *1-HP binding to*  $E \cdot (PAP)_2$ . The conditions were identical to *A* except SULT1A1 = 50nM (dimer) and [PAP] = 2.0 µM. **C.**) *The stoichiometry of 1-HP binding to E and*  $E \cdot (PAP)_2$ . Conditions were identical to *A* except that [SULT1A1] = 120 µM (dimer,O), or [SULT1A1] = 1.0 µM (dimer), [PAP] = 1.5 µM (O). 1-HP binds to E and  $E \cdot (PAP)_2$  with a stoichiometry of 1.0 *per* subunit.