

BMJ Open

Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension in Shunde District, Southern China

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-006551
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Sep-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Huang, Yuli; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Qiu, Wenke; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Health Management Center Liu, Changhua; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Zhu, Dingji; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Hua, Jinghai; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Cai, Xiaoyan; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, Cardiology Wu, Yanxian; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, Cardiology Hu, Yunzhao; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, Cardiology Xu, Dingli; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Global health, Public health
Keywords:	Cardiac Epidemiology < CARDIOLOGY, Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

only

1
2
3
4 **Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension in**
5
6
7 **Shunde District, Southern China**
8
9

10
11 Running head: Prevalence and Risk Factors of Prehypertension
12
13

14
15
16 Yuli Huang,^{1,2*} Wenke Qiu,^{3*} Changhua Liu,¹ Dingji Zhu,¹ Jinghai Hua,¹ Xiaoyan
17
18 Cai,² Yanxian Wu,² Yunzhao Hu,² Dingli Xu¹
19

20
21 ¹ Department of Cardiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University,
22
23 Guangzhou, PR China; ² Department of Cardiology, the First People's Hospital of
24
25 Shunde, Foshan, PR China; ³ Department of Health Management Center, the First
26
27 People's Hospital of Shunde, PR China
28
29
30
31

32
33 * The authors contributed equally to this work
34
35

36 Corresponding author: Professor Dingli Xu. Department of Cardiology, Nanfang
37
38 Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, PR China. Tel.: + 86 20
39
40 61641503 Fax: + 86 20 87705671 E-mail: dinglixu@fimmu.com Or Professor
41
42 Yunzhao Hu, Department of Cardiology, the First People's Hospital of Shunde,
43
44 Foshan, PR China. Tel.: + 86 757 22318680 Fax: + 86 757 22223899 E-mail:
45
46
47 huyunzhao4406@163.com
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To explore the incidence and combined cardiovascular risk factors of prehypertension in southern China.

DESIGN: A cross-sectional study; the logistic regression model was used to find the risk factors of prehypertension.

SETTING: The study was conducted in Shunde District, Southern China, using the community-based health checkup information.

PARTICIPANTS: Subjects aged ≥ 35 years with complete health checkup information data between January 2011 and December 2013 were enrolled and divided into hypertension, prehypertension, and optimal blood pressure (BP). Prehypertension was further divided into low-range (BP 120-129/80-84 mmHg) and high-range (BP 130-139/85-89 mmHg) subgroups.

OUTCOME MEASURES: The prevalence of prehypertension and the combined cardiovascular risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.

RESULTS: Of the 5,362 initially reviewed cases (aged ≥ 35 years), 651 cases were excluded because of missing data. The proportions of optimal BP, prehypertension, and hypertension were 39.1%, 38.6%, and 22.3%, respectively. The average age, proportion of male sex, overweight, impaired fasting glucose (IFG), dyslipidemia, and hyperuricemia were significantly higher in the prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). Compared with low-range prehypertension, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension group (all $P < 0.05$). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that overweight [odds ratio (OR) = 2.89, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.56–5.35], male sex (OR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.37–3.37), and hyperuricemia (OR = 1.69, 95% CI = 1.18–2.64) were independent risk factors of prehypertension.

1
2
3 **CONCLUSIONS:** Prehypertension is highly prevalent in southern China.
4
5 Prehypertensive individuals presented with many other cardiovascular risk factors.
6
7 There was heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive
8
9 subgroups.
10

11 **Keywords:** Prehypertension; Prevalence; Risk Factors
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study first documented prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde District, southern China.
- With the economic development and lifestyle changes in China, obesity/overweight has become a very important risk factor for increased blood pressure.
- This is the first study to show that there was a significant heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.
- Some important confounding factors possibly associated with increased blood pressure were not evaluated in the present study.

Introduction

In 2003, the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 7) proposed a new blood pressure (BP) category, including 120–139 mmHg systolic blood pressure (SBP) or 80–89 mmHg diastolic blood pressure (DBP), designated as “prehypertension”.¹ The incidence of prehypertension is up to 30–50% worldwide, as well as in many districts of China.²⁻⁴ It is known that in China, the incidence of hypertension is significantly higher in the northern than that in the southern area because of the colder climate and high-sodium intake.⁵ Such regional factors may also affect the incidence of prehypertension. However, the incidence of prehypertension in Guangdong Province, southern China has been rarely reported.

Prehypertensive individuals are prone to progress into frank hypertension, and most of them present with clustering of other cardiovascular risk factors.⁶⁻⁸ However, the term of “prehypertension” has been contentious. Most arguments against using this term consist of the possible public anxiety and overtreatment it may cause. Further, there is a high heterogeneity within this category because the risk of progressing to hypertension and developing cardiovascular disease is higher among people with BP 130–139/85–89 mmHg than among those with BP 120–129/80–84 mmHg.^{6, 9} Furthermore, whether the concurrent cardiovascular risk factors in subgroups of prehypertension are different remains unanswered.

Given these inconsistent results, we conducted a retrospective analysis to explore the prevalence of prehypertension, and the cardiovascular risk factors in the

1
2
3 sub-groups of prehypertension in Guangdong Province, southern China.
4
5
6
7

8 **Methods**

9 **Study Participants**

10
11
12 We performed a retrospective study in Shunde District, a traditional but
13
14 economically developed district of Guangdong Province, in China, using the
15
16 community-based health checkup information. Community-based health checkup
17
18 information was collected in the Health Management Center of the First People's
19
20 Hospital of Shunde. The centers provided data for participants who enrolled in their
21
22 health checkup programs conducted between January 2011 and December 2013.
23
24 Subjects aged ≥ 35 years with complete data for the following characteristics were
25
26 included in this study: age, sex, smoking/drinking habits, history of chronic diseases
27
28 and treatment, family history of hypertension, height, weight, BP, fasting plasma
29
30 glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low density
31
32 lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C),
33
34 serum creatinine (Scr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and serum uric acid (UA). This
35
36 study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital at Shunde of
37
38 the Southern Medical University.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Definition of Correlative Risk Factors**

49
50
51 Correlative risk factors estimated in our study included the following: (1) BP
52
53 classification was based on the recommendations from the JNC 7.¹ Normal BP was
54
55 defined as SBP < 120 mm Hg and DBP < 80 mm Hg. Hypertension was defined as
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 SBP \geq 140 mm Hg and/or DBP \geq 90 mm Hg, or previously diagnosed as hypertension
5
6 and currently undergoing antihypertensive treatment. Prehypertension was defined if
7
8 patients were not undergoing antihypertensive treatment and had a SBP of 120–139
9
10 mm Hg and/or DBP of 80–89 mm Hg. Prehypertension was further divided into
11
12 low-range (SBP 120–129 and/or DBP 80–84 mmHg) and high-range (SBP 130–139
13
14 mmHg and/or DBP 85–89 mmHg) subgroups. (2) Impaired glucose regulation was
15
16 diagnosed based on FPG according to the American Diabetes Association criteria,¹⁰
17
18 including diabetes (FPG \geq 7.0 mmol/L) and impaired fasting glucose (IFG, FPG
19
20 5.6–6.9 mmol/L). Dyslipidemia was defined as a history of receiving antidyslipidemia
21
22 agents or as satisfying criteria on admission such as TC \geq 5.18 mmol/L, LDL-C \geq
23
24 3.37 mmol/L, HDL-C $<$ 1.04 mmol/L, and/or TG \geq 1.7 mmol/L according to the 2007
25
26 Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults in China.¹¹
27
28 Hyperuricemia was defined as UA \geq 416 μ mol/L in men and 357 mol/L in women. (3)
29
30 Overweight and obesity were defined as body mass index (BMI) 24–27.9 kg/m² and
31
32 BMI \geq 28 kg/m² according to Chinese criteria.¹² (4) The estimated glomerular
33
34 filtration rate (eGFR) of each participant was estimated using the modified
35
36 Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation adapted for Chinese,¹³ as: eGFR =
37
38 $186 \times \text{Scr}^{-1.154} \times \text{Age}^{-0.203} \times 0.742$ (Female) $\times 1.233$ (Chinese).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Statistical Analysis**

50
51 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social
52
53 Science software release 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables
54
55 are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or median (inter-quartile range) as
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages. After testing for
4
5 normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, continuous variables were compared
6
7 using a *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney *U*-test, categorical variables were compared by
8
9 chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Multiple logistic regression
10
11 analysis was performed to evaluate predictive factors for prehypertension. A value of
12
13 $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

14 15 16 17 18 19 **Ethical clearance**

20
21 The study was reviewed and approved by the Health Authority Research Ethics Board
22
23 of the Affiliated Hospital at Shunde (the First People's Hospital of Shunde), Southern
24
25 Medical University, PR China.
26
27
28
29
30

31 32 **Results**

33 34 **Prevalence of Prehypertension**

35
36 Of the 5,362 cases (aged ≥ 35 years) initially reviewed, 651 cases were excluded
37
38 because of missing data. Finally, 4711 cases (2674 men, 2037 women) were analyzed.
39
40 The proportions of optimal BP, prehypertension, and hypertension were 39.1% (1842
41
42 cases), 38.6% (1819 cases), and 22.3% (1050 cases), respectively. The incidence of
43
44 prehypertension was higher in men than in women (43.5% vs. 32.2%, $P < 0.001$).
45
46
47 There was an increasing trend of prehypertension prevalence associated with age in
48
49 men, but in women, the prevalence of prehypertension increased up to the age of
50
51 50–64 years and then decreased (Table 1).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Risk Factors Clustering in Different Blood Pressure Statuses

The average age, proportion of male sex, family history of hypertension, overweight, IFG, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, levels of FPG, TC, TG, BMI, and UA were significantly higher in prehypertension and hypertension groups than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). The proportions of DM, obesity, and levels of LDL-C were also higher in the hypertension groups than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$); however, the differences were not significant in the prehypertension group (Table 2)

Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Different Sub-ranges of Prehypertension

To explore the heterogeneity within the prehypertension category, patients with prehypertension were further classified into low- and high-range subgroups. The proportions of male sex, overweight, IFG, BMI, and levels of FPG were higher in the low-range prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$), but there were no significant differences for other cardiovascular risk factors (all $P > 0.05$). The proportions of male sex, overweight, obesity, dyslipidemia, diabetes, IFG, hyperuricemia, BMI, and levels of TC, LDL-C, TG, FPG, and UA were higher in the high-range prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). Compared with low-range prehypertension, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension (all $P < 0.05$) (Table 3).

Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension

The multivariable-adjusted risk factors associated with prehypertension are presented in Table 4. High BMI (overweight/obesity) was the most important risk factor of prehypertension [odds ratio (*OR*)=2.89, 95% confidence interval (*CI*)=1.56–5.35, *P* < 0.001]. Age (≥ 50 years, *OR*=1.78, 95% *CI*=1.11–2.85, *P*=0.02), male sex (*OR*=2.15, 95% *CI*=1.37–3.37, *P* < 0.001), and hyperuricemia (*OR*=1.69, 95% *CI*=1.18–2.64, *P*=0.02) were also significantly associated with prehypertension.

Discussion

In this study, we found that prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde District, Guangdong Province. Prehypertensive individuals presented with other risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease, such as overweight, dyslipidemia, impaired glucose, and hyperuricemia. Furthermore, combined risk factors were more significant in people with high-range prehypertension. To our knowledge, this is the first study to show that there was a significant heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.

Many epidemiological studies have demonstrated that prehypertension is an important public health problem. However, the prevalence of prehypertension in different countries and districts differs significantly, and may be influenced by different regional factors, such as climate and lifestyle, as well as ethnicity. At the beginning of this century (2000–2001), a cross-sectional survey found that the incidence of prehypertension was 21.9% among Chinese subjects aged between 35

1
2
3 and 74 years.³ However, in other subsequent studies, the incidence of prehypertension
4
5 was significantly higher than this ratio. In northeast China, the incidence of
6
7 prehypertension was up to 40%,^{2, 4, 14} which may be associated with the cold climate
8
9 and high-sodium diet. In this study, we found that the prevalence of prehypertension
10
11 in the Shunde District of Guangdong Province, a traditional but economically
12
13 developed district of southern China, was up to 38.6%. The incidence was very
14
15 similar to the prevalence of the northern area, and it was significantly higher than the
16
17 incidence reported at the beginning of this century for the entire country.³ Further
18
19 analysis showed that increased BMI was the most important risk factor of
20
21 prehypertension in our study. Even among patients with low-range prehypertension,
22
23 BMI was significantly increased compared with the optimal BP group. Therefore, our
24
25 study suggests that although sodium intake is relatively low in Guangdong Province
26
27 in southern China,¹⁵ the prevalence of prehypertension is almost as high as that in the
28
29 northern area. With the economic development and lifestyle changes,
30
31 obesity/overweight has become a very important risk factor for increased BP.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Although the proportions of IFG and dyslipidemia were higher in prehypertension
42
43 than optimal BP groups, in multivariable analysis, the associations of IFG and
44
45 dyslipidemia with prehypertension were not significant after adjustment for BMI.
46
47
48 Many studies have documented that overweight/obesity can cause significant insulin
49
50 resistance, which may play an important role in impaired glucose metabolism,
51
52 dyslipidemia, and increased BP.^{16, 17} Clinical studies have shown that weight control
53
54 can significantly lower BP.¹⁸ These results indicated that lifestyle modifications, such
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 as weight loss, are effective in the long-term primary prevention of hypertension.
5
6 With the economic development and lifestyle changes, lifestyle modifications should
7
8 be emphasized as a cornerstone in modern China.
9

10
11 Added to traditional risk factors, previous studies have found that serum UA
12
13 levels were significantly associated with prehypertension.^{19,20} The mechanisms may
14
15 be associated with inhibition of the nitric oxide pathway and activation of the
16
17 renin-angiotensin system. Further, UA can cause proliferation of vascular smooth
18
19 muscle cells and renal microvascular damage because of local inflammation and
20
21 oxidative stress, finally leading to high BP.^{21,22} In our study, we found that the level
22
23 of UA tended to increase in the low-range prehypertension group ($P = 0.07$), and the
24
25 difference was significant between high-range prehypertension and the optimal BP
26
27 groups. These results indicate that the effect of UA on BP may be increased
28
29 throughout the entire prehypertension range.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 In a recent randomized controlled trial, prehypertensive obese adolescents aged
38
39 11–17 years were enrolled and randomized to urate-lowering therapy (including
40
41 allopurinol or probenecid) or placebo. Subjects treated with urate-lowering therapy
42
43 experienced a highly significant reduction in BP (SBP 10.2 mm Hg and DBP 9.0 mm
44
45 Hg, respectively). Systemic vascular resistance was also reduced in the urate-lowering
46
47 therapy group.²³ Their findings strongly supported the synergistic pathogenic role of
48
49 uric acid and obesity in hypertension. Genes and diet are important factors affecting
50
51 the levels of UA; therefore, we emphasize the importance of lifestyle modification
52
53 interventions for people with prehypertension.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 It was interesting that there was significant ‘heterogeneity’ of combined
5
6 cardiovascular risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups in our study.
7
8 Compared with optimal BP, BMI and FPG were increased in the low-range
9
10 prehypertension group and were increased even further in the high-range
11
12 prehypertension group. Furthermore, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and
13
14 IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension than those in the low-range
15
16 prehypertension groups. These findings confirmed the importance of the definition of
17
18 prehypertension, as well as the inhomogeneity of the prehypertension subcategories.
19
20 Thus, we consider that healthcare professionals should recommend lifestyle changes
21
22 to subjects with prehypertension. Furthermore, high-risk subpopulations with
23
24 prehypertension, especially those with high-range prehypertension should be selected
25
26 for future controlled trials to evaluate the effects of pharmacological treatment on this
27
28 population.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Several limitations of this study must be considered. First, our data were based
37
38 on community-based health checkup information, and not from a multi-stage stratified
39
40 clustering sample. This may cause bias of the incidence of prehypertension. Second,
41
42 some important confounding factors possibly associated with increased BP, such as
43
44 diet, physical activity, and socioeconomic factors, were not evaluated in the present
45
46 study. Third, follow up data of individuals with prehypertension were lack and further
47
48 studies were needed on the matter.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Contributors** YulH, YunH, and DX conceptualised the study, designed the protocol.
4
5 YulH, WQ and XC analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. CL, DZ, JH
6
7 collected the data. YW, YunH, and DX revised the manuscript. YunH and DX
8
9 participated in administrative and technical support.
10

11
12 **Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the
13
14 public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
15

16
17 **Competing interests** None.
18

19
20 **Data Sharing Statement:** No additional data available
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

1. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, *et al.* The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA* 2003; 289: 2560-72.
2. Sun Z, Zheng L, Xu C, *et al.* Prevalence of prehypertension, hypertension and, associated risk factors in Mongolian and Han Chinese populations in Northeast China. *Int J Cardiol* 2008; 128: 250-4.
3. Yu D, Huang J, Hu D, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of prehypertension among Chinese adults. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 2008; 52: 363-8.
4. Sun Z, Zheng L, Wei Y, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of the rural adult people prehypertension status in Liaoning Province of China. *Circ J* 2007; 71: 550-3.
5. Liu LS [2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2011; 39: 579-615.
6. Elliott WJ, Black HR Prehypertension. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* 2007; 4: 538-48.
7. Egan BM, Lackland DT, Jones DW. Prehypertension: an opportunity for a new public health paradigm. *Cardiol Clin* 2010; 28: 561-9.
8. Pimenta E, Oparil S Prehypertension: epidemiology, consequences and treatment. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2010; 6: 21-30.
9. Gupta P, Nagaraju SP, Gupta A, Mandya CK. Prehypertension - time to act. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2012; 23: 223-33.
10. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes

1
2
3
4 Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2000; 23: S4-19.

5
6 **11.** [Chinese guidelines on prevention and treatment of dyslipidemia in adults].

7
8
9 *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2007; 35: 390-419.

10
11 **12.** Zhou B. [Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference to risk
12 factors of related diseases in Chinese adult population]. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue*
13
14
15
16
17 *Za Zhi* 2002; 23: 5-10.

18
19 **13.** Ma YC, Zuo L, Chen JH, *et al*: Modified glomerular filtration rate estimating
20 equation for Chinese patients with chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17:
21
22
23
24
25 2937-44.

26
27 **14.** Dong GH, Wang D, Liu MM, *et al*. Sex difference of the prevalence and risk
28 factors associated with prehypertension among urban Chinese adults from 33
29 communities of China: the CHPSNE study. *J Hypertens* 2012; 30: 485-91.

30
31
32
33
34 **15.** Cheng TO. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures and urinary sodium excretion in
35 mainland China. *QJM* 2000; 93: 557-8.

36
37
38
39 **16.** Tobias DK, Pan A, Jackson CL, *et al*. Body-mass index and mortality among
40 adults with incident type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 233-44.

41
42
43
44 **17.** Gillman MW, Ludwig DS. How early should obesity prevention start? *N Engl J*
45
46
47 *Med* 2013; 369: 2173-5.

48
49 **18.** Tyson CC, Appel LJ, Vollmer WM, *et al*. Impact of 5-year weight change on
50 blood pressure: results from the Weight Loss Maintenance trial. *J Clin Hypertens*.
51
52
53
54 2013;15:458-64.

55
56
57 **19.** Liang J, Xue Y, Zou C, Zhang T, Song H, Qi L. Serum uric acid and
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 prehypertension among Chinese adults. *J Hypertens* 2009; 27: 1761-5.

5
6 **20.** Kawamoto R, Tabara Y, Kohara K, Kusunoki T, Abe M, Miki T. Interaction
7
8 between serum uric acid and triglycerides in relation to prehypertension in
9
10 community-dwelling Japanese adults. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 2014; 36: 64-9.

11
12
13 **21.** Soltani Z, Rasheed K, Kapusta DR, Reisin E. Potential role of uric acid in
14
15 metabolic syndrome, hypertension, kidney injury, and cardiovascular diseases: is it
16
17 time for reappraisal? *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2013; 15: 175-81.

18
19
20
21 **22.** Reynolds TM. Serum uric acid and new-onset hypertension: a possible therapeutic
22
23 avenue? *J Hum Hypertens* 2014;28:519-20.

24
25
26 **23.** Soletsky B, Feig DI. Uric acid reduction rectifies prehypertension in obese
27
28 adolescents. *Hypertension* 2012; 60: 1148-56.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Prevalence of Prehypertension and Hypertension by Sex and Age Group

Age (years)	n	Optimal BP (%)	Prehypertension (%)	Hypertension (%)
Male				
35~49	970	48.1	34.4	17.4
50~64	996	27.1	48.0	24.9
≥ 65	708	20.6	49.6	29.8
Total	2037	33.0	43.5	23.5
Female				
35~49	758	59.8	26.5	12.9
50~64	797	42.3	36.3	22.2
≥ 65	482	35.1	34.4	30.5
Total	2674	47.1	32.2	20.7
All				
35~49	1728	53.2	31.0	15.5
50~64	1793	33.9	42.8	23.7
≥ 65	1190	26.5	43.4	30.1
Total	4711	39.1	38.6	22.3

BP: blood pressure

Table 2 Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Different Blood Pressure Statuses

	Optimal BP (n=1842)	Prehypertension (n=1819)	Hypertension (n=1050)	<i>P</i>
Age (years)	48.8±10.5	52.1±11.3 [△]	54.3±10.4 [△]	0.008
Male [n (%)]	829 (45.0)	1163 (63.9) [△]	628 (59.8) ^{△§}	<0.001
Smoking [n (%)]	301 (16.3)	287 (15.8)	186 (17.7)	0.77
Alcoholic [n (%)]	35 (1.9)	42 (2.3)	27 (2.6)	0.464
Family history of Hypertension [n (%)]	274 (14.9)	292 (16.1) [△]	203 (19.3) ^{△§}	0.007
Overweight [n (%)]	236 (12.8)	395(21.7) [△]	241(23.0) [△]	<0.001
Obesity [n (%)]	128(6.9)	156(8.6)	98(9.3) [△]	0.019
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.6±3.2	24.9±3.5 [△]	25.6±4.8 [△]	0.001
Dyslipidemia [n (%)]	420(22.8)	488(26.8) [△]	312(29.7) ^{△§}	<0.001
TC (mmol/L)	5.02±1.25	5.39±1.67 [△]	5.64±1.59 ^{△§}	0.01
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.21±1.15	3.45±1.18	3.68±1.32 [△]	0.03
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.18±0.36	1.09±0.38	1.02±0.41	0.75
TG(mmol/L)	1.66±0.42	2.07±0.58 [△]	2.09±0.63 [△]	0.001
DM [n (%)]	138(7.5)	168(9.2)	109(10.4) [△]	0.002
IFG [n (%)]	261(14.2%)	384(21.1%) [△]	275(26.2) ^{△§}	<0.001
FPG (mmol/L)	5.14±1.96	5.79±2.03 [△]	5.91±2.27 [△]	0.003
Scr (mmol/L)	72.2±19.7	75.0±25.1	79.2±28.9	0.14
BUN (mmol/L)	5.34±1.71	6.01±1.87	6.25±1.99	0.35
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	126.8±27.9	125.2±29.4	118.1±28.6	0.76
Hyperuricemia [n (%)]	233(12.6%)	302(16.6%) [△]	226(21.5) ^{△§}	0.001
UA (mmol/L)	377.5±32.2	402.9±34.6 [△]	428.1±33.8 ^{△§}	0.007

BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; Scr, serum creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, serum uric acid

△ vs. Optimal blood pressure *P* < 0.05, § vs. prehypertension *P* < 0.05

Table 3 Cardiovascular Risk Factors Different Sub-ranges of Prehypertension

	Low range prehypertension (n=925)	High prehypertension (n=894)	range <i>P</i>
Age (years)	50.6±11.2	53.7±12.4 [#]	0.08
Male [n (%)]	584 (63.1%) [#]	579 (64.8%) [#]	0.47
Smoking [n (%)]	149 (16.1%)	138 (15.4%)	0.69
Alcoholic [n (%)]	20 (1.9%)	22 (2.7%)	0.29
Family history of Hypertension [n (%)]	153 (16.5%)	139 (15.5%)	0.56
Overweight [n (%)]	183 (19.8%) [#]	212 (23.7%) [#]	0.04
Obesity [n (%)]	75 (8.1%)	81(9.1%) [*]	0.47
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.7±3.3 [*]	26.1±3.9 [#]	<0.001
Dyslipidemia [n (%)]	227 (24.5%)	261 (29.2%) [#]	0.025
TC (mmol/L)	5.25±1.38	5.53±1.70 [#]	0.04
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.33±1.08	3.58±1.19 [*]	0.10
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.14±0.35	1.03±0.37	0.69
TG(mmol/L)	1.81±0.56	2.34±0.67 [*]	0.12
DM [n (%)]	78 (8.4%)	90 (10.1%) [*]	0.23
IFG [n (%)]	171 (18.5%) [#]	213 (23.8%) [#]	0.005
FPG (mmol/L)	5.52±1.91 [*]	6.07±2.05 [#]	0.002
Scr (mmol/L)	76.1±29.4	73.8±27.0	0.18
BUN (mmol/L)	5.98±2.02	6.04±1.79	0.37
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	128.7±30.6	121.6±28.4	0.29
Hyperuricemia [n (%)]	140 (15.1%)	162 (18.1%) [#]	0.09
UA (mmol/L)	392.5±40.2	411.8±37.9 [#]	0.08

BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; Scr, serum creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, serum uric acid

* vs optimal blood pressure $P < 0.05$, # vs Optimal blood pressure $P < 0.01$

Table 4 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Risk Factors of Prehypertension

Risk Factors	<i>OR</i>	<i>95%CI</i>	<i>P</i> 值
Age (≥ 50 years vs < 50 years)	1.78	1.11~2.85	0.02
Sex (Men vs Women)	2.15	1.37~3.37	< 0.001
Smoking (Yes vs No)	0.97	0.73~1.29	0.83
Alcoholic (Yes vs No)	1.09	0.82~1.45	0.55
Family history of Hypertension (Yes vs No)	1.24	0.90~1.71	0.19
overweight/obesity (Yes vs No)	2.89	1.56~5.35	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia (Yes vs No)	1.58	0.92~2.71	0.09
Impaired glucose regulation (Yes vs No)	1.63	0.96~2.78	0.07
Hyperuricemia (Yes vs No)	1.69	1.18~2.64	0.02

BMJ Open

Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension in Shunde District, Southern China

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-006551.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Oct-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Xu, Dingli; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Huang, Yuli; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Qiu, Wenke; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Health Management Center Liu, Changhua; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Zhu, Dingji; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Hua, Jinghai; Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Cardiology Cai, Xiaoyan; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, Cardiology Wu, Yanxian; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, Cardiology Hu, Yunzhao; the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, Cardiology
Primary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine, Global health, Public health
Keywords:	Cardiac Epidemiology < CARDIOLOGY, Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

only

1
2
3
4 **Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension in**
5
6
7 **Shunde District, Southern China**
8
9

10
11 Running head: Prevalence and Risk Factors of Prehypertension
12
13

14
15
16 Yuli Huang,^{1,2*} Wenke Qiu,^{3*} Changhua Liu,¹ Dingji Zhu,¹ Jinghai Hua,¹ Xiaoyan
17
18 Cai,² Yanxian Wu,² Yunzhao Hu,² Dingli Xu¹
19

20
21 ¹ Department of Cardiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University,
22
23 Guangzhou, PR China; ² Department of Cardiology, the First People's Hospital of
24
25 Shunde, Foshan, PR China; ³ Department of Health Management Center, the First
26
27 People's Hospital of Shunde, PR China
28
29
30
31

32
33
34 * The authors contributed equally to this work
35

36 Corresponding author: Professor Dingli Xu. Department of Cardiology, Nanfang
37
38 Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, PR China. Tel.: + 86 20
39
40 61641503 Fax: + 86 20 87705671 E-mail: dinglixu@fimmu.com Or Professor
41
42 Yunzhao Hu, Department of Cardiology, the First People's Hospital of Shunde,
43
44 Foshan, PR China. Tel.: + 86 757 22318680 Fax: + 86 757 22223899 E-mail:
45
46
47 huyunzhao4406@163.com
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To explore the prevalence and combined cardiovascular risk factors of prehypertension in southern China.

DESIGN: A retrospective study; the logistic regression model was used to find the risk factors of prehypertension.

SETTING: The study was conducted in Shunde District, Southern China, using the community-based health checkup information.

PARTICIPANTS: Subjects aged ≥ 35 years with complete health checkup information data between January 2011 and December 2013 were enrolled and divided into hypertension, prehypertension, and optimal blood pressure (BP). Prehypertension was further divided into low-range (BP 120-129/80-84 mmHg) and high-range (BP 130-139/85-89 mmHg) subgroups.

OUTCOME MEASURES: The prevalence of prehypertension and the combined cardiovascular risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.

RESULTS: Of the 5,362 initially reviewed cases (aged ≥ 35 years), 651 cases were excluded because of missing data. The proportions of optimal BP, prehypertension, and hypertension were 39.1%, 38.6%, and 22.3%, respectively. The average age, proportion of male sex, overweight, impaired fasting glucose (IFG), dyslipidemia, and hyperuricemia were significantly higher in the prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). Compared with low-range prehypertension, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension group (all $P < 0.05$). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that overweight [odds ratio (OR) = 2.84, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.55–5.20], male sex (OR = 2.19, 95% CI = 1.39–3.45), age (per 10 years, OR=1.21, 95% CI=1.02–1.44, $P=0.03$) and hyperuricemia (OR = 1.70, 95% CI = 1.14–2.54) were

1
2
3 independent risk factors of prehypertension.
4

5 **CONCLUSIONS:** Prehypertension is highly prevalent in southern China.
6

7 Prehypertensive individuals presented with many other cardiovascular risk factors.
8

9 There was heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive
10 subgroups.
11
12

13
14 **Keywords:** Prehypertension; Prevalence; Risk Factors
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study first documented prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde District, southern China.
- With the economic development and lifestyle changes in China, obesity/overweight has become a very important risk factor for increased blood pressure.
- This is the first study to show that there was a significant heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.
- Some important confounding factors possibly associated with increased blood pressure were not evaluated in the present study.

Introduction

In 2003, the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 7) proposed a new blood pressure (BP) category, including 120–139 mmHg systolic blood pressure (SBP) or 80–89 mmHg diastolic blood pressure (DBP), designated as “prehypertension”.¹ The prevalence of prehypertension is up to 30–50% worldwide, as well as in many districts of China.^{2,4} It is known that in China, the prevalence of hypertension is significantly higher in the northern than that in the southern area because of the colder climate and high-sodium intake.⁵ Such regional factors may also affect the prevalence of prehypertension. However, the prevalence of prehypertension in Guangdong Province, southern China has been rarely reported.

Prehypertensive individuals are prone to progress into frank hypertension, and most of them present with clustering of other cardiovascular risk factors.^{6–8} However, the term of “prehypertension” has been contentious. Most arguments against using this term consist of the possible public anxiety and overtreatment it may cause. Further, there is a high heterogeneity within this category because the risk of progressing to hypertension and developing cardiovascular disease is higher among people with BP 130–139/85–89 mmHg than among those with BP 120–129/80–84 mmHg.^{6, 9} Furthermore, whether the concurrent cardiovascular risk factors in subgroups of prehypertension are different remains unanswered.

Given these inconsistent results, we conducted a retrospective analysis to explore the prevalence of prehypertension, and the cardiovascular risk factors in the

1
2
3
4 sub-groups of prehypertension in Guangdong Province, southern China.
5
6
7

8 9 **Methods**

10 11 **Study Participants**

12
13
14 We performed a retrospective study in Shunde District, a traditional but
15 economically developed district of Guangdong Province, in China, using the
16 community-based health checkup information. Community-based health checkup
17 information was collected in the Health Management Center of the First People's
18 Hospital of Shunde. The centers provided data for participants who enrolled in their
19 health checkup programs conducted between January 2011 and December 2013.
20
21 Subjects aged ≥ 35 years with complete data for the following characteristics were
22 included in this study: age, sex, smoking/drinking habits, history of chronic diseases
23 and treatment, family history of hypertension, height, weight, BP, fasting plasma
24 glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low density
25 lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C),
26 serum creatinine (Scr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and serum uric acid (UA).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 47 **Blood pressure measurement**

48
49 Although our data were based on retrospective analysis of community-based
50 health checkup information, the protocol of blood pressure measurement in our Health
51 Management Center are carried out consistently since the foundation of the
52 department. Subjects were asked to avoid caffeinated beverages, smoking and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 exercise for at least 30 minutes, and BP measurements were taken after the subjects
5
6 were allowed to rest quietly for at least 5 min. Three BP measurements (2 minutes
7
8 between each) were obtained for each individual by trained nurses, who were part of
9
10 the Health Management Center, with a mercury sphygmomanometer. The first and
11
12 fifth Korotkoff sounds were recorded as SBP and DBP respectively. During the
13
14 measurements, the participants were seated with the arm supported at the level of the
15
16 heart. The mean of 3 BP measurements was calculated and recorded.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Definition of Correlative Risk Factors**

25
26 Correlative risk factors estimated in our study included the following: (1) BP
27
28 classification was based on the recommendations from the JNC 7.¹ Optimal BP was
29
30 defined as SBP < 120 mm Hg and DBP < 80 mm Hg. Hypertension was defined as
31
32 SBP \geq 140 mm Hg and/or DBP \geq 90 mm Hg, or previously diagnosed as hypertension
33
34 and currently undergoing antihypertensive treatment. Prehypertension was defined if
35
36 individuals were not undergoing antihypertensive treatment and had a SBP of
37
38 120–139 mm Hg and/or DBP of 80–89 mm Hg. Prehypertension was further divided
39
40 into low-range (SBP 120–129 and/or DBP 80–84 mmHg) and high-range (SBP
41
42 130–139 mmHg and/or DBP 85–89 mmHg) subgroups. (2) Impaired glucose
43
44 regulation was diagnosed based on FPG according to the American Diabetes
45
46 Association criteria,¹⁰ including diabetes (FPG \geq 7.0 mmol/L) and impaired fasting
47
48 glucose (IFG, FPG 5.6–6.9 mmol/L). Dyslipidemia was defined as with a history of
49
50 receiving antidyslipidemia agents or TC \geq 5.18 mmol/L, LDL-C \geq 3.37 mmol/L,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L, and/or TG \geq 1.7 mmol/L, according to the 2007 Guidelines
4
5 for Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults in China.¹¹ Hyperuricemia
6
7 was defined as UA \geq 416 μ mol/L in men and 357 μ mol/L in women. (3) Overweight
8
9 and obesity were defined as body mass index (BMI) 24–27.9 kg/m² and BMI \geq 28
10
11 kg/m² according to Chinese criteria.¹² (4) The estimated glomerular filtration rate
12
13 (eGFR) of each participant was estimated using the modified Modification of Diet in
14
15 Renal Disease equation adapted for Chinese,¹³ as: $eGFR = 186 \times Scr^{-1.154} \times Age^{-0.203}$
16
17 $\times 0.742$ (Female) $\times 1.233$ (Chinese).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Statistical Analysis**

28
29 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social
30
31 Science software release 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables
32
33 are presented as mean (standard deviation) or median (inter-quartile range) as
34
35 appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages. After testing for
36
37 normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, continuous variables were compared
38
39 using a *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney *U*-test, categorical variables were compared by
40
41 chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Multiple logistic regression
42
43 analysis was performed to evaluate predictive factors for prehypertension. Individuals
44
45 with optimal BP were used as reference. Multicollinearity (strong correlations among
46
47 independent variables) was examined by collinearity diagnostic statistics. Variance
48
49 inflation factor values >2.5 or tolerance <0.4 may indicate concern for
50
51 multicollinearity in logistic regression models [14]. A value of $P < 0.05$ was
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 considered statistically significant.

5 6 **Ethical clearance**

7
8 The study was reviewed and approved by the Health Authority Research Ethics Board
9
10
11 of the First People's Hospital of Shunde, Foshan, PR China.
12

13 14 15 **Results**

16 17 **Prevalence of Prehypertension**

18
19 Of the 5,362 cases (aged ≥ 35 years) initially reviewed, 651 cases were excluded
20
21 because of missing data. Finally, 4711 cases (2674 men, 2037 women) were analyzed.
22
23 The proportions of optimal BP, prehypertension, and hypertension were 39.1% (1842
24
25 cases), 38.6% (1819 cases), and 22.3% (1050 cases), respectively. The prevalence of
26
27 prehypertension was higher in men than in women (43.5% vs. 32.2%, $P < 0.001$).
28
29 There was an increasing trend of prehypertension prevalence associated with age
30
31 (Table 1).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 42 **Risk Factors Clustering in Different Blood Pressure Statuses**

43
44 The average age, proportion of male sex, family history of hypertension,
45
46 overweight, IFG, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, levels of FPG, TC, TG, BMI, and UA
47
48 were significantly higher in prehypertension and hypertension groups than in the
49
50 optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). The proportions of DM, obesity, and level of LDL-C
51
52 were also higher in the hypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$);
53
54 however, the differences were not significant in the prehypertension group compared
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 with that in the optimal BP group (Table 2)
5
6
7

8 9 **Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Different Sub-ranges of Prehypertension**

10
11 To explore the heterogeneity within the prehypertension category, patients with
12 prehypertension were further classified into low- and high-range subgroups. The
13 proportions of male sex, overweight, IFG, BMI, and levels of FPG were higher in the
14 low-range prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$), but
15 there were no significant differences for other cardiovascular risk factors (all $P >$
16 0.05). The proportions of male sex, overweight, obesity, dyslipidemia, diabetes, IFG,
17 hyperuricemia, BMI, and levels of TC, LDL-C, TG, FPG, and UA were higher in the
18 high-range prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$).
19 Compared with low-range prehypertension, the proportions of overweight,
20 dyslipidemia, and IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension (all $P < 0.05$)
21 (Table 3).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension**

40
41 The multivariable-adjusted risk factors associated with prehypertension are presented
42 in Table 4. High BMI (overweight/obesity) was the most important risk factor of
43 prehypertension [odds ratio (OR)=2.84, 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.55–5.20, $P <$
44 0.001]. Age (per 10 years, OR =1.21, 95% CI =1.02–1.44, P =0.03), male sex (OR =2.19,
45 95% CI =1.39–3.45, $P < 0.001$), and hyperuricemia (OR =1.70, 95% CI =1.14–2.54,
46 P =0.009) were also significantly associated with prehypertension. Furthermore,
47 collinearity statistics were >0.4 for tolerance and <2.5 for variance inflation factor,
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 suggesting that multicollinearity was not a concern among the independent variables.
5
6
7

8 **Discussion**

9
10
11 In this study, we found that prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde
12 District, Guangdong Province. Prehypertensive individuals presented with other risk
13 factors associated with cardiovascular disease, such as overweight, dyslipidemia,
14 impaired glucose, and hyperuricemia. Furthermore, combined cardiovascular risk
15 factors were more significant in people with high-range prehypertension. To our
16 knowledge, this is the first study to show that there was a significant heterogeneity of
17 combined risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Many epidemiological studies have demonstrated that prehypertension is an
30 important public health problem. However, the prevalence of prehypertension in
31 different countries and districts differs significantly, and may be influenced by
32 different regional factors, such as climate and lifestyle, as well as ethnicity. At the
33 beginning of this century (2000–2001), a cross-sectional survey found that the
34 prevalence of prehypertension was 21.9% among Chinese subjects aged between 35
35 and 74 years.³ However, in other subsequent studies, the prevalence of
36 prehypertension was significantly higher than this ratio. In rural northeastern China,
37 the prevalence of prehypertension was 35.1% in men and 32.5% in women,¹⁵ and up
38 to 40% in whole population from urban areas of northeastern China,¹⁶ which may be
39 associated with the cold climate and high-sodium diet. In this study, we found that the
40 prevalence of prehypertension in the Shunde District of Guangdong Province, a
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 traditional but economically developed district of southern China, was up to 38.6%.
5
6 The prevalence was very similar to that in the urban areas of northeastern China,¹⁶ and
7
8 significantly higher than the prevalence reported at the beginning of this century for
9
10 the entire country.³ Further analysis showed that increased BMI was the most
11
12 important risk factor of prehypertension in our study. Even among patients with
13
14 low-range prehypertension, BMI was significantly increased compared with the
15
16 optimal BP group. Therefore, our study suggests that although sodium intake is
17
18 relatively low in Guangdong Province in southern China,¹⁷ the prevalence of
19
20 prehypertension is almost as high as that in the northern area. With the economic
21
22 development and lifestyle changes, obesity/overweight has become a very important
23
24 risk factor for increased BP.
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Although the proportions of IFG and dyslipidemia were higher in prehypertension
32
33 than optimal BP groups, in multivariable analysis, the associations of IFG and
34
35 dyslipidemia with prehypertension were not significant after adjustment for BMI.
36
37 Many studies have documented that overweight/obesity can cause significant insulin
38
39 resistance, which may play an important role in impaired glucose metabolism,
40
41 dyslipidemia, and increased BP.^{18, 19} Clinical studies have shown that weight control
42
43 can significantly lower BP.²⁰ These results indicated that lifestyle modifications, such
44
45 as weight loss, are effective in the long-term primary prevention of hypertension.
46
47
48
49 With the economic development and lifestyle changes, lifestyle modifications should
50
51 be emphasized as a cornerstone in modern China.
52
53
54

55
56 Added to traditional risk factors, previous studies have found that serum UA
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 levels were significantly associated with prehypertension.^{21, 22} The mechanisms may
5
6 be associated with inhibition of the nitric oxide pathway and activation of the
7
8 renin-angiotensin system. Further, UA can cause proliferation of vascular smooth
9
10 muscle cells and renal microvascular damage because of local inflammation and
11
12 oxidative stress, finally leading to high BP.^{23, 24} In our study, we found that the level
13
14 of UA tended to increase in the low-range prehypertension group ($P = 0.07$), and the
15
16 difference was significant between high-range prehypertension and the optimal BP
17
18 groups. These results indicate that the effect of UA on BP may be increased
19
20 throughout the entire prehypertension range.
21
22
23
24

25
26 In a recent randomized controlled trial, prehypertensive obese adolescents aged
27
28 11–17 years were enrolled and randomized to urate-lowering therapy (including
29
30 allopurinol or probenecid) or placebo. Subjects treated with urate-lowering therapy
31
32 experienced a highly significant reduction in BP (SBP 10.2 mm Hg and DBP 9.0 mm
33
34 Hg, respectively). Systemic vascular resistance was also reduced in the urate-lowering
35
36 therapy group.²⁵ These findings strongly supported the synergistic pathogenic role of
37
38 UA and obesity in hypertension. Genes and diet are important factors affecting the
39
40 levels of UA; therefore, we emphasize the importance of lifestyle modification
41
42 interventions for people with prehypertension.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 It was interesting that there was significant ‘heterogeneity’ of combined
50
51 cardiovascular risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups in our study.
52
53 Compared with optimal BP, BMI and FPG were increased in the low-range
54
55 prehypertension group and were increased even further in the high-range
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 prehypertension group. Furthermore, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and
5
6 IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension than those in the low-range
7
8 prehypertension groups. These findings confirmed the importance of the definition of
9
10 prehypertension, as well as the inhomogeneity of the prehypertension subcategories.
11
12 Our prior meta analysis also found that prehypertension was associated with increased
13
14 risks of CVD²⁶ and end-stage renal disease.²⁷ However, because of limit prospective,
15
16 randomized trials examining the effects of anti-hypertensive therapy on reducing
17
18 target organ damage specifically in prehypertension, currently professional societies
19
20 do not recommend pharmacotherapy for prehypertension, even in individuals with
21
22 high-range prehypertension.^{28,29} This is a great gap to be covered between
23
24 epidemiological studies and randomized controlled studies in prehypertension.
25
26 Prehypertensive individuals are at a high risk to progress to sustained hypertension, as
27
28 well as CVD and renal damage. So periodic screening is important. For therapeutic
29
30 implications, we consider that healthcare professionals should recommend lifestyle
31
32 changes to subjects with prehypertension. Furthermore, high-risk subpopulations with
33
34 prehypertension, especially those with high-range prehypertension should be selected
35
36 for future controlled trials to evaluate the effects of pharmacological treatment on this
37
38 population.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Several limitations of this study must be considered. First, our data were based
50
51 on community-based health checkup information, and not from a multi-stage stratified
52
53 clustering sample. This may cause bias of the prevalence of prehypertension. Second,
54
55 some important confounding factors possibly associated with increased BP, such as
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 diet, physical activity, and socioeconomic factors, were not evaluated in the present
5
6 study. Third, follow up data of individuals with prehypertension were lack and further
7
8 studies were needed on the matter.
9

10 11 12 13 **Conclusion**

14
15 This study showed that accompanied with the economic development and lifestyle
16
17 changes, prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde District, southern China.
18
19 Many other cardiovascular risk factors were presented in individuals with
20
21 prehypertension, especially in those with high-range prehypertension. Periodic
22
23 screening and lifestyle changes should be recommended to subjects with
24
25 prehypertension for prevention of hypertension, as well as cardiovascular disease.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Contributors** YulH, YunH, and DX conceptualised the study, designed the protocol.
34
35 YulH, WQ and XC analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. CL, DZ, JH
36
37 collected the data. YW, YunH, and DX revised the manuscript. YunH and DX
38
39 participated in administrative and technical support.
40
41

42 **Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the
43
44 public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
45
46

47 **Competing interests** None.
48
49

50 **Data Sharing Statement:** No additional data available
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

1. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, *et al.* The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA* 2003; 289: 2560-72.
2. Sun Z, Zheng L, Xu C, *et al.* Prevalence of prehypertension, hypertension and associated risk factors in Mongolian and Han Chinese populations in Northeast China. *Int J Cardiol* 2008; 128: 250-4.
3. Yu D, Huang J, Hu D, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of prehypertension among Chinese adults. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 2008; 52: 363-8.
4. Sun Z, Zheng L, Wei Y, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of the rural adult people prehypertension status in Liaoning Province of China. *Circ J* 2007; 71: 550-3.
5. Liu LS [2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2011; 39: 579-615.
6. Elliott WJ, Black HR Prehypertension. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* 2007; 4: 538-48.
7. Egan BM, Lackland DT, Jones DW. Prehypertension: an opportunity for a new public health paradigm. *Cardiol Clin* 2010; 28: 561-9.
8. Pimenta E, Oparil S Prehypertension: epidemiology, consequences and treatment. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2010; 6: 21-30.
9. Gupta P, Nagaraju SP, Gupta A, Mandya CK. Prehypertension - time to act. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2012; 23: 223-33.
10. Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus.

1
2
3
4 Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes
5 Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26 Suppl 1: S5-S20.

6
7
8
9 **11.** Joint Committee for Developing Chinese guidelines on Prevention and Treatment
10 of Dyslipidemia in Adults. [Chinese guidelines on prevention and treatment of
11 dyslipidemia in adults]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2007; 35: 390-419.

12
13
14
15
16 **12.** Zhou B. [Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference to risk
17 factors of related diseases in Chinese adult population]. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue*
18 *Za Zhi* 2002; 23: 5-10.

19
20
21
22
23
24 **13.** Ma YC, Zuo L, Chen JH, *et al*: Modified glomerular filtration rate estimating
25 equation for Chinese patients with chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17:
26 2937-44.

27
28
29
30
31 **14.** Pallant J. SPSS survival manual: a step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS
32 for windows (version 10). Open University Press; 2001.

33
34
35
36
37
38
39 **15.** Li Z, Guo X, Zheng L, *et al*. Prehypertension in rural northeastern china: results
40 from the northeast china rural cardiovascular health study. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*
41 2014;16:664-70.

42
43
44
45
46
47
48 **16.** Dong GH, Wang D, Liu MM, *et al*. Sex difference of the prevalence and risk
49 factors associated with prehypertension among urban Chinese adults from 33
50 communities of China: the CHPSNE study. *J Hypertens* 2012; 30: 485-91.

51
52
53
54
55 **17.** Cheng TO. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures and urinary sodium excretion in
56 mainland China. *QJM* 2000; 93: 557-8.

57
58
59
60 **18.** Tobias DK, Pan A, Jackson CL, *et al*. Body-mass index and mortality among

- adults with incident type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 233-44.
19. Gillman MW, Ludwig DS. How early should obesity prevention start? *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369: 2173-5.
20. Tyson CC, Appel LJ, Vollmer WM, *et al.* Impact of 5-year weight change on blood pressure: results from the Weight Loss Maintenance trial. *J Clin Hypertens*. 2013;15:458-64.
21. Liang J, Xue Y, Zou C, Zhang T, Song H, Qi L. Serum uric acid and prehypertension among Chinese adults. *J Hypertens* 2009; 27: 1761-5.
22. Kawamoto R, Tabara Y, Kohara K, Kusunoki T, Abe M, Miki T. Interaction between serum uric acid and triglycerides in relation to prehypertension in community-dwelling Japanese adults. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 2014; 36: 64-9.
23. Soltani Z, Rasheed K, Kapusta DR, Reisin E. Potential role of uric acid in metabolic syndrome, hypertension, kidney injury, and cardiovascular diseases: is it time for reappraisal? *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2013; 15: 175-81.
24. Reynolds TM. Serum uric acid and new-onset hypertension: a possible therapeutic avenue? *J Hum Hypertens* 2014;28:519-20.
25. Soletsky B, Feig DI. Uric acid reduction rectifies prehypertension in obese adolescents. *Hypertension* 2012; 60: 1148-56.
26. Huang Y, Wang S, Cai X, *et al.* Prehypertension and incidence of cardiovascular disease: a meta analysis. *BMC Med* 2013;11:177.
27. Huang Y, Cai X, Zhang J, *et al.* Prehypertension and Incidence of ESRD: A Systematic Review and Meta analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014;63:76-83.

1
2
3
4 28. James P A, Oparil S, Carter B L, et al. 2014 Evidence-Based Guideline for the
5
6 Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Report From the Panel Members
7
8 Appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8)[J]. *JAMA*
9
10 2014;311:507-20.
11

12
13 29. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the
14
15 management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial
16
17 hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European
18
19 Society of Cardiology (ESC)[J]. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:2159-219.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Prevalence of Prehypertension and Hypertension by Sex and Age Group

Age (years)	n	Optimal BP (%)	Prehypertension (%)	Hypertension (%)
Male				
35~49	970	48.1	34.4	17.4
50~64	996	27.1	48.0	24.9
≥ 65	708	20.6	49.6	29.8
Total	2037	33.0	43.5	23.5
Female				
35~49	758	59.8	26.5	12.9
50~64	797	42.3	36.3	22.2
≥ 65	482	35.1	34.4	30.5
Total	2674	47.1	32.2	20.7
All				
35~49	1728	53.2	31.0	15.5
50~64	1793	33.9	42.8	23.7
≥ 65	1190	26.5	43.4	30.1
Total	4711	39.1	38.6	22.3

BP: blood pressure

Table 2 Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Different Blood Pressure Statuses

	Optimal BP (n=1842)	Prehypertension (n=1819)	Hypertension (n=1050)	<i>P</i>
Age (years)	48.8±10.5	52.1±11.3 [△]	54.3±10.4 [△]	0.008
Male [n (%)]	829 (45.0)	1163 (63.9) [△]	628 (59.8) ^{△§}	<0.001
Smoking [n (%)]	301 (16.3)	287 (15.8)	186 (17.7)	0.77
Alcoholic [n (%)]	35 (1.9)	42 (2.3)	27 (2.6)	0.464
Family history of Hypertension [n (%)]	274 (14.9)	292 (16.1) [△]	203 (19.3) ^{△§}	0.007
Overweight [n (%)]	236 (12.8)	395(21.7) [△]	241(23.0) [△]	<0.001
Obesity [n (%)]	128(6.9)	156(8.6)	98(9.3) [△]	0.019
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.6±3.2	24.9±3.5 [△]	25.6±4.8 [△]	0.001
Dyslipidemia [n (%)]	420(22.8)	488(26.8) [△]	312(29.7) ^{△§}	<0.001
TC (mmol/L)	5.02±1.25	5.39±1.67 [△]	5.64±1.59 ^{△§}	0.01
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.21±1.15	3.45±1.18	3.68±1.32 [△]	0.03
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.18±0.36	1.09±0.38	1.02±0.41	0.75
TG(mmol/L)	1.66±0.42	2.07±0.58 [△]	2.09±0.63 [△]	0.001
DM [n (%)]	138(7.5)	168(9.2)	109(10.4) [△]	0.002
IFG [n (%)]	261(14.2%)	384(21.1%) [△]	275(26.2) ^{△§}	<0.001
FPG (mmol/L)	5.14±1.96	5.79±2.03 [△]	5.91±2.27 [△]	0.003
Scr (mmol/L)	72.2±19.7	75.0±25.1	79.2±28.9	0.14
BUN (mmol/L)	5.34±1.71	6.01±1.87	6.25±1.99	0.35
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	126.8±27.9	125.2±29.4	118.1±28.6	0.76
Hyperuricemia [n (%)]	233(12.6%)	302(16.6%) [△]	226(21.5) ^{△§}	0.001
UA (mmol/L)	377.5±32.2	402.9±34.6 [△]	428.1±33.8 ^{△§}	0.007

BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol;

HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; Scr, serum

creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, serum uric acid

△ vs. Optimal blood pressure *P* < 0.05, § vs. prehypertension *P* < 0.05

Table 3 Cardiovascular Risk Factors Different Sub-ranges of Prehypertension

	Low range prehypertension (n=925)	High prehypertension (n=894)	range <i>P</i>
Age (years)	50.6±11.2	53.7±12.4 [#]	0.08
Male [n (%)]	584 (63.1%) [#]	579 (64.8%) [#]	0.47
Smoking [n (%)]	149 (16.1%)	138 (15.4%)	0.69
Alcoholic [n (%)]	20 (1.9%)	22 (2.7%)	0.29
Family history of Hypertension [n (%)]	153 (16.5%)	139 (15.5%)	0.56
Overweight [n (%)]	183 (19.8%) [#]	212 (23.7%) [#]	0.04
Obesity [n (%)]	75 (8.1%)	81(9.1%) [*]	0.47
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.7±3.3 [*]	26.1±3.9 [#]	<0.001
Dyslipidemia [n (%)]	227 (24.5%)	261 (29.2%) [#]	0.025
TC (mmol/L)	5.25±1.38	5.53±1.70 [#]	0.04
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.33±1.08	3.58±1.19 [*]	0.10
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.14±0.35	1.03±0.37	0.69
TG(mmol/L)	1.81±0.56	2.34±0.67 [*]	0.12
DM [n (%)]	78 (8.4%)	90 (10.1%) [*]	0.23
IFG [n (%)]	171 (18.5%) [#]	213 (23.8%) [#]	0.005
FPG (mmol/L)	5.52±1.91 [*]	6.07±2.05 [#]	0.002
Scr (mmol/L)	76.1±29.4	73.8±27.0	0.18
BUN (mmol/L)	5.98±2.02	6.04±1.79	0.37
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	128.7±30.6	121.6±28.4	0.29
Hyperuricemia [n (%)]	140 (15.1%)	162 (18.1%) [#]	0.09
UA (mmol/L)	392.5±40.2	411.8±37.9 [#]	0.08

BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; Scr, serum creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, serum uric acid

* vs optimal blood pressure $P < 0.05$, # vs Optimal blood pressure $P < 0.01$

Table 4 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Risk Factors of Prehypertension

Risk Factors	<i>OR</i>	<i>95%CI</i>	<i>P</i> 值
Age (per 10 years)	1.21	1.02~1.44	0.03
Sex (Men vs Women)	2.19	1.39~3.45	< 0.001
Smoking (Yes vs No)	0.97	0.70~1.34	0.85
Alcoholic (Yes vs No)	1.12	0.89~1.41	0.33
Family history of Hypertension (Yes vs No)	1.29	0.88~1.89	0.19
overweight/obesity (Yes vs No)	2.84	1.55~5.20	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia (Yes vs No)	1.58	0.92~2.71	0.09
Impaired glucose regulation (Yes vs No)	1.64	0.96~2.81	0.07
Hyperuricemia (Yes vs No)	1.70	1.14~2.54	0.009

Prehypertension was defined if individuals were not undergoing antihypertensive treatment and had a SBP of 120–139 mm Hg and/or DBP of 80–89 mm Hg. Individuals with optimal blood pressure (SBP < 120 mm Hg and DBP < 80 mm Hg) were used as reference.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension in**
8 **Shunde District, Southern China**
9
10

11
12
13 Running head: Prevalence and Risk Factors of Prehypertension
14
15

16
17 Yuli Huang,^{1,2*} Wenke Qiu,^{3*} Changhua Liu,¹ Dingji Zhu,¹ Jinghai Hua,¹ Xiaoyan
18 Cai,² Yanxian Wu,² Yunzhao Hu,² Dingli Xu¹
19
20

21
22 ¹ Department of Cardiology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University,
23 Guangzhou, PR China; ² Department of Cardiology, the First People's Hospital of
24 Shunde, Foshan, PR China; ³ Department of Health Management Center, the First
25 People's Hospital of Shunde, PR China
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 * The authors contributed equally to this work
34

35 Corresponding author: Professor Dingli Xu. Department of Cardiology, Nanfang
36 Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, PR China. Tel.: + 86 20
37 61641503 Fax: + 86 20 87705671 E-mail: dinglixu@fimmu.com Or Professor
38 Yunzhao Hu, Department of Cardiology, the First People's Hospital of Shunde,
39 Foshan, PR China. Tel.: + 86 757 22318680 Fax: + 86 757 22223899 E-mail:
40 huyunzhao4406@163.com
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To explore the ~~incidence~~prevalence and combined cardiovascular risk factors of prehypertension in southern China.

DESIGN: A ~~retrospective cross-sectional~~ study; the logistic regression model was used to find the risk factors of prehypertension.

SETTING: The study was conducted in Shunde District, Southern China, using the community-based health checkup information.

PARTICIPANTS: Subjects aged ≥ 35 years with complete health checkup information data between January 2011 and December 2013 were enrolled and divided into hypertension, prehypertension, and optimal blood pressure (BP). Prehypertension was further divided into low-range (BP 120-129/80-84 mmHg) and high-range (BP 130-139/85-89 mmHg) subgroups.

OUTCOME MEASURES: The prevalence of prehypertension and the combined cardiovascular risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.

RESULTS: Of the 5,362 initially reviewed cases (aged ≥ 35 years), 651 cases were excluded because of missing data. The proportions of optimal BP, prehypertension, and hypertension were 39.1%, 38.6%, and 22.3%, respectively. The average age, proportion of male sex, overweight, impaired fasting glucose (IFG), dyslipidemia, and hyperuricemia were significantly higher in the prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). Compared with low-range prehypertension, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension group (all $P < 0.05$). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that overweight [odds ratio (OR) = 2.8984, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.5655–5.3520], male sex (OR = 2.4519, 95% CI = 1.3739–3.3745), age (per 10 years, OR=1.21, 95% CI=1.02–1.44, P=0.03) and hyperuricemia (OR = 1.6970, 95% CI =

1
2
3
4
5
6 1.4814–2.6454) were independent risk factors of prehypertension.
7
8
9

10 **CONCLUSIONS:** Prehypertension is highly prevalent in southern China.
11 Prehypertensive individuals presented with many other cardiovascular risk factors.
12 There was heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive
13 subgroups.
14
15
16
17

18 **Keywords:** Prehypertension; Prevalence; Risk Factors
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study first documented prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde District, southern China.
- With the economic development and lifestyle changes in China, obesity/overweight has become a very important risk factor for increased blood pressure.
- This is the first study to show that there was a significant heterogeneity of combined risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.
- Some important confounding factors possibly associated with increased blood pressure were not evaluated in the present study.

Introduction

In 2003, the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 7) proposed a new blood pressure (BP) category, including 120–139 mmHg systolic blood pressure (SBP) or 80–89 mmHg diastolic blood pressure (DBP), designated as “prehypertension”.¹

The ~~prevalence~~~~incidence~~ of prehypertension is up to 30–50% worldwide, as well as in many districts of China.²⁻⁴ It is known that in China, the ~~prevalence~~~~incidence~~ of hypertension is significantly higher in the northern than that in the southern area because of the colder climate and high-sodium intake.⁵ Such regional factors may also affect the ~~prevalence~~~~incidence~~ of prehypertension. However, the ~~prevalence~~~~incidence~~ of prehypertension in Guangdong Province, southern China has been rarely reported.

Prehypertensive individuals are prone to progress into frank hypertension, and most of them present with clustering of other cardiovascular risk factors.⁶⁻⁸ However, the term of “prehypertension” has been contentious. Most arguments against using this term consist of the possible public anxiety and overtreatment it may cause. Further, there is a high heterogeneity within this category because the risk of progressing to hypertension and developing cardiovascular disease is higher among people with BP 130–139/85–89 mmHg than among those with BP 120–129/80–84 mmHg.^{6, 9} Furthermore, whether the concurrent cardiovascular risk factors in subgroups of prehypertension are different remains unanswered.

Given these inconsistent results, we conducted a retrospective analysis to explore the prevalence of prehypertension, and the cardiovascular risk factors in the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 sub-groups of prehypertension in Guangdong Province, southern China.
8
9

10 11 **Methods**

12 13 **Study Participants**

14
15 We performed a retrospective study in Shunde District, a traditional but
16 economically developed district of Guangdong Province, in China, using the
17 community-based health checkup information. Community-based health checkup
18 information was collected in the Health Management Center of the First People's
19 Hospital of Shunde. The centers provided data for participants who enrolled in their
20 health checkup programs conducted between January 2011 and December 2013.
21
22 Subjects aged ≥ 35 years with complete data for the following characteristics were
23 included in this study: age, sex, smoking/drinking habits, history of chronic diseases
24 and treatment, family history of hypertension, height, weight, BP, fasting plasma
25 glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low density
26 lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C),
27 serum creatinine (Scr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and serum uric acid (UA). ~~This
28 study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital at Shunde of
29 the Southern Medical University.~~
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Blood pressure measurement**

50
51 ~~Although our data were based on retrospective analysis of community-based~~
52 ~~health checkup information, the protocol of blood pressure measurement in our Health~~
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Management Center are carried out consistently since the foundation of the
8 department. Subjects were asked to avoid caffeinated beverages, smoking and
9 exercise for at least 30 minutes, and BP measurements were taken after the subjects
10 were allowed to rest quietly for at least 5 min. Three BP measurements (2 minutes
11 between each) were obtained for each individual by trained nurses, who were part of
12 the Health Management Center, with a mercury sphygmomanometer. The first and
13 fifth Korotkoff sounds were recorded as SBP and DBP respectively. During the
14 measurements, the participants were seated with the arm supported at the level of the
15 heart. The mean of 3 BP measurements was calculated and recorded.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Definition of Correlative Risk Factors**

30
31 Correlative risk factors estimated in our study included the following: (1) BP
32 classification was based on the recommendations from the JNC 7.¹ ~~Normal-Optimal~~
33 BP was defined as SBP < 120 mm Hg and DBP < 80 mm Hg. Hypertension was
34 defined as SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg and/or DBP ≥ 90 mm Hg, or previously diagnosed as
35 hypertension and currently undergoing antihypertensive treatment. Prehypertension
36 was defined if ~~patients-individuals~~ were not undergoing antihypertensive treatment
37 and had a SBP of 120–139 mm Hg and/or DBP of 80–89 mm Hg. Prehypertension
38 was further divided into low-range (SBP 120–129 and/or DBP 80–84 mmHg) and
39 high-range (SBP 130–139 mmHg and/or DBP 85–89 mmHg) subgroups. (2) Impaired
40 glucose regulation was diagnosed based on FPG according to the American Diabetes
41 Association criteria,¹⁰ including diabetes (FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L) and impaired fasting
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 glucose (IFG, FPG 5.6–6.9 mmol/L). Dyslipidemia was defined as with a history of
8
9 receiving antidyshlipidemia agents or TC ≥ 5.18 mmol/L, LDL-C ≥ 3.37 mmol/L,
10
11 HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L, and/or TG ≥ 1.7 mmol/L~~history of receiving~~
12
13 ~~antidyshlipidemia agents or as satisfying criteria on admission such as TC ≥ 5.18~~
14
15 ~~mmol/L, LDL-C ≥ 3.37 mmol/L, HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L, and/or TG ≥ 1.7 mmol/L,~~

16
17 according to the 2007 Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in
18 Adults in China.¹¹ Hyperuricemia was defined as UA ≥ 416 μmol/L in men and 357
19
20 μmol/L in women. (3) Overweight and obesity were defined as body mass index
21
22 (BMI) 24–27.9 kg/m² and BMI ≥ 28 kg/m² according to Chinese criteria.¹² (4) The
23
24 estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of each participant was estimated using
25
26 the modified Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation adapted for Chinese,¹³ as:
27
28
29
30
31
32 eGFR = 186 × Scr^{-1.154} × Age^{-0.203} × 0.742 (Female) × 1.233 (Chinese).

33 34 35 36 **Statistical Analysis**

37
38 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social
39
40 Science software release 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables
41
42 are presented as mean ±(standard deviation) or median (inter-quartile range) as
43
44 appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages. After testing for
45
46 normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, continuous variables were compared
47
48 using a *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney *U*-test, categorical variables were compared by
49
50 chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Multiple logistic regression
51
52 analysis was performed to evaluate predictive factors for prehypertension. Individuals
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 with optimal BP were used as reference. Multicollinearity (strong correlations among
8 independent variables) was examined by collinearity diagnostic statistics. Variance
9 inflation factor values >2.5 or tolerance <0.4 may indicate concern for
10 multicollinearity in logistic regression models [14]. A value of $P < 0.05$ was
11 considered statistically significant.
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Ethical clearance**

18
19
20 The study was reviewed and approved by the Health Authority Research Ethics Board
21 of ~~the Affiliated Hospital at Shunde (the First People's Hospital of Shunde), Southern~~
22 ~~Medical University Foshan~~, PR China.
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Results**

29 **Prevalence of Prehypertension**

30
31
32 Of the 5,362 cases (aged ≥ 35 years) initially reviewed, 651 cases were excluded
33 because of missing data. Finally, 4711 cases (2674 men, 2037 women) were analyzed.
34
35
36 The proportions of optimal BP, prehypertension, and hypertension were 39.1% (1842
37 cases), 38.6% (1819 cases), and 22.3% (1050 cases), respectively. The
38 ~~prevalence~~ ~~incidence~~ of prehypertension was higher in men than in women (43.5% vs.
39 32.2%, $P < 0.001$). There was an increasing trend of prehypertension prevalence
40 associated with age ~~in men, but in women, the prevalence of prehypertension~~
41 ~~increased up to the age of 50–64 years and then decreased~~ (Table 1).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Risk Factors Clustering in Different Blood Pressure Statuses**

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 The average age, proportion of male sex, family history of hypertension,
8
9 overweight, IFG, dyslipidemia, hyperuricemia, levels of FPG, TC, TG, BMI, and UA
10
11 were significantly higher in prehypertension and hypertension groups than in the
12
13 optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$). The proportions of DM, obesity, and levels of LDL-C
14
15 were also higher in the hypertension groups than in the optimal BP group (all $P <$
16
17 0.05); however, the differences were not significant in the prehypertension group
18
19 compared with that in the optimal BP group (Table 2)
20
21
22
23

24 **Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Different Sub-ranges of Prehypertension**

25
26 To explore the heterogeneity within the prehypertension category, patients with
27
28 prehypertension were further classified into low- and high-range subgroups. The
29
30 proportions of male sex, overweight, IFG, BMI, and levels of FPG were higher in the
31
32 low-range prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$), but
33
34 there were no significant differences for other cardiovascular risk factors (all $P >$
35
36 0.05). The proportions of male sex, overweight, obesity, dyslipidemia, diabetes, IFG,
37
38 hyperuricemia, BMI, and levels of TC, LDL-C, TG, FPG, and UA were higher in the
39
40 high-range prehypertension group than in the optimal BP group (all $P < 0.05$).
41
42 Compared with low-range prehypertension, the proportions of overweight,
43
44 dyslipidemia, and IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension (all $P < 0.05$)
45
46
47
48
49 (Table 3).
50
51
52
53

54 **Risk Factors Associated with Prehypertension**

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 The multivariable-adjusted risk factors associated with prehypertension are presented
8
9 in Table 4. High BMI (overweight/obesity) was the most important risk factor of
10
11 prehypertension [odds ratio (OR)=2.8984, 95% confidence interval
12
13 (CI)=1.5655–5.3520, $P < 0.001$]. Age (≥ 50 per 10 years, $OR=1.7821$, 95%
14
15 $CI=1.1102-2.851.44$, $P=0.0203$), male sex ($OR=2.4519$, 95% $CI=1.3739-3.3745$, $P <$
16
17 0.001), and hyperuricemia ($OR=1.6970$, 95% $CI=1.1814-2.6454$, $P=0.02009$) were
18
19 also significantly associated with prehypertension. Furthermore, collinearity statistics
20
21 were >0.4 for tolerance and <2.5 for variance inflation factor, suggesting that
22
23 multicollinearity was not a concern among the independent variables.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Discussion

32
33 In this study, we found that prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde
34
35 District, Guangdong Province. Prehypertensive individuals presented with other risk
36
37 factors associated with cardiovascular disease, such as overweight, dyslipidemia,
38
39 impaired glucose, and hyperuricemia. Furthermore, combined cardiovascular risk
40
41 factors were more significant in people with high-range prehypertension. To our
42
43 knowledge, this is the first study to show that there was a significant heterogeneity of
44
45 combined risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups.
46
47
48

49 Many epidemiological studies have demonstrated that prehypertension is an
50
51 important public health problem. However, the prevalence of prehypertension in
52
53 different countries and districts differs significantly, and may be influenced by
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 different regional factors, such as climate and lifestyle, as well as ethnicity. At the
8
9 beginning of this century (2000–2001), a cross-sectional survey found that the
10
11 ~~prevalence~~ of prehypertension was 21.9% among Chinese subjects aged
12
13 between 35 and 74 years.³ However, in other subsequent studies, the
14
15 ~~prevalence~~ of prehypertension was significantly higher than this ratio. In
16
17 rural northeastern China, the ~~prevalence~~ of prehypertension was 35.1% in
18
19 men and 32.5% in women,¹⁵ and up to 40% in whole population from urban areas of
20
21 northeastern China, up to 40%,^{2,4,14,16} which may be associated with the cold climate
22
23 and high-sodium diet. In this study, we found that the prevalence of prehypertension
24
25 in the Shunde District of Guangdong Province, a traditional but economically
26
27 developed district of southern China, was up to 38.6%. The ~~prevalence~~ was
28
29 very similar to the ~~prevalence of~~ in the urban areas of northeastern China~~northern~~
30
31 area,¹⁶ and ~~it was~~ significantly higher than the ~~incidence~~~~prevalence~~ reported at the
32
33 beginning of this century for the entire country.³ Further analysis showed that
34
35 increased BMI was the most important risk factor of prehypertension in our study.
36
37 Even among patients with low-range prehypertension, BMI was significantly
38
39 increased compared with the optimal BP group. Therefore, our study suggests that
40
41 although sodium intake is relatively low in Guangdong Province in southern China,¹⁵
42
43 the¹⁷ the prevalence of prehypertension is almost as high as that in the northern area.
44
45
46
47
48
49 With the economic development and lifestyle changes, obesity/overweight has
50
51 become a very important risk factor for increased BP.

52
53 Although the proportions of IFG and dyslipidemia were higher in prehypertension
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 than optimal BP groups, in multivariable analysis, the associations of IFG and
8
9 dyslipidemia with prehypertension were not significant after adjustment for BMI.
10
11 Many studies have documented that overweight/obesity can cause significant insulin
12
13 resistance, which may play an important role in impaired glucose metabolism,
14
15 dyslipidemia, and increased BP.^{16,18, 17, 19} Clinical studies have shown that weight
16
17 control can significantly lower BP.^{18, 20} These results indicated that lifestyle
18
19 modifications, such as weight loss, are effective in the long-term primary prevention
20
21 of hypertension. With the economic development and lifestyle changes, lifestyle
22
23 modifications should be emphasized as a cornerstone in modern China.
24
25

26
27 Added to traditional risk factors, previous studies have found that serum UA
28
29 levels were significantly associated with prehypertension.^{19,21, 20, 22} The mechanisms
30
31 may be associated with inhibition of the nitric oxide pathway and activation of the
32
33 renin-angiotensin system. Further, UA can cause proliferation of vascular smooth
34
35 muscle cells and renal microvascular damage because of local inflammation and
36
37 oxidative stress, finally leading to high BP.^{21,23, 22, 24} In our study, we found that the
38
39 level of UA tended to increase in the low-range prehypertension group ($P = 0.07$), and
40
41 the difference was significant between high-range prehypertension and the optimal BP
42
43 groups. These results indicate that the effect of UA on BP may be increased
44
45 throughout the entire prehypertension range.
46
47
48

49
50 In a recent randomized controlled trial, prehypertensive obese adolescents aged
51
52 11–17 years were enrolled and randomized to urate-lowering therapy (including
53
54 allopurinol or probenecid) or placebo. Subjects treated with urate-lowering therapy
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 experienced a highly significant reduction in BP (SBP 10.2 mm Hg and DBP 9.0 mm
8
9 Hg, respectively). Systemic vascular resistance was also reduced in the urate-lowering
10
11 therapy group.²³⁻²⁵ These findings strongly supported the synergistic pathogenic role
12
13 of ~~uric acid~~UA and obesity in hypertension. Genes and diet are important factors
14
15 affecting the levels of UA; therefore, we emphasize the importance of lifestyle
16
17 modification interventions for people with prehypertension.
18
19

20
21 It was interesting that there was significant 'heterogeneity' of combined
22
23 cardiovascular risk factors within the prehypertensive subgroups in our study.
24
25 Compared with optimal BP, BMI and FPG were increased in the low-range
26
27 prehypertension group and were increased even further in the high-range
28
29 prehypertension group. Furthermore, the proportions of overweight, dyslipidemia, and
30
31 IFG were higher in the high-range prehypertension than those in the low-range
32
33 prehypertension groups. These findings confirmed the importance of the definition of
34
35 prehypertension, as well as the inhomogeneity of the prehypertension subcategories.
36
37

38 Our prior meta analysis also found that prehypertension was associated with increased
39 risks of CVD²⁶ and end-stage renal disease.²⁷ However, because of limit prospective,
40 randomized trials examining the effects of anti-hypertensive therapy on reducing
41 target organ damage specifically in prehypertension, currently professional societies
42 do not recommend pharmacotherapy for prehypertension, even in individuals with
43 high-range prehypertension.^{28,29} This is a great gap to be covered between
44 epidemiological studies and randomized controlled studies in prehypertension.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 Prehypertensive individuals are at a high risk to progress to sustained hypertension, as
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 well as CVD and renal damage. So periodic screening is important. For therapeutic
7 implications. Thus, we consider that healthcare professionals should recommend
8
9 lifestyle changes to subjects with prehypertension. Furthermore, high-risk
10
11 subpopulations with prehypertension, especially those with high-range
12
13 prehypertension should be selected for future controlled trials to evaluate the effects
14
15 of pharmacological treatment on this population.
16
17
18

19
20 Several limitations of this study must be considered. First, our data were based
21
22 on community-based health checkup information, and not from a multi-stage stratified
23
24 clustering sample. This may cause bias of the ~~incidence~~prevalence of prehypertension.
25
26 Second, some important confounding factors possibly associated with increased BP,
27
28 such as diet, physical activity, and socioeconomic factors, were not evaluated in the
29
30 present study. Third, follow up data of individuals with prehypertension were lack and
31
32 further studies were needed on the matter.
33
34
35

36 37 Conclusion

38
39 This study showed that companied with the economic development and lifestyle
40
41 changes, prehypertension is highly prevalent in the Shunde District, southern China.
42
43 Many other cardiovascular risk factors were presented in individuals with
44
45 prehypertension, especially in those with high-range prehypertension. Periodic
46
47 screening and lifestyle changes should be recommended to subjects with
48
49 prehypertension for prevention of hypertension, as well as cardiovascular disease.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 **Contributors** YulH, YunH, and DX conceptualised the study, designed the protocol.
7
8 YulH, WQ and XC analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. CL, DZ, JH
9
10 collected the data. YW, YunH, and DX revised the manuscript. YunH and DX
11
12 participated in administrative and technical support.
13

14 **Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the
15
16 public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
17

18 **Competing interests** None.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

1. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, *et al.* The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA* 2003; 289: 2560-72.
2. Sun Z, Zheng L, Xu C, *et al.* Prevalence of prehypertension, hypertension and, associated risk factors in Mongolian and Han Chinese populations in Northeast China. *Int J Cardiol* 2008; 128: 250-4.
3. Yu D, Huang J, Hu D, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of prehypertension among Chinese adults. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 2008; 52: 363-8.
4. Sun Z, Zheng L, Wei Y, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of the rural adult people prehypertension status in Liaoning Province of China. *Circ J* 2007; 71: 550-3.
5. Liu LS [2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2011; 39: 579-615.
6. Elliott WJ, Black HR Prehypertension. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* 2007; 4: 538-48.
7. Egan BM, Lackland DT, Jones DW. Prehypertension: an opportunity for a new public health paradigm. *Cardiol Clin* 2010; 28: 561-9.
8. Pimenta E, Oparil S Prehypertension: epidemiology, consequences and treatment. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2010; 6: 21-30.
9. Gupta P, Nagaraju SP, Gupta A, Mandya CK. Prehypertension - time to act. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2012; 23: 223-33.
10. [Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus.](#)

Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes

Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* ~~2000~~2003; ~~26~~ *Suppl 1*: S5-S20.23-S4-19.

11. [Joint Committee for Developing Chinese guidelines on Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults.](#) [Chinese guidelines on prevention and treatment of dyslipidemia in adults]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi* 2007; 35: 390-419.

12. Zhou B. [Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference to risk factors of related diseases in Chinese adult population]. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2002; 23: 5-10.

13. Ma YC, Zuo L, Chen JH, *et al*: Modified glomerular filtration rate estimating equation for Chinese patients with chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17: 2937-44.

14. [Pallant J. SPSS survival manual: a step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for windows \(version 10\). Open University Press; 2001.](#)

15. [Li Z, Guo X, Zheng L, *et al*. Prehypertension in rural northeastern china: results from the northeast china rural cardiovascular health study. *J Clin Hypertens \(Greenwich\)* 2014;16:664-70.](#)

146. Dong GH, Wang D, Liu MM, *et al*. Sex difference of the prevalence and risk factors associated with prehypertension among urban Chinese adults from 33 communities of China: the CHPSNE study. *J Hypertens* 2012; 30: 485-91.

157. Cheng TO. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures and urinary sodium excretion in mainland China. *QJM* 2000; 93: 557-8.

168. Tobias DK, Pan A, Jackson CL, *et al*. Body-mass index and mortality among

adults with incident type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 233-44.

179. Gillman MW, Ludwig DS. How early should obesity prevention start? *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369: 2173-5.

1820. Tyson CC, Appel LJ, Vollmer WM, *et al.* Impact of 5-year weight change on blood pressure: results from the Weight Loss Maintenance trial. *J Clin Hypertens.* 2013;15:458-64.

1921. Liang J, Xue Y, Zou C, Zhang T, Song H, Qi L. Serum uric acid and prehypertension among Chinese adults. *J Hypertens* 2009; 27: 1761-5.

2022. Kawamoto R, Tabara Y, Kohara K, Kusunoki T, Abe M, Miki T. Interaction between serum uric acid and triglycerides in relation to prehypertension in community-dwelling Japanese adults. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 2014; 36: 64-9.

2123. Soltani Z, Rasheed K, Kapusta DR, Reisin E. Potential role of uric acid in metabolic syndrome, hypertension, kidney injury, and cardiovascular diseases: is it time for reappraisal? *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2013; 15: 175-81.

2224. Reynolds TM. Serum uric acid and new-onset hypertension: a possible therapeutic avenue? *J Hum Hypertens* 2014;28:519-20.

2325. Soletsky B, Feig DI. Uric acid reduction rectifies prehypertension in obese adolescents. *Hypertension* 2012; 60: 1148-56.

26. Huang Y, Wang S, Cai X, *et al.*. Prehypertension and incidence of cardiovascular disease: a meta analysis. *BMC Med* 2013;11:177.

27. Huang Y, Cai X, Zhang J, *et al.*. Prehypertension and Incidence of ESRD: A Systematic Review and Meta analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014;63:76-83.

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: French (France)

Formatted: Danish

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 [28. James P A, Oparil S, Carter B L, et al. 2014 Evidence-Based Guideline for the](#)
8 [Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Report From the Panel Members](#)
9 [Appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee \(JNC 8\)\[J\]. *JAMA*](#)
10 [2014.311:507-20.](#)

11
12
13
14
15 [29. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the](#)
16 [management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial](#)
17 [hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension \(ESH\) and of the European](#)
18 [Society of Cardiology \(ESC\)\[J\]. *Eur Heart J* 2013.34:2159-219.](#)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Prevalence of Prehypertension and Hypertension by Sex and Age Group

Age (years)	n	Optimal BP (%)	Prehypertension (%)	Hypertension (%)
Male				
35~49	970	48.1	34.4	17.4
50~64	996	27.1	48.0	24.9
≥ 65	708	20.6	49.6	29.8
Total	2037	33.0	43.5	23.5
Female				
35~49	758	59.8	26.5	12.9
50~64	797	42.3	36.3	22.2
≥ 65	482	35.1	34.4	30.5
Total	2674	47.1	32.2	20.7
All				
35~49	1728	53.2	31.0	15.5
50~64	1793	33.9	42.8	23.7
≥ 65	1190	26.5	43.4	30.1
Total	4711	39.1	38.6	22.3

BP: blood pressure

Table 2 Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Different Blood Pressure Statuses

	Optimal BP (n=1842)	Prehypertension (n=1819)	Hypertension (n=1050)	<i>P</i>
Age (years)	48.8±10.5	52.1±11.3 [△]	54.3±10.4 [△]	0.008
Male [n (%)]	829 (45.0)	1163 (63.9) [△]	628 (59.8) ^{△§}	<0.001
Smoking [n (%)]	301 (16.3)	287 (15.8)	186 (17.7)	0.77
Alcoholic [n (%)]	35 (1.9)	42 (2.3)	27 (2.6)	0.464
Family history of Hypertension [n (%)]	274 (14.9)	292 (16.1) [△]	203 (19.3) ^{△§}	0.007
Overweight [n (%)]	236 (12.8)	395(21.7) [△]	241(23.0) [△]	<0.001
Obesity [n (%)]	128(6.9)	156(8.6)	98(9.3) [△]	0.019
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.6±3.2	24.9±3.5 [△]	25.6±4.8 [△]	0.001
Dyslipidemia [n (%)]	420(22.8)	488(26.8) [△]	312(29.7) ^{△§}	<0.001
TC (mmol/L)	5.02±1.25	5.39±1.67 [△]	5.64±1.59 ^{△§}	0.01
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.21±1.15	3.45±1.18	3.68±1.32 [△]	0.03
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.18±0.36	1.09±0.38	1.02±0.41	0.75
TG(mmol/L)	1.66±0.42	2.07±0.58 [△]	2.09±0.63 [△]	0.001
DM [n (%)]	138(7.5)	168(9.2)	109(10.4) [△]	0.002
IFG [n (%)]	261(14.2%)	384(21.1%) [△]	275(26.2) ^{△§}	<0.001
FPG (mmol/L)	5.14±1.96	5.79±2.03 [△]	5.91±2.27 [△]	0.003
Scr (mmol/L)	72.2±19.7	75.0±25.1	79.2±28.9	0.14
BUN (mmol/L)	5.34±1.71	6.01±1.87	6.25±1.99	0.35
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	126.8±27.9	125.2±29.4	118.1±28.6	0.76
Hyperuricemia [n (%)]	233(12.6%)	302(16.6%) [△]	226(21.5) ^{△§}	0.001
UA (mmol/L)	377.5±32.2	402.9±34.6 [△]	428.1±33.8 ^{△§}	0.007

BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol;

HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; Scr, serum

creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, serum uric acid

△ vs. Optimal blood pressure *P* < 0.05, § vs. prehypertension *P* < 0.05

Table 3 Cardiovascular Risk Factors Different Sub-ranges of Prehypertension

	Low range prehypertension (n=925)	High range prehypertension (n=894)	<i>P</i>
Age (years)	50.6±11.2	53.7±12.4 [#]	0.08
Male [n (%)]	584 (63.1%) [#]	579 (64.8%) [#]	0.47
Smoking [n (%)]	149 (16.1%)	138 (15.4%)	0.69
Alcoholic [n (%)]	20 (1.9%)	22 (2.7%)	0.29
Family history of Hypertension [n (%)]	153 (16.5%)	139 (15.5%)	0.56
Overweight [n (%)]	183 (19.8%) [#]	212 (23.7%) [#]	0.04
Obesity [n (%)]	75 (8.1%)	81(9.1%) [*]	0.47
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.7±3.3 [*]	26.1±3.9 [#]	<0.001
Dyslipidemia [n (%)]	227 (24.5%)	261 (29.2%) [#]	0.025
TC (mmol/L)	5.25±1.38	5.53±1.70 [#]	0.04
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.33±1.08	3.58±1.19 [*]	0.10
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.14±0.35	1.03±0.37	0.69
TG(mmol/L)	1.81±0.56	2.34±0.67 [*]	0.12
DM [n (%)]	78 (8.4%)	90 (10.1%) [*]	0.23
IFG [n (%)]	171 (18.5%) [#]	213 (23.8%) [#]	0.005
FPG (mmol/L)	5.52±1.91 [*]	6.07±2.05 [#]	0.002
Scr (mmol/L)	76.1±29.4	73.8±27.0	0.18
BUN (mmol/L)	5.98±2.02	6.04±1.79	0.37
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	128.7±30.6	121.6±28.4	0.29
Hyperuricemia [n (%)]	140 (15.1%)	162 (18.1%) [#]	0.09
UA (mmol/L)	392.5±40.2	411.8±37.9 [#]	0.08

BMI, body mass index; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; Scr, serum creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UA, serum uric acid

^{*} vs optimal blood pressure $P < 0.05$, [#] vs Optimal blood pressure $P < 0.01$

Table 4 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Risk Factors of Prehypertension

Risk Factors	OR	95%CI	P 值
Age (per 10 years \geq 50 years vs $<$ 50 years)	1.7821	1.1102~21.8544	0.0203
Sex (Men vs Women)	2.4519	1.3739~3.3745	< 0.001
Smoking (Yes vs No)	0.97	0.7370~1.2934	0.8385
Alcoholic (Yes vs No)	1.0912	0.8289~1.4541	0.5533
Family history of Hypertension (Yes vs No)	1.2429	0.9088~1.7189	0.19
overweight/obesity (Yes vs No)	2.8984	1.5655~5.3520	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia (Yes vs No)	1.58	0.92~2.71	0.09
Impaired glucose regulation (Yes vs No)	1.6364	0.96~2.7881	0.07
Hyperuricemia (Yes vs No)	1.6970	1.1814~2.6454	0.02009

Prehypertension was defined if individuals were not undergoing antihypertensive treatment and had a SBP of 120–139 mm Hg and/or DBP of 80–89 mm Hg. Individuals with optimal blood pressure (SBP < 120 mm Hg and DBP < 80 mm Hg) were used as reference.