Repository

Song et al.

1 Repository materials and methods

Reagents: Cholera toxin (CT) was purchased from List Biological Laboratories Inc (Campbell,
CA). Concanavalin A (Con A), collagenase and avidin-peroxidase were purchased from SigmaAldrich (St. Louis, MO). DNAse I was purchased from Roche (Branford, CT). Antibodies for
ELISA were purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA) or R&D Systems (Minneapolis,
MN). DNA methylation reagents were purchased from Qiagen (Germantown, MD).

7

8 Crude peanut extract preparation: Freshly ground, whole roasted peanut and crude peanut extract (CPE) were prepared as described previously.^(1;2) Endotoxin levels in ground peanut were 9 tested using the Pyrogent Plus assay kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) as previously 10 described⁽³⁾ and were below the detectable level. Briefly, peanuts were ground with a coffee 11 12 grinder (Krups, Peoria, IL) followed by mortar and pestle to make a smooth paste. The paste was 13 defatted by washing with at least 20 volumes (wt/vol) of cold acetone and air dried overnight at 14 4°C. Protein was extracted from dried powder by agitating in PBS supplemented with a protease-15 inhibitor cocktail without EDTA (Roche Diagnostics, IN) overnight at 4°C. After centrifugation 16 at 2500g for 20 minutes at 4°C, the supernatant was collected, filtered, and centrifuged at 17 12,000g for 3 minutes. Protein concentrations were determined with a Micro BCA Protein Assay 18 Kit (Thermo Scientific, IL). Extract was stored at -80°C.

19

Evaluation of anaphylaxis: Anaphylactic symptoms were evaluated 30 minutes following oral challenge utilizing the scoring system described previously ⁽⁴⁾: 0 - no symptoms; 1 - scratching and rubbing around the snout and head; 2 - puffiness around the eyes and snout, pilarerecti, reduced activity, and/or decreased activity with increased respiratory rate; 3 - wheezing, labored respiration, cyanosis around the mouth and the tail; 4 - no activity after prodding, or tremor and Repository

convulsion; 5 - death. Cage identities were concealed during visual assessment of anaphylactic
symptoms.

27 Determination of global DNA methylation: Genomic DNA from MLN cells was purified as 28 described in "DNA pyrosequencing methylation analysis" in the Methods section. Global DNA 29 methylation was determined using the MethylFlash Methylated DNA Quantification Kit (Colorimetric) (Epigentek Group Inc., New York, NY).⁽⁵⁾This kit measures the methyl-cytosine 30 31 content as a percentage of total cytosine content. Using a DNA concentration of 20 µg/ml, the 32 purified DNA was added to wells in an ELISA plate. The methylated fraction of DNA was 33 quantified using 5-methylcytosine specific antibodies. The amount of methylated DNA was proportional to the OD intensity in an ELISA plate reader at 450 nm. DNA methylation was 34 35 calculated using the formula: [(OD_{sample}-OD_{M3})/S]/[((OD_{M4}-OD_{M3})x2)/P]x100; where OD is 36 optical density; M3 is the negative control, an unmethylated polynucleotide containing 50% of 37 cytosine; S is the amount of input sample DNA in ng; M4 is the positive control, a methylated polynucleotide containing 50% of 5-methylcystosine; P is the amount of input positive control 38 39 in ng. The amount of methylated DNA was expressed as percentage of total DNA.

40 Figure Legend

41 **Figure E1:** Peanut allergic mothers exhibited hypersensitivity reactions following oral peanut

42 challenge and prior to mating. Blood was collected from peanut allergic mothers (PAM) and

43 naïve mothers (NM) one day prior to challenge. Serum peanut-specific IgE levels (A) were

44 measured by ELISA. Anaphylactic scores (B) and core body temperatures (C) were measured,

45 and plasma histamine levels (D) were determined thirty minutes after challenge. **, p<0.01; ***,

46 p<0.001, vs. NM (N=5/group). Each dot represents an individual mouse. Horizontal bars indicate

47 means. Data shown are representative of two individual experiments.

48

Repository

49	Figure E2: Following oral challenge, anaphylactic scores and core body temperatures with or
50	without anti-FcyRIIB/RIII monoclonal antibody administration in peanut sensitized offspring of
51	peanut allergic mothers. N=5-6. *, p<0.05.Horizontal lines represent the means of each group.
52	
53	Figure E3:A: Global methylation of MLN cells in O-PAM, O-NM and normal controls
54	following challenge was measured by the global DNA methylation ELISA kit(Epigentek,
55	Farmingdale, NJ). In this ELISA kit, the methylated fraction of DNA is recognized by 5-
56	methylcytosine antibody and quantified through an ELISA-like reaction. (N=6-7/group). Both
57	p>0.05 for O-PAM vs. O-NM and O-PAM vs. Naïve. B:Purified DNA from sensitized and
58	challenged offspring MLN cells underwent bisulfite treatment, PCR amplification, and
59	pyrosequencing. Percent of DNA methylation of the IFN- γ and Foxp3 promoters in offspring
60	MLN cells (B&C). Data are expressed as means \pm SDs of each group. (N=5-6/group).
61	Figure E4: DNA methylation at CpG-408 and CpG-393 sites of the IL-4 promoter from
62	peripheral blood leucocytes (PBL) of peanut allergic mothers (PAM) and naïve mothers (NM)
63	prior to breeding. Purified DNA from mothers PBL prior to breeding underwent bisulfite
64	treatment, PCR amplification, and pyrosequencing. ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$ vs.NM (N=5).
65	Figure E5: Breast milk was collected from lactating peanut allergic mothers (PAM) and naïve
66	mothers (NM) when their offspring were 10-15 day-old, using a mouse milking machine
67	modified in our laboratory. An additional group of PAM lactating mice was inoculated
68	intragastrically with10 mg of peanut protein (PAM-PN). Milk was collected 2 hours following
69	peanut protein feeding. Milk was diluted 1:2 in PBS, and peanut protein levels were detected
70	using a commercial kit (Neogen Corp, Lansing, Mich). N=3-4. ***, p<0.001.

	Repository Song et al.	2	4
71			
72			
73			
74 75 76	Repository F	eferences	
77 78	(1) Beyer K, Morrow E, Li XM, Bardina cooking methods on peanut allergenicity. J Allerg	L, Bannon GA, Burks AW et al. Effects of y Clin Immunol 2001; 107(6):1077-81.	
79 80 81	(2) Pochard P, Vickery B, Berin MC, Gr Targeting Toll-like receptors on dendritic cells mo in vitro. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010; 126(1):92-	ishin A, Sampson HA, Caplan M et al. difies the T(H)2 response to peanut allergens 7.	
82 83 84	(3) Srivastava KD, Qu C, Zhang T, Gold Herbal Formula-2 silences peanut-induced anaphy IFN-gamma-producing CD8+ T cells. J Allergy C	farb J, Sampson HA, Li XM. Food Allergy laxis for a prolonged posttreatment period via lin Immunol 2009; 123(2):443-51.	
85 86 87	(4) Li XM, Serebrisky D, Lee SY, Huan model of peanut anaphylaxis: T- and B-cell responses. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000; 106(1 P	g CK, Bardina L, Schofield BH et al. A murine uses to a major peanut allergen mimic human (1):150-8.	;
88 89 90 91 92	(5) Fernandez-Roig S, Lai SC, Murphy J Vitamin B12 deficiency in the brain leads to DNA knockout mouse. Nutr Metab (Lond) 2012; 9:41.	MM, Fernandez-Ballart J, Quadros EV. hypomethylation in the TCblR/CD320	

Supplement Table I. Primers used for PCR amplification and pyrosequencing experiments

Mouse Foxp3	
PCR forward	TATATTTTTAGATGATTTGTAAAGGGTAAA
PCR reverse	Biotin-TCACCTTAATAAAATAAACTACTA
Pyrosequencing	AAAAAATTGGATTATTAGAA
Mouse IFN-γ	
PCR forward	TGGTGTGAAGTAAAAGTGTTTTTAGA
PCR reverse	Biotin-TACACCTCTCTAACTTCCAATTT
Pyrosequencing 1	ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΤΤΤGTGAAAATA
Pyrosequencing 2	GAATGGTATAGGTGGGTA







A Global DNA B IFN-γ promoter

p>0.05 p>0.05

ORMO PAM Haive

Methylation% 구 구 쑤 추

0-





