

Table S2. Probability matrix for t-tests performed on paired osprey track segments in transformed magnetic coordinate space ( $y_r$  vs.  $z_r$ ).

	Bea (2)	Belle (1)	Belle (2)	Belle (3)	Caley (1)	Caley (2)	Caley (3)	Caley (4)	Felix (1)	Felix (2)	Henrietta (1)	Henrietta (2)	Isabel (1)	Isabel (2)	Isabel (3)	Luke (1)	Luke (2)	Mittark (1)	Mittark (2)	Mittark (3)	Moffet (1)	Moffet (2)	Chip (1)	Chip (2)	
Bea (1)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	<b>0.06</b>	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.56</b>	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.00	
Bea (2)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.06</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.69</b>	0.00	0.00	<b>0.09</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	<b>0.92</b>	0.00	
Belle (1)			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.22</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Belle (2)				0.00	<b>0.20</b>	0.00	<b>0.20</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.34</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Belle (3)					0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.32</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	<b>0.05</b>	0.00	
Caley (1)						0.00	<b>0.80</b>	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	<b>0.31</b>	0.00	0.00	0.01	<b>0.09</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.17</b>	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Caley (2)								0.00	0.00	<b>0.51</b>	0.00	<b>0.08</b>	0.00	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.62</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.13</b>	0.00	0.01	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.73</b>	
Caley (3)									0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.07</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.18</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Caley (4)									0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.05</b>	0.00	
Felix (1)										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.85</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.51</b>
Felix (2)											<b>0.52</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	<b>0.57</b>	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	<b>0.22</b>	0.00	
Henrietta (1)												0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	<b>0.37</b>	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	<b>0.15</b>	0.00	
Henrietta (2)													0.00	0.00	<b>0.11</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	<b>0.96</b>	0.00	
Isabel (1)														0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.12</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.09</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Isabel (2)															<b>0.88</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.41</b>	0.00	0.01	0.00	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.16</b>	
Isabel (3)																0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.69</b>	0.04	0.01	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.73</b>	
Luke (1)																	<b>0.18</b>	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00	
Luke (2)																		0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>0.06</b>	0.00	0.01	0.00	
Mittark (1)																				0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	<b>0.24</b>	0.00
Mittark (2)																					0.00	0.01	0.00	<b>0.32</b>	0.01
Mittark (3)																						0.00	0.00	<b>0.06</b>	0.00
Moffet (1)																						0.00	0.01	0.01	
Moffet (2)																								<b>0.75</b>	0.03
Chip (1)																									<b>0.52</b>

P-values that are not significantly different ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) are shown in bold. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.XXXXXX.YYYY