# Supplementary Figures



**Supplementary Fig. 1:** Assembly of contour length histograms. **a** Force-extension traces are transformed into contour length space using a QM-corrected FRC model with parameters  $\gamma = 41^\circ$ , and  $b = 0.11$  nm. **b** In force-contour length space, force and contour length thresholds are applied and the data are histogrammed with a bin width of 1 nm to obtain the histogram in **c**. To obtain a master histogram, individual histograms reflecting a specific unfolding pathway are cross-correlated and aligned by offsetting by the maximum correlation value.



**Supplementary Fig. 2:** Complex rupture force histograms for pulling speeds ranging from  $100 \text{ nm s}^{-1}$ to 6400 nm s<sup>-1</sup>. Pulling speeds are indicated next to the histograms. Only traces with an intact XMod were taken into account (no XMod unfolding observed, corresponding to Fig. 2, trace 1). At the slowest pulling speed data suggest the presence of a lower rupture force population.



**Supplementary Fig. 3:** Dynamic force spectrum for XMod unfolding obtained from 654 force-extension traces. The gray points show single XMod unfolding events. Black circles represent the most probable rupture forces and loading rates obtained by Gaussian fitting at each pulling speed. Error bars are ±1 standard deviation. The dashed line is a least squares fit to the Bell-Evans model that yielded  $\Delta x = 0.15$  nm and  $k_{off} = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$  s<sup>-1</sup>.



Supplementary Fig. 4: Force distance trace obtained by SMD at a pulling speed of 0.25 Åns<sup>-1</sup>. Force values at each time step are shown in gray, with average force calculated every 200 ps in black. The inset is a snapshot of the XMod-Doc:Coh complex immediately prior to rupture. XMod is shown in yellow, Doc in red and Coh in blue.







**Supplementary Fig. 6:** Hydrogen bond contacts between XMod-Doc (yellow and red surface, respectively) and Coh (blue surface). The residues that have hydrogen bonds lasting for more than 10% of the simulation time are represented in a glossy surface. In the bottom of the figure the five most prevalent hydrogen bond interactions are presented. The letter S or B indicate if the respective interaction is made by the amino acid side chain or backbone.

## Supplementary Tables



**Supplementary Table 1:** Domain assignment of observed contour length increments. The expected contour length increment  $(\Delta L_E)$  for each protein domain was calculated according to  $\Delta L_E = N_A \cdot$  $0.365 \text{ nm} - L_F$ , where  $L_F$  is the folded length,  $N_A$  is the number of amino acids, and  $0.365 \text{ nm}^2$  $0.365 \text{ nm}^2$  is the length per stretched amino acid. *L<sup>F</sup>* was measured for Xyn, CBM, and XDoc:Coh from PDB structures 1R85, 1NBC, and 4IU3, respectively. For the Xyn domain, only amino acids located C-terminal of the C129 mutation which served as attachment point are considered. Errors for the observed increments were determined from Gaussian fits to the combined contour length histogram shown in Fig. 2b.

### Supplementary Notes

Supplementary Note 1: QM-FRC Model for Polymer Elasticity

The freely rotating chain model<sup>[3](#page-9-2)</sup> considers bonds of length  $b$ , connected by a fixed angle  $\gamma$ . The torsional angles are not restricted. The stretching behavior in the FRC picture is given by

$$
\frac{x}{L} = \begin{cases}\n\frac{Fa}{3k_BT} & \text{for } \frac{Fb}{k_BT} < \frac{b}{p} \\
1 - \left(\frac{4Fp}{k_BT}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } \frac{b}{p} < \frac{Fb}{k_BT} < \frac{p}{b} \\
1 - \left(\frac{cFb}{k_BT}\right)^{-1} & \text{for } \frac{p}{b} < \frac{Fb}{k_BT}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

where  $a=b\frac{1+\cos\gamma}{(1-\cos\gamma)\cos\frac{\gamma}{2}}$  is the Kuhn length, and  $p=b\frac{\cos\frac{\gamma}{2}}{|\ln(\cos\gamma)|}$  is the effective persistence length in the FRC picture.

To account for backbone elasticity of the polypeptide chain at high force, quantum mechanical ab-initio calculations can be used to obtain the unloaded contour length at zero force. A polynomial approximation to these calculations can be used to obtain the unloaded contour length at zero force *L*0:

$$
F = \gamma_1 \left( \frac{L}{L_0} - 1 \right) + \gamma_2 \left( \frac{L}{L_0} - 1 \right)^2
$$
 (2)

where the  $\gamma_1=27.4\,\text{nN}$  $\gamma_1=27.4\,\text{nN}$  $\gamma_1=27.4\,\text{nN}$ , and  $\gamma_2=109.8\,\text{nN}$  are the elastic coefficients reported for polypeptides<sup>4</sup>.

Supplementary Note 2: Bell-Evans Model for Mechanically Induced Receptor Ligand Dissociation

The Bell-Evans model was used to estimate the distance to the transition state (∆*x*) and the natural off-rate  $(k_{off})$  of individual rupture events:

$$
\langle F \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{\Delta x} \ln \frac{\Delta x \cdot \dot{F}}{k_{off} k_B T}
$$
 (3)

where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $T$  is the temperature and  $\dot{F}$  is the loading rate at the point of rupture.

## Supplementary Methods

#### Materials

Silicon nitride cantilevers (Biolever mini, BL-AC40TS-C2, Olympus Corporation) with a nominal spring constant of 100 pN/nm (25 kHz resonance frequency in water) were used. Circular coverglasses, 2.4 cm in diameter, were obtained from Menzel Gläser (Braunschweig, Germany). 3-Aminopropyl dimethyl ethoxysilane (APDMES) was purchased from ABCR GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany). NHS-PEG-Maleimide  $(5 kDa)$  was purchased from Rapp Polymer (Tübingen, Germany). Immobilized TCEP Disulfide Reducing Gel was obtained from Thermo Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). The following standard chemicals were obtained from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) and used as received: tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS, *>*99% p.a.), CaCl<sup>2</sup> (*>*99% p.a.), sodium borate (*>*99.8% p.a), NaCl (*>*99.5% p.a.), ethanol (*>*99% p.a.), and toluene (*>*99.5% p.a.). Borate buffer was 150 mM, pH 8.5. The measurement buffer for force spectroscopy was Tris-buffered saline (TBS, 25 mM TRIS, 75 mM NaCl, pH 7.2) supplemented with  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  to a final concentration of 1 mM. All buffers were filtered through a sterile 0*.*2 *µ*m polyethersulfone membrane filter (Nalgene, Rochester, NY, USA) prior to use.

#### Protein Sequences

Sequences of protein constructs used in this work are listed here. Domains as well as engineered tags and residues are color-coded.

Xyn-XModDoc

Xylanase T129C Linker or extra residues X-module Dockerin type III

M S H H H H H H K N A D S Y A K K P H I S A L N A P Q L D Q R Y K N E F T I G A A V E P Y Q L Q N E K D V Q M L K R H F N S I V A E N V M K P I S I Q P E E G K F N F E Q A D R I V K F A K A N G M D I R F H T L V W H S Q V P Q W F F L D K E G K P M V N E **C** D P V K R E Q N K Q L L L K R L E T H I K T I V E R Y K D D I K Y W D V V N E V V G D D G K L R N S P W Y Q I A G I D Y I K V A F Q A A R K Y G G D N I K L Y M N D Y N T E V E P K R T A L Y N L V K Q L K E E G V P I D G I G H Q S H I Q I G W P S E A E I E K T I N M F A A L G L D N Q I T E L D V S M Y G W P P R A Y P T Y D A I P K Q K F L D Q A A R Y D R L F K L Y E K L S D K I S N V T F W G I A D N H T W L D S R A D V Y Y D A N G N V V V D P N A P Y A K V E K G K G K D A P F V F G P D Y K V K P A Y W A I I D H K V V P N T V T S A V K T Q Y V E I E S V D G F Y F N T E D K F D T A Q I K K A V L H T V Y N E G Y T G D D G V A V V L R E Y E S E P V D I T A E L T F G D A T P A N T Y K A V E N K F D Y E I P V Y Y N N A T L K D A E G N D A T V T V Y I G L K G D T D L N N I V D G R D A T A T L T Y Y A A T S T D G K D A T T V A L S P S T L V G G N P E S V Y D D F S A F L S D V K V D A G K E L T R F A K K A E R L I D G R D A S S I L T F Y T K S S V D Q Y K D M A A N E P N K L W D I V T G D A E E E

Coh-CBM C2A, C63S

CBM (C2A, C63S) Linker or extra residues CohIII ybbR-Tag

M G T A L T D R G M T Y D L D P K D G S S A A T K P V L E V T K K V F D T A A D A A G Q T V T V E F K V S G A E G K Y A T T G Y H I Y W D E R L E V V A T K T G A Y A K K G A A L E D S S L A K A E N N G N G V F V A S G A D D D F G A D G V M W T V E L K V P A D A K A G D V Y P I D V A Y Q W D P S K G D L F T D N K D S A Q G K L M Q A Y F F T Q G I K S S S N P S T D E Y L V K A N A T Y A D G Y I A I K A G E P G S V V P S T Q P V T T P P A T T K P P A T T I P P S D D P N A M A N T P V S G N L K V E F Y N S N P S D T T N S I N P Q F K V T N T G S S A I D L S K L T L R Y Y Y T V D G Q K D Q T F W S D H A A I I G S N G S Y N G I T S N V K G T F V K M S S S T N N A D T Y L E I S F T G G T L E P G A H V Q I Q G R F A K N D W S N Y T Q S N D Y S F K S A S Q F V E W D Q V T A Y L N G V L V W G K E P G E L K L P R S R H H H H H H G S L E V L F Q G P D S L E F I A S K L A

### Supplementary References

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] Laskowski, R. A.; Hutchinson, E. G.; Michie, A. D.; Wallace, A. C.; Jones, M. L.; Thornton, J. M. PDBsum: a Web-based database of summaries and analyses of all PDB structures. Trends in Biochemical Sciences **22**, 488–490 (1997).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[2] Dietz, H.; Rief, M. Protein structure by mechanical triangulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **103**, 1244–1247 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[3] Livadaru, L.; Netz, R. R.; Kreuzer, H. J. Stretching Response of Discrete Semiflexible Polymers. Macromolecules **36**, 3732–3744 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[4] Hugel, T.; Rief, M.; Seitz, M.; Gaub, H.; Netz, R. Highly Stretched Single Polymers: Atomic-Force-Microscope Experiments Versus Ab-Initio Theory. Physical Review Letters **94**, 048301 (2005).