

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table 1. Costs Of Medications and Type 2 Diabetes-Related Complications Applied in the Model (2013 SEK)

	Cost	Subsequent	Reference
	1st year	years	
Metformin	774	774	http://www.tlv.se/
Liraglutide (1.2mg daily)	12183	12183	http://www.tlv.se/
Exenatide (2mg once weekly)	12838	12838	http://www.tlv.se/
Sitagliptin (100mg daily)	5453	5453	http://www.tlv.se/
Saxagliptin (5mg daily)	5453	5453	http://www.tlv.se/
Vildagliptin (50mg daily)	2314	2314	http://www.tlv.se/
NPH insulin 40 IU	2523	2523	http://www.tlv.se/
NPH insulin 60 IU	3784	3784	http://www.tlv.se/
Lancet	142	142	http://www.tlv.se/
Test strip	855	855	http://www.tlv.se/
ACE-inhibitors 20mg/day	773	773	http://www.tlv.se/
Statin 20mg/day	497	497	http://www.tlv.se/
Fibrate	1646	1646	http://www.tlv.se/
BDR	1139	1139	http://www.skane.se/srvn

PDR	26838	1139	http://www.skane.se/srvn
ME	38526	12842	http://www.skane.se/srvn
Severe visual loss	8610	3675	1
Symptomatic neuropathy	8341	16684	http://www.apoteket.se , http://www.tlv.se/
Peripheral vascular disease	73581	7358	2
Lower extremity amputation	252648	7358	2
End-stage renal disease	496319	743,470	http://www.skl.se
Ischemic heart disease	94251	3394	3
Myocardial infarction	79,921	1708	3
Stroke	163543	147130	4
Congestive heart failure	62852	4812	3
Mild hypoglycaemia	22	-	5
Moderate hypoglycaemia	88	-	5
Major hypoglycaemia	1435	-	5

ACE, angiotensin-converting-enzyme; BDR, background diabetic retinopathy; ME, macular edema; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy;

Table 2A. Utility Decrement of Health States Applied in the Model

Health State	Utility decrement	Reference
T2DM without complications	0.817	6
Stroke, first and subsequent	-0.111	6
Ischemic heart disease	-0.052	6
Myocardial infarction, first and subsequent	-0.055	7
Congestive heart failure	-0.042	8
End stage renal disease	-0.114	6
Blindness	-0.057	9
Neuropathy	-0.084	9
Peripheral vascular disease	-0.061	9
Amputation	-0.272	9
Macroalbuminuria	-0.048	9
Mild hypoglycaemia	-0.005	10
Moderate hypoglycaemia	-0.006	10
Major hypoglycaemia	-0.053	10
T2DM, type 2 diabetes		

Assumptions for Loss of Production for Type 2 Diabetes-Related Complications

In the absence of published results, the authors made the following assumptions regarding relative loss of production compared to the average in the population by age and gender:

- –100% at end-stage renal disease;
- –50% at severe visual loss; lower extremity amputation (in current cycle); stroke (in current cycle); at second year and onwards after a second stroke; respectively;
- –25% at second year and onwards after lower extremity amputation; ischemic heart disease; all stages of myocardial infarction; in the second year after a stroke and until a subsequent stroke event; congestive heart failure; respectively.

The loss of production, and hence the indirect costs in absolute numbers, will thus depend age and gender of the cohort.

Table 3 shows age-specific expected annual salary adjusted for hours worked and labour force participation in Sweden year 2012 from Statistics Sweden; and annual cost of consumption based on [11] inflated to year 2012 using consumer price index. The salary and the cost of consumption are allowed to vary with age.

Table 3. Expected Salary Based on Annual Full-Time Salary Weighted for Labour-Market Participation and Average Hours Worked in the Employed Population Age-Group (www.Scb.se) and Annual Cost of Consumption [11] Inflated to Year 2012.

Age Interval (years)	Expected Salary (SEK)	Annual cost of Consumption (SEK)
0-19	3 358	162 766
20-34	180 900	155 625
35-49	277 340	140 342
50-64	246 767	173 493
65-74	11 114	173 915
75-84	1 261	199 149
85+	206	298 409

SEK, Swedish Krona

Model Overview

The Swedish Institute for Health Economics Cohort Model for type 2 diabetes (IHECM-T2DM) is a cohort model developed to estimate the cost-effectiveness of treatment intervention in T2DM. It uses Markov health states in order to capture important microvascular and macro vascular complications and premature mortality that may result from type 2 diabetes. The cycle length is one year and the maximum time horizon is 40 years. The model is highly flexible with most model parameters defined by the user on the input sheet. It can be run with either deterministic settings or stochastic settings in order to account for second-order uncertainty regarding the value of the underlying parameters.

The model was constructed in Microsoft® Excel 2013 (Reading, UK) with the aid of the built in Visual Basic for Applications (VBA). To enhance the flexibility of the model the input sheet contains a large number of parameters, which need to be defined by the user in order to run the model. These include baseline characteristics of the cohort, the treatment algorithm,

unit costs, health utility weights, choice of risk equations and a number of supporting parameters.

The baseline characteristics of the cohort are demographic characteristics, biomarker values and pre-existing diabetic complications; all of which are risk factors for complications and premature mortality. The progression of biomarkers, over time, interact with a user-defined treatment algorithm. When medications fail to control HbA1c adequately, doses can be altered and new medications added. The algorithm also includes possible medications for blood pressure, blood lipids and overweight/obesity.

The micro- and macro vascular health states in the model were selected to include the most important micro- and macro vascular complications related to type 2 diabetes. The model uses two parallel Markov chains. The first Markov chain consists of 120 different microvascular health states and the second Markov chain is made of 100 different macro vascular health states.

The microvascular health states comprise three complications: retinopathy (six stages, i.e., no retinopathy, background diabetic retinopathy, proliferative diabetic retinopathy, macular edema, proliferative diabetic retinopathy & macular edema, and severe vision loss), neuropathy (five stages, i.e., no neuropathy, symptomatic neuropathy, peripheral vascular disease, lower extremity amputation, and post lower extremity amputation) and nephropathy (four stages, i.e., no nephropathy, microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria, and end-stage renal disease).

The macro vascular health states comprise four complications: ischemic heart disease (IHD), myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, and heart failure (HF). IHD and HF contain two stages (i.e., no event and event) and MI and stroke contain five stages (i.e., no event, first event, post first event, subsequent event, and post subsequent event).

Two identical cohorts are created from the user defined baseline characteristics. Each cohort is assigned a separate treatment algorithm. Treatment effects are applied to the biomarkers and the evolution of biomarkers is modelled annually until the predefined time horizon is reached.

Development and progression of complications and mortality is modelled next to the evolution of biomarkers. Time varying annual transition probabilities govern the progression of the cohort between different health states. The transition probabilities are calculated from the characteristics of the cohort (diabetes duration, demographics, biomarkers, etc.); mortality risk equations from either UKPDS-1 [12] or UKPDS-2 [13]; macro vascular risk equations from either NDR [14], UKPDS-1 [12] or UKPDS-2 [13]; and microvascular risk equations [15-17]. The user decides on choice of equation depending on the context for the research question and the relevant clinical setting.

REFERENCES

1. Persson U, Willis M, Odegaard K. A case study of ex ante, value-based price and reimbursement decision-making: TLV and rimonabant in Sweden. *Eur J Health Econ* 2010; 11(2):195-203.
2. Prompers L, Huijberts M, Schaper N, et al. Resource utilisation and costs associated with the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Prospective data from the Eurodiale Study. *Diabetologia* 2008; 51(10):1826-34.
3. Gerdtham UG, Clarke P, Hayes A, Gudbjornsdottir S. Estimating the cost of diabetes mellitus-related events from inpatient admissions in Sweden using administrative hospitalization data. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2009; 27(1):81-90.
4. Ghatnekar O, Steen Carlsson K. Kostnader för insjuknande i stroke år 2009. En incidensbaserad studie. IHE rapport 2012:2, Institutet för hälso- och sjukvårdsekonomi, IHE: Lund, Sverige. ISSN 1651-7598. Accessible from: <http://www.ihe.se/getfile.aspx?id=1950>. Accessed 10 May 2014.

5. Geelhoed-Duijvestijn PH, Pedersen-Bjergaard U, Weitgasser R, et al. Effects of patient-reported non-severe hypoglycemia on healthcare resource use, work-time loss, and wellbeing in insulin-treated patients with diabetes in seven European countries. *J Med Econ* 2013;16(12):1453-61.
6. Kiadaliri AA, Gerdtham UG, Eliasson B, et al. Health utilities of type 2 diabetes-related complications: A cross-sectional study in Sweden. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* (In Press).
7. Clarke P, Gray A, Holman R. Estimating utility values for health states of type 2 diabetic patients using the EQ-5D (UKPDS 62).
8. Zhang P, Brown MB, Bilik D, et al. Health utility scores for people with type 2 diabetes in U.S. managed care health plans: results from Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD). *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35(11):2250-6.
9. Bagust A, Beale S. Modelling EuroQol health-related utility values for diabetic complications from CODE-2 data. *Health Econ* 2005; 14(3):217-30.
10. Evans M1, Khunti K, Mamdani M, et al. Health-related quality of life associated with daytime and nocturnal hypoglycemic events: a time trade-off survey in five countries. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2013;11:90.
11. Ekman M, Consumption and production by age in Sweden. Basic facts and health economic implications., in *Studies in health economics: Modelling and data analysis of costs and survival*, Ekman M, Editor 2002: Stockholm.
12. Clarke PM, Gray AM, Briggs A, Farmer AJ, Fenn P, Stevens RJ, et al. A model to estimate the lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes: the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Outcomes Model (UKPDS no. 68). *Diabetologia* 2004;47(10):1747-59.
13. Hayes AJ, Leal J, Gray AM, Holman RR, Clarke PM. UKPDS outcomes model 2: a new version of a model to simulate lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus using data from the 30 year United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study: UKPDS 82. *Diabetologia* 2013;56(9):1925-33.
14. Ahmad Kiadaliri A, Gerdtham UG, Nilsson P, Eliasson B, Gudbjornsdottir S, Carlsson KS. Towards renewed health economic simulation of type 2 diabetes: risk equations for first and second cardiovascular events from Swedish register data. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5):e62650. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062650.

15. Eastman RC, Javitt JC, Herman WH, Dasbach EJ, Zbrozek AS, Dong F, et al. Model of complications of NIDDM. I. Model construction and assumptions. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20(5):725-34.
16. Brown JB, Russell A, Chan W, Pedula K, Aickin M. The global diabetes model: user friendly version 3.0. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2000;50 Suppl 3:S15-46.
17. Bagust A, Hopkinson PK, Maier W, Currie CJ. An economic model of the long-term health care burden of Type II diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2001;44(12):2140-55.

Figure 1. 35-year cumulative incidence of type 2 diabetes-related complications for the treatment strategies.

