## Appendix (Supplementary material)

#### A.1 Statistical analysis

# A.1.1 Standardized mean difference

Results of each study include the number of participants in each of the two intervention arms, their mean response and the standard deviation of their mean responses (Table A.1).

Study <i>i</i>	Mean	Standard	No. of
	response	deviation	participants
Control	$m_i^C$	$sd_i^C$	$n_i^C$
Intervention	$m_i^T$	$sd_i^T$	$n_i^T$

Table A.1: Continuous outcome data

Denote the pooled standard deviation across the two intervention groups

$$s_i = \sqrt{\frac{(n_i^{\ C} - 1)(sd_i^{\ C})^2 + (n_i^{\ T} - 1)(sd_i^{\ T})^2}{N_i - 2}},$$

where  $N_i = n_i^C + n_i^T$ .

The mean difference is given by

$$MD_i = m_i^T - m_i^C.$$

The standardized mean difference (SMD) is

$$SMD_i = \frac{MD_i}{s_i} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{4N_i - 9} \right),$$

with standard error

$$SE(SMD_{i}) = \sqrt{\frac{N_{i}}{n_{i}^{C}n_{i}^{T}} + \frac{SMD_{i}^{2}}{2(N_{i} - 3.94)}}$$

#### A.1.2 Fitted hierarchical model

We describe the statistical models fitted to study data from all meta-analyses in the data set. Within each meta-analysis with outcome *j* corresponding to pair-wise comparison *k*, a random-effects model with normal within-study likelihoods was fitted to continuous outcome data  $y_{kji}$  from each study *i*, on the standardized mean difference (SMD) scale:

$$y_{kji} \sim N(\theta_{kji}, \sigma_{kji}^2)$$
  
 $\theta_{kji} \sim N(\mu_{kj}, \tau_{kj}^2).$ 

In the defined model,  $\theta_{kji}$  denotes the underlying intervention effect for the *i*-th study within outcome *j* within pair-wise comparison *k*,  $\mu_{kj}$  is the combined intervention effect for a meta-analysis with outcome *j* corresponding to pair-wise comparison *k* and  $\tau_{kj}^2$  is the corresponding between-study heterogeneity variance.

Across meta-analyses, a hierarchical regression model was fitted to  $\log(\tau_{kj}^2)$ , assuming a *t*-distribution with 5 degrees of freedom for the residual variation. In the model below,  $x_{1kj},...,x_{8kj}$  are indicators for the type of outcome examined by meta-analysis *j* within pair-wise intervention comparison *k*. Fixed effects  $\beta_1,...,\beta_7$  estimate average differences among eight outcome types, whilst the error terms  $e_1,...,e_8$  allow for residual variation across meta-analyses with separate variances  $\phi_1^2,...,\phi_8^2$  assumed for each outcome type. Similarly  $z_{1k}, z_{2k}, z_{3k}$  are binary indicators for the type of intervention comparison under pair-wise comparison *k*. Fixed effects  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  estimate average differences among three intervention comparisons, with separate variances  $\kappa_1^2, \kappa_2^2, \kappa_3^2$  assumed for each intervention comparison types. Covariates  $a_{1kj},...,a_{10kj}$  are indicators for medical areas, with fixed effects  $\delta_1,...,\delta_{10}$  estimating average differences among medical specialities.

The log-*t* model fitted to  $\tau_{ki}^2$  was:

$$\log(\tau_{kj}^{2}) = \alpha_{k} + \beta_{1}x_{1kj} + \dots + \beta_{7}x_{7kj} + \delta_{1}a_{1kj} + \dots + \delta_{10}a_{10kj} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e_{lkj}x_{lkj}$$
  
and  $\alpha_{k} = \alpha + \gamma_{1}z_{1k} + \gamma_{2}z_{2k} + \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{mk}z_{mk}$ ,  
where  $e_{lkj} \sim t(0, \phi_{l}^{2}, 5)$  and  $u_{mk} \sim t(0, \kappa_{m}^{2}, 5)$ , for  $l=1, 2, \dots, 8$  and  $m=1, 2, 3$ .

For each setting defined by outcome type, type of intervention comparison and medical area, we obtained a predictive distribution for heterogeneity in a new meta-analysis in that setting, within the full Bayesian model:

$$\log(\tau_{new}^2) \sim t(\alpha_{new} + \beta x_{kj} + \delta a_{kj}, \phi_i^2, 5)$$
  
$$\alpha_{new} \sim t(\alpha + \gamma z_k, \kappa_m^2, 5).$$

We used WinBUGS to obtain a sample from the posterior distribution of log ( $\tau_{new}^2$ ) after convergence. To approximate the predictive distribution for heterogeneity, we used the R function *fitdistr* in the library *MASS* to fit a *t* distribution with 5 degrees of freedom to this sample of values for log ( $\tau_{new}^2$ ). This process provided parametric distributions approximating the predictive distributions, and these distributions were easily summarized and reported for use as priors in new meta-analyses.

### A.1.3 WinBUGS code to fit a simple hierarchical model

The following code fits a simple Bayesian hierarchical model to full study-level data, estimating variation in heterogeneity without adjustment for meta-analysis characteristics as covariates.

model {		
	for (i in 1:N) {	
		y[i]~dnorm(theta[i],prec.y[i]) prec.y[i]<-1/v[i] theta[i] ~ dnorm(mu[ma[i]],invtausq[ma[i]]) # Random effects model within meta-analyses }
	for (m in 1:M) {	
		<pre>mu[m] ~ dnorm(0,0.1) invtausq[m] &lt;- 1 / tausq[m] tausq[m] &lt;- exp(logtausq[m]) logtausq[m] ~ dt(amongma.mu[comparison[m]],amongma.prec,5) }</pre>
	for (j in 1:C) {	amongma.mu[j] ~ dt(mu.all,amongcomp.prec,5) }

# Priors for unknown parameters

mu.all ~ dnorm(0,0.1) # vague prior for location parameter amongma.prec ~ dgamma(0.1,0.1) # Inverse-gamma prior assumed for scale parameter of t distribution amongcomp.prec ~ dgamma(0.1,0.1)

# Obtain a predictive distribution for tausq expected in a new meta-analysis

```
logtausq.new ~ dt(amongma.mu.new,amongma.prec,5)
amongma.mu.new ~ dt(mu.all,amongcomp.prec,5)
tausq.new <- exp(logtausq.new)
```

}

# A.2 Method-of-moments estimates for between-study variance $\tau^2$



(a) Data published on the SMD scale from 1360 meta-analyses.



(b) Data published on the mean difference scale from 5132 meta-analyses.

Figure A.2: Distribution of non-zero estimates for the between-study heterogeneity variance  $\tau^2$ , plotted on the log scale.

# A.3 Predictive distributions for heterogeneity in future meta-analyses

We summarize a set of predictive *t*-distributions for  $\log(\tau^2)$  in future meta-analyses, across settings defined by type of outcome and type of intervention comparison, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale, in meta-analyses related to respiratory diseases (Table A.3.1) and cancer (Table A.3.2). Figure A.3 illustrates the predictive *t* distributions for between-study heterogeneity in a variety of settings.

	Pharmacological Vs.	Pharmacological Vs.	Non-Pharmacological
	Placebo/ Control	Pharmacological	(Any)
Obstetric outcome	$t(-6.03, 2.36^2, 5)$	$t(-6.31, 2.31^2, 5)$	$t(-5.89, 2.21^2, 5)$
	Median $= 0.002; 95\%$	Median = 0.002; 95%	Median = 0.003; 95%
	range = $0.00002$ to $0.27$	range = $0.00002$ to $0.17$	range = $0.00004$ to $0.16$
	N=0	N=0	N=0
<b>Resource use &amp;</b>	$t(-4.46, 2.74^2, 5)$	$t(-4.73, 2.70^2, 5)$	$t(-4.32, 2.57^2, 5)$
hospital stay/process	Median $= 0.012; 95\%$	Median = 0.009; 95%	Median = 0.014; 95%
	range = $0.00005$ to $3.20$	range = $0.00004$ to $1.83$	range = $0.00008$ to $2.00$
	N=24	N=7	N=48
Internal &	$t(-4.33, 2.51^2, 5)$	$t(-4.61, 2.46^2, 5)$	$t(4.19, 2.33^2, 5)$
External structure related	Median $= 0.013; 95\%$	Median $= 0.010; 95\%$	Median $= 0.016; 95\%$
outcome	range $= 0.0001$ to $1.99$	range = $0.0006$ to $1.32$	range $= 0.0001$ to $1.59$
	N=1	N=0	N=2
General physical health &	$t(-5.07, 2.51^2, 5)$	$t(-5.34, 2.45^2, 5)$	$t(-4.93, 2.28^2, 5)$
Adverse event &	Median $= 0.006; 95\%$	Median $= 0.005; 95\%$	Median $= 0.007; 95\%$
Pain &	range = $0.00005$ to $1.00$	range $= 0.00004$ to $0.69$	range $= 0.0001$ to $0.64$
Quality of life/functioning	N=261	N=266	N=234
Signs/symptoms reflecting	$t(-4.90, 2.50^2, 5)$	$t(-5.18, 2.47^2, 5)$	$t(-4.76, 2.33^2, 5)$
continuation/end of	Median = 0.007; 95%	Median = 0.006; 95%	Median = 0.009; 95%
condition & Infection/onset	range $= 0.00005$ to $1.15$	range $= 0.00004$ to $0.83$	range $= 0.00008$ to $0.81$
of new acute/chronic disease	N=160	N=161	N=50
Mental health outcome	$t(-4.90, 2.17^2, 5)$	$t(-5.17, 2.14^2, 5)$	$t(-4.76, 1.94^2, 5)$
	Median $= 0.007; 95\%$	Median = 0.006; 95%	Median = 0.009; 95%
	range $= 0.0001$ to $0.71$	range = $0.00008$ to $0.43$	range = $0.0002$ to $0.37$
	N=0	N=0	N=15
<b>Biological-marker</b>	$t(-5.31, 2.83^2, 5)$	$t(-5.59, 2.78^2, 5)$	$t(-5.17, 2.66^2, 5)$
	Median $= 0.005; 95\%$	Median = 0.004; 95%	Median = 0.006; 95%
	range $= 0.00002$ to $1.53$	range $= 0.00001$ to $0.76$	range = $0.00003$ to $1.10$
	N=26	N=15	N=23
Various subjectively	$t(-4.66, 2.59^2, 5)$	$t(-4.94, 2.59^2, 5)$	$t(-4.52, 2.41^2, 5)$
measured outcomes	Median = 0.009; 95%	Median = 0.007; 95%	Median $= 0.011;95\%$
	range = $0.00005$ to $1.91$	range = $0.00004$ to $1.24$	range $= 0.0001$ to $1.34$
	N=34	N=19	N=9

Table A.3.1: Medical area of respiratory diseases: Predictive *t* distributions for log ( $\tau^2$ ) in future metaanalyses, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale. N denotes the number of meta-analyses of each type in the CDSR data set.

	Pharmacological Vs.	Pharmacological Vs.	Non-Pharmacological
	Placebo/ Control	Pharmacological	(Any)
Obstetric outcome	$t(-1.57, 2.45^2, 5)$	$t(-1.85, 2.41^2, 5)$	$t(-1.43, 2.24^2, 5)$
	Median $= 0.21;95\%$	Median = 0.16; 95%	Median = 0.24; 95%
	range = $0.002$ to 26.2	range $= 0.001$ to 16.1	range $= 0.003$ to 18.7
	N=0	N=0	N=0
Resource use &	$t(0.01, 2.83^2, 5)$	$t(-0.27, 2.79^2, 5)$	$t(0.15, 2.68^2, 5)$
hospital stay/process	Median = 0.96; 95%	Median $= 0.77; 95\%$	Median $= 1.11;95\%$
	range = 0.004 to 360	range $= 0.003$ to 190	range $= 0.006$ to 209
	N=2	N=0	N=6
Internal &	$t(-0.13, 2.61^2, 5)$	$t(-0.14, 2.56^2, 5)$	$t(0.27, 2.45^2, 5)$
External structure related	Median $= 1.10; 95\%$	Median $= 0.87; 95\%$	Median = 1.33; 95%
outcome	range = 0.008 to 206	range $= 0.0006$ to $120$	range $= 0.0001$ to 172
	N=0	N=0	N=0
General physical health &	$t(-0.60, 2.61^2, 5)$	$t(-0.88, 2.55^2, 5)$	$t(-0.46, 2.40^2, 5)$
Adverse event &	Median $= 0.53; 95\%$	Median $= 0.40; 95\%$	Median $= 0.65; 95\%$
Pain &	range $= 0.003$ to 104	range = $0.003$ to 66.3	range $= 0.005$ to 76.2
Quality of life/functioning	N=2	N=0	N=6
Signs/symptoms reflecting	$t(-0.44, 2.60^2, 5)$	$t(-0.71, 2.57^2, 5)$	$t(-0.30, 2.46^2, 5)$
continuation/end of	Median = 0.65; 95%	Median $= 0.52; 95\%$	Median = 0.74; 95%
condition & Infection/onset	range = 0.004 to 120	range = $0.003$ to 84.1	range = $0.005$ to $91.4$
of new acute/chronic disease	N=0	N=0	N=1
Mental health outcome	$t(-0.43, 2.28^2, 5)$	$t(-0.71, 2.25^2, 5)$	$t(-0.29, 2.08^2, 5)$
	Median = 0.63; 95%	Median = 0.49; 95%	Median = 0.75; 95%
	range $= 0.008$ to 63.5	range $= 0.006$ to $43.9$	range $= 0.01$ to $42.6$
	N=0	N=0	N=1
<b>Biological-marker</b>	$t(-0.85, 2.93^2, 5)$	$t(-1.13, 2.87^2, 5)$	$t(-0.71, 2.78^2, 5)$
_	Median $= 0.44;95\%$	Median $= 0.34;95\%$	Median = 0.46; 95%
	range $= 0.001$ to 156	range $= 0.001$ to $82.5$	range $= 0.002$ to 119
	N=2	N=1	N=3
Various subjectively	$t(-0.20, 2.68^2, 5)$	$t(-0.48, 2.68^2, 5)$	$t(-0.06, 2.53^2, 5)$
measured outcomes	Median $= 0.79; 95\%$	Median $= 0.62; 95\%$	Median = 0.90; 95%
	range $= 0.004$ to 168	range $= 0.003$ to 126	range = 0.006 to 147
	N=0	N=0	N=0

Table A.3.2: Medical area of cancer: Predictive *t* distributions for log ( $\tau^2$ ) in future meta-analyses, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale. N denotes the number of meta-analyses of each type in the CDSR data set.



2(b)



Between-study heterogeneity variance

Between-study heterogeneity variance

10



3(b)



2(c)













Figure A.3: Examples of predictive *t* distributions for the between-study heterogeneity variance (plotted on the log scale). A vertical line highlights the probability of the variance being greater than 1.

A set of predictive inverse-gamma distributions for  $\tau^2$  in future meta-analyses, across settings defined by outcome type and type of intervention comparison, are summarized in Tables A.3.3, A.3.4 and A.3.5, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale.

	Pharmacological Vs.	Pharmacological Vs.	Non-Pharmacological
	Placebo/ Control	Pharmacological	(Any)
Obstetric outcome	IG(0.11,0.00004)	IG(0.36,0.0003)	IG(0.42,0.0007)
	Median $= 0.001; 95\%$	Median $= 0.002; 95\%$	Median $= 0.004; 95\%$
	range = $0.00004$ to $0.09$	range $= 0.0001$ to $0.31$	range $= 0.0003$ to $0.58$
	N=0	N=0	N=0
Resource use &	<i>IG</i> (0.31,0.00002)	<i>IG</i> (0.39,0.002)	<i>IG</i> (0.50,0.007)
hospital stay/process	Median = 0.006; 95%	Median $= 0.012;95\%$	Median $= 0.028; 95\%$
	range $= 0.00001$ to $0.65$	range $= 0.001$ to 2.43	range $= 0.003$ to $4.51$
	N=24	N=7	N=48
Internal &	<i>IG</i> (0.31,0.002)	IG(0.27,0.000006)	<i>IG</i> (0.16,0.007)
External structure related	Median $= 0.015; 95\%$	Median $= 0.004; 95\%$	Median $= 0.019; 95\%$
outcome	range $= 0.001$ to $3.90$	range = $0.000003$ to 24.5	range $= 0.0004$ to $6.34$
	N=1	N=0	N=2
General physical health &	<i>IG</i> (0.30,0.002)	<i>IG</i> (0.37,0.001)	<i>IG</i> (0.38,0.002)
Adverse event &	Median $= 0.005; 95\%$	Median $= 0.008; 95\%$	Median $= 0.015; 95\%$
Pain &	range $= 0.0006$ to $0.50$	range $= 0.0006$ to $0.55$	range $= 0.0009$ to $0.52$
Quality of life/functioning	N=261	N=266	N=234
Signs/symptoms reflecting	IG(0.42,0.0001)	IG(0.94,0.00005)	IG(0.29,0.0006)
continuation/end of	Median = 0.006; 95%	Median = 0.00007; 95%	Median = 0.013; 95%
condition & Infection/onset	range = $0.00005$ to $1.19$	range = $0.00002$ to $0.11$	range $= 0.0003$ to $0.48$
of new acute/chronic disease	N=160	N=161	N=50
Mental health outcome	IG(0.42,0.00002)	IG(0.30,0.0009)	<i>IG</i> (0.44,0.003)
	Median $= 0.002; 95\%$	Median $= 0.007;95\%$	Median $= 0.013;95\%$
	range = $0.000009$ to $0.25$	range = $0.0006$ to $0.43$	range $= 0.001$ to $0.60$
	N=0	N=0	N=15
<b>Biological-marker</b>	<i>IG</i> (0.28,0.00007)	<i>IG</i> (0.28,0.0002)	<i>IG</i> (0.46,0.003)
	Median $= 0.004; 95\%$	Median $= 0.002; 95\%$	Median $= 0.013; 95\%$
	range $= 0.00004$ to $1.79$	range = $0.000009$ to $0.42$	range $= 0.001$ to $0.55$
	N=26	N=15	N=23
Various subjectively	<i>IG</i> (0.62,0.006)	IG(0.36,0.003)	<i>IG</i> (0.61.0.009)
measured outcomes	Median = 0.018; 95%	Median $= 0.019;95\%$	Median $= 0.024; 95\%$
	range = 0.002  to  1.38	range $= 0.001$ to $5.81$	range $= 0.003$ to $1.61$
	N=34	N=19	N=9

Table A.3.3: Medical area of respiratory disease: Predictive inverse-gamma distributions for  $\tau^2$  in future meta-analyses, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale. N denotes the number of meta-analyses of each type in the CDSR data set.

	Pharmacological Vs.	Pharmacological Vs.	Non-Pharmacological
	Placebo/ Control	Pharmacological	(Any)
Obstetric outcome	IG(0.29,0.008)	<i>IG</i> (0.21,0.005)	<i>IG</i> (0.20,0.011)
	Median $= 0.073; 95\%$	Median $= 0.091; 95\%$	Median = 0.193; 95%
	range = $0.005$ to 33.8	range $= 0.004$ to 125	range $= 0.008$ to 255
	N=0	N=0	N=0
Resource use &	<i>IG</i> (0.24,0.007)	<i>IG</i> (0.26,0.05)	<i>IG</i> (0.27,0.12)
hospital stay/process	Median $= 0.160; 95\%$	Median $= 0.636; 95\%$	Median = 1.487; 95%
	range = $0.004$ to $20.2$	range $= 0.03$ to 1105	range = $0.07$ to 2464
	N=2	N=0	N=6
Internal &	<i>IG</i> (0.20,0.04)	<i>IG</i> (0.08,0.0002)	<i>IG</i> (0.14,0.03)
External structure related	Median $= 0.758; 95\%$	Median $= 0.121;95\%$	Median = 1.015; 95%
outcome	range $= 0.03$ to 1823	range = 0.0002 to 779	range $= 0.04$ to 1528
	N=0	N=0	N=0
General physical health &	<i>IG</i> (0.33,0.04)	<i>IG</i> (0.23,0.02)	<i>IG</i> (0.25,0.04)
Adverse event &	Median $= 0.310; 95\%$	Median $= 0.362;95\%$	Median $= 0.566; 95\%$
Pain &	range $= 0.02$ to 17.7	range $= 0.01$ to 275	range = 0.02  to  543
Quality of life/functioning	N=2	N=0	N=6
Signs/symptoms reflecting	<i>IG</i> (0.20,0.01)	IG(0.27,0.0006)	IG(0.35,0.09)
continuation/end of	Median $= 0.256; 95\%$	Median $= 0.050; 95\%$	Median = 0.837; 95%
condition & Infection/onset	range = $0.007$ to 33.4	range $= 0.0004$ to $3.5$	range = $0.04$ to $35.2$
of new acute/chronic disease	N=0	N=0	N=1
Mental health outcome	IG(0.17,0.008)	IG(0.20,0.02)	IG(0.29,0.05)
	Median = 0.096; 95%	Median $= 0.315;95\%$	Median $= 0.571; 95\%$
	range $= 0.00005$ to $8.68$	range $= 0.014$ to 311	range = 0.03 to 496
	N=0	N=0	N=1
<b>Biological-marker</b>	<i>IG</i> (0.28,0.02)	<i>IG</i> (0.21,0.004)	<i>IG</i> (0.29,0.05)
	Median $= 0.230; 95\%$	Median $= 0.102;95\%$	Median = 0.560; 95%
	range $= 0.009$ to 36.8	range = $0.002$ to $11.0$	range = 0.03 to 417
	N=2	N=1	N=3
Various subjectively	<i>IG</i> (0.33,0.11)	<i>IG</i> (0.21,0.06)	<i>IG</i> (0.32,0.14)
measured outcomes	Median = 0.968; 95%	Median $= 1.056; 95\%$	Median = 1.204; 95%
	range $= 0.059$ to 806	range $= 0.05$ to 2104	range $= 0.07$ to 1073
	N=0	N=0	N=0

Table A.3.4: Medical area of cancer: Predictive inverse-gamma distributions for  $\tau^2$  in future metaanalyses, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale. N denotes the number of meta-analyses of each type in the CDSR data set.

	Pharmacological Vs.	Pharmacological Vs.	Non-Pharmacological
	Placebo/ Control	Pharmacological	(Any)
Obstetric outcome	IG(0.05,0.00004)	<i>IG</i> (0.38,0.001)	IG(0.44,0.003)
	Median = 0.006; 95%	Median = 0.006; 95%	Median $= 0.014;95\%$
	range = $0.00006$ to $0.41$	range = $0.0006$ to $1.25$	range $= 0.001$ to 2.47
	N=50	N=46	N=69
Resource use &	<i>IG</i> (0.25,0.0004)	<i>IG</i> (0.47,0.01)	<i>IG</i> (0.52,0.03)
hospital stay/process	Median = $0.025$ ; 95%	Median $= 0.046; 95\%$	Median $= 0.107;95\%$
	range = $0.00002$ to $2.92$	range = 0.005 to 8.50	range $= 0.01$ to 20.5
	N=78	N=48	N=243
Internal &	<i>IG</i> (0.36,0.009)	<i>IG</i> (0.31,0.00003)	<i>IG</i> (0.08,0.0007)
External structure related	Median $= 0.058; 95\%$	Median $= 0.017;95\%$	Median $= 0.071;95\%$
outcome	range $= 0.005$ to $12.6$	range = $0.00001$ to $105.8$	range = $0.0006$ to $17.4$
	N=110	N=17	N=45
General physical health &	<i>IG</i> (0.21,0.0003)	<i>IG</i> (0.36,0.004)	<i>IG</i> (0.42,0.01)
Adverse event &	Median $= 0.019; 95\%$	Median = $0.032$ ; 95%	Median $= 0.064; 95\%$
Pain &	range = $0.0002$ to $2.22$	range $= 0.002$ to $2.01$	range $= 0.004$ to $1.88$
Quality of life/functioning	N=631	N=212	N=878
Signs/symptoms reflecting	IG(0.64,0.003)	IG(1.02,0.0002)	IG(0.17,0.0009)
continuation/end of condition	Median = 0.022; 95%	Median = 0.0003; 95%	Median $= 0.053; 95\%$
& Infection/onset of new	range $= 0.0001$ to $3.59$	range = $0.00007$ to $0.37$	range = $0.0005$ to $2.09$
acute/chronic disease	N=367	N=133	N=428
Mental health outcome	IG(0.53,0.00009)	IG(0.29,0.004)	<i>IG</i> (0.46,0.01)
	Median = 0.008; 95%	Median = 0.029; 95%	Median $= 0.055; 95\%$
	range = $0.00005$ to $1.03$	range $= 0.002$ to 2.15	range $= 0.005$ to 2.20
	N=174	N=75	N=1
<b>Biological-marker</b>	IG(0.36,0.0003)	IG(0.37,0.00009)	<i>IG</i> (0.48,0.01)
_	Median = $0.019$ ; 95%	Median = 0.009; 95%	Median $= 0.056; 95\%$
	range = $0.0002$ to $6.12$	range = $0.00005$ to $1.27$	range $= 0.005$ to $2.81$
	N=401	N=165	N=417
Various subjectively measured	<i>IG</i> (0.63,0.03)	<i>IG</i> (0.43,0.02)	<i>IG</i> (0.68,0.04)
outcomes	Median $= 0.071; 95\%$	Median = 0.076; 95%	Median = 0.095; 95%
	range $= 0.009$ to $5.06$	range = $0.007$ to $26.2$	range $= 0.02$ to $8.07$
	N=61	N=39	N=156

Table A.3.5: Medical areas other than cancer and respiratory diseases: Predictive inverse-gamma distributions for  $\tau^2$  in future meta-analyses, together with summary statistics for  $\tau^2$  on the untransformed scale. N denotes the number of meta-analyses of each type in the CDSR data set.

#### A.4 WinBUGS code for the application to an example meta-analysis

Bayesian meta-analysis of data from studies evaluating the effectiveness of an exercise intervention for preventing depression [12], incorporating an informative prior for the between-study variance  $\tau^2$ .

Meta-analysis implementing a log-t prior for the between-study variance

```
model{
           for(i in 1:K){
                        MD[i]<-treat_mean[i]-ctrl_mean[i]
                        s[i]<-sqrt((((nT[i]-1)*treat_sd[i]*treat_sd[i])
                            +((nC[i]-1)*ctrl_sd[i]*ctrl_sd[i]))/(N[i]-2))
                        N[i]<-nC[i]+nT[i]
                        y[i]<-(MD[i]/s[i])*(1-(3/(4*N[i]-9)))
                        v[i]<-(N[i]/(nC[i]*nT[i]))+((y[i]*y[i])/(2*(N[i]-3.94)))
                        prec.y[i]<-1/v[i]
                        y[i]~dnorm(theta[i],prec.y[i]) # Normal distribution for observed study-level SMDs
                        theta[i]~dnorm(mu,invtausg) # Random-effects meta-analysis model
                               }
                        mu~dnorm(0.0.000001) # Vague prior for summary intervention effect (SMD scale)
                        #implement an informative prior for log(tau-squared)
                        invtausa<-1/tausa
                        tausq<-exp(log.tausq)
                        prior.prec<-1/(1.93*1.93)
                        log.tausq~dt(-3.85, prior.prec,5)
                     # t(5df) prior with location parameter -3.85 and scale parameter 1.93
           }
```

Meta-analysis implementing an inverse-gamma prior for the between-study variance

model{

for(i in 1:K){ MD[i]<-treat\_mean[i]-ctrl\_mean[i] s[i]<-sqrt((((nT[i]-1)\*treat\_sd[i]\*treat\_sd[i]) +((nC[i]-1)\*ctrl\_sd[i]\*ctrl\_sd[i]))/(N[i]-2)) N[i] < -nC[i] + nT[i]y[i]<-(MD[i]/s[i])\*(1-(3/(4\*N[i]-9))) v[i]<-(N[i]/(nC[i]\*nT[i]))+((y[i]\*y[i])/(2\*(N[i]-3.94))) prec.y[i]<-1/v[i] y[i]~dnorm(theta[i],prec.y[i]) # Normal distribution for observed study-level SMDs theta[i]~dnorm(mu,invtausq) # Random-effects meta-analysis model } mu~dnorm(0,0.000001) # Vague prior for summary intervention effect (SMD scale) #implement an informative prior for tau-squared tausq<-1/invtausq invtausq~dgamma(0.46, 0.01) # IG prior with shape parameter 0.46 and scale parameter 0.01

}

Depression data for 4 studies:

list(K=4,nT=c(11,43,11,14),nC=c(10,53,20,19),treat\_mean=c(5,41.4,21.3,19.4), ctrl\_mean=c(6.8,37.2,13.7,11.7),treat\_sd=c(5,9.6,11.9,4),ctrl\_sd=c(8.2,8.3,9.5,3.6))