# Supporting information

## Pictet-Spengler reaction based biosynthetic machinery in fungi

Wei Yan<sup>a,1</sup>, Hui Ming Ge<sup>a,1</sup>, Gang Wang<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Nan Jiang<sup>c,1</sup>, Ya Ning Mei<sup>d</sup>, Rong Jiang<sup>a</sup>, Sui Jun Li<sup>a</sup>, Chao Jun Chen<sup>a</sup>, Rui Hua Jiao<sup>a</sup>, Qiang Xu<sup>a</sup>, Seik Weng Ng<sup>e,f</sup> and Ren Xiang Tan<sup>a,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Functional Biomolecules, State Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.

<sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory of Elemento-organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. <sup>c</sup>School of Pharmacy, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China.

<sup>d</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, P. R. China.

<sup>e</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; and Chemistry <sup>f</sup>Department, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 80203, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>1</sup>Authors contributed equally to the work.

<sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: <u>rxtan@nju.edu.cn</u>.

### **Table of contents**

General experimental procedure	5
1. Enzyme inhibition experiments	5
2. Extraction and isolation	5
3. <sup>13</sup> C-labeling experiments	6
4. Preparation of intra- and extracellular fungal proteins	6
5. Enzymatic transformation of chaetoglines	7
6. Physicochemical properties of <b>1–8</b>	7
7. Antibacterial and AChE inhibitory assays	9
8. Computational details	9
References	9
<b>Table S1</b> . Tryptophan derivatives screened for the <i>FPS</i> gene up-regulator.	11
Table S2. <sup>1</sup> H- and <sup>13</sup> C-NMR data for 1.	12
Table S3. <sup>1</sup> H- and <sup>13</sup> C-NMR data for 2, 7 and 8	13
Table S4. <sup>1</sup> H- and <sup>13</sup> C-NMR data for 3, 4 and 5	15
Table S5. <sup>1</sup> H- and <sup>13</sup> C-NMR data for 6	17
Table S6. Monooxygenase genes of Chaetomium globosum CBS 148.51	18
Table S7. Incorporation of <sup>13</sup> C-labeled acetate and methionine into 1	19
Table S8. Incorporation of <sup>13</sup> C-labeled acetate and methionine into 2.	20
Table S9. Incorporation of <sup>13</sup> C-labeled acetate and methionine into 5.	21
Table S10. Incorporation of <sup>13</sup> C-labeled acetate and methionine into 6.	22
Table S11. Primers used in the work.	23
Table S12. The Mulliken partial charges on carbon atoms of flavipin	23
Table S13. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 9 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level	24
Table S14. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 10 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level	25
Table S15. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 11 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level	27
Table S16. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 3 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level	
Table S17. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 5 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level	30
Table S18. Genes around FPS in Chaetomium globosum CBS 148.51	32
Table S19. Antibacterial activity of 1–8.	32
Figure S1. qPCR screening of tryptophan derivatives for FPS gene up-regulators	33
Figure S2. 1-MT exposure afforded changes in the <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of crude extracts from	1 <i>C</i> .
globosum cultures	35
Figure S3. Key <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY, HMBC and ROESY correlations of 1	36
Figure S4. Single-crystal X-ray structure of 1.	36
Figure S5. Key HMBC and ROESY correlations for 2	37
Figure S6. <sup>13</sup> C-labeling pattern for 2 ascertained by feeding sodium $[1^{-13}C]$ -, $[2^{-13}C]$	C]-,
and $[1,2^{-13}C_2]$ -acetates	37
Figure S7. Comparison between experimental and calculated CD spectra of 2	38
Figure S8. CD spectra of 1 and 2.	39
Figure S9. Key <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY, HMBC and ROESY correlations of 3 and 4	39
Figure S10. Single-crystal X-ray structure of 4	40
Figure S11. CD spectra of 3 and 4.	40
Figure S12. Key <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY and HMBC correlations of 5	41

Figure S13.	Comparison between experimental and calculated CD spectra of 5	.42
Figure S14.	Single-crystal X-ray structure of 6.	.43
Figure S15.	Key <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY, HMBC and ROESY correlations of <b>7</b>	.43
Figure S16.	Key <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY, HMBC and ROESY correlations of <b>8</b>	.44
Figure S17.	Comparison between experimental and calculated CD spectra of 7	.45
Figure S18.	Comparison between experimental and calculated CD spectra of 8	.46
Figure S19.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of flavipin	.47
Figure S20.	The free energy profile of the reaction pathway from 9 to 3	.48
Figure S21	. LC-MS profile for 1, 4 and 6 in the culture with exposures	to
3-(trifluoron	nethyl)-phenylacetone	.49
Figure S22.	LC-MS profile for $1-8$ in the culture with exposures to NaN <sub>3</sub>	.50
Figure S23.	Steady-state inhibition of AChE by 6	.51
Figure S24.	Isolated compounds derived from 1-MT.	.52
Figure S25.	Phylogenetic analysis of characterized PS reaction enzymes	.52
Figure S26.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>1</b>	.54
Figure S27.	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>1</b>	.55
Figure S28.	<sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of <b>1</b>	.56
Figure S29.	HSQC spectrum of 1.	.57
Figure S30.	HMBC spectrum of 1.	.58
Figure S31.	ROESY spectrum of 1.	.59
Figure S32.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>2</b> .	.60
Figure S33.	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>2</b>	.61
Figure S34.	<sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> HCOSY spectrum of <b>2</b>	.62
Figure S35.	HSQC spectrum of 2.	.63
Figure S36.	HMBC spectrum of <b>2</b> .	.64
Figure S37.	ROESY spectrum of 2.	.65
Figure S38.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>3</b> .	.66
Figure S39.	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>3</b>	.67
Figure S40.	<sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of <b>3</b>	.68
Figure S41.	HSQC spectrum of <b>3</b>	.69
Figure S42.	HMBC spectrum of <b>3</b>	.70
Figure S43.	ROESY spectrum of 3.	.71
Figure S44.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>4</b> .	.72
Figure S45.	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>4</b>	.73
Figure S46.	<sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of <b>4</b>	.74
Figure S47.	HSQC spectrum of 4.	.75
Figure S48.	HMBC spectrum of 4.	.76
Figure S49.	ROESY spectrum of 4.	.77
Figure S50.	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>5</b> .	.78
Figure S51.	<sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>5</b>	.79
Figure S52.	<sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of <b>5</b>	.80
Figure S53.	HSQC spectrum of <b>5</b> .	.81
Figure S54.	HMBC spectrum of <b>5</b> .	.82
Figure S55.	NOESY spectrum of <b>5</b>	.83

Figure S56. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of 6	84
Figure S57. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of 6.	85
Figure S58. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of 6	86
Figure S59. HSQC spectrum of 6.	87
Figure S60. HMBC spectrum of 6.	88
Figure S61. ROESY spectrum of 6.	89
Figure S62. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of 7.	90
Figure S63. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of 7.	91
<b>Figure S64.</b> <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of <b>7</b>	92
Figure S65. HSQC spectrum of 7	93
Figure S66. HMBC spectrum of 7.	94
Figure S67 ROESY spectrum of 7.	95
Figure S68. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of 8.	96
Figure S69. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of 8.	97
Figure S70. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of 8	98
Figure S71. HSQC spectrum of 8.	99
Figure S72. HMBC spectrum of 8.	100
Figure S73. ROESY spectrum of 8.	101
<b>Figure S74.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>1a</b> labeled by sodium [1- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	102
<b>Figure S75.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>1b</b> labeled by sodium [2- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	103
<b>Figure S76</b> . <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>1c</b> labeled by sodium [1,2- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> ]acetate	104
<b>Figure S77</b> . <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>1d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	105
<b>Figure S78.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>1d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	106
Figure S79. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of $2a$ labeled by sodium [1- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	107
<b>Figure S80</b> . <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>2b</b> labeled by sodium [2- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	108
<b>Figure S81</b> . <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>2c</b> labeled by sodium [1,2- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> ]acetate	109
Figure S82. INADEQUATE spectrum of 2c	110
<b>Figure S83</b> . <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>2d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	111
<b>Figure S84.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>2d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	112
Figure S85. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of 5a labeled by sodium [1- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	113
<b>Figure S86.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>5b</b> labeled by sodium [2- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	114
<b>Figure S87</b> . <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>5c</b> labeled by sodium [1,2- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>2</sub> ]acetate	115
<b>Figure S88</b> . <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>5d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	116
<b>Figure S89.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>5d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	117
Figure S90. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>6a</b> labeled by sodium [1- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	118
<b>Figure S91.</b> <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>6b</b> labeled by sodium [2- <sup>13</sup> C]acetate	119
Figure S92. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of <b>6c</b> labeled by sodium $[1,2^{-13}C_2]$ acetate	120
<b>Figure S93</b> . <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum of <b>6d</b> labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	121
Figure S94. <sup>13</sup> C-NMR spectrum of 6d labeled by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-L-methionine	122

#### General experimental procedure

Optical rotations were measured on a Rudolph Autopol III automatic polarimeter. Melting points (m.p.) were determined on a Boetius micromelting apparatus and are uncorrected. The UV spectra were recorded on a Hitachi U-3000 spectrophotometer, and IR spectra (KBr) on a Nexus 870 FT-IR spectrometer. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired on either Bruker DRX-400 or DRX-600 spectrometers with TMS as an internal standard. HR-ESI-MS spectra were obtained from an Agilent 6210 TOF LC-MS spectrometer. Single-crystal X-ray diffractions were accomplished on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova Dual diffractometer with an Atlas detector (Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å). Silica gel (200-300 mesh) for column chromatography (CC) was purchased from the Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, China, and Sephadex LH-20 from the Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden. ODS-A GEL (AA12S50) was produced by the YMC Co., Ltd, Japan. Semi-preparative HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system consisting of a G1312C pump and a G1315D UV detector equipped with a Hypersil ODS 5 µm column (250 x 10 mm) from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA.

### 1. Enzyme inhibition experiments

At the 84<sup>th</sup> hour of the 1-MT exposed culture (see above), enzyme inhibitors in water or EtOH separately started to be added as specified below. Phenylbutazone and proadifen (two CYP450 monooxygenase inhibitors (1, 2)) and methimazole (an FMO monooxygenase inhibitor (2)) were tested at dosages of 0.1 and 1 mM, NaN<sub>3</sub> (peroxidase inhibitor) at 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mM, and 3-(trifluoromethyl)-phenylacetone (a carboxyl esterase inhibitor) at 0.5 mM. In each inhibition test, a 12 day cultivation was followed after the final addition of inhibitors. The culture was extracted twice with an equal volume of EtOAc, and the dryness afforded after *in vacuo* evaporation of solvent was dissolved in acetonitrile for the follow-up LC-MS analysis (Figure 2).

### 2. Extraction and isolation

#### 2.1 Isolation of 1 and 2

The filtrate of the fungal culture (16 L) was extracted with EtOAc (20 L × 3) at room temperature, and the removal of solvent under reduced pressure afforded a brown crude extract (20 g), which was separated by CC over silica gel with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (v/v 100:0, 100:1, 100:2, 100:4, 100:8, 100:16 0:100). Alkaloidal CC subfractions were subjected to the gel filtration over Sephadex LH-20 in MeOH, followed by semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 70:30) to yield **1** (30 mg,  $R_t$  = 17.8 min) and **2** (25 mg,  $R_t$  = 44.5 min).

### 2.2 Isolation of 3–6

Methimazole, an FMO monooxygenase inhibitor (2), was supplemented at 1 mM in the 1-MT exposed culture (10 L), which, after a 12 day cultivation, was extracted with EtOAc (15 L  $\times$  3). Evaporation of solvent from the extract under reduced pressure to afford a brown crude extract (15 g), which was fractionated by CC over silica gel with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (v/v 100:0, 100:1, 100:2, 100:4, 100:8, 100:16 0:100). The first

alkaloidal fraction was further separated by CCs successively over silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, 10:1) and a reversed-phase ODS (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 20:80, 40:60, 60:40, 80:20, 100:0), followed by the semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 65:35) to give **3** (16 mg,  $R_t = 16.4$  min) and **4** (7 mg,  $R_t = 23.7$  min). The second alkaloidal fraction was chromatographed over a Sephadex LH-20 column to afford **5** (15 mg) and **6** (8 mg).

### 2.3 Isolation of 7 and 8

Proadifen, a CYP450 monooxygenase inhibitors (2), was added at 0.1 mM to the 1-MT exposed culture (16 L), which, after a 12 day cultivation, was extracted with EtOAc (16 L × 3). Removal of organic solvent from the extract under reduced pressure yielded a brown crude extract (18 g), which was separated by CC over silica gel with CH<sub>3</sub>Cl/MeOH mixtures (v/v 100:0, 100:1, 100:2, 100:4, 100:8, 0:100). The alkaloidal CC fraction was filtered over a Sephadex LH-20 column, followed by semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 70:30) to afford **7** (3.2 mg,  $R_t$  = 25.6 min) and **8** (3.0 mg,  $R_t$  = 37.8 min).

### 3. <sup>13</sup>C-labeling experiments

At the 72<sup>nd</sup>, 84<sup>th</sup>, 96<sup>th</sup> and 108<sup>th</sup> hour of the 1-MT exposed culture (see **3.1**), sodium [1-13C]acetate in aqueous solution was fed to the cultivation by adjusting its concentration to 0.6, 1.2, 1.8 and 2.4 mM, respectively. After a 10 day culture, the culture was extracted with EtOAc, and the in vacuo evaporation of solvent from the extract gave a brown solid which was separated by CC using the CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH gradient of a growing polarity. The first alkaloid-containing fraction B was filtrated over Sephadex LH-20 in MeOH, followed by semi-preparative HPLC to afford 1a (1.1 mg,  $R_t = 17.8$  min) and **2a** (3.2 mg,  $R_t = 44.5$  min). The second alkaloidal fraction C was separated by gel filtration over Sephadex LH-20 in MeOH to give 5a (3.5 mg) and **6a** (3.8 mg). The sodium  $[2^{-13}C]$  acetate feeding experiments and subsequent fractionation were accomplished identically, to give 1b (3.1 mg,  $R_t = 17.8$  min), 2b (3.4 mg,  $R_t = 44.5$  min), **5b** (2.7 mg) and **6b** (4.0 mg). The labeling attempt with sodium [1,2-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>]acetate was completed in the same manner to afford 1c (3.1 mg,  $R_t$  = 17.8 min), 2c (3.4 mg,  $R_t = 44.5$  min), 5c (2.7 mg) and 6c (4.0 mg). Identical to the experimentation with the sodium acetates, the fungal culture exposed to [methyl-<sup>13</sup>C]-L-methionine produced **1d** (2.1 mg,  $R_t = 17.8$  min), **2d** (1.4 mg,  $R_t =$ 44.5 min), 5d (7.7 mg) and 6d (5.2 mg).

#### 4. Preparation of intra- and extracellular fungal proteins

### 4.1 Intracellular protein

After cultivated in Czapek's medium at 28 °C with orbital shaking at 120 rpm for 5 days, the fungal mycelium was collected by filtering the culture with a Buchner funnel, washed twice with distilled water, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground into fine powder, which was suspended in PBS buffer (pH = 7.0), applied to ultra-sonication for 30 min and then centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and the protein concentration was assessed using the BCA

Protein Assay Kit.

### 4.2 Extracellular protein

After cultivated for 5 days as above, the fungal culture was filtrated in a Buchner funnel. The filtrate was centrifuged to get rid of the insoluble material, and the supernatant was precipitated with  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ . The precipitated protein was collected by centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 30 min, and the resultant precipitate was dissolved in citric acid-disodium hydrogen phosphate buffer (pH = 5.0), followed by the protein quantification as mentioned above.

### 5. Enzymatic transformation of chaetoglines

Taking the transformation of chaetoglines C (3) and E (5) as an example: 1-MT and flavipin were dissolved in DMSO at 50 mg/mL, and 20  $\mu$ L of the solution were added to each millilitre of reaction buffer containing 100  $\mu$ L intra- or extra-cellular proteins solution and 880  $\mu$ L of PBS buffer. The *in vitro* transformation was carried out in PBS buffers (pH = 7.0) at 28 °C for 10 h. The reactions were quenched by adding 10  $\mu$ L HCl (1 mM) and extracted by equal volume of EtOAc. The organic phases were dried *in vacuo* and dissolved in acetonitrile followed by LC-MS analysis. Transformations of chaetogline A (1), D (4) and F (6) were performed by following the same procedure.

### 6. Physicochemical properties of 1-8

Chaetogline A (1), orange red monoclinic crystals;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –68.9 °(*c* 0.09, MeOH); UV

(MeOH) λ<sub>max</sub> (log ε) 325 (2.43), 228 (2.79), 211 (2.74), 207 (2.75) nm; IR (KBr) ν<sub>max</sub>

3459.6, 3170.7, 3053.2, 2948.4, 2582.8, 1690.9, 1225.3, 740.8 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS m/z 367.1284 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 367.1289); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S2.

Chaetogline B (2), red solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –128.0 °(*c* 0.09, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 338 (2.41), 206 (2.77), 197 (2.55) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3418.5, 3057.1, 2922.5, 2851.4, 1696.3, 1623.8, 1613.4, 1351.6, 742.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 506.1715 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 506.1711); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S3.

Chaetogline C (**3**), light brown solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –24.0 °(*c* 0.21, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 271 (2.61), 220 (2.42), 192.5 (1.71) nm; IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  3526.3, 3311.1, 3076.5, 2925.6, 1719.8, 1635.1, 1602.6, 1315.2, 737.8 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 419.1210 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 419.1214); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S4.

Chaetogline D (4), light brown crystals;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –32.9 °(*c* 0.17, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 273 (2.27), 220 (2.84) nm; IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  3389.2, 3183.8, 3054.6, 2949.1, 1726.5, 1662.1, 1613.8, 1274.2, 737.1 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 433.1375 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 433.1370); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S4.

Chaetogline E (**5**), purple solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  +25.5 °(*c* 0.13, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 272 (2.27), 221 (2.84) nm; IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  3353.4, 3056.8, 2924.3, 1663.6, 1613.3, 1469.8, 1279.2, 742.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 417.1059 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 419.1057); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S4.

Chaetogline F (**6**), dark green powder; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 363.0 (2.14), 350.0 (2.13), 291.5 (2.56), 262.0 (2.72), 219.0 (2.90), 194.5 (2.44) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3389.4, 3104.3, 3067.4, 2958.4, 1676.6, 1627.4, 1206.1, 1120.4, 753.3 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 379.1288 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 379.1289); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S5.

Chaetogline G (7), yellow solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{D}$  –66.6 °(*c* 0.18, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 389 (2.26), 384 (2.25), 313 (2.37), 207 (2.88), 202 (2.89) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3408.6, 3057.3, 2923.7, 2853.4, 1697.3, 1625.9, 1613.5, 1351.6, 743.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m*/*z* 574.1585 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na, 574.1587); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S3.

Chaetogline H (**8**), yellow solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  –18.5 °(*c* 0.18, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 396 (2.27), 315 (2.40), 206 (2.80) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3398.5, 3107.2, 2924.6, 2852.4, 1690.8, 1635.7, 1615.3, 1356.2, 747.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 508.1867 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 508.1869); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data assigned and listed in Table S3.

#### Single crystal X-ray diffraction

The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined using full-matrix least-squares difference Fourier techniques. Crystallographic data in CIF format have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre [available free of charge at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/deposit or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

Crystal data of chaetogline A (1):  $C_{20}H_{18}N_2O_5$ , Mr = 816.88, plate, space group P2<sub>1</sub>, a = 12.2455(2) Å, b = 13.1152 (2) Å, c = 12.5043(2) Å,  $\alpha = \gamma = 90.00^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 90.77 \circ V = 208.04(6)$  Å3, Z = 2, Dx = 1.351 g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu K $\alpha$ ) = 0.787 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 864.0. Crystal dimensions: 0.35 × 0.20 × 0.05 mm<sup>3</sup>. Independent reflections: 8166 (Rint = 0.0363). The final R1 values were 0.0608, wR<sup>2</sup> = 0.1682 [I > 2 $\sigma$ (I)]. Flack parameter: 0.21(19). Supplementary publication no. CCDC 1018681.

Crystal data of chaetogline C (**3**):  $C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_6$ , Mr = 442.46, prism, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , a = 8.3110(2) Å, b = 15.6574 (4) Å, c = 16.9836(5) Å,  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.00^\circ$ , V = 2210.05(10) Å3, Z = 4, Dx = 1.330 g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu K $\alpha$ ) = 0.825 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 936.0. Crystal dimensions: 0.25 × 0.23 × 0.19 mm<sup>3</sup>. Independent reflections: 3913 (Rint = 0.0304). The final R1 values were 0.0464, wR<sup>2</sup> = 0.1361 [I > 2 $\sigma$ (I)]. Flack parameter: 0.2(2). Supplementary publication no. CCDC 1018683.

Crystal data of chaetogline F (6):  $C_{21}H_{18}N_2O_5$ , Mr = 492.4, prism, space group P2<sub>1</sub>, a = 8.5420(1) Å, b = 11.9379 (1) Å, c = 22.2441(2) Å,  $\alpha = \gamma = 90.00^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 97.03^{\circ}$ , V = 2251.26(4) Å3, Z = 4, Dx = 1.453 g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Cu K $\alpha$ ) = 1.075 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1016. Crystal dimensions: 0.30 × 0.15 × 0.15 mm<sup>3</sup>. Independent reflections: 4703 (Rint = 0.0630). The final R1 values were 0.0862, wR<sup>2</sup> = 0.1200 [I > 2 $\sigma$ (I)]. Supplementary

publication no. CCDC 1018682.

### 7. Antibacterial and AChE inhibitory assays

The in vitro antibacterial activity of **1–8** was determined against the bacteria listed in Table S19 according to the protocol described (3). The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined after incubating the clinical anaerobic bacteria for 48 h at 35 °C in an atmosphere of 80% N<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub> and 10% H<sub>2</sub>. The microtiter plates were read visually and the minimum concentration of the sample, at which no turbidity was recorded. All assays were performed at Department of Clinical Laboratory of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, P. R. China), and repeated three times to maximize reliability and reproducibility. The acetylcholineesterase (AChE) inhibitory assay was performed as described (4).

#### 8. Computational details

### 8.1 Electronic dichroism spectrum (ECD) calculation

The density functional theory (DFT) at B3LYP/6–31G(d,p) level was employed to optimize the geometries of the studied systems. The solvent effects on the electronic structures of the studied systems were evaluated by quantum chemistry method through the polarizable continuum model (5, 6) (PCM, dielectric constant  $\varepsilon$  = 32.63 for CH<sub>3</sub>OH). Then, the corresponding excited-state calculations were performed at the ground-state optimized geometries. Time-dependent DFT in combination with PCM model (TD-DFT/PCM) with the same basis set was carried out to calculate the spin-allowed excitation energy and rotatory strength of the lowest 100 excited states. The UV and ECD spectra were generated using the program SpecDis (7) by applying a Gaussian band shape with the width of 0.20 eV, from oscillator strengths and dipole-velocity rotational strengths, respectively.

#### 8.2 Chemical reactivity prediction

The geometries of the studied systems were optimized by B3LYP/6–31G(d,p) method. Then, the vibrational frequency calculation was performed to all stationary points to check whether the optimized geometry corresponds to a minimum or a transition state and to obtained Gibbs free energies at the temperature of 298.15 K. In order to consider the bulk solvent effects on the free energies of all species, we have employed the PCM (5, 6)method with water as the solvent to calculate the Gibbs free energy of solvation using gas-phase optimized geometries. All the calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 program (8).

### References

- 1. Miners JO, Birkett DJ (1998) Cytochrome P4502C9: an enzyme of major importance in human drug metabolism. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 45: 525–538.
- Lee JW, Shin KD, Lee M, Kim EJ., Han SS, Han MY, Ha H, Jeong TC, Koh WS (2003) Role of metabolism by flavin-containing monooxygenase in thioacetamide-induced immunosuppression. *Toxicol Lett* 136:163–172.

- 3. Wei W, Jiang N, Mei YN, Chu YL, Ge HM, Song YC, Ng SW, Tan RX (2014) An antibacterial metabolite from *Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae* F2. *Phytochemistry* 100:103–109.
- Ge HM, Zhu CH, Shi DH, Zhang LD, Xie DQ, Yang J, Ng SW, Tan RX (2008) Hopeahainol A: an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor from *Hopea hainanensis*. *Chem Eur J* 14:376–381.
- 5. Barone V, Cossi M (1998) Quantum calculation of molecular energies and energy gradients in solution by a conductor solvent model. *J Phys Chem A* 102:1995–2001.
- 6. Cossi M, Rega N, Scalmani G, Barone V (2003) Energies, structures, and electronic properties of molecules in solution with the C-PCM solvation model. *J Comput Chem* 24:669–681.
- 7. Bruhn T, Hemberger Y, Schaumlöffe A, Bringmann G SpecDis version 1.50; University of Wuerzburg: Germany, 2010.
- 8. Frisch MJ, et al. Gaussian 09, Revision A.1, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

Shortened names	Structures
1-MT	NH <sub>2</sub>
Trp	NH2 NH2
L-5-HTP	HO NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>
5-Cl-Trp	CI NH2 COOH
5-MT	Me NH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>

Tab	le S1.	Tryptophan	derivatives	screened fo	or the FPS	gene	up-regul	ator
-----	--------	------------	-------------	-------------	------------	------	----------	------



chaetogline A (1)

**Table S2**. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for **1** in acetone- $d_6$ .

position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. J in Hz)	position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (mult. J in Hz)
2	126.8		13	141.2	
3	136.3		14	98.6	7.01 (s)
5	50.5	5.21 (d, 7.2)	15	137.1	
6	24.4	3.41 (dd, 7.2, 16.2)	16	169.3	
		3.78 (d, 16.2)			
7	114.0		17	171.8	
8	126.4		18	131.9	
9	120.6	7.67 (d, 7.5)	19	169.0	
10	125.6	7.33 (t, 7.5)	20	14.7	2.51 (s)
11	121.1	7.15 (t, 7.5)	21	52.5	3.87 (s)
12	110.8	7.50 (d, 7.5)	1-NMe	31.8	3.98 (s)



chaetogline B (2),  $R_1+R_2$ =double bond chaetogline G (7),  $R_1$ =H,  $R_2$ =COOH chaetogline H (8),  $R_1$ = $R_2$ =H

**Table S3.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for **2**, **7** and **8** in acetone- $d_6$ .

nosition	chaetogline B (2)		chaetogline G (7)			chaetogline H (8)	
position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)	
2	127.1		127.0		127.3		
3	134.5		139.5		139.4		
5	50.1	5.20 (d, 7.2)	49.7	5.23 (d, 6.5)	49.5	5.17 (d, 7.2)	
6	24.7	3.32 (dd, 7.2, 16.4)	24.0	3.33 (dd, 6.5, 16.3)	24.7	3.32 (dd, 7.2, 16.4)	
		3.82 (d, 16.4)		3.88 (d, 16.3)		3.84 (d, 16.4)	
7	118.5		116.9		116.4		

8	126.4		125.1		125.2	
9	126.1	7.70 (d, 7.5)	120.1	7.78 (d, 7.5)	120.8	7.76 (d, 7.5)
10	120.8	7.18 (t, 7.5)	120.8	7.24 (t, 7.5)	120.7	7.21 (t, 7.5)
11	126.4	7.39 (t, 7.5)	125.7	7.44 (t, 7.5)	125.5	7.41 (t, 7.5)
12	111.7	7.52 (d, 7.5)	110.8	7.60 (d, 7.5)	110.9	7.56 (d, 7.5)
13	142.6		141.6		141.5	
14	106.7		106.7		106.8	
15	126.8		51.3	4.54 (s)	50.8	3.84 (m)
16	165.7		174.2		175.6	
17	171.7		169.7		169.8	
18	154.2		49.7		35.8	2.59 (m)
19	33.6	4.04 (m) 4.08 (m)	34.1	3.10 (d, 15.8) 3.65 (d, 15.8)	34.1	2.74 (dd, 5.2, 16.1) 3.54 (dd, 12.5, 16.1)
20	125.8		124.0		120.0	
21	127.9		129.0		129.7	
22	187.0		186.6		186.6	
23	193.5		191.9		191.6	
24	126.2		127.0		125.9	
25	121.9	8.05 (d, 7.5)	121.4	7.73 (d, 7.5)	121.2	7.94 (d, 7.5)
26	122.2	7.30 (t, 7.5)	120.7	7.18 (t, 7.5)	120.7	7.23 (t, 7.5)
27	128.9	7.54 (t, 7.5)	127.7	7.50 (t, 7.5)	127.7	7.51 (t, 7.5)

28	111.9	7.62 (d, 7.5)	110.9	7.61 (d, 7.5)	110.8	7.62 (d, 7.5)
29	141.7		140.7		140.9	
31	20.7	2.64 (s)	18.2	1.31 (s)	14.6	1.01 (d, 6.5)
32			173.7			
N1-Me	35.9	3.85 (s)	34.9	3.77 (s)	34.2	3.72 (s)
N30-Me	32.6	4.13 (s)	31.9	4.22 (s)	31.8	4.18 (s)



**Table S4.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for 3-5 in acetone- $d_6$ .

<i>a</i> a siti a s		3		4		5		
position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)		
2	128.6	7.07 (s)	128.0	7.04 (s)	134.9			

3	44.5	4.34 (d, 16.1)	44.5	4.33 (d, 16.1)	55.1	6.22 (s)
		4.45 (d, 16.1)		4.39 (d, 16.1)		
5	54.8	5.39 (dd, 4.9, 10.9)	55.0	5.35 (dd, 5.3, 10.0)	52.9	5.55 (d, 5.6)
6	26.1	3.40 (dd, 10.9, 15.5)	22.6	3.35 (dd, 10.0, 15.2)	25.1	3.10 (dd, 5.6, 15.3)
		3.57 (dd, 4.9, 15.5)		3.52 (dd, 5.3, 15.2)		3.40 (d, 15.3)
7	110.2		110.4		108.5	
8	127.9		128.7		127.8	
9	119.2	7.67 (d, 7.7)	119.2	7.64 (d, 7.8)	119.1	7.47 (d, 7.8)
10	119.5	7.04 (t, 7.7)	119.6	7.04 (t, 7.8)	120.1	7.03 (t, 7.8)
11	122.2	7.13 (t, 7.7)	122.3	7.14 (t, 7.8)	122.7	7.15 (t, 7.8)
12	110.5	7.26 (d, 7.7)	110.2	7.28 (d, 7.8)	110.7	7.33 (d, 7.8)
13	137.9		138.0		140.0	
14	122.1		122.2		125.0	
15	121.1		121.2		121.2	
16	171.1		170.7		172.1	
17	173.3		172.6		173.3	
18	116.2		116.3		117.3	
19	144.9		145.0		145.7	
20	137.5		137.6		138.5	
21	138.4		138.5		140.3	

22	9.6	2.47 (s)	9.6	2.47 (s)	10.0	2.55 (s)
N1-Me	32.6	3.63 (s)	32.7	3.67 (s)	32.8	4.01 (s)
C17-OMe			52.4	3.68 (s)		



chaetogline F (6)

**Table S5.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for **6** in acetone- $d_6$ .

			0		
position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)	position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ (mult. $J$ in Hz)
2	135.9		14	109.9	
3	137.7		15	125.6	
5	129.8	8.72 (br s)	16	118.5	
6	116.9	8.63 (br s)	17	149.1	
7	134.6		18	136.6	
8	120.3		19	144.9	

9	122.7	8.48 (d, 7.5)	20	168.4	
10	122.7	7.46 (t, 7.5)	21	13.2	2.33 (s)
11	132.8	7.82 (t, 7.5)	1-NMe	31.3	3.71 (s)
12	111.8	7.77 (d, 7.5)	C22	52.1	3.31 (s)
13	146.0				

**Table S6**. Monooxygenase genes of *Chaetomium globosum* CBS 148.51.

					Genome locus				
	CHGG_00014	CHGG_01243	CHGG_02305	CHGG_04104	CHGG_05281	CHGG_07681	CHGG_08936	CHGG_09395	CHGG_10078
	CHGG_00033	CHGG_01306	CHGG_02308	CHGG_04362	CHGG_05285	CHGG_07836	CHGG_09072	CHGG_09397	CHGG_10133
	CHGG_00044	CHGG_01325	CHGG_02312	CHGG_04428	CHGG_05293	CHGG_08027	CHGG_09080	CHGG_09440	CHGG_10649
	CHGG_00208	CHGG_01339	CHGG_02341	CHGG_04572	CHGG_05325	CHGG_08218	CHGG_09255	CHGG_09459	CHGG_10717
CVP450	CHGG_00240	CHGG_01465	CHGG_02966	CHGG_04654	CHGG_06520	CHGG_08337	CHGG_09276	CHGG_09528	CHGG_10746
C1F4J0	CHGG_00261	CHGG_01610	CHGG_03082	CHGG_04825	CHGG_06764	CHGG_08360	CHGG_09310	CHGG_09832	CHGG_10810
	CHGG_00353	CHGG_01652	CHGG_03508	CHGG_05142	CHGG_07101	CHGG_08480	CHGG_09318	CHGG_09834	CHGG_10816
	CHGG_00771	CHGG_02003	CHGG_03590	CHGG_05242	CHGG_07393	CHGG_08596	CHGG_09344	CHGG_09876	CHGG_10894
	CHGG_00898	CHGG_02069	CHGG_03669	CHGG_05254	CHGG_07425	CHGG_08794	CHGG_09366	CHGG_09957	CHGG_11051
	CHGG_01242	CHGG_02147	CHGG_04063	CHGG_05266	CHGG_07508	CHGG_08890	CHGG_09392	CHGG_09989	CHGG_11059
FMO	CHGG_05522	CHGG_07152							

				0		
		Relative	Relative	$J_{\rm C-C}/{ m Hz}$	Relative	Absolute
nosition	2	enhancement <sup>a</sup>	enhancement <sup>a</sup>	observed with	enhancement <sup>a</sup> by	enhancement <sup>b</sup> by
position	$o_{\rm C}$	by $[1-^{13}C]$ -	by $[2^{-13}C]$ -	$[1,2^{-13}C_2]$ -acet	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-
		acetate	acetate	ate	L-methionine	L-methionine(%)
C-3	136.3	2.2	0.7	70.4	1.4	$ND^b$
C-14	98.6	0.7	4.8	70.4	1.4	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-15	137.1	2.0	0.6	60.5	1.2	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-16	169.3	1.1	4.0	60.5	1.4	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-18	131.9	1.0	4.9	71.6	1.2	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-19	169.0	2.2	0.7	71.6	1.1	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-20	14.7	0.7	1.3		9.5	7.2
C-21	52.5	0.9	0.8		0.8	$\mathrm{ND}^b$

**Table S7**. Incorporation of  $^{13}$ C-labeled acetate and methionine into chaetogline A (1).

<sup>*a*</sup>Relative enhancements were determined by calculating the carbon signal intensity ratios of **1** (labeled to unlabeled). <sup>*b*</sup>The absolute abundance of the protonated <sup>13</sup>C was measured by the satellites of <sup>1</sup>H signal in its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, but that of quaternary carbon was unable to be measured. Enhancements resulting likely from specific incorporation are highlighted in bold.



	$\delta_{ m C}$		Relat	tive	Rela	tive	J <sub>C-C</sub> /Hz	Relative	Absolute
nosition			enha	enhancement <sup>a</sup>		ncement <sup>a</sup>	observed with	enhancement <sup>a</sup>	enhancement <sup>b</sup> by
position	acetone- <i>d</i>	CDCl	by	$[1-^{13}C]-$	by	$[2-^{13}C]-$	$[1,2^{-13}C_2]$ -ace	by [methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-
		CDCI3	aceta	acetate		ate	tate	L-methionine	L-methionine(%)
C-3	134.5	133.9	11.2		2.0		72.7	1.0	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-14	106.7	106.4	0.6		8.2		72.7	$ND^d$	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-23	$ND^{c}$	193.7	10.4		1.6		52.7	$ND^d$	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-22	187.0	185.7	0.9		12.8		52.7	$ND^d$	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-18	154.2	153.9	1.3		13.5		75.2	1.3	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-15	126.8	125.9	3.9		1.1		64.8	1.5	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-16	165.7	165.3	1.0		9.8		64.8	0.6	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-31	20.7	21.1	1.2		1.9			7.9	12.7
C-19	33.6	33.5	0.9		0.8			1.3	$ND^b$

**Table S8**. Incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C-labeled acetate and methionine into chaetogline B (2).

<sup>*a*</sup>Relative enhancements were determined by calculating the carbon signal intensity ratios of **2** (labeled to unlabeled). <sup>*b*</sup>The absolute abundance of the protonated <sup>13</sup>C was measured by the satellites of <sup>1</sup>H signal in its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, but that of quaternary carbon was unable to be determined. Enhancements resulting likely from specific incorporation are highlighted in bold. <sup>*c*</sup>Low abundance measured in acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>. <sup>*d*</sup>Signal intensities were too low to be measured due to sample scarcity.

	1	Relative	Relative	J <sub>C-C</sub> /Hz	Relative	Absolute
nosition	2	enhancement <sup>a</sup>	enhancement <sup>a</sup>	observed with	enhancement <sup>a</sup> by	enhancement <sup>b</sup> by
position	$o_{\mathrm{C}}$	by $[1^{-13}C]$ -	by $[2^{-13}C]^{-13}$	$[1,2^{-13}C_2]$ -acet	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-
		acetate	acetate	ate	L-methionine	L-methionine(%)
C-3	55	3.3	1.4	44.6	1.0	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-14	124.9	1.2	3.5	44.6	0.6	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-15	121.1	2.8	0.6	66.4	0.5	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-16	172.1	0.8	2.8	66.4	0.5	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-18	117.2	0.6	3.3	69.7	0.5	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-19	145.5	3.4	0.8	69.7	0.7	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-20	138.4	0.9	2.8	75.2	0.9	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-21	140.2	2.9	0.4	75.2	0.6	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-22	9.9	1.2	1.3		21.5	36.0

**Table S9**. Incorporation of  ${}^{13}$ C-labeled acetate and methionine into chaetogline E (5).

<sup>*a*</sup>Relative enhancements were determined by calculating the carbon signal intensity ratios of **5** (labeled to unlabeled). <sup>*b*</sup>The absolute abundance of the protonated <sup>13</sup>C was measured by the satellites of <sup>1</sup>H signal in its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, but that of quaternary carbon was unable to be measured. Enhancements resulting likely from specific incorporation are highlighted in bold.



		Relative enhancement <sup>a</sup>	Relative enhancement <sup>a</sup>	$J_{\rm C-C}/{\rm Hz}$ observed with	Relative enhancement <sup>a</sup> by	Absolute enhancement <sup>b</sup> by
position	$\delta_{\rm C}$	by [1- <sup>13</sup> C]-	by [2- <sup>13</sup> C]-	$[1,2^{-13}C_2]$ -acet	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-	[methyl- <sup>13</sup> C]-
		acetate	acetate	ate L-methionine		L-methionine(%)
C-3	137.7	9.5	2.5	62.5	1.5	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-14	109.9	1.3	11.6	62.5	1.4	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-15	125.6	8.4	2.3	75.9	1.3	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-16	118.5	1.8	10.8	69.5	1.3	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-17	149.1	8.4	2.4	69.5	1.5	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-18	136.6	1.3	10.6	73.2	1.3	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-19	144.9	8.4	2.3	73.2	1.4	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-20	168.4	1.1	10.5	75.9	1.2	$\mathrm{ND}^b$
C-21	13.2	1.0	1.6		7.0	7.2
C-22	52.1	0.9	1.1		0.9	$\mathrm{ND}^b$

**Table S10**. Incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C-labeled acetate and methionine into chaetogline F (6).

<sup>*a*</sup>Relative enhancements were determined by calculating the carbon signal intensity ratios of **6** (labeled to unlabeled). <sup>*b*</sup>The absolute abundance of the protonated <sup>13</sup>C was measured by the satellites of <sup>1</sup>H signal in its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, but that of quaternary carbon was unable to be determined. Enhancements resulting likely from specific incorporation are highlighted in bold.

 Table S11. Primers used in the work.

Primers for qPCR	5'-3'
18sRNA-RT-F	CGTGACCTACTTCCTCCTCCC
18sRNA-RT-R	CGCACCTGGCTCGCAAA
actinRT-F	ATGGTATTATGATCGGTATGGG
actinRT-R	GATGGGAGCCTCGGTTAG
06703RT-F	CAGCAGCACTGGAGGATT
06703RT-R	CTCGATACACCGTAAACCC

Table S12. The Mulliken partial charges on carbon atoms of flavipin.



Atom	X	Y	Z	Atom	X	Y	Z			
С	-3.200	-0.405	-0.698	0	2.405	2.609	0.868			
С	-4.197	-1.346	-0.622	0	5.004	3.085	0.333			
С	1.215	0.133	0.548	0	6.643	1.139	-0.530			
N	0.521	-0.791	0.002	С	5.844	-1.594	-0.874			
С	-0.902	-0.841	0.317	Н	-4.125	-2.419	-0.727			
С	-1.742	-0.648	-0.964	Н	0.758	0.890	1.198			
С	-1.170	-2.211	0.950	Н	-1.183	-0.079	1.063			
0	-1.815	-3.114	0.468	Н	-1.599	-1.526	-1.599			
0	-0.560	-2.316	2.155	Н	-1.316	0.206	-1.501			
С	-3.832	0.867	-0.455	Н	-0.747	-3.215	2.475			
С	-5.217	0.614	-0.243	Н	-2.322	2.422	-0.562			
N	-5.415	-0.748	-0.351	Н	-3.933	4.244	-0.100			
С	-3.373	2.195	-0.402	Н	-6.331	3.759	0.264			
С	-4.280	3.216	-0.143	Н	-7.187	1.430	0.174			
С	-5.646	2.940	0.064	Н	-6.531	-2.500	-0.347			
С	-6.134	1.639	0.016	Н	-7.107	-1.268	0.792			

Table S13. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 9 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

С	-6.684	-1.429	-0.205	Н	-7.408	-1.079	-0.950
С	2.657	0.286	0.318	Н	2.959	3.404	0.905
С	3.504	-0.777	-0.110	Н	5.933	3.100	0.057
С	2.965	-2.170	-0.075	Н	5.425	-2.593	-0.797
0	3.358	-3.094	-0.767	Н	6.113	-1.453	-1.930
С	3.195	1.573	0.473	Н	6.769	-1.556	-0.282
С	4.539	1.808	0.183	Н	2.188	-2.337	0.687
С	5.347	0.769	-0.268	Н	7.123	0.396	-0.918
С	4.860	-0.538	-0.419				

 Table S14. The optimized XYZ coordinates of 10 at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

				10						
Atom	X	Y	Z	Atom	Х	Y	Ζ			
С	-2.833	-0.241	-0.735	0	3.641	3.089	0.122			
С	-3.622	-1.335	-1.016	0	6.127	2.135	-0.439			
С	1.436	1.072	0.466	0	6.580	-0.502	-0.650			
N	0.767	-0.056	0.570	C	4.538	-2.564	-0.297			
С	-0.699	-0.145	0.650	Н	-3.330	-2.325	-1.340			
С	-1.341	-0.191	-0.777	Н	-1.053	0.742	1.176			

С	-1.125	-1.376	1.451	Н	-0.929	-1.052	-1.308
0	-0.741	-2.511	1.226	Н	-0.988	0.707	-1.299
0	-1.997	-1.066	2.404	Н	-2.292	-1.890	2.833
С	-3.731	0.825	-0.372	Н	-2.558	2.605	0.056
С	-5.050	0.299	-0.458	Н	-4.544	3.985	0.567
Ν	-4.952	-1.026	-0.856	Н	-6.821	3.033	0.422
С	-3.553	2.169	0.000	Н	-7.182	0.681	-0.240
С	-4.668	2.945	0.282	Н	-6.002	-2.808	-0.439
С	-5.966	2.403	0.197	Н	-6.997	-1.432	-0.940
С	-6.176	1.081	-0.174	Н	-6.032	-2.295	-2.146
С	-6.051	-1.944	-1.109	Н	4.478	3.558	-0.038
С	2.808	0.852	0.206	Н	6.951	1.665	-0.640
С	3.020	-0.547	0.110	Н	6.679	-1.462	-0.706
С	1.712	-1.245	0.413	Н	3.616	-3.142	-0.240
0	1.311	-2.135	-0.561	Н	4.995	-2.804	-1.266
С	3.857	1.768	0.024	Н	5.213	-2.917	0.492
C	5.120	1.241	-0.260	Н	0.593	-2.665	-0.161
С	5.307	-0.145	-0.358	Н	0.944	2.033	0.576
С	4.257	-1.087	-0.172	Н	1.740	-1.712	1.408

	Table S15. The o	ptimized XYZ coordinates	s of <b>11</b> at B3LYP/6-31	G(d.p) level.
--	------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	---------------

Atom	X	Y	Z	Atom	X	Y	Z		
С	-2.884	-0.407	-0.651	0	3.965	2.991	0.636		
С	-3.680	-1.521	-0.543	0	6.364	1.845	-0.072		
С	1.533	1.190	0.555	0	6.552	-0.707	-0.791		
N	0.793	0.034	0.357	С	4.286	-2.564	-0.921		
С	-0.653	-0.027	0.524	Н	-3.401	-2.566	-0.564		
С	-1.391	-0.390	-0.794	Н	-0.974	0.961	0.853		
С	-1.023	-1.017	1.631	Н	-1.023	-1.360	-1.137		
0	-0.708	-2.196	1.654	Н	-1.075	0.350	-1.537		
0	-1.758	-0.455	2.598	Н	-1.964	-1.154	3.244		
С	-3.769	0.727	-0.572	Н	-2.589	2.540	-0.755		
С	-5.088	0.217	-0.418	Н	-4.556	4.030	-0.570		
N	-5.008	-1.162	-0.401	Н	-6.832	3.103	-0.296		
С	-3.583	2.119	-0.628	Н	-7.207	0.651	-0.201		
C	-4.689	2.953	-0.527	Н	-5.762	-3.096	-0.296		
С	-5.987	2.425	-0.372	Н	-6.682	-1.902	0.640		
C	-6.205	1.053	-0.317	Н	-6.823	-1.945	-1.132		

С	-6.131	-2.069	-0.291	Н	4.865	3.346	0.583
С	2.849	0.891	0.247	Н	7.092	1.273	-0.356
С	2.922	-0.504	-0.144	Н	6.530	-1.631	-1.069
С	1.622	-0.994	-0.054	Н	3.318	-3.061	-0.858
0	1.141	-2.230	-0.369	Н	4.639	-2.675	-1.956
С	4.034	1.676	0.267	Н	4.981	-3.119	-0.274
С	5.216	1.081	-0.089	Н	0.550	-2.518	0.356
С	5.271	-0.295	-0.472	Н	1.072	2.097	0.910
С	4.167	-1.114	-0.512				

 Table S16. The optimized XYZ coordinates of chaetogline C (3) at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

3								
Atom	Х	Y	Z	Atom	Х	Y	Z	
С	-3.040	-0.527	-0.564	0	3.763	2.816	1.164	
С	-4.028	-1.464	-0.399	0	6.190	1.955	0.251	
С	1.333	1.023	0.771	0	6.487	-0.406	-0.983	
N	0.659	-0.141	0.202	C	4.251	-2.265	-1.461	
С	-0.717	-0.492	0.512	Н	-3.944	-2.541	-0.360	
С	-1.570	-0.771	-0.754	Н	1.221	1.042	1.863	

С	-0.705	-1.610	1.570	Н	-1.149	0.375	1.023
0	0.067	-1.633	2.502	Н	-1.375	-1.788	-1.096
0	-1.662	-2.546	1.396	Н	-1.188	-0.100	-1.532
С	-3.698	0.755	-0.520	Н	-1.546	-3.176	2.128
С	-5.086	0.509	-0.322	Н	-2.207	2.307	-0.801
Ν	-5.263	-0.858	-0.253	Н	-3.855	4.147	-0.637
С	-3.258	2.085	-0.637	Н	-6.256	3.674	-0.282
С	-4.186	3.116	-0.548	Н	-7.080	1.341	-0.083
С	-5.555	2.848	-0.347	Н	-6.358	-2.612	-0.052
С	-6.024	1.544	-0.234	Н	-6.990	-1.248	0.890
С	-6.529	-1.535	-0.061	Н	-7.229	-1.301	-0.871
С	2.760	0.793	0.357	Н	4.648	3.211	1.190
С	2.883	-0.441	-0.285	Н	6.963	1.499	-0.112
С	1.536	-1.070	-0.321	Н	6.492	-1.282	-1.388
0	1.205	-2.187	-0.715	Н	3.287	-2.772	-1.504
С	3.869	1.609	0.540	Н	4.621	-2.149	-2.489
С	5.101	1.146	0.064	Н	4.951	-2.923	-0.927
С	5.209	-0.096	-0.573	Н	0.924	1.961	0.372
С	4.103	-0.932	-0.769				

Table S17. The optimized XYZ coordinates of	chaetogline E (5) at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level
---	---



С	-4.918	-2.472	-0.922	Н	-2.318	-2.203	2.474
С	-3.814	-2.597	-0.084	Н	-0.579	-1.845	2.349
С	-1.442	-2.230	1.815	Н	-1.226	-3.270	1.553
С	1.528	-0.255	0.404	Н	1.986	-3.289	1.282
С	2.313	0.725	-0.219	Н	5.842	-0.447	-1.292
С	1.516	1.969	-0.315	Н	3.893	2.489	-1.460
0	1.794	3.022	-0.881	Н	4.770	1.247	-2.362
С	2.077	-1.515	0.625	Н	5.369	1.785	-0.781
С	3.377	-1.764	0.167	Н	4.774	-3.052	0.019
С	4.130	-0.774	-0.469				

**Table S18**. Up- and down-stream genes of FPS CHGG\_06703 in C. globosum CBS148.51.

gene	Length	Identity/ similarity	protein homolog	predicted function
	(aa)	(%)		
CHGG_06693	206	73/75	XP_003666373.1	hypothetical protein
CHGG_06694	207	81/88	EPE06955.1	protein sorting and transport
CHGG_06695	1286	85/91	XP_006691628.1	RNA helicase
CHGG_06696	175	65/78	GAA88272.1	dUTPase
CHGG_06697	285	88/95	CCE34298.1	alcohol dehydrogenase
CHGG_06698	567	82/90	XP_965075.1	mitochondrial 2-methylisocitrate lyase
CHGG_06699	431	52/60	EGZ78081.1	chromosome segregation
CHGG_06700	317	62/72	XP_007811025.1	3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase
CHGG_06701	255	84/92	XP_006691630.1	NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase
CHGG_06702	310	73/80	XP_003653731.1	glyoside hydrolase
CHGG_06703	446	64/69	XP_003651251.1	strictosidine synthase

CHGG_06704	415	51/65	XP_003007719.1	unknown
CHGG_06705	84	36/47	WP_006063371.1	oxidoreductase
CHGG_06706	332	80/88	XP_003666384.1	histone acetyltransferase
CHGG_06707	298	72/83	CAE81960.1	oxidoreductase
CHGG_06708	245	56/61	XP_003349077.1	unknown
CHGG_06709	192	70/77	XP_003651242.1	unknown
CHGG_06710	173	59/65	EFX04837.1	U1-like zinc finger
CHGG_06711	720	47/60	ESA43884.1	transcription factor
CHGG_06712	705	68/78	XP_009219360.1	copper amine oxidase
CHGG_06713	352	44/62	CCT69936.1	dihydroflavonol 4-reductase

# Table S19. Antibacterial activity of 1-8 (MICs in $\mu$ M).

	Veillonella parvula	Actinomyces israelii	Streptococcus sp.	Bacteroides vulgatus	Peptostreptococcus sp.
1	5.46	>10	>10	>10	>10
2	0.24	>10	>10	0.24	0.24
3	2.44	>10	>10	4.88	4.88
4	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
5	5.08	>10	>10	>10	>10
6	0.32	>10	0.66	>10	0.32
7	3.94	>10	>10	>10	>10
8	3.77	>10	>10	>10	>10
tinidazole	0.49	32.4	1.01	2.02	2.02



Figure S1. qPCR screening of tryptophan derivatives for *FPS* gene up-regulators.





**Figure S2**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectral comparison of crude extracts from cultures of *C. globosum* 1C51 without (i) and with (ii) exposure to 1-MT (**a**). Doublets around  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.3 (J = 6.4 Hz) in the enlarged window (**b**, up) were likely due to the aminomethine proton of 1-MT derived structures.



Figure S3. Key <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY (bold lines), HMBC (solid arrows) and ROESY (dashed arrows) correlations of chaetogline A (1).



Figure S4. Single-crystal X-ray structure of chaetogline A (1).


Figure S5. Key HMBC and ROESY correlations for chaetogline B (2) as represented by moieties A and B.



**Figure S6**. <sup>13</sup>C-labeling pattern for **2** ascertained by feeding sodium  $[1-^{13}C]$ -,  $[2-^{13}C]$ -, and  $[1,2-^{13}C_2]$ -acetates feeding experiments.



Figure S7. Comparison of experimental CD spectrum of 2 with calculated ECD curves for 5S- (left) and 5R-2 (right).



Figure S8. CD spectra of chaetoglines A (1, blue) and B (2, pink).



Figure S9. Key <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY (bold), HMBC (solid arrows) and ROESY (dashed arrows) correlations of chaetoglines C (3) and D (4).



**Figure S10**. Single-crystal X-ray structure of chaetogline D (4)



Figure S11. CD spectra of chaetoglines C (3, blue) and D (4, pink).



**Figure S12**. Key <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY (bold) and HMBC (solid arrows) correlations of chaetogline E (**5**).



Figure S13. Comparison of experimental CD spectrum with calculated ECD curves for (3*R*,5*S*)- (left) and (3*S*,5*S*)-5 (right).



**Figure S14.** Single-crystal X-ray structure of chaetogline F (6).



**Figure S15**. Key <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY (bold), HMBC (solid arrows) and ROESY (dashed arrows) correlations of chaetogline G (7).



Figure S16. Key <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY (bold), HMBC (solid arrows) and ROESY (dashed arrows) correlations of chaetogline H (8).



Figure S17. Comparison of experimental CD spectrum with calculated ECD curves for (5*S*,15*R*,18*R*)- (left) and (5*S*,15*S*,18*S*)-7 (right).



Figure S18. Comparison of experimental CD spectrum with calculated ECD curves for (5*S*,15*S*,18*S*)- (left) and (5*S*,15*R*,18*R*)-8 (right).



**Figure S19**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of flavipin.



Figure S20. The free energy profile of the reaction pathway from 9 to 3. Free energies in the solvent are given in parentheses.



**Figure S21**. LC-MS profile of chaetoglines A (1), D (4) and F (6) from cultures without (D) and with exposures to 3-(trifluoromethyl)-phenylacetone at 0.5 (O) and 1.0 (O) mM, respectively.



**Figure S22**. LC-MS profile of chaetoglines A–H (1–8) from cultures without (1) and with exposures to NaN<sub>3</sub> at 0.1 (1), 0.5 (2) and 1.0 (3) mM, respectively.



**Figure S23**. Steady-state inhibition of AChE by chaetogline F (6). a) Lineweaver-Burk plot of reciprocal of initial velocities versus reciprocal of five fixed acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCh) concentrations in the absence ( $\mathbf{\nabla}$ ) and presence of 10 µM ( $\mathbf{\Delta}$ ), 20 µM ( $\mathbf{\Box}$ ) and 40 µM ( $\mathbf{O}$ ) of 6. b) Secondary plots of the Lineweaver-Burk plot, slope versus various concentrations of 6. *x* axis intercept represents the  $K_i$  (4.87 µM) of 6.



**Figure S24**. Isolated indole metabolites derived from 1-MT by *C. globosum* 1C51. 1-Methylindole-3-carboxylic acid (1-M-IAA), 1-methyl-3-hydroxyacetylindole (1-M-IHA), 1-methylindole-3-carboxaldehyde (1-M-ICHO) and 1-methylindole-3-carboxylic acid (1-M-ICOOH) were not detected in the 1-MT free culture by LC-MS.



Figure S25. Phylogenetic analysis of 22 characterized Pictet–Spengler reaction enzymes, constructed using MEGA v5.0 with 1000 bootstrap replicates.



**Figure S26**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of chaetogline A (1) (acetone- $d_6$ , 500 MHz).



Me-X-1 C13-NMR CD3COCD3 303k AV-500

**Figure S27**. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of chaetogline A (1) (acetone- $d_6$ , 500 MHz).



**Figure S28**. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of chaetogline A (1) (acetone- $d_6$ , 500 MHz).



**Figure S29**. HSQC spectrum of chaetogline A (1) (acetone- $d_6$ , 500 MHz).



**Figure S30**. HMBC spectrum of chaetogline A (1) (acetone- $d_6$ , 500 MHz).



**Figure S31**. ROESY spectrum of chaetogline A (1) (acetone- $d_6$ , 500 MHz).



















**Figure S40**. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of chaetogline C (**3**) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).



**Figure S41**. HSQC spectrum of chaetogline C (3) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).



**Figure S42**. HMBC spectrum of chaetogline C (3) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).


































**Figure S58**. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of chaetogline F (6) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).



**Figure S59.** HSQC spectrum of chaetogline F (6) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).



**Figure S60**. HMBC spectrum of chaetogline F (6) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).



**Figure S61**. ROESY spectrum of chaetogline F (6) (acetone- $d_6$ , 400 MHz).

































**Figure S77**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **1d** generated by feeding [methyl-<sup>13</sup>C]-L-methionine (acetone- $d_6$ , 600 MHz).










Figure S82. INADEQUATE spectrum of 2c (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz).























**Figure S93**. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **6d** generated by feeding [methyl-<sup>13</sup>C]-L-methionine (acetone- $d_6$ , 600 MHz).

