## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

**Fig. S1.** MALDI-TOF MS of *endo*-glucanase-generated XyG fragments from (A) *S. lycopersicum* var. *Saint-Pierre*, (B) *S. lycopersicum* var. cerasiforme, (C) *S. pimpinellifolium* and (D) *S. peruvianum* leaves. Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) and as described in Fig. 1. The structures in bold were characterized by York *et al.*, (1996), Jia *et al.*, (2005) and Hoffman *et al.*, (2005). Underlined structures indicate the presence of *O*-acetylated side chains on the XyG fragment. The black star represents unassigned fragment and the black square represents the sodiated adduct corresponding to (Pent)<sub>6</sub>GlcA<sub>1</sub>OAc<sub>1</sub> originating from glucuronoarabinoxylan. Pent. Pentose, GlcA. glucuronic acid, OAc. *O*-acetyl group.



**Fig. S2.** MALDI-TOF MS of *endo*-glucanase-generated XyG fragments from *S. lycopersicum* cv. dombito cell-suspension. Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) and shown in Fig. 1. The structures in bold were characterized by York *et al.*, (1996), Jia *et al.*, (2003) and Hoffman *et al.*, (2005). Underlined structures indicate the presence of *O*-acetylated side chains on the XyG fragment.



**Fig. S3.** Structural characterization of LSG/XXXG and LSGG/XXLG oligosaccharides from *S. peruvianum* pollen tubes. (A) MALDI-TOF/TOF MS of the precursor ion at m/z = 1365.7 ( $[M+Na]^+$  adduct) of the permethylated Hex<sub>4</sub>Pent<sub>3</sub> structures (LSG and XXXG) and the corresponding fragmentation pattern. (B) MALDI-TOF/TOF MS of the precursor ion at m/z = 1569.8 ( $[M+Na]^+$  adduct) of the permethylated Hex<sub>5</sub>Pent<sub>3</sub> structures (LSGG and XXLG) and the corresponding fragmentation pattern. Ara. arabinose, Fuc. Fucose, Gal. galactose, Glc. glucose, Xyl. xylose. \*, double fragmentation ion. The MALDI-TOF MS of the permethylated oligosaccharides is shown in Fig. 6.



**Fig. S4.** MALDI-TOF MS of *endo*-glucanase-generated XyG fragments from the hemicelluloseenriched extract of *S. lycopersicum* var. cerasiforme pollen tubes. Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) as described in Fig. 1. Underlined structures indicate *O*-acetylated side chains of XyG fragments. \* indicates the shift of m/z = 16 of the potassium adducts which are present in addition to sodiated adducts.



**Fig. S5.** MALDI-TOF MS of *endo*-glucanase-generated XyG fragments from (A) the cell wall extract and (B) the hemicellulose-enriched extract of *N. tabacum* pollen tubes. Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) and shown in Fig. 1. The three structures in bold were characterized in *Nicotiana alata* pollen tubes by Lampugnani *et al.*, (2013). Underlined structures represent *O*-acetylated side chains. Black star represents unassigned fragment. \* indicates the shift of m/z = 16 of the potassium adducts.



**Table S1.** Relative abundance of XyG oligosaccharides released after *endo*-glucanase digestion of the cell wall of *S. lycopersicum* cv. dombito cell suspension and *S. lycopersicum* var. cerasiforme leaves.

Mass <sup>a</sup>	Cells	Leaves	Composition <sup>b</sup>	Possible structure <sup>c</sup>
953	$1 \pm 0.1^{d}$	< 1	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub>	GXXG
995	$17.5 \pm 0.1$	$15.5 \pm 2.7^{e}$	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XX <u>G</u> G / GS <u>G</u> G
1055	< 1	$4 \pm 0.7$	Hex <sub>3</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub>	SSG
1085	< 1	< 1	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub>	XXXG/GXSG/LSG
1127	<mark>26.1 ± 1.6</mark>	<mark>31 ± 1.5</mark>	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	<b>XS<u>G</u>G</b> / SX <u>G</u> G
1157	$9.8 \pm 0.8$	<mark>14.3 ± 1.8</mark> e	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	LX <u>G</u> G / GXX <u>G</u> G
1169	$21.2 \pm 0.4$	- <sup>f</sup>	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	T <u>GG</u> G
1199	$3.8 \pm 0.1$	< 1	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	GS <u>GG</u> G / XX <u>GG</u> G
1259	$7.7 \pm 0.5$	$4.6 \pm 0.3$	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	SS <u>G</u> G/ <b>XT<u>G</u>G</b>
1289	$4.5\pm0.2$	$20.8 \pm 3.1$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XX <u>L</u> G/ <b>LS<u>G</u>G/</b> GXS <u>G</u> G
1319	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	<mark>6.7 ± 1</mark>	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	<b>LL<u>G</u>G</b> /GL <u>L</u> G
1331	$4.5 \pm 0.2$	< 1	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	L <u>SG</u> G/XS <u>GG</u> G
1421	< 1	$1.6\pm0.4$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	LT <u>G</u> G/GSS <u>G</u> G
Fucosylated	-	-		

<sup>a</sup>Mass (m/z) of the  $[M+Na]^+$  adducts. <sup>b</sup>Hex, hexose; Pent, pentose; OAc, *O*-acetyl substituent. <sup>c</sup>Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) and as shown in Fig. 1. The structures in bold were characterized by York *et al.*, (1996), Jia *et al.*, (2003), Jia *et al.*, (2005) and Hoffman *et al.*, (2005). Underlined structures represent *O*-acetylated side chains. <sup>d</sup>Values are expressed as relative percentage and are the means  $\pm$  SD from MALDI spectra obtained after *endo*-glucanase digestion from three independent cell wall extractions. The five most abundant fragments are highlighted in yellow. <sup>c</sup>Relative abundance of these fragments corresponds to the total of the  $[M+K]^+$  and  $[M+Na]^+$  adducts. <sup>f</sup> not detected above the signal to noise ratio.

F	S. lycopersicum			S.	S.		
Mass	var. cerasiforme		var. St-Pierre	pimpinellifolium	peruvianum	- Composition	Dessible structure
( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> )	Cell Wall	КОН	Cell Wall	Cell Wall	Cell Wall	- Composition	Possible structure
953	$4.6 \pm 0.7$	$29.9 \pm 2.8^{\rm f}$	$7.1 \pm 0.3$	$15.8 \pm 2.6$	$6.2 \pm 0.5$	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub>	GXXG
995	$15.7 \pm 1.7$	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	$20.8 \pm 5.8$	$15.8 \pm 2.2$	$15.7 \pm 2.4$	$Hex_4Pent_2OAc_1$	XX <u>G</u> G/GS <u>G</u> G
1037	- <sup>e</sup>	-	$1.1 \pm 0.6$	-	-	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	S <u>GG</u> G
1055	$2.6 \pm 0.3$	-	$5.0 \pm 1.4$	$2.6 \pm 1.6$	-	Hex <sub>3</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub>	SSG
1085	$3.1 \pm 1.4$	$5.1 \pm 0.5$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$	$4.2 \pm 0.4$	$13.0 \pm 1.5$	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub>	XXXG/LSG/GXSG
1097	$1.8 \pm 0.9$	-	$3.5 \pm 0.3$	$2.1 \pm 1.0$	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	$Hex_3Pent_4OAc_1$	S <u>S</u> G
1115	$2.2 \pm 0.8$	$11.8\pm0.0^{ m f}$	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	$5.7 \pm 0.6$	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub>	LLG
1127	$6.1 \pm 1.8$	-	$3.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$2.8 \pm 0.2$	$Hex_4Pent_3OAc_1$	XS <u>G</u> G/SX <u>G</u> G
1157	$11.0 \pm 1.9$	-	$11.1 \pm 1.9$	$8.8 \pm 0.5$	$10.0 \pm 1.8$	$Hex_5Pent_2OAc_1$	GXXGG/LXGG
1199	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	-	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.9$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	GS <u>GG</u> G/XX <u>GG</u> G
1217	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	-	$1.1 \pm 1.3$	< 1	< 1	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub>	GSSG/GXTG
1247	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$24.2 \pm 1^{\mathrm{f}}$	$2.8 \pm 0.7$	$3.7 \pm 0.5$	$4.4 \pm 0.8$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub>	XXLG/GXXXG
1259	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	-	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$1.1 \pm 0.5$	< 1	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	SS <u>G</u> G/XT <u>G</u> G
1277	< 1	$10\pm0.6^{\rm f}$	< 1	$3.4 \pm 0.4$	< 1	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub>	GLLG
1289	$7.3 \pm 1.5$	-	$5.4 \pm 0.3$	$3.4 \pm 0.4$	$4.4 \pm 0.0$	$Hex_5Pent_3OAc_1$	XXLG/LSGG/GXSGG
1319	$11.5 \pm 1.8$	-	<mark>7.9 ± 1.3</mark>	$6.8 \pm 0.9$	$7.3 \pm 2.1$	$Hex_6Pent_2OAc_1$	LL <u>G</u> G/GL <u>L</u> G
1331	$1.0 \pm 1.5$	-	-	-	-	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	LSGG
1361	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	-	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	XL <u>GG</u> G
1379	< 1	-	$1.2 \pm 1.3$	< 1	-	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>4</sub>	XLSG
1393	< 1	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	$2.9 \pm 0.7$	$5.6 \pm 1.4$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub>	XXFG
1409	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$15.1\pm1.6^{\rm f}$	< 1	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub>	XLLG/XXFG*
1421	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	-	$1.4 \pm 0.6$	< 1	< 1	$Hex_5Pent_4OAc_1$	GSS <u>G</u> G
1435	$2.9 \pm 0.9$	-	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	$3.4 \pm 0.9$	$6.8 \pm 2.0$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XXFG
1451	$1.0 \pm 0.3$		$1.2 \pm 0.3$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XXL <u>G</u> G
1463	-	-	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	< 1	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$Hex_5Pent_4OAc_2$	XX <u>SG</u> G
1481	<mark>6.4 ± 2.3</mark>	-	$3.7\pm0.6$	$2.1 \pm 0.8$	$3.0 \pm 1.3$	$Hex_6Pent_2OAc_1$	GLL <u>G</u> G
1493	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	-	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	< 1	< 1	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	GXS <u>GG</u> G
1555	-	-	< 1	$2.0 \pm 0.6$	$2.1 \pm 0.7$	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub>	XLFG
1597	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	-	$1.0 \pm 0.6$	$2.8\pm0.9$	$2.8 \pm 1.0$	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	X <u>L</u> FG/XL <u>F</u> G
1639	$2.3\pm0.7$	-	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$2.1 \pm 0.7$	$Hex_6Pent_3Dox_1OAc_2$	X <u>LF</u> G
Fucosylated	$6.5 \pm 1.4$	-	$5.3 \pm 1.0$	$12.9 \pm 1.7$	$19.4 \pm 2.6$		
O-acetylated	$73.9\pm6.2$		$91.1 \pm 8.4$	$55.3\pm6.1$	$63.5\pm8.3$		

**Table S2.** Relative abundance of XyG oligosaccharides released after *endo*-glucanase digestion of the cell wall residue and the hemicellulose-enriched extract from tomato pollen tubes.

<sup>a</sup>Mass of the  $[M+Na]^+$  adducts. <sup>b</sup>Hex, hexose; Pent, pentose; Dox, deoxyhexose; OAc, *O*-acetyl substituent. <sup>c</sup>Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) and described in Fig. 1. Underlined structures represent *O*-acetylated side chains. <sup>d</sup>Values are expressed as relative percentage and are the means  $\pm$  SD from MALDI-TOF mass spectra obtained after *endo*-glucanase digestion from three independent pollen tube cell wall extractions. The five most abundant fragments are highlighted in yellow. <sup>e</sup> not detected above the signal to noise ratio. <sup>f</sup>Relative abundance of these fragments corresponds to the total of the  $[M+K]^+$  and  $[M+Na]^+$  adducts. \* adduct  $[M+K]^+$ . Structures in bold were characterized in *S*. *peruvianum* pollen tubes.

Mass <sup>a</sup>	Cell wall	КОН	Composition <sup>b</sup>	Possible structure <sup>c</sup>
953	$4.9 \pm 1.6^{d}$	$1.0 \pm 0.8$	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub>	GXXG
995	$3.6 \pm 3.4$	_ <sup>e</sup>	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XX <u>G</u> G/GS <u>G</u> G
1085	$11.7 \pm 2.7$	$5.2 \pm 1.2$	Hex <sub>4</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub>	XXXG
1157	$3.1 \pm 0.4$	-	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>2</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	LX <u>G</u> G
1247	$4.5 \pm 2$	$16.8\pm2.7^{\rm f}$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub>	XXLG/XLXG
1289	<mark>8.8 ± 1</mark>	-	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XX <u>L</u> G/X <u>L</u> XG
1393	$3.0 \pm 0.6$	$50.4 \pm 1.4^{\rm f}$	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub>	XXFG
1435	<mark>29.7 ± 1.9</mark>	-	Hex <sub>5</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	XX <u>F</u> G
1555	< 1	$26.6 \pm 3.3^{\rm f}$	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub>	XLFG
1597	<mark>6.2 ± 1.6</mark>	-	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub> OAc <sub>1</sub>	X <u>L</u> FG/XL <u>F</u> G
1639	<mark>23.0 ± 3.5</mark>	-	Hex <sub>6</sub> Pent <sub>3</sub> Dox <sub>1</sub> OAc <sub>2</sub>	X <u>LF</u> G
Fucosylated	$61.9 \pm 4.4$	$77 \pm 4.7$		
O-acetylated	$71.9\pm7.2$	-		

**Table S3.** Relative abundance of XyG oligosaccharides released after *endo*-glucanase digestion of the cell wall residue and the hemicellulose-enriched extract from *N. tabacum* pollen tubes.

<sup>a</sup>Mass (m/z) of the  $[M+Na]^+$  adducts. <sup>b</sup>Hex, hexose; Pent, pentose; Dox, deoxyhexose; OAc, *O*-acetyl substituent. <sup>c</sup>Possible structures of XyG fragments are shown according to the one-letter nomenclature proposed by Fry *et al.*, (1993) and as described in Fig. 1. Underlined structures represent *O*-acetylated side chains. <sup>d</sup>Values are expressed as relative percentage and are the means  $\pm$  SD from MALDI-TOF mass spectra obtained after *endo*-glucanase digestion from three independent pollen tube cell wall extractions. The five most abundant fragments are highlighted in yellow. <sup>e</sup>not detected above the signal to noise ratio. <sup>f</sup>Relative abundance of these fragments corresponds to the total of the  $[M+K]^+$  and  $[M+Na]^+$  adducts. Structures in bold were characterized in *Nicotiana alata* pollen tubes by Lampugnani *et al.*, (2013).

**Table S4.** Monosaccharide composition and glycosyl-linkage analysis of *N. tabacum* hemicellulose-enriched pollen tube extract.

Monosaccharide composition <sup>a</sup>		
(mol%)		
Glc	27.9	
Xyl	11.1	
Gal	25	
Ara	21.2	
Fuc	1.4	
GalA	4.3	
Rha	2.4	
Man	6.8	
Detected glycosyl-linkage <sup>b</sup>		
	3-Glcp (callose)	
	<b>4-Glc</b> <i>p</i> (XyG)	
<b>4,6-Glcp</b> (XyG)		
<b>t-Xylp</b> (XyG)		
	2-Xylp (XyG)	
	t-Galp (XyG, RG-I, AGP)	
<b>2-Gal</b> <i>p</i> (XyG)		
4-Galp (RG-I)		
	6-Galp (RG-I, AGP)	
	t-Araf (RG-I, AGP)	
	5-Araf (RG-I)	
	t-Fucp (XyG)	

<sup>a</sup>Determined by GC and expressed as mol%. Ara, arabinose; Fuc, fucose; Gal, galactose; Glc, glucose; GalA, galacturonic acid; Rha, rhamnose; Man, mannose; Xyl, xylose. <sup>b</sup>Determined by GC-MS of partially methylated alditol acetates. t-Araf denotes 1,4-di-*O*-acetyl-1-deuterio-2,3,5-tri-*O*-methyl-D-arabinitol, etc... Polymers that contain these glycosyl-linkages are indicated in brackets. XyG, Xyloglucan, RG-I, rhamnogalacturonan-I, AGP, arabinogalactan proteins. In bold are the glycosyl-linkages found in XyG. nd. not detected.