#### **Supplemental Material**

## Identification of a novel matrix protein that promotes biofilm maturation in *Vibrio* fischeri

Valerie A. Ray<sup>\*</sup>, Adam Driks, and Karen L. Visick<sup>#</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Health Sciences Division, Loyola University Chicago

\*Corresponding author
Department of Microbiology and Immunology
Health Sciences Division
Loyola University Chicago
2160 S. 1<sup>st</sup> Ave., Bldg. 105 Rm. 3933
Maywood, IL 60153
kvisick@luc.edu
(708) 216-0869
(708) 216-9574 (fax)

\*Current address Center for Microbial Interface Biology/Microbial Infection and Immunity The Ohio State University 460 W. 12th Ave Columbus, OH 43210 valerie.ray@osumc.com

Fig. S1A		
BmpA	MYQFFKITMLILLLVSSKVFAVAFDSCPSKAYLFQGKPVSVYGINLVTGTNSL	53
BmpB	MKSKHSISYLATLSIALGVSTQLQAAVPFESCPSQAFMLQNPSGTPIAYGISLDVGSYST	60
BmpC	MKYKQLIPIIALATASIPIHATIVDLD	27
	* *.: :: .	
BmpA	LQDDTGLSSNINGVGFNETDRYIYGFDTTNYNVVRLGQNFQATTLNVNGLPS-DKTFYVG	112
BmpB	LSWEVG-SGKINAVGYSVHDDFIYGWDYGAQSLTKIGSDFISNPLSVSNLNIGPTSFYVG	119
BmpC	FSNHIEDTNLNNSFGPSYDGPVMHFLNVGVHNGKTIDAKISSRIIGDATFLYHTPNYKEG :: ** **: :: *	87
		1.65
BmpA	DVYDHHYYVYRSGTGLYKIDLSPLDSNVNSTLTAQLITSTASVSLTDFAFHPS	165
BmpB	DVSTNESAWYGYRINNGLFKIDIDTLTMSQVATSTTIGKLRILDMAFHPD	147
Bwbc	STQPSGDIGFLYQTNSPGPAGLIYTFEFFDGTDGLSGTFSIPYTIPEFEMIGYDIDGEPV	14/
BmpA	NSRLYGVDNGSGGLYEFDINTGAATYIGDTGELGTFGAMYFDVDGYLYLSRNQD	219
BmpB	DGIIYSVDN-YGYLYQIDPTTGASTQLNQVISSSGVGHSLSFGAQYFDVDGTLYLSNNGN	228
BmpC	QSEQVR <b>V</b> YKNE <b>G</b> FFSYQTGSA <b>GAS</b> LTAEESPDGLS <b>V</b> LFT <b>G</b> PGTNYNETDTSGAVKFTYK <b>N</b>	207
	·. * · * · . · · · · · · · · ·	
BmpA	GQIYRVNLSTQAIIDSGVVPAIKFADGPYSNQNDGARCANAPLIDTDEPATIDFGDAPDT	279
BmpB	GYIYAVDINGMNSSSEFFAYGPSSNSNDGARCAFAAVGQNDNSDYGDAPDS	279
BmpC	TSIVTLQFETVTASNSPLPNPIFSAFDGNWDLDDFTPPIGSSDESDFGDAPDS	260
	* :::. :* . * . : :*:*: *:*****	
BmpA	NYGTTLAANGARHEMDGVTWLGASVDGDYYAAQSPDSDDS-ITSDDEDGVGFVTAIEP	336
BmpB	-YGTLYDSMGARHGVSDLR-LGDVVDGESDAYVYPLSDDASDSSNDDDGISFPVPIEI	335
BmpC	-YNTLKSNDGAEHAMTSTLYLGSSVDADTDGQPGIASNGDDLDVDGNDDDGITILTSLEK	319
	*.* **.* : ** **.: ** .:*:*:*	
BmpA	GLDSVITVNASTTGYLSAWFDWNDDGDFSDDGEQVITDKTLVAGSNNLVISVPFGAT	393
BmpB	QETSFIYANVNGAEGEGVLSAWIDWDQDGQFNDD-EIILNSEWVDDGQNQLYFNVPSWAL	394
BmpC	GLDALINVNASGSGYLQAWADWDMNGSFDEG-EQILTNHPIVSGVQIVPIRVSDSAT	375
	:.* .*     * *.** **: :*.* * :: : * : : *. *	
BmpA	VGETWSRFRFSQQTGLAYYGGSTSGEVEDHVVTIVDANTSQRHFPSADGYVTIAYEDNWP	453
BmpB	AGTTWARFRLSRTYDLGPNGGVSMGEVEDYQVTVTDQGVSVELYPSGAAYTTFAYEDQYP	454
BmpC	IGSVQTRFRLSSNPNIPSSGYVGDGEVEDYVFDVTDPGTTIQHSGYYTAAFEDNWP	431
	* . :***:* .: * *****: . :.*:* * *:**::*	
BmpA	ETADYDMNDMVLRYRITETLKDGDVAKVSISGQLVAVGASYHSGFAVRLAGIDATNIDSD	513
BmpB	KVGDYDMNDVLMNVKYTEYSHSNKVIQLRIDGQVAALGGTYRSGFAIRLPGISPSKIKSA	514
BmpC	EIGDFDLNDVVAYYRTTIVSKDGEVLRFDITGTIMAYGASYGNGLGWKLNGFSESDINLS	491
	· .*:*:**:· · * ·* · * * * * * · * * · · * * · · · ·	
BmpA	KTRLYYNSALQDGNAQESGMTEASFIVINDAIEVSSYNCYFFRTLDDCRE	563
BmpB	SVKLIIDGELQNTEVVESGTTDAVLIVHEDLWSFTESGEEEGCYMFRTQLGCGT	568
BmpC	LARLEKNGVTRANISPFTGEDKSIASPGGDLVVVASLNLREDIIINEECKFHRTNPSCSA	551
	.:* :. : :* .: * .: * :.** .*	
BmpA	DVGVEFELNFSLITPVTTGSMPAMPYDPFLYAT-PGFYHGPSFAQAPGRS	612
BmpB	QHRPSWTLIIPFEQPISQSQMPDFPYDPFIFAT-PGYYHGNDGLLVSGGHPGRG	621
BmpC	SLESEQMTFSISLPFNDGSEPSVSSLLPLNGADPFIFAAGYGLYHGDSFSTAPGTD	607
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

BmpA	YEVHLADQAPTEKFDTNFYQLAEDTSDPNTSRYFKTSNNMPWALLIYDEWKWPRERVD 6	70
BmpB	LEVHLKNKTPTSKFNYGYIGRSDDATNTAAGTYFHTSNGLPWAIELPLDWKHPIESIS 6	79
BmpC	LEIHTADFPPTSRGTLVSSFYGIAQDDSDPATSKYYRTTGNLPWGILISSPWNHPSEYID 6	67
	*:* : .**.: : .: ::* ::. :. *::*::**.: : *: * * :.	
BmpA	LAV <b>AYPQFA</b> DYT <b>TSG-G</b> GTH <b>TDWY</b> DITN <b>AI</b> ANKYY 704	
BmpB	ILDAYPQFANFAQDETGQTATDWYVTPITGKAYTD 714	
BmpC	IGDAYPDFAEWATSG-GTEKTTWYLNPTASNTWSTAD 703	
	: ***:**::: * * * * ·	
Fig. S1B		
BalA	MTSLMQLRTNTIKKGVI <b>MK</b> KVIMISMT <b>SL</b> ASLF <b>LI</b> VS <b>CSDS</b> GGF <b>SSGGTAP</b> TVAEEPE 58	3
BalB	MSTIVNKMGRICLILGLMILIAACNSDSSGSGDSTYSGGSSSTPSV 4	5
BalC	MKRINILLSIAIITFLSACSDSSSGTSGGAAPAAADVPKKE 43	1
	* :: :: :: :: :* :: . :	
BalA	-SVKTQDLVAPEGFSFNPIEEQRLIVDLSGSLPARAHLSVYSNFSEKDEGEYRVDYGSKI 1	17
BalB	QSVMDLEISAENNLDSVYSVDVDIDISSISTKRAFVSICNNSDAKG-DLSKLDFDMCF 1(	)3
BalC	SGIKTSELSVPEGFTFSTEREVTFDLSVASSQSDRGFMSIYTEFNEGAVDYTSQI 9	5
	.: ::.: **:	
BalA	IDVPLANG-AVDIEFAIANSLEESVVEIWLYDGSDPQQKKFVVDGTQWEWY 167	
BalB	VKGNLEQG-IGEFDLRVANHNDELITIIWVMEK-DREPLTYTFSHNKQKESYWLIN 157	
BalC	<pre>ILTPMNDSTEFKSSMMLPNHVDKVWVEIWYPSALGSEIKQMIDIVDNTVVATL 149</pre>	
	: : : : : ** : :	

**Figure S1. Alignment of the Bmp and Bal proteins, respectively.** Protein alignment (1-3) of (A) BmpA, BmpB, and BmpC or (B) BalA, BalB, and BalC. Residues in red are conserved between all three proteins, while those in blue are conserved between two of the three proteins. In (A) the bold black residues indicate the predicted Sec-dependent cleavage site.

Fig.	<b>S2</b>
------	-----------

		-
A	BmpA	MYQFFKITMLILLVSSKVFA VAFDSCPSK
	BmpB	MKSKHSISYLATLSIALGVSTQLQA AVPFE
	BmpC	MKYKQLIPIIALATASIPIHA TIVDLDFSN
B	BalA	MTSLMQLRTNTIKKGVIMK <b>kvimismtslaslfl ivsc sdsgg</b>
	BalB	MSTIVNKMGRICLILGLMIL IAAC NSDSS
	BalC	MKRINILLSIAIITF LSAC SDSSS

**Figure S2. Putative signal sequences of the Bmp and Bal proteins.** (A) Signal sequence prediction program SignalP (4) predicts the presence of a signal sequence at the N-termini of BmpA, BmpB, and BmpC. The inverted triangle indicates the predicted site of cleavage. (B) The Database of Bacterial Lipoproteins (DOLOP) (5) predicts that the Bal proteins are lipoproteins based on the presence of the following sequences: a charged residue (blue), followed by a hydrophobic stretch of amino acids (green), and a lipobox sequence ending in an cysteine residue (red); bold black sequences after the invariant cysteine do not appear to contain an inner membrane retention signal (6).

Fig. S3A



Fig. S3B



**Figure S3. Conservation of Bmp and Bal in other bacteria.** Conservation of the (A) Bmp protein and (B) the Bal protein in other bacteria. Sequences of BmpA and BalA, respectively, were submitted to KEGG (7, 8) for comparison with other proteins. The indicated trees were generated with a subset of the conserved proteins with the *V. fischeri* protein boxed for reference in each tree.



**Figure S4. Luminescence of the** *bmp* and *bal* mutants. The impact of *bmp* and *bal* mutations on bioluminescence was assessed by growing single, double, and triple *bmp* mutants and the wild-type control in SWTO, monitoring luminescence over time, and calculating specific luminescence as described in Materials and Methods. (A) The strains assessed are as follows: wild-type (ES114),  $\Delta bmpA$  (KV6886),  $\Delta bmpB$  (KV6638),  $\Delta bmpC$  (KV6787),  $\Delta bmpAB$  (KV7078),  $\Delta bmpAC$  (KV7079),  $\Delta bmpBC$  (KV6712), and  $\Delta bmpABC$  (KV6897). (B) The strains assessed are as follows: wild-type (ES114),  $\Delta balA$  (KV6890),  $\Delta balB$  (KV6924),  $\Delta balC$  (KV6923),  $\Delta balAB$  (KV7080),  $\Delta balAC$  (KV7081),  $\Delta balBC$  (KV7369), and  $\Delta balABC$  (KV7128). These data are representative of at least two independent experiments.

Fig. S5



**Figure S5. Impact of** *bal* **mutations on biofilm formation.** To assess the impact of *bal* on biofilm formation, we spotted cultures of various *bal* mutants onto LBS medium containing Tc and incubated them at room temperature. All strains overexpressed *rscS*. Images were collected up to 70 h for the following strains: wild-type control (ES114),  $\Delta balA$  (KV6890),  $\Delta balB$  (KV6924),  $\Delta balC$  (KV6923),  $\Delta balAB$  (KV7080),  $\Delta balAC$  (KV7081),  $\Delta balBC$  (KV7369), and  $\Delta balABC$  (KV7128). The images are representative of at least two independent experiments.



Figure S6. The biofilm defect of the *bmp* mutant can be complemented by *bmpA*. The ability of the *bmpA-balA* operon or *bmpA-FLAG* to complement the triple *bmp* mutant was assessed via wrinkled colony formation. In the experiment shown, cultures were spotted onto LBS medium containing Tc and incubated at room temperature for 22 h. The strains assessed were pKG11-containing derivatives of: wild-type (control; ES114),  $\Delta bmpABC$  (KV6897),  $\Delta bmpBC$  (KV6712),  $\Delta bmpABC$  attTn7::bmpA-balA (KV7062), and  $\Delta bmpABC$  attTn7::bmpA-FLAG (KV7274). The images are representative of at least two independent experiments.



Figure S7. A mixture of biofilm-defective *bmp* and *syp* strains permits wrinkled colony formation. We assessed the ability of each of the biofilm-defective syp mutants to complement the *bmp* mutant for wrinkled colony formation by spotting, onto LBS medium containing Tc, a mixture of the pKG11-containing  $\Delta bmpABC$  mutant with each of the following pKG11-containing syp mutant strains:  $\Delta sypB$  (KV5145),  $\Delta sypC$ (KV5192), Δ*sypD* (KV5067), Δ*sypH* (KV5193), Δ*sypI* (KV5068), Δ*sypJ* (KV5664),  $\Delta sypK$ (KV5097), *∆sypL* (KV5069), Δ*sypM* (KV5194), Δ*sypN* (KV5098), Δ*svpO* (KV5146), Δ*svpP* (KV5044), Δ*svpQ* (KV5099), and Δ*svpR* (KV5195). As controls, we spotted each strain separately as well as the biofilm-proficient pKG11containing wild-type strain ES114. In the experiment shown, cultures were spotted onto plates and incubated at room temperature for 48 h.

Fig. S8



**Figure S8. Evaluation of the interface of touching spots using epifluorescence.** To further examine the interface of touching spots, we utilized epiflurescence microscopy and the pARM7-containing  $\Delta sypL$  (KV5069) and  $\Delta bmpABC$  (KV6897) strains also harboring either constitutively expressed RFP (pVSV208) or GFP (pESY37) plasmid, respectively. Cultures were spotted onto plates and incubated at room temperature for 43 h. (A) A diagrammatic representation of the experiment and (B) the touching spots used to collect the epifluorescence data. (C) A DIC image of the interface, (D) the RFP channel only, (E) the GFP channel only, and (F) a merge of the RFP and GFP channels. Some mixing of the two strains is observed at the interface (F), but there does not appear to be a massive invasion. Images are representative of 2 independent experiments. Magnification for images (C-F) is at 40x.

Strains	Genotype	Reference
KV3299	$\Delta sypE$	(9)
KV4715	$\Delta sypA$	(10)
KV5044	ΔsypP	(11)
KV5067	$\Delta sypD$	(11)
KV5068	ΔsypI	(11)
KV5069	$\Delta sypL$	(11)
KV5097	$\Delta sypK$	(12)
KV5098	ΔsypN	(11)
KV5099	$\Delta sypQ$	(11)
KV5145	$\Delta sypB$	(11)
KV5146	$\Delta sypO$	(11)
KV5192	$\Delta sypC$	(11)
KV5193	$\Delta sypH$	(11)
KV5194	$\Delta sypM$	(11)
KV5195	$\Delta sypR$	(11)
KV5664	$\Delta sypJ$	(11)
KV6890	$\Delta balA$	This study
KV6923	$\Delta balC$	This study
KV6924	$\Delta balB$	This study
KV7080	$\Delta balA \ \Delta balB$	This study
KV7081	$\Delta balA \Delta balC$	This study
KV7128	$\Delta balA \Delta balB \Delta balC$	This study
KV7369	$\Delta balB \Delta balC$	This study

Table S1. Strains used in the supplemental material.

## Table S2. Plasmids used in this study.

Plasmid	Description	Relevant Primers <sup>1</sup>	Reference
pARM7	EcoRI partial digest of pKG11 (rscS); tetR	N/A	(13)
pEAH73	pKV69 carrying wild-type $sypG$ ; Cm <sup>R</sup> Tc <sup>R</sup>	N/A	(9)
pEAH121	pEVS107 + PsypI - lacZ EmR (FL + SE-I)	N/A	(14)
pESY37	pVSV105 (KpnI) + 1.3 bp BamHI/XmnI fragment from pKV111 containing <i>gfp</i> ; Cm <sup>R</sup>	N/A	(15)
pEVS104	Conjugal helper plasmid (tra trb); Kn <sup>R</sup>	N/A	(16)
pEVS107	Mini-Tn7 delivery plasmid; <i>oriR6K</i> , <i>mob</i> ; Kn <sup>R</sup> , Em <sup>R</sup>	N/A	(17)
pKG11	pKV69 carrying <i>rscS1</i> allele; Cm <sup>R</sup> Tc <sup>R</sup>	N/A	(15)
pKV69	$Cm^{R}, Tc^{R}, mob, oriT$	N/A	(18)
pKV363	Cm <sup>R</sup> , oriT, oriR6K, ccdB	N/A	(11)
pKV485	pKV363 containing 1.2 kb sequencing flanking <i>bmpB</i>	1536, 1537, 1538, 1539	This study
pKV486	pKV363 containing 1.2 kb sequencing flanking <i>bmpC</i>	1540, 1541, 1542, 1543	Thus study

pVAR77	pKV363 containing 1.2 kb sequencing flanking <i>bmpA</i>	1532, 1533, 1675, 1535	This study
pVAR78	pKV363 containing 850 bp sequencing flanking <i>balA</i>	1659, 1676, 1661, 1662	This study
pVAR80	pKV363 containing 1.2 kb sequencing flanking <i>balC</i>	1665, 1666, 1667, 1668	This study
pVAR81	pKV363 containing 1.2 kb sequencing flanking <i>balB</i>	1669, 1670, 1671, 1672	This study
pVAR88	pEVS107 containing ~2.8 kb fragment with the <i>native bmpA</i> promoter and <i>bmpA</i> and <i>balA</i>	1754, 1755	This study
pVAR94	pEVS107 containing ~2.5 kb fragment with <i>bmpA</i> with a C-terminal FLAG-tag	1754, 1644, 1830	This study
pVAR95	pEVS107 containing ~2.5 kb fragment with <i>bmpA</i>	1754, 1833	This study
pVAR96	pEVS107 containing ~3.3 kb fragment with the <i>native bmpA</i> promoter fused to <i>lacZ</i>	1754, 1821, 1824, 1827	This study
pVAR97	pEVS107 containing ~3.3 kb fragment with the <i>native bmpC</i> promoter fused to <i>lacZ</i>	1758, 1823, 1826, 1827	This study
pVSV105	Mobilizable vector, Cm <sup>R</sup>	N/A	(19)
pVSV208	Cm <sup>R</sup> , <i>rfp</i>	N/A	(19)

<sup>1</sup>Relevant primers for plasmids generated in this study; N/A, not applicable.

# Table S3. Primers used in this study.

Number	Primer <sup>1</sup>
1532	AAGGCTACGTGTGATAAATCG
1533	taggcggccgcacttagtatgTGAGCTGACTAATAAAAGTATTAG
1535	CCATCTCACGAATCTAACTCTTC
1536	TCACGTTGCCACCTAGTGC
1537	taggcggccgcacttagtatgCGTTGCAAGATAGGATATTGAGTG
1538	catactaagtgcggccgcctaGGCAAGGCTTATACAGATTAAGGAG
1539	CGTGGCAACTTCTGTGTGG
1540	CGATTATGGCTCGGAAGCC
1541	taggcggccgcacttagtatgCGCAGTAGCGAGGGCAATAATCGG
1542	catactaagtgcggccgcctaAATACTTGGTCAACAGCTGACTAAC
1543	GAGCTCCTTGTATTGCTTGG
1644	ggtaccttatttatcatcatctttataatcATAGTATTTGTTCGCAATTGCATTAG
1659	GATTGTCGAGAAGATGTCGG
1661	catactaagtgcggccgcctaGAATGGTATTAACTTAAAATAATAC
1662	GCCGAATTATCCGTTACAATTG
1665	GCATCACTTGAATCAGAGCAG
1666	taggcggccgcacttagtatgGATACTTAGTAATATATTAATTCG
1667	catactaagtgcggccgcctaGCCACTTTATAAACATCATTAAGC
1668	CCCAGTTGGACGTACACGC
1669	GGTTGTGGTACTCAACATCG
1670	taggcggccgcacttagtatgACAAATGCGACCCATTTTATTTAC

1671	catactaagtgcggccgcctaATTAATTAAAGAGACAAAAATGCC
1672	GAGATCGGTGTTGATGGGATC
1675	catactaagtgcggccgcctaGCAGACTATACGACGTCAGGCGGT
1676	taggcggccgcacttagtatgCATAATAACTTTTTTCATAATAAC
1754	gatctactagtggccaggtaccCGATGATATATTCTCAATTTGCAAT
1755	ccagtctagttctagagggcccCTCACATGTATTATTTTAAGTTA
1758	gatctactagtggccaggtaccGTAAAATTTATATTCTCATATTGATTC
1798	gccttgcgtataatatttgcccatggAAGTCGATTCTCATTCTGCAAA
1799	gccttgcgtataatatttgcccatggCTGCATTGCAAATTGAGAATATA
1800	gattacgccaagcttgcatgcCTGCAGGAATTCGAGCTCGGTACC
1821	tcctgtgtgaTGAGCTGACTAATAAAAGTATTAG
1823	tcctgtgtgaCGCAGTAGCGAGGGCAATAATCGG
1824	agtcagctcaTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGAC
1826	cgctactgcgTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGAC
1827	ccagtctagttctagagggcccGTACATAATGGATTTCCTTACGC
1827	ccagtctagttctagagggcccGTACATAATGGATTTCCTTACGC
1830	ccagtctagttctagagggcccTTATTTATCATCATCATC
1833	ccagtctagttctagagggcccCTTTTTAATAGTATTTGTTCGCAAT

<sup>1</sup>Non-native sequences are indicated with lower-case letters

#### Supplemental Methods

**Luminescence assays.** *V. fischeri* cultures were grown in LBS overnight at 24°C with shaking, then diluted to an optical density at 600 nm ( $OD_{600}$ ) of ~0.01 in 30 ml of SWTO and incubated at 24°C with vigorous shaking. Samples were taken every 30-60 minutes. At each time point, bioluminescence (using a Turner Designs TD-20/20 luminometer at the factory settings and a large, clear scintillation vial) and OD<sub>600</sub> (using a cuvette) were measured for each sample. Maximum luminescence was observed at OD<sub>600</sub> measurements between 1.5 and 2 for all strains. Specific luminescence was calculated as relative luminescence (the relative light units of 1 ml of culture integrated over a 6-second count) divided by the OD<sub>600</sub>.

**Epifluorescent microscopy.** Spots were made per Materials and Methods in the main body of the text. DIC and epifluorescent images were captured using an Optronics MagnaFire S60800 CCD Microscope Camera attached to a Leica DMIRB with a Prior Lumen 200 light source. Images are at 40x magnification (4x objective lens and 10x eyepiece). Images were processed using ImageJ.

### References

- 1. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG. 2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23:2947-2948.
- Goujon M, McWilliam H, Li W, Valentin F, Squizzato S, Paern J, Lopez R. 2010. A new bioinformatics analysis tools framework at EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res 38:W695-699.
- 3. **McWilliam H, Li W, Uludag M, Squizzato S, Park YM, Buso N, Cowley AP, Lopez R.** 2013. Analysis Tool Web Services from the EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res **41**:W597-600.
- 4. **Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H.** 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods **8:**785-786.
- Babu MM, Priya ML, Selvan AT, Madera M, Gough J, Aravind L, Sankaran K. 2006. A database of bacterial lipoproteins (DOLOP) with functional assignments to predicted lipoproteins. J Bacteriol 188:2761-2773.
- 6. **Tokuda H, Matsuyama S.** 2004. Sorting of lipoproteins to the outer membrane in *E. coli*. Biochim Biophys Acta **1694:**IN1-9.
- 7. **Kanehisa M, Goto S.** 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res **28**:27-30.
- 8. **Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M.** 2014. Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res **42:**D199-205.
- 9. **Hussa EA, Darnell CL, Visick KL.** 2008. RscS functions upstream of SypG to control the *syp* locus and biofilm formation in *Vibrio fischeri*. J Bacteriol **190:**4576-4583.
- 10. **Morris AR, Visick KL.** 2013. The response regulator SypE controls biofilm formation and colonization through phosphorylation of the *syp*-encoded regulator SypA in *Vibrio fischeri*. Mol Microbiol **87**:509-525.
- 11. Shibata S, Yip ES, Quirke KP, Ondrey JM, Visick KL. 2012. Roles of the structural symbiosis polysaccharide (*syp*) genes in host colonization, biofilm formation, and polysaccharide biosynthesis in *Vibrio fischeri*. J Bacteriol **194:**6736-6747.
- 12. **Shibata S, Visick KL.** 2012. Sensor kinase RscS induces the production of antigenically distinct outer membrane vesicles that depend on the symbiosis polysaccharide locus in *Vibrio fischeri*. J Bacteriol **194:**185-194.
- 13. **Morris AR, Darnell CL, Visick KL.** 2011. Inactivation of a novel response regulator is necessary for biofilm formation and host colonization by *Vibrio fischeri*. Mol Microbiol **82**:114-130.
- 14. **Ray VA, Eddy JL, Hussa EA, Misale M, Visick KL.** 2013. The *syp* enhancer sequence plays a key role in transcriptional activation by the  $\sigma^{54}$ -dependent response regulator SypG and in biofilm formation and host colonization by *Vibrio fischeri*. J Bacteriol **195:**5402-5412.

- 15. **Yip ES, Geszvain K, DeLoney-Marino CR, Visick KL.** 2006. The symbiosis regulator *rscS* controls the *syp* gene locus, biofilm formation and symbiotic aggregation by *Vibrio fischeri*. Mol Microbiol **62**:1586-1600.
- 16. **Stabb EV, Ruby EG.** 2002. RP4-based plasmids for conjugation between *Escherichia coli* and members of the *Vibrionaceae*. Methods Enzymol **358**:413-426.
- 17. **McCann J, Stabb EV, Millikan DS, Ruby EG.** 2003. Population dynamics of *Vibrio fischeri* during infection of *Euprymna scolopes*. Appl Environ Microbiol **69**:5928-5934.
- 18. Visick KL, Skoufos LM. 2001. Two-component sensor required for normal symbiotic colonization of *Euprymna scolopes* by *Vibrio fischeri*. J Bacteriol **183**:835-842.
- 19. **Dunn AK, Millikan DS, Adin DM, Bose JL, Stabb EV.** 2006. New *rfp-* and pES213-derived tools for analyzing symbiotic *Vibrio fischeri* reveal patterns of infection and lux expression *in situ*. Appl Environ Microbiol **72:**802-810.