nature neuroscience

Corresponding Author:	Philip L. De Jager	# Main Figures:	4
Manuscript Number:	NN-A48676-T	# Supplementary Figures:	6
Manuscript Type:	Article	# Supplementary Tables:	7
		# Supplementary Videos:	0

Reporting Checklist for Nature Neuroscience

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. For more information, please read Reporting Life Sciences Research.

Please note that in the event of publication, it is mandatory that authors include all relevant methodological and statistical information in the manuscript.

▶ Statistics reporting, by figure

- Please specify the following information for each panel reporting quantitative data, and where each item is reported (section, e.g. Results, & paragraph number).
- Each figure legend should ideally contain an exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, where n is an exact number and not a range, a clear definition of how n is defined (for example x cells from x slices from x animals from x litters, collected over x days), a description of the statistical test used, the results of the tests, any descriptive statistics and clearly defined error bars if applicable.
- · For any experiments using custom statistics, please indicate the test used and stats obtained for each experiment.
- Each figure legend should include a statement of how many times the experiment shown was replicated in the lab; the details of sample collection should be sufficiently clear so that the replicability of the experiment is obvious to the reader.
- For experiments reported in the text but not in the figures, please use the paragraph number instead of the figure number.

Note: Mean and standard deviation are not appropriate on small samples, and plotting independent data points is usually more informative. When technical replicates are reported, error and significance measures reflect the experimental variability and not the variability of the biological process; it is misleading not to state this clearly.

		TEST US	ED	n		DESCRIPTIVE STATS (AVERAGE, VARIANCE)		P VALUE		DEGREES OF FREEDOM & F/t/z/R/ETC VALUE		
	FIGURE NUMBER	WHICH TEST?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	DEFINED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	REPORTED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #
example	1a	one-way ANOVA	Fig. legend	9, 9, 10, 15	mice from at least 3 litters/group	Methods para 8	error bars are mean +/- SEM	Fig. legend	p = 0.044	Fig. legend	F(3, 36) = 2.97	Fig. legend
example	results, para 6	unpaired t- test	Results para 6	15	slices from 10 mice	Results para 6	error bars are mean +/- SEM	Results para 6	p = 0.0006	Results para 6	t(28) = 2.808	Results para 6

		TEST US	SED		n		DESCRIPTIVE ST (AVERAGE, VARIA		P VALI	JE	DEGREES FREEDON F/t/z/R/ETC	1 &
	FIGURE NUMBER	WHICH TEST?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	DEFINED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	REPORTED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #
+	1	linear model	metho ds p.10, line 8	708	ROS & MAP subjects	results para 1	beta	Table 1, Sup Table 2	varies per gene	results para 4	t-statistic, 1 df, varies by gene	methods p.10, line 8
+	2	logistic regression - AD analysis	metho ds p.10, line 11	708	ROS & MAP subjects	results, para 1	Odds Ratio	Table 1, Sup table 2	in table, varies per gene	results para 7	Wald Chi-Square, 1df Varies by gene	methods p.10, line 11
+	3	chi square	metho ds p.13, line 10	N/A	N/A	N/A	proportion of probes	Sup Table 4	in tables	results para 10	Chi-square 1 df	methods p.13, line 10
+	4	DAPPLE	metho ds page 14, line 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	measure of connectivity	result s para 12	in figure, permutation	methods , page 14, line 6	N/A	methods page 14, line 6

▶ Representative figures

1.	Are any representative images shown (including Western blots and
	immunohistochemistry/staining) in the paper?

If so, what figure(s)?

2. For each representative image, is there a clear statement of how many times this experiment was successfully repeated and a discussion of any limitations in repeatability?

If so, where is this reported (section, paragraph #)?

no			

N/A

▶ Statistics and general methods

1. Is there a justification of the sample size?

If so, how was it justified?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

Even if no sample size calculation was performed, authors should report why the sample size is adequate to measure their effect size.

Are statistical tests justified as appropriate for every figure?Where (section, paragraph #)?

No.

This is a discovery study. The effect size sought was unknown. Posthoc, our study was well-powered, finding 71 loci meeting a very conservative threshold of significance.

Online methods, paragraph 17, 24, 25, 26

	a.	If there is a section summarizing the statistical methods in the methods, is the statistical test for each experiment clearly defined?	Yes. The statistical tests are well described for the discovery and replications studies, as well as the secondary analyses of RNA data in the online methods section
	b.	Do the data meet the assumptions of the specific statistical test you chose (e.g. normality for a parametric test)?	Yes, the NP quantitative variable was transformed to meet the assumption of normality required for a linear regression analysis. Methods, p10, line 11
		Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	Methods, p10, line 11
	C.	Is there any estimate of variance within each group of data?	we tested for homoscedasticity and found no violation of the
		Is the variance similar between groups that are being statistically compared?	assumption of equality of variances Methods, p10, line 13
		Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	
	d.	Are tests specified as one- or two-sided?	All test and p-values reported are two sided
	e.	Are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?	Yes, Bonferroni
3.	Are crite	ria for excluding data points reported?	Yes, based on quality metrics. Online methods page 5, line 19
	Was this	criterion established prior to data collection?	
	Where is	this described (section, paragraph #)?	
4.		ne method of randomization used to assign subjects (or to the experimental groups and to collect and process data.	No randomization was used. All available subjects with autopsy material from the two cohorts were used.
	If no rand	domization was used, state so.	
	Where d	oes this appear (section, paragraph #)?	
5.		ment of the extent to which investigator knew the group a during the experiment and in assessing outcome included?	The investigator and his team were blinded to the phenotypic characteristics of the subjects during data generation.
	If no blin	ding was done, state so.	methods page 5, line 15
	Where (s	ection, paragraph #)?	
6.		riments in live vertebrates, is a statement of compliance with uidelines/regulations included?	N/A
	Where (s	ection, paragraph #)?	
7.	Is the spe	ecies of the animals used reported?	N/A
	Where (s	ection, paragraph #)?	
8.		ain of the animals (including background strains of KO/ic animals used) reported?	N/A
	Where (s	ection, paragraph #)?	
9.	Is the sex	of the animals/subjects used reported?	N/A
	Where (s	ection, paragraph #)?	

10. Is the age of the animals/subjects reported?	N/A
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
11. For animals housed in a vivarium, is the light/dark cycle reported?	N/A
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
12. For animals housed in a vivarium, is the housing group (i.e. number animals per cage) reported?	of N/A
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
13. For behavioral experiments, is the time of day reported (e.g. light o dark cycle)?	r N/A
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	N/A
14. Is the previous history of the animals/subjects (e.g. prior drug administration, surgery, behavioral testing) reported?	N/A
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	(
a. If multiple behavioral tests were conducted in the same group of animals, is this reported?	N/A
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
15. If any animals/subjects were excluded from analysis, is this reported	d? Yes, page 5, line 19
Where (section, paragraph #)?	
How were the criteria for exclusion defined?	Based on data quality metrics, page 5, line 19
Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	based off data quality metrics, page 3, line 13
where is this described (section, paragraph #):	
 Specify reasons for any discrepancy between the number animals at the beginning and end of the study. 	of N/A
Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?	
Reagents	
 Have antibodies been validated for use in the system under study (assay and species)? 	N/A
a. Is antibody catalog number given?	
Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?	

b. Where were the validation data reported (citation, supplementary information, Antibodypedia)?Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?							
2. If cell lines were used to reflect the properties of a particular tissue or disease state, is their source identified?	N/A						
Where (section, paragraph #)?							
a. Were they recently authenticated?Where is this information reported (section, paragraph #)?							
▶ Data deposition							
	a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences b. Macromolecular structures c. Crystallographic data for small molecules d. Microarray data Deposition is strongly recommended for many other datasets for which structured public repositories exist; more details on our data policy are available here. We encourage the provision of other source data in supplementary information or in unstructured repositories such as Figshare						
 Are accession codes for deposit dates provided? Where (section, paragraph #)? 	Data deposited and available through the RUSH University Alzheimer Disease Research Center, https://www.radc.rush.edu/ res/ext/home.htm						
► Computer code/software							
Any custom algorithm/software that is central to the methods must be supplied by the authors in a usable and readable form for readers at the time of publication. However, referees may ask for this information at any time during the review process.							
Identify all custom software or scripts that were required to conduct the study and where in the procedures each was used.	no custom software was used.						
 Is computer source code/software provided with the paper or deposited in a public repository? Indicate in what form this is provided or how it can be obtained. 	No. The software used are referenced.						

▶ Human subjects

1. Which IRB approved the protocol?

Where is this stated (section, paragraph #)?

2. Is demographic information on all subjects provided?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

RUSH University IRB, page 2, line 18

Yes, Supplementary Table 1

3.	Is the number of human subjects, their age and sex clearly defined?	Yes, Supplementary Table 1
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
4.	Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria (if any) clearly specified?	Yes, page 2, line 4
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
_	Have well was the assessment state of 2	N/A mains and an abusin in a manualitation than its
5.	How well were the groups matched?	N/A, primary analysis is a quantitative trait
	Where is this information described (section, paragraph #)?	
6.	Is a statement included confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects?	Yes, methods page 2 line 12
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
7.	For publication of patient photos, is a statement included confirming that consent to publish was obtained?	N/A
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	MRI studies	
	papers reporting functional imaging (fMRI) results please ensure that the prmation is clearly provided in the methods:	nese minimal reporting guidelines are met and that all this
1.	Were any subjects scanned but then rejected for the analysis after the data was collected?	
	If yes, is the number rejected and reasons for rejection described?	
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
2.	Is the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/ or subjects specified?	
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
3.	Is the length of each trial and interval between trials specified?	
4.	Is a blocked, event-related, or mixed design being used? If applicable, please specify the block length or how the event-related or mixed design was optimized.	
5.	Is the task design clearly described?	
	Where (section, paragraph #)?	
	. , , ,	
6.	How was behavioral performance measured?	
_		
/.	Is an ANOVA or factorial design being used?	

8.	For data acquisition, is a whole brain scan used?	
	If not, state area of acquisition.	
	a. How was this region determined?	
9.	is the field strength (in Tesla) of the MRI system stated?	
	 a. Is the pulse sequence type (gradient/spin echo, EPI/spiral) stated? 	
	b. Are the field-of-view, matrix size, slice thickness, and TE/TR/ flip angle clearly stated?	
10.	Are the software and specific parameters (model/functions, smoothing kernel size if applicable, etc.) used for data processing and pre-processing clearly stated?	
11.	Is the coordinate space for the anatomical/functional imaging data clearly defined as subject/native space or standardized stereotaxic space, e.g., original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152, etc? Where (section, paragraph #)?	
12.	If there was data normalization/standardization to a specific space template, are the type of transformation (linear vs. nonlinear) used and image types being transformed clearly described? Where (section, paragraph #)?	
13.	How were anatomical locations determined, e.g., via an automated labeling algorithm (AAL), standardized coordinate database (Talairach daemon), probabilistic atlases, etc.?	
14.	Were any additional regressors (behavioral covariates, motion etc) used?	
15.	Is the contrast construction clearly defined?	
16.	Is a mixed/random effects or fixed inference used?	
	a. If fixed effects inference used, is this justified?	
17.	Were repeated measures used (multiple measurements per subject)?	
	a. If so, are the method to account for within subject correlation and the assumptions made about variance clearly stated?	
18.	If the threshold used for inference and visualization in figures varies, is this clearly stated?	
19.	Are statistical inferences corrected for multiple comparisons?	

natu
JI
re n
eu
SO.
Ğ.
neuroscience
Ю
<u> </u>
rep
repor
reportin
<u>ā</u>
ig ch
<u>ā</u>
ig ch

a. If not, is this labeled as uncorrected?	
20. Are the results based on an ROI (region of interest) analysis?	
a. If so, is the rationale clearly described?	
b. How were the ROI's defined (functional vs anatomical localization)?	
21. Is there correction for multiple comparisons within each voxel?	
22. For cluster-wise significance, is the cluster-defining threshold and the corrected significance level defined?	
▶ Additional comments	
Additional Comments	