1 Methods

2 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and pulsed field gel electrophoresis 3 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination was performed using a commercially 4 prepared dehydrated panel (Sensititre; TREK Diagnostic Systems Ltd., East Grinstead, England) and according to previously published methodology (8). The tested 5 6 antimicrobials / classes in this study have been listed in Table 1. Clinical and Laboratory 7 Standards Institute (CLSI) (3) clinical breakpoints interpretative criteria for resistance (R) 8 were used except for the following antimicrobials; azithromycin, ceftiofur, colistin, 9 florfenicol, neomycin, spectinomycin, and streptomycin, respectively where epidemiological cut-off values according to EUCAST recommendations was used (http://www.eucast.org). 10 Apramycin was interpreted according to research results from DTU-Food. Quality control 11 12 was performed by using reference strain E. coli ATCC 25922 according to CLSI guidelines 13 (3,4). Procedures for conducting PFGE of the two S. Typhi isolates included this study have 14 15 previously been described and according to manufactures recommendation (8,13). A XML file of the PFGE profiles was forwarded to US CDC where PFGE patterns were 16 17 assigned based on the PulseNet USA database and the Global Typhi database. 18 19 Whole genome sequencing, multilocus sequence typing, antimicrobial resistance genes, 20 plasmid replicons, plasmid multilocus sequence typing, and restriction-modification system 21 Chromosomal DNA of the two S. Typhi isolates and plasmid DNA of transformant 22 #TY5359x MT102RN was extracted using an Invitrogen Easy-DNATM Kit (Invitrogen, 23 Carlsbad, CA, USA) and DNA concentrations were determined using the Qubit dsDNA BR 24 assay kit (Invitrogen). The genomic DNA was prepared for Illumina pair-end sequencing 25

1 using the Illumina (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) NexteraXT® Guide 150319425031942

2 following the protocol revision C

3 (http://support.illumina.com/downloads/nextera_xt_sample_preparation_guide_15031942.ht 4 ml). A sample of the pooled NexteraXT libraries using the Nextera XT DNA sample preparation kit (Illumina, cat. No. FC-131-1024) was loaded onto a Illumina MiSeq reagent 5 cartridge using MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 and 500 cycles with a Standard Flow Cell. The 6 7 libraries were sequenced using an Illumina platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) and 8 MiSeq Control Software 2.3.0.3. Twenty previously published S. Typhi genomic sequences; 9 the only ones containing full metadata profile were obtained from GenBank and Sanger Institute (accessed 20/12/2013). A total of 14 genomes from Asia were downloaded, of which 10 11 were from GenBank while the other three genomes were retrieved from Sanger bacterial 11 12 genome database. (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/downloads/bacteria/). Full genomic information is shown in Supplementary Table 1A. 13 Raw sequence data have been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive 14 http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB6961 under accession no. ERS525820 and 15 ERS525821. The raw reads were assembled using the pipeline available on the Center for 16 Genomic Epidemiology (CGE) (www.genomicepidemiology.org) which is based on Velvet, 17 algorithms for *de novo* short reads assembly (20). A complete list of genomic sequence data 18 19 is available in the Supplementary Table 1A. 20 The de novo assembled sequences including the plasmid DNA of the transformant #TY3559 x MT102RN were analyzed using similar pipelines available on the CGE website. The web-21 servers; MLST version 1.7, ResFinder version 2.0, PlasmidFinder 1.1, and pMLST 1.2 (19) 22 23 were used to identify the MLST sequence type for Salmonella enterica, the plasmid

24 replicons, the plasmid multilocus sequence typing and acquired antimicrobial resistance

- genes with a selected threshold equal to 85.00%. The identity and results of the Resfinder
 were compared with phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing results.
- 3

The sequence similarity of the identified plasmids were assessed based on a BLAST analysis
of the contigs related to the plasmids of the two *S*. Typhi isolates against the scaffold of a
previously published incHI2/incHI2A plasmid (pEC-IMPQ. Genbank acc no. EU855788).
Visual evaluation of the BLAST comparison was performed using the software BLAST Ring
Image Generator (BRIG) v0.95 (1). In addition, a reference assembly against pEC-IMPQ of
plasmid contigs from the two wild type strains was performed using the SNPtree webserver
as described below.

11

Integrity of the Restriction-Modification Systems (RMS) present in the two *S*. Typhi isolates were analyzed compared to 11 *S*. Typhi genomes of sufficient quality and full meta-data profile by using the web-server Restriction-ModificationFinder version 1.0 available from the CGE website (www.genomicepidemiology.org), with a threshold and minimum length equal to 80.00%. The webserver is based on the ResFinder software (19), and the database includes nucleotide sequences of all Type I-IV restriction- and modification enzymes with their cognate subunits recorded in REBASE (14).

The RM results were further explored by blasting the results against the Conserved Domain
Database at NCBI (<u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi</u>), and compared
with the reference genome of *S*. Typhi str. CT18.

22

23 Phylogenetic structure of S. Typhi using Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms,
24 identification of S. Typhi haplotypes, and genomic deletions

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were determined using the pipeline available on the
 Center for Genomic Epidemiology (www.genomicepidemiology.org) (9) The pipeline
 contains various freely available SNP analysis software. Fundamentally, the paired-end reads
 from ESBL isolates were aligned against the reference genome, *S*. Typhi str. CT18 (National
 Center for Biotechnology Information, accession: AL513382, length of 4·809·037 bp), using
 Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA)(10). SAMtools (11) 'mpileup' command and bedtools (12)
 [ref] were used to determine and filter SNPs.

8 Assembled genomes or contigs were aligned against the reference genome using the 9 application "Nucmer" of MUMmer version 3.23 (5). SNPs were identified from the alignments using "Show-snps" (using option "-Cl1rT") from MUMmer. Subsequently, SNPs 10 were selected when meeting the following criteria: 1) a minimum distance of 15 bps between 11 12 each SNP, and 2) all indels were excluded. The qualified SNPs from each genome were concatenated to a single alignment corresponding to position of the reference genome using 13 an in-house Perl script. In cases where SNPs were absent in the reference genome, they were 14 15 interpreted as not being a variation and the relatively base from the reference genome was expected (9). The concatenated sequences were subjected to multiple alignments using 16 MUSCLE from MEGA5 (18). The final phylogenetic SNP tree was computed by MEGA5 17 using the maximum likelihood method (17) of 1,000 bootstrap replicates (6). All SNPs 18 identified between the two isolates and the included public available genomes are listed in the 19 20 Supplementary Table 1B.

21

The haplotype of both genomes was assigned based on biallelic polymorphisms positions
(BiP) previously described (15). Positions based on WGST are listed in the Supplementary
Table 1C.

25

1	A BLAST atlas based on BLASTP (7) was used to detect putative deletions in a comparison		
2	of the genomes against the reference genome; CT18. Details of the genomic deletions		
3	detected among the isolates are listed in the Supplementary Table 1D.		
4			
5	Transferability of bla _{SHV-12} genes by conjugation		
6	Plate-mating experiments were performed with the two S. Typhi isolates as donors and		
7	plasmid-free, rifampicin and nalidixic acid resistant E. coli MT102RN as recipients (16). The		
8	strains were grown to both late exponential as well as stationary phase, mixed (1:1) and		
9	incubated on solid blood agar at both room temperature and at 37°C for 18 h.		
10	Transconjugants were selected on BHI medium supplemented with 25 μ g/ml rifampicin, 25		
11	μ g/ml nalidixic acid, and 4 μ g/ml ceftriaxone.		
12			
13	Plasmid characterization		
14	A transformant from the conjugation experiment described above was subjected to plasmid		
15	purification using Qiagen tip-100 as described by the manufacture (Qiagen, Hilden,		
16	Germany) followed by whole genome sequencing and sequence analysis.		
17	The draft assembly of the plasmid was subsequently uploaded to the web-services as		
18	described above to confirm the presence of the bla_{SHV-12} gene and origins or replication in the		
19	plasmid of the transformant #TY5359x MT102RN as well as presence of any non-ESC		
20	resistance determinants potentially co-transferred with the ESC plasmids.		
21			
22	S1 digestion for plasmid size determination		
23	PFGE with S1 nuclease (Promega, Madison, Michigan, USA) digestion of whole genomic		
24	DNA performed as described below was used to estimate sizes of larger plasmids present in		
25	wild type isolates as well as transformants (2). Following pre-incubation for 10 min in 1:10		

1	diluted S1 buffer, 2 m	m slices of PFGE plugs made from cultures with an OD_{620} of 0.6 were	
2	digested with 5 U of S	1 (Promega, Madison, Michigan, USA) for 45 min at 37°C. The slices	
3	were post-incubated on ice for 10 min in 200 μ L of ice-cold TE-buffer (10:1), loaded on the		
4	gel and run on a CHEF-DR III device (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA) with a pulse time		
5	of 6.8 s $-$ 38.4 s at 6 V/cm for 19 h. The reference strain S. Braenderup H9812 digested with		
6	XbaI was used as size marker.		
7			
8	Reference List		
9			
10 11 12	1. Alikhan, N. F., Ring Image Gen BMC.Genomics	N. K. Petty, N. L. Ben Zakour, and S. A. Beatson. 2011. BLAST erator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. . 12:402. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-402.: 402-412.	
13 14 15	 Bielak, E., R. D H. Hasman. 20 J. Antimicrob. C 	. Bergenholtz, M. S. Jorgensen, S. J. Sorensen, L. H. Hansen, and 11. Investigation of diversity of plasmids carrying the blaTEM-52 gene. Themother. 66 : 2465-2474.	
16 17 18	3. Clinical and La Antimicrobial S Wayne, PA, US	boratory Standards Institute . Performance Standards for usceptibility Testing. M100-S24 . 24th Informational Supplement. 2014. A.	
19 20 21	4. Clinical and La Susceptibility To Edition[Approve	boratory Standards Institute. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial ests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically. M07-A9 . 9th ed Standard]. 2012. Wayne, PA, USA.	
22 23	5. Delcher, A. L., large-scale geno	A. Phillippy, J. Carlton, and S. L. Salzberg . 2002. Fast algorithms for me alignment and comparison. Nucleic Acids Res. 30 :2478-2483.	
24 25	6. Felsenstein J . 1 bootstrap. Evolu	985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the tion 39 : 783-791.	
26 27 28	 Hallin, P. F., T. GeneWiz extens 371. 	T. Binnewies, and D. W. Ussery . 2008. The genome BLASTatlas-a ion for visualization of whole-genome homology. Mol.Biosyst. 4 : 363-	
29 30 31 32	8. Hendriksen, R. Pornruangmon 2012. Character endemic to Asia	S., H. S. Le, V. Bortolaia, C. Pulsrikarn, E. M. Nielsen, S. g, P. Chaichana, C. A. Svendsen, F. X. Weill, and F. M. Aarestrup. zation of isolates of <i>Salmonella enterica</i> serovar Stanley, a serovar and associated with travel. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50 : 709-720.	
33 34	9. Leekitcharoen M. Aarestrup.	Shon, P., R. S. Kaas, M. C. Thomsen, C. Friis, S. Rasmussen, and F. 2012. snpTreea web-server to identify and construct SNP trees from	

whole genome sequence data. BMC. Genomics. 13:S6. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-13-S7-1 2 **S6**. 10. Li, H. and R. Durbin. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-3 Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 25: 1754-1760. 4 5 11. Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, and R. Durbin. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. 6 Bioinformatics. 25: 2078-2079. 7 12. Quinlan, A. R. and I. M. Hall. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for 8 9 comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 26: 841-842. 10 13. Ribot, E. M., M. A. Fair, R. Gautom, D. N. Cameron, S. B. Hunter, B. Swaminathan, and T. J. Barrett. 2006. Standardization of pulsed-field gel 11 electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of Escherichia coli O157:H7, Salmonella, 12 13 and Shigella for PulseNet. Foodborne. Pathog. Dis. 3: 59-67. 14. Roberts, R. J., T. Vincze, J. Posfai, and D. Macelis. 2010. REBASE--a database for 14 DNA restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 15 38: D234-D236. 16 15. Roumagnac, P., F. X. Weill, C. Dolecek, S. Baker, S. Brisse, N. T. Chinh, T. A. Le, 17 C. J. Acosta, J. Farrar, G. Dougan, and M. Achtman. 2006. Evolutionary history of 18 Salmonella Typhi. Science. 314: 1301-1304. 19 16. Sirichote, P., H. Hasman, C. Pulsrikarn, H. C. Schonheyder, J. Samulioniene, S. 20 21 Pornruangmong, A. Bangtrakulnonth, F. M. Aarestrup, and R. S. Hendriksen. 22 2010. Molecular characterization of extended-spectrum cephalosporinase-producing Salmonella enterica serovar Choleraesuis isolates from patients in Thailand and 23 Denmark. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48: 883-888. 24 17. Tamura, K. and M. Nei. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in 25 the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26 **10**: 512-526. 27 18. Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, and S. Kumar. 2011. 28 29 MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28: 2731-30 31 2739. 19. Zankari, E., H. Hasman, S. Cosentino, M. Vestergaard, S. Rasmussen, O. Lund, F. 32 M. Aarestrup, and M. V. Larsen. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial 33 resistance genes. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67: 2640-2644. 34 20. Zerbino, D. R. and E. Birney. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read 35 36 assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. 18: 821-829. 37 38 39 40