Supporting Information

Catalytic reduction of CN⁻, CO and CO₂ by nitrogenase cofactors in **lanthanide-driven reactions ****

Chi Chung Lee, Yilin Hu,* Markus W. Ribbe*

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Unless otherwise specified, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Natural abundance ${}^{12}CO$ (99.5% purity) was purchased from Praxair (Danbury, CT). All isotope-labeled compounds (≥ 98% isotopic purity) were purchased from Cambridge Isotopes (Andover, MA).

Protein purification and cofactor extraction. *Azotobacter vinelandii* strains expressing His-tagged NifEN, MoFe protein and VFe protein were grown as described elsewhere.[1,2] Published methods were used for the purification of these nitrogenase proteins.[1,2] The L-, M- and V-clusters were extracted into *N*methylformamide (NMF) from 1.0 g of NifEN, MoFe protein and VFe protein, respectively, using a previously described method.^[3,4]

Reduction of CN⁻, CO and CO₂ by isolated clusters in reactions driven by SmI₂. A samarium (II) iodide (SmI2) stock solution was prepared by dissolving 404.2 mg of solid SmI₂ in 10 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran (THF). 2,6-lutidinium triflate (Lut-H) was produced by mixing triflic acid with 2,6-lutidine as described elsewhere.^[5,6] The reaction medium was made by dessolving 100 mM of LutH in dry dimethylformamide (DMF) and adjusting the pH of the solution to 9.0 with triethylamine. The reaction of $CN₋$ reduction contained, in a total volume of 25 mL of the Lut-H/triethylamine reaction medium, 20 mM SmI2, 0.45 μmole of NMF-extracted/isolated L-, V- and Mclusters, and 100 mM tetrabutylammonium cyanide $[(CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)_{4}N(CN)]$. The reaction of CO or $CO₂$ reduction was of the same composition, except that tetrabutylammonium cyanide was replaced by 100% CO or $CO₂$ that was bubbled into the reaction medium before the addition of $Sml₂$ and isolated clusters. The negative controls were prepared with the same reaction mixture, except for the omission of either the isolated cluster or the reductant. All reactions were run at ambient temperature and pressure for varying lengths of time. For gas chromatograph-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) experiments with isotope labels, natural abundance $(CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)_{4}N(^{12}CN)$, ^{12}CO and $^{12}CO_2$ were replaced by $(CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)$ ₄N(¹³CN), ¹³CO and ¹³CO₂, respectively (also see below).

Activity analysis of CN⁻, CO- and CO₂-reduction by isolated clusters. The products CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₆, C₃H₈, 1-C₄H₈ and n -C₄H₁₀ were measured by gas chromatography (GC) on an activated alumina column (Grace, Deerfield, IL), which was held at 55°C for 2 min, heated to 200°C at 15°C/min, and held at 200°C for another 2 min. For the SmI₂-driven reactions, the reaction vials were heated to 40° C to better vaporize the hydrocarbon products. The quantities of all products were determined as described previously^[7,8] by using a purchased Scott (Air Liquide America Specialty Gases LLC, Plumsteadville, PA) gas mixture containing ~15 ppm of each hydrocarbon compounds. The detection thresholds for the products were, in nmole/umole cluster, 0.8 (CH₄), 0.7(C₂H₄), 0.9 (C₂H₆), 0.6 (C₃H₆), 0.9 (C₃H₈), 1.1 (1- C_4H_8) and 1.3 (*n*-C₄H₁₀).

Gas chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis. The hydrocarbon products were identified by GC-MS using a Thermo Trace 1300 GC coupled to an ISQLT MSD (Thermo Electron North America LLC, Madison, WI). The identities of CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₆, C₃H₈, 1-C₄H₈ and *n*-C₄H₁₀ were confirmed by comparing their masses and retention times with those of the Scott standard *n*-alkane and 1alkene gas mixture (Plumsteadville, PA). A total of 50 μL gas was injected into a split/splitless injector operated at 125°C in splitless mode. A 1 mm ID liner was used to optimize sensitivity. Gas separation was achieved on a Restek (Bellafonte, PA) PLOT-QS capillary column (0.320 mm ID x 30 m length), which was held at 40°C for 1 min, heated to 220°C at 10°C/min, and held at 220°C for another 3 min. The carrier gas, helium (He), was passed through the column at 1.0 mL/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in electron impact (EI) ionization and selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode.

REFERENES:

- 1. C. C. Lee, Y. Hu, M. W. Ribbe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2009**, *106*, 9209–9214.
- 2. Y. Hu, A. W. Fay, M. W. Ribbe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2005**, *102*, 3236.
- 3. A. W. Fay, M. A. Blank, C. C. Lee, Y. Hu, K. O. Hodgson, B. Hedman, M. W. Ribbe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12612–12618.
- 4. A. W. Fay, M. A. Blank, C. C. Lee, Y. Hu, K. O. Hodgson, B. Hedman, M. W. Ribbe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2011**, *50*, 7787–7790.
- 5. R. R. Schrock, R. M. Kolodziej, A. H. Liu, W. M. Davis, M. G. Vale, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4338–4345.
- 6. R. Cordone, W. D. Harman, H. Taube, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 2896– 2900.
- 7. C. C. Lee, Y. Hu, M. W. Ribbe, *Science* **2010**, *329*, 642.
- 8. Y. Hu, C. C. Lee, M. W. Ribbe, *Science* **2011**, *333*, 753–755.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE

Cluster		M-cluster			V-cluster			L-cluster		
Substrate		CN ⁻	$\mathsf{co}\,$	CO ₂	CN	$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}$	CO ₂	CN ⁻	\mathbf{CO}	CO ₂
Product*										
Methane	CH ₄	$7157 + 821$	$1297 + 143$	$806 + 98$	$6173 + 514$	$1223 + 131$	$1166 + 129$	$8276 + 914$	$2414 + 214$	$1523 + 143$
Ethene	C_2H_4	$1592 + 134$	$148 + 17$	$13 + 1.7$	$1437 + 117$	$165 + 17$	$17 + 1.9$	$884 + 107$	$124 + 16$	$21 + 2.9$
Ethane	C_2H_6	$1373 + 151$	$365 + 44$	$20 + 1.7$	$1149 + 98$	$291 + 33$	$29 + 3.5$	$1205 + 187$	$501 + 49$	$40 + 5.1$
Propene	C_3H_6	$182 + 21$	$61 + 8$	$0.7 + 0.1$	$143 + 13$	$48 + 4.0$	$1.2 + 0.13$	$68 + 11$	$66 + 13$	$1.7 + 0.23$
Propane	C_3H_8	$226 + 32$	$102 + 11$	$1.0 + 0.1$	$197 + 25$	$84 + 10$	$1.3 + 0.21$	$149 + 24$	$151 + 16$	$2.3 + 0.22$
1-Butene	C_4H_8	$41 + 6.1$	$10 + 0.8$	Ω	$51 + 8.1$	$9.1 + 0.13$	Ω	$7.0 + 1.3$	$17 + 1.5$	0
n-Butane	C_4H_{10}	$52 + 6.0$	$27 + 2.1$	Ω	$67 + 7.9$	$25 + 3.3$	Ω	$13 + 1.7$	$24 + 2.9$	Ω
Carbon monoxide	CO	$- -$	$- -$	$545 + 86$	$- -$	$- -$	$580 + 93$	$- -$	$- -$	$664 + 87$
Hydrogen	H ₂	13900 + 904			$5260 + 591$ 5404 + 512 11070 + 1073 4730 + 431		$4950 + 379$	14030 +1137 4773 + 587		$4677 + 519$
TON	C-based	15	3.0	1.4	13	2.7	1.8	13	4.5	2.3

Table S1. Activities of product formation by M-, V- and L-clusters in the reactions of CN-, CO and CO2 reduction.

*Activities are background-corrected expressed in nmol product per assay.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE

Fig. S1. Nitrogenase cofactors. Shown are the structural models of (A) M-cluster, (B) V-cluster, and (C) L-cluster. PYMOL was used to generate this figure. Atoms are colored as follows: Fe, orange; Mo, blue green; V, dark grey; S, yellow; C, light grey; O, red.

Fig. S2. Reduction of CO₂ (upper) and 0.4 % CO (lower) to C2 and C3 hydrocarbons (HC) by nitrogenase cofactors. The CO concentration used in the experiments (0.4 %) represents the maximum amount of CO produced from $CO₂$ reduction overnight.