# Caging metal ions with light-responsive nanopolymersomes

*Julianne C. Griepenburg,‡a Nimil Sood.‡b Kevin B. Vargo,<sup>b</sup> Dewight Williams,<sup>c</sup> Jeff Rawson,<sup>d</sup> Michael J. Therien,<sup>d</sup> Daniel A. Hammer,b,e Ivan J. Dmochowski\*,a*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, 220 South 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104

<sup>c</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 3700 Hamilton Walk , Philadelphia, PA 19104

<sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, Duke University, 124 Science Drive, Durham, NC 27708

<sup>e</sup>Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, 210 South 33rd Street, 240 Skirkanich Hall, Philadelphia, PA 19104

## **Table of Contents**



**Figure S1: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) of nano-polymersomes self-assembled with different concentrations of OB29 in DMSO**



DLS measurements showing differences in vesicle size with varying OB29 concentration in 30% DMSO/70% PBS.



**Figure S2: DLS of nano-polymersomes self-assembled with different percentages of DMSO in buffer** 

DLS measurements showing no significant effect on organic (OB29 in DMSO) to aqueous (0.1 M PBS) ratio. Percentage represents percent DMSO in final solution.

**Figure S3: Cryo-TEM of nano-polymersomes self-assembled with different percentages of DMSO in buffer** 



Cryo-TEM images showing the effect of organic-to-aqueous ratio on vesicle morphology. A) 10% DMSO resulted in vesicles and worm-like structures. B, C) 30% and 50% DMSO resulted in uniform, unilamellar vesicles. D) 70% DMSO resulted in vesicles and worm-like structures.



**Figure S4: DLS of nano-polymersomes with varying vortex time for self-assembly** 



DLS showing the effect of vortex time on vesicle size. Vesicles were prepared by directly injecting OB29 in 30% DMSO into 0.1 M PBS followed by immediate vortexing. Vesicle size converged by 5 min for both 1 mM and 3 mM OB29 concentrations.

**Figure S5: Cryo-TEM of nano-polymersomes with varying vortex time for self-assembly** 



Cryo-TEM images showing the effect of vortex time on vesicle morphology. Vesicles were prepared by directly injecting OB29 in 30% DMSO into 0.1 M PBS followed by immediate vortexing. A) 1-min vortexing resulted in a large number of micelles. B) 5-min vortexing resulted in uniform, unilamellar vesicles. C, D) 10-min and 20-min vortexing showed no significant change from 5 min.





Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements for four independent nano-polymersome samples. Vesicles were prepared by directly injecting OB29 in 30% DMSO into 0.1 M PBS followed by immediate vortexing (final OB29 concentration of 1.5 mM). The average hydrodynamic radius was determined to be  $120 \pm 20$  nm.

**Figure S7: Structure of meso-to-meso ethyne-bridged (porphinato)zinc(II) dimer (PZn2)** 



**Equation S1: Equation used to calculate fractional release** 

% Release = 
$$
\frac{Em\text{ irradiated} - Em\text{ background}}{Em\text{ triton} - Em\text{ background}} \times 100\%
$$



**Figure S8: FITC release from nano-polymersomes without PZn2 or dextran** 

Nano-polymersomes were made with no  $PZn<sub>2</sub>$  in the membrane and without dextran in the aqueous core. These vesicles were subjected to 20-min irradiation (488, 515, 543, 633 nm lasers), and fluorescence intensity was compared to a positive control sample (Triton-X added to 0.1 vol%). Release from these vesicles was negligible.

#### **Methods S1: Cryo-TEM**

 Krishna P. Singh Center for Nantechnology: Lacey formvar/carbon grids (Ted Pella) were cleaned with chloroform to remove the formvar coating, carbon coated with a Quorum Q150 ES carbon coater (Quorum Technologies, UK), and cleaned with hydrogen/oxygen plasma for 15 seconds using a Solarus Advanced Plasma System 950 (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA). The polymersome sample  $(2 \mu L)$  was deposited onto the grid and inserted into a cryoplunger  $(Cp3,$ Gatan). The sample was blotted by hand with filter paper and plunged into liquid ethane. The samples were subsequently transferred to a Gatan CT3500TR cryoholder and inserted quickly into a JEOL 2100 HRTEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 200 keV. Images were captured using an Orius SC200 digital camera.

 Electron Microscopy Resource Laboratory: Lacey carbon grids were glow discharged for 20 seconds at 25 mA to create a hydrophilic surface. Polymersome sample  $(3 \mu L)$  was applied and a thin film was formed by blotting the grid with filter paper. Vitreous ice was formed by rapid plunging into liquid ethane cooled to -180 °C by liquid nitrogen. Frozen hydrated samples were observed at -178 °C in a FEI (Hillsboro, OR.) Tecnai-12 microscope operated at 120 keV at magnifications between 6,500 and 15,000. Images were recorded on a Gatan (Warrendale, PA) US 1000 2048² CCD camera. All vesicle measurements were performed in Digital Micrograph.

### **Figure S9: Nano-polymersome distribution** *in vivo*



Nano-vesicles were microinjected into zebrafish embryos at the 1-cell stage and monitored for A) PZn2 emission and B) Texas Red emission. Embryos were imaged again at 20 hpf and monitored for C) PZn<sub>2</sub> emission and D) Texas Red emission. Collections of multiple embryos (top) were imaged with 4x objective. Single embryos (bottom) were imaged with 10x objective.

**Figure S10: Hydrodynamic diameter of metal ion-loaded nano-polymersomes determined by DLS** 



The average hydrodynamic diameter of vesicles containing  $Ca^{2+}$  was determined to be 120 nm (blue). The average hydrodynamic diameter of vesicles containing  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  was also determined to be 120 nm (red).

### **Equation S2: Ion release approximation**

The number of vesicles can be approximated as follows:

# of vesicles(n) = 
$$
\frac{\text{(total polymer)}\text{(vesicle surface area)}}{\text{polymer chain density}}
$$

The chain density is approximated to be 1 chain/nm<sup>2</sup>, which has previously been used to estimate vesicle number.<sup>1</sup> The number of released ions can be determined as follows:

$$
# ions (c) = (release concentration)(total volume)(Avogadro's#)
$$

The following calculation is done for  $Ca^{2+}$  release (760 µM). Here we assume a polymersome diameter of 120 nm and a membrane thickness of 10 nm.

$$
n = \frac{\left(\frac{1.5mmol}{1000mL} \times \frac{0.3mL}{1} \times \frac{mol}{10^3mmol} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} chains}{mol}\right) \left(\frac{vesicle}{4\pi (50^2 + 60^2)nm^2}\right)}{1. chain}
$$
  

$$
c = \frac{760 \times 10^{-6} mol}{1000mL} \times \frac{1mL}{1} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} ions}{mol}
$$
  

$$
\frac{c}{n} = \frac{4.58 \times 10^{17} ions}{3.54 \times 10^{12} vesicles} = 130,000 ions/vesicle
$$

A similar calculation can be done for  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  release (35 µM), where  $c/n = 6,000$  ions/vesicle.

### **Reference**

(1) Christian, N. A.; Milone, M. C.; Ranka, S. S.; Li, G.; Frail, P. R.; Davis, K. P.; Bates, F. S.; Therien, M. J.; Ghoroghchian, P. P.; June, C. H.; Hammer, D. A. Tat-functionalized near-infrared emissive polymersomes for dendritic cell labeling. *Bioconjugate Chem.*  **2007,** *18*, 31-40.