Maxwell CJ, Stock K, Seitz D, et al. Persistence and adherence with dementia pharmacotherapy: relevance of patient, provider, and system factors. Can J Psychiatry. 2014;59(12):624–631.

eTable 1. Summary of Predictors of Persistence and Adherence with Dementia Pharmacotherapy.

			Studies on Pers	istence		Studies on Adherence					
Characteristic	Total # Studies	Higher Persistence	Lower Persistence	Non-Significant Association	Comments	Total # Studies	Higher Adherence	Lower Adherence	Non- Significant Association	Comments	
<b>Patient</b> Older age	20	<b>1</b> [44]	<b>7</b> [34,38,39,45,46, 50,53]	12 [35,36,37,42,43, 47,49,51,52,55, 56,59]	Most studies: older age = 75+ or 80+	1	<b>1</b> [62]	0	0	Older age = 86+	
Female sex	19	0	<b>3</b> [34,43,44]	16 [35,36,37,38,39, 45,46,47,49,50, 51,52,53,55,56, 59]		1	0	<b>1</b> [62]	0		
Race (non- Caucasian)	3	0	<b>2</b> [51,59]	<b>1</b> [37]	Assessed ethnicity (European v. Other) [37]	1	0	<b>1</b> [63]	0		
Socioeconomic status / financial barrier	5	0	<b>2</b> [37,44]	<b>3</b> [36,39,47]	Paying for greater proportion of prescription costs [44]; Lower educational attainment [36,37,39,47]; & household income [36,47]	2	0	<b>2</b> [62,64]	0	Higher cost medication (Higher formulary tier) [62]; High cost sharing v. low cost sharing for specific ChEI - unclear if within drug difference significant [64]	
Caregiver in the home	4	0	0	<b>4</b> [36,39,47,49]		0					

Greater Cognitive Impairment (disease severity)  Table 1 continued. Characteristic	7	0 Higher	2 [44,49]	5 [36,37,39,42,47] Non-Significant	Decline in MMSE may better predict discontinuation [36,47]	O Total #	Higher	Lower	Non-	Comments
	Studies	Persistence	Persistence	Association		Studies	Adherence	Adherence	Significant Association	
Number of comorbid conditions	10	<b>2</b> [44,50]	<b>1</b> [43]	<b>7</b> [34,36,37,39,52, 55,56]	Chronic Disease Score [CDS] measure of comorbidity based on drug # & type from prescription claims [43,44,50]	1	0	<b>1</b> [62]	0	
Pill burden	3	0	0	<b>3</b> [38,39,45]	Number of Medications [38,39,45]	2	<b>2</b> [62,64]	0	<b>1</b> [64Σ]	Number of medications [62,64]; High pill burden v. low for donepezil [64]; High v. low for rivastigmine patch [64Σ] — unclear if within drug difference significant [64]
Concurrent drug use	7	2 [43,45] Cardiac, Parkinson's, propulsives,* SSRIs,* BZDs (<6 months), antipsychotic drugs*(>6	3 [47,55,59]  CNS drugs [55]; Drugs that impair cognition (BZDs, anticholinergics, antipsychotics, opioids) [59];	5 [36,38,43,45,47] Anticholinergics [43]; Antipsychotics [36,38,45,47]; Antidepressant [38];		0				

Table 1 continued		months) [43]; Anti- depressants [45]	Anticholinergics [47]	Anticoagulant, antiplatelet, anti- diabetic, hypolipemic, antiasthmatic drugs, NSAIDs [45]						
Characteristic	Total # Studies	Higher Persistence	Lower Persistence	Non-Significant Association	Comments	Total # Studies	Higher Adherence	Lower Adherence	Non- Significant Association	Comments
<b>Drug</b> Donepezil v. Rivastigmine	14	<b>6</b> [34,35,38, 48,51,52]	0	<b>8</b> [39,46,50,53,55, 56,57,58]	Note: for all 3 comparisons, unclear if difference significant in adjusted analyses [35]	1	0	1 [61]	0	Note: adherence high (94%) for all 3 ChEIs[61]
Donepezil v. Galantamine	11	<b>2</b> [34,51]	<b>5</b> [35,38,46,48 50]	<b>4</b> [39,52,53,58]		1	0	0	<b>1</b> [61]	
Galantamine v. Rivastigmine	12	<b>4</b> [35,38,43, 48]	0	<b>8</b> [34,39,46,50,51, 52,53,58]		1	0	1 [61]	0	Difference may not be statistically significant - not specified [61]
Ineffective dose	3	0	<b>2</b> [36,43]	1 [47]	<pre>&lt;5mg/day donepezil; &lt;6mg/day (or &lt;9mg/day [43]) rivastigmine; &lt;16mg/day galantamine</pre>	0				
Ease of Use	2	<b>2</b> [38,48]	0	0	Galantamine ER (1/day) v. Galantamine IR (2/day) [48]; Once daily donepezil v. twice daily rivastigimine [38]	1	<b>1</b> [64]	0	0	Rivastigmine transdermal patch v. oral medication

Memantine	2	<b>2</b> [35,38]	0	0	Memantine + ChEI v. ChEI alone [38]; Memantine v. ChEI [35]	0				
Table 1 continued.										
Characteristic	Total # Studies	Higher Persistence	Lower Persistence	Non-Significant Association	Comments	Total # Studies	Higher Adherence	Lower Adherence	Non- Significant Association	Comments
Health System / Setting Frequent physician visits Hospitalization	5	2 [44,55] <b>2</b> [50,55] -LOS [50]	<b>3</b> [36,42,47]	1 [50] 1 [50] -#hospitalizations & ER visits	AD-related hospitalization [36]; Any hospitalization [55,47]; Hospice admission [42]; Hospitalization in year prior to index ChEl prescription [50] Hospitalization prior to ChEl discontinuation	0				
LTC v. community	5	3	0	2	[36,42,47,55]	0				
		[46,54,59]		[44,51]						
Rural Setting	1	0	0	<b>1</b> [44]		0				
Provider / Prescriber Specialty: GP v. specialist	2	0	1	1		0				
Specialty: Psychiatrist v. Geriatrician	2	0	[50] <b>1</b> [36]	[45] 1 [45]		0				

Specialty:	2	1	0	1	0		
Neurologist v.		[36]		[45]			
Geriatrician				1			