Table S1. Species and Tissue Samples Used in This Study, Related to Figure 1 and Experimental Procedures

Species common name	Species scientific name	Age of sexual maturity / lifespan	Provider	Provider class	Number of replicates	Sex	Age	Age group	Tissue preparation ^b
Human Hsap	Homo sapiens	12-15 years / 80 years	Addenbrookes Hospital (UK)	Hospital	4	All M	unknown	adult	fresh
Macaque Mmul	Macaca mulatta	4 years / 20 years	Medical Research Council (UK)	Research colony	4	M, M, M, F	unknown, 18, 5, 11 (years)	adult, juvenile	fresh
Vervet Csab	Chlorocebus aethiops sabaeus	2-5 years / 11-13 years	Vervet Research Colony (US)	Research colony	3	All F	8, 9, 9 (years)	adult	fresh
Marmoset Cjac	Callithrix jacchus	1.5 years / 12 years	Harlan ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	unknown	adult	fresh
Mouse Mmus	Mus musculus domesticus	6-8 weeks / 1-3 years	Charles river (UK)	Research colony	4	All M	10 weeks	adult	fresh
Rat Rnor	Rattus norvegicus	5 weeks / 1-3 years	Harlan ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	10 weeks	adult	fresh
Guinea pig Cpor	Cavia porcellus	3-5 weeks / 4-8 years	Harlan ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	10 weeks	adult	fresh
Naked mole rat Hgla	Heterocephalus glaber	8-12 months / 30 years	UIC (US)	Research colony	3	All M	1 year	adult	fresh
Rabbit Ocun	Oryctolagus cuniculus	5-6 months / 8-12 years	Harlan ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	7,12,12 (months)	juvenile, adults	fresh
Tree shrew Tbel	Tupaia belangeri	4-5 months / 9-12 years	Cardiff University (UK)	Research colony	3	M, M, F	16, 3, 6 (months)	adult, juveniles	fresh
Cow Btau	Bos taurus	8-12 months / 15 years	B&K ltd (UK)	Commercia I	4	All M	2, 1.5, 2, 2 (years)	adult	fresh

Species common name ^a	Species scientific name	Age of sexual maturity / lifespan	Provider	Provider class	Number of replicates	Sex	Age	Age group	Tissue preparation ^b
Dolphin Ddel	Delphinus delphis	12-15 years / 22 years			1	М	unknown	adult	frozen
(short-beaked common dolphin and white-beaked dolphin)	Lagenorhynchus albirostris	uknown / 25 years	UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme,	Specialised research	1	F	unknown	adult	frozen
Sei whale Bbor	Balaenoptera borealis	8-10 years / 50-70 years	Zoological Society of London (UK)	programme	1	F	unknown	juvenile	frozen
Sowerby's beaked whale Mbid	Mesoplodon bidens	7 years / unknown	,		2	Both F	unknown	juvenile	frozen
Pig Sscr	Sus scrofa	6 months / 10-15 years	Harlan ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	2 years	adult	fresh
Dog Cfam	Canis familiaris	1 year / 12-15 years	Harlan ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	2.5, 1, 1 (years)	adult, juveniles	fresh
Cat Fcat	Felis catus	5-10 months / 15 years	Isoquimen Itd (Spain)	Research colony	2	Both F	1.5 years	adult	fresh
Ferret Mfur	Mustela putorius furo	6 months / 8 years	B&K ltd (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	8, 6, 6 (months)	adult, juveniles	fresh
Opossum Mdom	Monodelphis domestica	4-5 months / 4-8 years	MRC National Institute for Medical Research (UK)	Research colony	3	All M	6 months	juveniles	fresh
Tasmanian Devil Shar	Sarcophilus harrisii	2 years / 5-6 years	Copenhagen Zoo (Denmark)	Zoo	2	F, M	8, 7.5 (years)	adult	frozen

^a Species abbreviations used in the manuscript are given in bold ^b see Extended Experimental Procedures (Chromatin immunoprecipitation and high through-put sequencing).

Table S2. Quality Assessment of Reads Alignments and Peaks Detection, Related to Figure 1 and Experimental Procedures

	Alignment geneme and	Contr	ol reads ^b	Peaks reprod	lucibility (%) ^c	Replicate-sp	ecific peaks ^d
Species	Alignment genome and version ^a	Aligned (%)	Uniquely aligned (%)	H3K4me3	H3K27ac	H3K4me3	H3K27ac
Human	GRCh37.p12/hg19	95.1	84.1	94.7	77.0	660	11,533
Macaque	MMUL1.0/rheMac2	96.8	78.4	87.6	73.9	1,535	10,183
Vervet	MMUL1.0/rheMac2	84.5	64.4	65.5	73.4	6,093	9,341
Marmoset	C_jacchus3.2.1/calJac3	96.8	84.8	80.5	78.5	3,549	11,258
Mouse	GRCm38.p2/mm10	98.7	73.3	96.5	85.7	524	4,656
Rat	Rnor5.0/rn5	96.9	76.2	79.4	80.5	4,552	8,350
Guinea pig	cavPor3	89.0	68.0	95.6	83.8	584	6,775
Naked mole rat	HetGla1.0/hetGla2	98.2	85.0	93.2	84.6	969	6,301
Rabbit	OryCun2.0/oryCun2	92.7	63.7	68.9	63.7	4,065	14,628
Tree shrew	tupBel1	77.8	54.2	89.0	82.9	1,904	6,445
Cow	UMD3.1/bosTau6	98.4	73.8	94.2	74.0	786	13,645
Dolphin	turTru1	90.5	67.0	74.8	63.8	6,646	17,022
Sei whale	turTru1	61.4	37.6	-	-	-	-
Beaked whale	turTru1	62.0	34.1	62.4	69.8	8,769	12,377
Pig	Sscrofa10.2/susScr3	88.3	69.7	87.7	77.0	1,515	9,380
Dog	CanFam3.1/canFam3	97.5	89.1	93.9	83.6	716	5,608
Cat	Felis_catus_6.2/felCat5	96.3	89.2	89.2	78.1	1,308	8,849
Ferret	MusPutFur1.0/musFur1	91.6	84.8	80.4	77.2	3,973	9,420
Opossum	monDom5	96.2	82.8	88.1	79.0	2,208	9,717
Tasmanian Devil	Devil_7.0/sarHar1	96.2	83.1	84.6	67.5	2,523	14,674

^a Ensembl genome versions were used, and the equivalent UCSC genome version is given after a slash. Both macaque and vervet ChIP-seq reads were aligned to the *Macaca mulatta* macaque genome, and all reads from all cetacean samples were aligned to the *Tursiops truncatus* dolphin genome.

^b Average percentage of reads in the control experiments (total DNA) that can be either aligned or uniquely aligned to the reference genome.

^c Average percentage of peaks per experiment that are replicated in at least one other experiment (see Experimental Procedures, Short reads alignment and peak calling).

d Average number of peaks specific to an individual, i.e. not replicated in any other experiment.

Table S3: Association Tests between Liver-Specific Genes and Highly-Conserved or Recently-Evolved Human Promoters and Enhancers, Related to Figures 5 and 6

Wilcoxon test

Mean proportion of promoters/enhancers in:

Hypergeometric test

Hypergeometric test

Hypergeometric test

Eiver-specific genes associated with promoters/enhancers associated with liver-specific genes promoters/enhancers

Genomic elements set	Liver- specific ^a	All genes	p-value	Elements in liver- sp genes	Total elements	All other elements	Total elements in liver- sp genes	p-value	Liver- sp genes within	All liver-sp genes	All other genes	Genes within	p-value
Highly-conserved promoters	0.011	0.044	0.7965	53	1871	9732	313	0.3140	47	228	9,153	1,908	0.4196
Highly-conserved enhancers	0.027	0.009	0.0097	16	279	28,813	968	0.0127	16	215	7,084	294	0.0059
Recently-evolved human promoters	0.059	0.037	0.0325	34	783	11,013	316	0.0019	33	228	9,153	778	0.0005
Recently-evolved human enhancers	0.414	0.505	0.6956	274	10,363	18,729	968	0.9999	124	215	7,084	4,839	0.9954

^a Liver-specific genes were identified as described in Methods, using publicly available expression data from 16 human tissues (Petryszak et al., 2014).

5

Table S4. Number of Lineage-Specific and Recently-Evolved Elements Identified in Primates, Rodents, Ungulates and Carnivores, Related to Figure 6

	ST	RICT ASSESSI	MENT OF ACT	IVITY CONSE	RVATION (REF	PRODUCIBLE C	RTHOLOGOU	S PEAKS) ^a	
	Species ^b	Promoters ^c	Highly- conserved	Lineage- specific	Recently- evolved	Enhancers ^c	Highly- conserved	Lineage- specific	Recently- evolved
	Human	11,613			794	29,177			10,434
PRIMATES	Macaque	10,729		13		22,911		352	
INMAILS	Vervet	9,704		15		16,769		002	
	Marmoset	10,808				31,649	_		
	Mouse	12,443		121	2,847	18,561			7,930
	Rat	13,782				22,471			
RODENTS	Guinea pig	11,986				25,153		136	
KODLINIS	Naked mole rat	11,300	1,872			25,238		100	
	Rabbit	8,790				19,115	279		
	Tree shrew	13,426	1,072			19,642			
	Cow	12,972			2,108	32,371			13,929
	Dolphin	15,019				14,770			
UNGULATES	Sei whale	17,045		275		34,381		928	
	Beaked whale	11,653				16,432			
	Pig	10,103				23,822	_		
	Dog	10,329			1,793	20,220	_		9,012
CARNIVORES	Cat	9,355		165		22,238		734	
	Ferret	13,140				21,377			
MARSUPIALS	Opossum	15,211				23,271			
IVIANGUPIALS	Tasmanian devil	10,496				19,983			
								. .	0

	LENIEN	T ASSESSMEN	T OF ACTIVITY	CONSERVA	TION (ANY SI	GNAL AT ANY	ORTHOLOGO	US LOCATION	l) ^a
	Species ^b	Promoters ^c	Highly- conserved	Lineage- specific	Recently- evolved	Enhancers ^c	Highly- conserved	Lineage- specific	Recently- evolved
	Human	11,613			737	29,177			8,699
PRIMATES	Macaque	10,729		10		22,911		356	
INMAILS	Vervet	9,704		131		16,769		330	
	Marmoset	10,808				31,649	_		
	Mouse	12,443			2,602	18,561			6,891
	Rat	13,782				22,471			
RODENTS	Guinea pig	11,986	2,457			25,153		202	
KODENTO	Naked mole rat	11,300				25,238			
	Rabbit	8,790				19,115	684		
	Tree shrew	13,426	2, 101			19,642			
	Cow	12,972			1,941	32,371			12,111
	Dolphin	15,019				14,770			
UNGULATES	Sei whale	17,045		147		34,381		1021	
	Beaked whale	11,653				16,432			
	Pig	10,103				23,822	_		= 0.10
	Dog	10,329			1,695	20,220			7,818
CARNIVORES	Cat	9,355		37		22,238		736	
	Ferret	13,140				21,377			
MARSUPIALS	Opossum	15,211				23,271			
	Tasmanian devil	10,496				19,983			

^a Strict assessment: an active region in species A is defined as conserved in species B if the orthologous locus in species B was reproducibly active in two replicates or more. Lenient assessment: an active region in species A is defined as conserved in species B if any replicate in species B showed significant activity at the orthologous locus ($p < 10^{-5}$, no FDR correction).

10

^b Reference species in each lineage are indicated in bold. Additional species in the EPO multiple alignment, used to identify highly-conserved elements, are indicated in blue.

^c Numbers given are total promoters or enhancers experimentally identified from each species' ChIP-seq data.

Table S5. Association Tests between Positively Selected Genes (PSGs) and Recently-Evolved Enhancers in Naked Mole Rat and Dolphin, Related to Figure 7

Wilcoxon test

Mean proportion of Recently-evolved enhancers associated with PSGs

recently-evolved
enhancers in:

Hypergeometric test

PSGs associated with recently-evolved enhancers

PSG set	Recently-	PSGs	All	p-	Rec-ev	Rec-ev	All other	Total	p-	PSGs with	PSGs	All	Genes with	p-
	evolved		genes	value	in	enhancers	enhancers	enhancers	value	rec-ev		other	rec-ev	value
	enhancers				PSGs			in PSGs		enhancers		genes	enhancers	
Dolphin_Sun ^a	Dolphin	0.0643	0.0360	0.059	9	614	1580	24	0.104	8	101	21225	878	0.023
Whale_Yim ^b	Dolphin	0.0386	0.0360	0.651	13	614	1580	39	0.175	11	233	21093	878	0.254
NMR_Kim ^c	Naked mole rat	0.0872	0.0590	0.045	35	2827	22241	236	0.037	24	110	25717	3902	0.022

^a Genes under positive selection in dolphin, as identified in (Sun et al., 2013).

^b Genes under positive selection in whale (Yim et al., 2014) were used as a negative control.

^c Positively selected genes in naked mole rat, originally identified in (Kim et al., 2011).