

DOI: 10.1159/000369573

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/brc

Table 1. Summary of randomized controlled studies for medical breast cancer prevention

Study [Ref.]	Study design Mediar FUP	ı n	Inclusion criteria	All IBC HR/RR (95% CI	ER + IBC HR/RR) (95% CI)	Breast cancer incidence (per 1,000 per year)		No. breast cancer cases and no. of breast cancer related deaths in the study arms	· Comments
NSABP-P1 [14]	Tam 20 mg vs.54.6 Placebo month 5 years		> 60y, or 35-59y with increased BC risk (≥1,66% in 5y Gail mod- el)	(0.39-	RR 0.31 (0.22- 0.45)		EC RR 2.53 (1.35-4.97) DVT RR 1.60 (0.91-92.86) PE RR 3.10(1.15- 19.27)		no signif. difference for development of ER- BC, after stopping tam was offered to placebo group!
NSABP-P1 [15]	Tam 20 mg vs.74.0 Placebo month 5 years		> 60y, or 35-59y with increased BC risk (≥1,66% in 5y Gail mod- el)	(0.46-		6.29 vs. 3.59	fractures RR = 0.68 (0.51-0.92) EC RR 3.28 (1.87-6.03) PE RR 2.15 (1.08-4.51) DVT RR 1.44 (0.91-2.30) cataract RR 1.21 (1.10-1.34)	death 11:12	study unblinded when difference was signifi- cant, then tam was offered placebo group
Italian Tamoxifen Prevention Study [16]	Tam 20 mg vs.11.2 Placebo years 5 years	5.408	average BC risk, hysterectomy	RR 0.84 (0.60- 1.17) high risk women RR 0.24 (0.10- 0.59)	2	all patients 2.48 vs. 2.07 high risk 6.26 vs. 1.50	thromboemboli events RR 1.63 (1.02-2.62)		53% with bilateral ovariectomy, 39.2% interrupted treatment before completion (sim- ilar in both groups), hormonal therapy was allowed high risk group (n=702): women taller than 160 cm, at least one intact ovary, < 14 years at menarche, no full-term pregnancy before 24 years
Royal Marsden Hospital Tamoxife Chemoprevention Trial [19]		2.471	positive family history of BC	HR 0.78 (0.58- 1.04)	HR 0.61 (0.43- 0.86)	6.1 vs. 4.8	EC 13 vs. 5 (P=0.06) cataract 9 vs. 1 (P=0.02) thromboembolic events 8 vs. 3 (P=0.2) fractures 9 vs. 22 (P=0.6)		beiore 24 years



Breast Care 2014;9:00-00

DOI: 10.1159/000369573

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/brc

Study [Ref.]	Study design	Median FUP	n	Inclusion criteria	All IBC HR/RR (95% CI	ER + IBC HR/RR) (95% CI)	Breast cancer incidence (per 1,000 per year)		No. breast cancer cases and no. of breast cancer related deaths in the study arms	Comments
IBIS-I [20]	Tam 20 mg vs Placebo 5 years	.96 months	7.154	women w ith increased BC risk	RR 0.74 (0.58- 0.94)	RR 0.66 (0.50- 0.87)	5.88 vs. 4.34	EC RR 1.55 (0.68-3.65) DVT/PE RR 1.84 (1.21-2.82) fractures RR 1.02 (0.86-1.21) cataracts RR 1.24 (0.87-1.77)	BC 168:124 Death 13:11	
MORE [24, 25]	Raloxifen 60 or 120 mg/d vs. Placebo 4 years		7.705	postmenopausal women with osteoporosis	RR 0.28 (0.17- 0.46)	RR 0.16 (0.09- 0.30)	4.7 vs. 1.3	EC RR 0.8 (0.2- 2.7) DVT/PE 3.1 (1.5-6.2)	BC 39:22	secondary endpoint: breast cancer risk
CORE [26]	4 additional years of ralox ifene 60 mg/d vs. placebo	-	4.011	continuing trial of MORE study	HR 0.34 (0.22- 0.50)	HR 0.24 (0.15- 0.40)	4.2 vs. 1.4	thromboembolic events RR 2.17 (0.83 to 5.70)	EBC 58:40	
STAR (NSABP-P2) [31]	Tam 20 mg vs raloxifene 60 mg		19.490	postmenopausal ≥35y with in- creased BC risk (≥1,66% in 5y Gail model)	(1.05-		4.04 (tam) vs. 5.02 (raloxi- fene)	(0.36-0.83) PE RR 0.80 (0.57-1.11)	fene):247 (tamox ifen) death 4 (raloxi- fene):11 (tam)	raloxifene about 76% effective as tamoxifen but with less side ef- fects
RUTH [23]	aloxifene 60 mg vs. placebo	5.6 years	\$10.101	postmenopausal ≥55y with car- diovascular risk	(0.38-	HR 0.45 (0.28– 0.72)	1.5 (raloxi- fene) vs. 2.7 (placebo)	vertebral frac- tures HR 0.65	BC 70:40 death (all can- cers) 103:97	no effect on cardiovas- cular disease



Breast Care 2014;9:00-00

DOI: 10.1159/000369573

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/brc

Study [Ref.]	Study design	Median FUP	n	Inclusion criteria	All IBC HR/RR (95% CI	ER + IBC HR/RR) (95% CI)	Breast cancer incidence (per 1,000 per year)		No. breast cancer cases and no. of breast cancer related deaths in the study arms	Comments
PEARL [27, 28]	lasofoxifene 0.25 mg or 0.3 mg _{vs.} placebo	5months	8.556	59-80 years, osteoporosis (T- score ≤2.5)	(0.45- 0.49) (0.25mg HR 0.21 (0.08- 0.55)	HR 0.50 (0.22- 1.11))(0.25 mg) HR 0.17 (0.05- 0.57) (0.5 mg)	1.97 (placebo) vs. 1.64 (0.25 mg) vs. 0.41 (0.5 mg)	vertebral frac- tures HR 0.58 (0.47-0.70) nonvertebral fractures HR 0.76 (0.64-0.91) coronary heart disease events HR 0.68(0.50- 0.93) thromboembolic event HR 2.06 (1.17-3.60) stroke HR 0.64 (0.41-0.99)	20 (0.25mg) : 5 (0.5mg)	no increased risk for endometrial cancer
GENERATIONS [29 30]	9, arzoxifene 20 mg vs. placebo	54 months	9354	60-86 years, osteoporosis or osteopenia	HR 0.44 (0.26- 0.76)	HR 0.30 (0.14– 0.63)	2.28 (placebo) vs. 1.01 (azoxi- fene)	. ,	19 (arzoxifene)	no increased risk for endometrial cancer
NCIC CTG MAP.3 [35]	exemestane 25 mg vs. placebo	35 months	4560	≥35 years, postmenopausal increased BC risk (Gail model >1,66% in 5y)	l,(0.18- 0.70)	HR 0.27 (0.12- 0.60)	1.9 (exemes- tane) vs. 5.5 (placebo)	arthritis 6.5% vs. 4.0% (P=0.01) hot flashes 18.3% vs. 11.9% (P<0.001)	bo) BC death 1 (ex-	no significant differ- ences in frequencies of cardiovascular events, fractures, osteoporosis or colrorectal and en- dometrial cancers



Breast Care 2014;9:00-00

DOI: 10.1159/000369573

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/brc

Study [Ref.]	Study design	Median FUP	n	Inclusion criteria	All IBC HR/RR (95% CI	ER + IBC HR/RR) (95% CI)	Breast cancer incidence (per 1,000 per year)		No. breast cancer cases and no. of breast cancer related deaths in the study arms	Comments
IBIS-II [36]	anastrozole 1 mg vs. placebo 5 years	5 years	3864	40-70 years, postmenopausal increased BC risk (10y risk > 5%, Tyrer- Cuzick model)		HR 0.42 (0.25- 0.71)	8.0 vs. 4.0	colorectal can- cer HR 0.28 (0.08-0.99) arthralgia HR) zole) : 85 (place- bo) BC death 2 (anas- trolzole) : 0 (pla- cebo)) total death 18:17	no significant differ- ences in frequencies of thromboembolic and cerebrovascular events or myocardial infarction and endometrial cancer