

Web table 1. Complete case analysis using unimputed waist and hip measures compared with analyses among women who used a tape measure and multiple imputation analysis

	Total N (%) ^a	Complete Case ^{a,b} HR [95% CI]	Total N (%) ^a	Used a measuring tape ^b HR [95% CI]	Total N (%) ^a	Imputed (5 datasets) HR [95% CI] ^b
Waist circumference						
<75 cm	586 (30.5)	1.00 [Reference]	565 (30.6)	1.00 [Reference]	1309 (25.5)	1.00 [Reference]
75-79 cm	459 (23.9)	0.98 [0.72, 1.34]	438 (23.7)	1.01 [0.74, 1.39]	1179 (23.0)	0.92 [0.73, 1.16]
80-86 cm	408 (21.3)	0.79 [0.56, 1.12]	397 (21.5)	0.80 [0.57, 1.13]	1174 (22.9)	0.82 [0.65, 1.03]
≥87 cm	466 (24.3)	0.92 [0.67, 1.28]	447 (24.2)	0.87 [0.63, 1.22]	1470 (28.6)	0.97 [0.75, 1.25]
Waist-to-hip ratio						
<0.75	416 (21.7)	1.00 [Reference]	391 (21.2)	1.00 [Reference]	1090 (21.2)	1.00 [Reference]
0.75-0.79	540 (28.1)	0.77 [0.56, 1.06]	521 (28.2)	0.76 [0.55, 1.06]	1251 (24.4)	0.85 [0.67, 1.06]
0.80-0.84	455 (23.7)	0.66 [0.47, 0.94]	443 (24.0)	0.62 [0.43, 0.89]	1247 (24.3)	0.76 [0.58, 1.00]
≥0.85	508 (26.5)	0.83 [0.60, 1.16]	492 (26.6)	0.80 [0.57, 1.11]	1544 (30.1)	0.81 [0.63, 1.05]

^a From first imputation dataset

^b Adjusted for maternal age, physical activity, caffeine consumption, parity, vocational training, alcohol consumption, and smoking