Additional Table 2. Sensitivity analysis: mortality was included as functional decline or decline in QoLa

			S	Sensitivity	Specificity		Positive predictive value		Negative predictive value		Are	a under the curve	Univariate logistic regression		Multivariate logistic regression ^d	
		N total	Se	(95% CI)	Sp	(95% CI)	PPV	(95% CI)	NPV	(95% CI)	AUC	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Function	al decline ^b															
aCGA	Cancer patients	122	73%	(61% - 82%)	33%	(20% - 48%)	62%	(51% - 72%)	44%	(28% - 62%)	0.53	(0.44 - 0.61)	1.28	(0.58 - 2.83)	0.80	(0.30 - 2.12)
	Persons without cancer	191	69%	(57% - 79%)	52%	(43% - 62%)	51%	(41% - 61%)	70%	(59% - 80%)	0.61	(0.54 - 0.67)	2.41	(1.32 - 4.39)	1.90	(0.94 - 3.86)
G8	Cancer patients	122	63%	(51% - 74%)	37%	(23% - 52%)	60%	(48% - 71%)	40%	(26% - 56%)	0.50	(0.41 - 0.59)	0.99	(0.47 - 2.10)	0.60	(0.24 - 1.49)
	Persons without cancer	191	66%	(55% - 76%)	57%	(47% - 66%)	53%	(42% - 63%)	70%	(59% - 79%)	0.62	(0.55 - 0.69)	2.58	(1.42 - 4.68)	1.83	(0.91 - 3.65)
GFI	Cancer patients	74	60%	(43% - 74%)	59%	(41% - 76%)	66%	(49% - 80%)	53%	(36% - 70%)	0.59	(0.48 - 0.71)	2.15	(0.84 - 5.48)	1.47	(0.44 - 4.92)
	Persons without cancer	191	60%	(48% - 71%)	63%	(53% - 72%)	54%	(43% - 65%)	69%	(59% - 78%)	0.62	(0.55 - 0.69)	2.56	(1.42 - 4.62)	1.85	(0.93 - 3.70)
VES-13	Cancer patients	74	57%	(41% - 72%)	66%	(47% - 81%)	69%	(51% - 83%)	54%	(37% - 70%)	0.61	(0.50 - 0.73)	2.55	(0.98 - 6.59)	2.68	(0.68 - 10.54)
	Persons without cancer	191	64%	(52% - 74%)	66%	(56% - 75%)	57%	(46% - 68%)	72%	(62% - 80%)	0.65	(0.58 - 0.72)	3.38	(1.85 - 6.16)	2.89	(1.37 - 6.07)
Decline i	n Qol ^c															
aCGA	Cancer patients	74	59%	(36% - 79%)	37%	(24% - 51%)	28%	(16% - 44%)	68%	(48% - 84%)	0.48	(0.35 - 0.60)	0.83	(0.30 - 2.31)	0.86	(0.26 - 2.83)
	Persons without cancer	191	59%	(45% - 72%)	45%	(36% - 53%)	30%	(21% - 39%)	74%	(63% - 83%)	0.52	(0.44 - 0.60)	1.17	(0.62 - 2.21)	1.17	(0.57 - 2.42)
G8	Cancer patients	74	64%	(41% - 83%)	39%	(25% - 53%)	30%	(18% - 46%)	71%	(51% - 87%)	0.51	(0.39 - 0.63)	1.09	(0.39 - 3.07)	1.02	(0.31 - 3.36)
	Persons without cancer	191	44%	(31% - 59%)	44%	(35% - 53%)	24%	(16% - 33%)	67%	(56% - 76%)	0.44	(0.36 - 0.52)	0.62	(0.33 - 1.18)	0.50	(0.24 - 1.06)
GFI	Cancer patients	74	55%	(32% - 76%)	50%	(36% - 64%)	32%	(18% - 49%)	72%	(55% - 86%)	0.52	(0.40 - 0.65)	1.20	(0.44 - 3.26)	1.27	(0.38 - 4.20)
	Persons without cancer	191	46%	(33% - 60%)	53%	(45% - 62%)	28%	(19% - 39%)	72%	(62% - 80%)	0.50	(0.42 - 0.58)	0.98	(0.52 - 1.85)	0.91	(0.44 - 1.89)
VES-13	Cancer patients	74	50%	(28% - 72%)	54%	(40% - 68%)	31%	(17% - 49%)	72%	(55% - 85%)	0.52	(0.39 - 0.65)	1.17	(0.43 - 3.16)	1.07	(0.28 - 4.16)
	Persons without cancer	191	46%	(33% - 60%)	53%	(45% - 62%)	28%	(19% - 39%)	72%	(62% - 80%)	0.50	(0.42 - 0.58)	0.98	(0.52 - 1.85)	0.91	(0.43 - 1.96)

Abbreviations: QoL, Quality of Life; aCGA, abbreviated Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment; GFI, Groningen Frailty Indicator; VES-13, Vulnerable Elders Survey-13; Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value, OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ^a For the diagnostic accuracy of geriatric screening tools to predict decline in functional status and QoL, mortality, defined as death within one year after baseline evaluation, was included as a positive endpoint, for both functional decline or decline in QoL; ^b Functional decline was defined as deterioration one or more domains of ADL and/or IADL compared to baseline; ^c Decline in QoL was defined as a difference of ≥ 10 points; ^d Multivariate logistic regression: adjusted for age, gender, and number of medicines. For cancer patients we also adjusted for stage, type of cancer, and type of treatment.

Additional Table 3. Sensitivity analysis: missing values were imputed as best and worst case scenario^a

		S	ensitivity	S	pecificity	Posit	ive predictive value	Negat	ive predictive value	Are	a under the curve				variate logistic egression ^d
	N total	Se	(95% CI)	Sp	(95% CI)	PPV	(95% CI)	NPV	(95% CI)	AUC	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
						Ве	est case scenario)							
al decline ^b															
Cancer patients	125	64%	(52% - 75%)	46%	(32% - 61%)	63%	(51% - 74%)	48%	(34% - 63%)	0.55	(0.47 - 0.64)	1.55	(0.75 - 3.20)	1.05	(0.45 - 2.49)
Persons without cancer	212	60%	(50% - 71%)	53%	(44% - 62%)	49%	(40% - 59%)	64%	(54% - 73%)	0.57	(0.50 - 0.63)	1.72	(0.99 - 2.98)	1.44	(0.77 - 2.71)
Cancer patients	125	63%	(51% - 74%)	37%	(24% - 51%)	58%	(47% - 69%)	41%	(27% - 57%)	0.50	(0.41 - 0.58)	0.98	(0.47 - 2.05)	0.60	(0.25 - 1.44)
Persons without cancer	212	64%	(53% - 74%)	55%	(46% - 64%)	52%	(42% - 61%)	67%	(57% - 76%)	0.60	(0.53 - 0.66)	2.18	(1.25 - 3.81)	1.75	(0.91 - 3.33)
Cancer patients	83	50%	(35% - 65%)	64%	(47% - 79%)	61%	(44% - 77%)	53%	(38% - 68%)	0.57	(0.46 - 0.68)	1.79	(0.74 - 4.31)	1.47	(0.49 - 4.42)
Persons without cancer	212	55%	(44% - 65%)	65%	(55% - 73%)	54%	(43% - 64%)	66%	(56% - 74%)	0.60	(0.53 - 0.66)	2.21	(1.27 - 3.86)	2.00	(1.07 - 3.74)
Cancer patients	83	64%	(48% - 78%)	64%	(47% - 79%)	67%	(51% - 80%)	61%	(45% - 76%)	0.64	(0.53 - 0.74)	3.13	(1.27 - 7.67)	3.16	(0.87 - 11.53)
Persons without cancer	212	63%	(52% - 73%)	63%	(54% - 71%)	56%	(46% - 66%)	69%	(60% - 78%)	0.63	(0.56 - 0.69)	2.83	(1.61 - 4.97)	2.85	(1.45 - 5.59)
ı Qol ^c															
Cancer patients	83	47%	(24% - 71%)	48%	(36% - 61%)	21%	(10% - 37%)	76%	(60% - 88%)	0.48	(0.35 - 0.61)	0.85	(0.30 - 2.36)	0.80	(0.24 - 2.67)
Persons without cancer	212	56%	(43% - 68%)	48%	(40% - 57%)	31%	(23% - 41%)	72%	(62% - 81%)	0.52	(0.45 - 0.59)	1.17	(0.65 - 2.11)	1.32	(0.68 - 2.58)
Cancer patients	83	74%	(49% - 91%)	34%	(23% - 47%)	25%	(14% - 38%)	82%	(62% - 94%)	0.54	(0.42 - 0.66)	1.47	(0.47 - 4.60)	1.43	(0.39 - 5.29)
Persons without cancer	212	44%	(32% - 58%)	44%	(36% - 52%)	25%	(17% - 34%)	65%	(55% - 74%)	0.44	(0.37 - 0.51)	0.62	(0.34 - 1.12)	0.55	(0.27 - 1.10)
Cancer patients	83	37%	(16% - 62%)	55%	(42% - 67%)	19%	(8% - 36%)	75%	(60% - 86%)	0.46	(0.33 - 0.59)	0.70	(0.25 - 2.02)	0.58	(0.16 - 2.08)
Persons without cancer	212	41%	(29% - 54%)	55%	(47% - 63%)	28%	(19% - 38%)	69%	(60% - 77%)	0.48	(0.41 - 0.56)	0.86	(0.47 - 1.56)	0.94	(0.49 - 1.83)
Cancer patients	83	53%	(29% - 76%)	50%	(37% - 63%)	24%	(12% - 40%)	78%	(62% - 89%)	0.51	(0.38 - 0.64)	1.11	(0.40 - 3.10)	0.54	(0.12 - 2.51)
Persons without cancer	212	46%	(33% - 59%)	51%	(43% - 59%)	28%	(20% - 38%)	69%	(60% - 78%)	0.49	(0.41 - 0.56)	0.89	(0.49 - 1.60)	0.94	(0.47 - 1.88)
						Wo	rst case scenari	0							
al decline ^a															
Cancer patients	125	75%	(64% - 85%)	31%	(19% - 45%)	60%	(50% - 71%)	47%	(30% - 65%)	0.53	(0.45 - 0.61)	1.36	(0.61 - 3.00)	0.88	(0.34 - 2.30)
Persons without cancer	212	73%	(62% - 81%)	50%	(40% - 59%)	52%	(43% - 61%)	71%	(60% - 80%)	0.61	(0.55 - 0.68)	2.60	(1.45 - 4.65)	2.57	(1.32 - 5.00)
Cancer patients	125	66%	(54% - 77%)	37%	(24% - 51%)	59%	(48% - 70%)	43%	(28% - 59%)	0.51	(0.43 - 0.60)	1.11	(0.53 - 2.32)	0.67	(0.28 - 1.63)
Persons without cancer	212	65%	(54% - 75%)	54%	(44% - 63%)	51%	(42% - 61%)	67%	(57% - 76%)	0.59	(0.53 - 0.66)	2.14	(1.22 - 3.74)	1.69	(0.88 - 3.25)
	Cancer patients Persons without cancer Cancer patients	Cancer patients 125 Persons without cancer 212 Cancer patients 125 Persons without cancer 212 Cancer patients 83 Persons without cancer 212 Cancer patients 125 Persons without cancer 212 Cancer patients 125	Name	Cancer patients 125 64% (52% - 75%) Persons without cancer 212 60% (50% - 71%) Cancer patients 125 63% (51% - 74%) Persons without cancer 212 64% (53% - 74%) Cancer patients 83 50% (35% - 65%) Persons without cancer 212 55% (44% - 65%) Cancer patients 83 64% (48% - 78%) Persons without cancer 212 63% (52% - 73%) 1 Qol*	Name	Notal Se (95% CI) Sp (95% CI) Sp	Notatian Notatian	Notation Notation	Persons without cancer 212 63% 64% 65%	Part	Notaria Nota	Part	Part	Part Part	Part

			Sensitivity		Specificity		Positive predictive value		Negative predictive value		Area under the curve		Univariate logistic regression		Multivariate logistic regression ^d	
		N total	Se	(95% CI)	Sp	(95% CI)	PPV	(95% CI)	NPV	(95% CI)	AUC	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
GFI	Cancer patients	83	61%	(46% - 76%)	51%	(35% - 68%)	59%	(43% - 73%)	54%	(37% - 71%)	0.56	(0.46 - 0.67)	1.67	(0.70 - 4.00)	0.96	(0.30 - 3.03)
	Persons without cancer	212	59%	(49% - 70%)	59%	(49% - 68%)	52%	(42% - 62%)	66%	(56% - 75%)	0.59	(0.52 - 0.66)	2.07	(1.19 - 3.60)	1.70	(0.89 - 3.24)
VES-13	Cancer patients	83	64%	(48% - 78%)	62%	(45% - 77%)	65%	(49% - 79%)	60%	(43% - 75%)	0.63	(0.52 - 0.73)	2.80	(1.15 - 6.82)	2.85	(0.81 - 10.04)
	Persons without cancer	212	65%	(54% - 75%)	62%	(53% - 71%)	56%	(46% - 66%)	70%	(61% - 79%)	0.63	(0.57 - 0.70)	3.01	(1.71 - 5.29)	3.12	(1.57 - 6.20)
Decline i	n Qol ^c															
aCGA	Cancer patients	83	58%	(34% - 80%)	31%	(20% - 44%)	20%	(10% - 33%)	71%	(51% - 87%)	0.45	(0.32 - 0.57)	0.63	(0.22 - 1.79)	0.46	(0.11 - 1.88)
	Persons without cancer	212	65%	(52% - 77%)	42%	(34% - 51%)	32%	(24% - 41%)	74%	(64% - 83%)	0.54	(0.47 - 0.61)	1.37	(0.74 - 2.52)	1.60	(0.81 - 3.18)
G8	Cancer patients	83	74%	(49% - 91%)	34%	(23% - 47%)	25%	(14% - 38%)	82%	(62% - 94%)	0.54	(0.42 - 0.66)	1.47	(0.47 - 4.60)	1.43	(0.39 - 5.29)
	Persons without cancer	212	46%	(33% - 59%)	42%	(34% - 51%)	25%	(18% - 34%)	65%	(55% - 74%)	0.44	(0.37 - 0.52)	0.62	(0.35 - 1.13)	0.55	(0.27 - 1.12)
GFI	Cancer patients	83	47%	(24% - 71%)	42%	(30% - 55%)	20%	(9% - 34%)	73%	(56% - 86%)	0.45	(0.32 - 0.58)	0.66	(0.23 - 1.84)	0.39	(0.10 - 1.54)
	Persons without cancer	212	49%	(36% - 62%)	51%	(43% - 59%)	30%	(21% - 40%)	70%	(61% - 79%)	0.50	(0.43 - 0.58)	1.01	(0.56 - 1.82)	1.16	(0.58 - 2.31)
VES-13	Cancer patients	83	58%	(34% - 80%)	50%	(37% - 63%)	26%	(14% - 41%)	80%	(64% - 91%)	0.54	(0.41 - 0.67)	1.38	(0.49 - 3.87)	0.79	(0.18 - 3.46)
	Persons without cancer	212	49%	(36% - 62%)	50%	(42% - 59%)	30%	(21% - 39%)	70%	(61% - 79%)	0.50	(0.42 - 0.57)	0.98	(0.54 - 1.77)	1.08	(0.54 - 2.16)

Abbreviations: QoL, Quality of Life; aCGA, abbreviated Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment; GFI, Groningen Frailty Indicator; VES-13, Vulnerable Elders Survey-13; Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value, OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval

^a For the diagnostic accuracy of geriatric screening tools to predict decline in functional status and QoL, we performed a sensitivity analysis to assess the influence of missing values, making a worst- and best-case scenario by imputing missing values as either a normal or abnormal score; ^b Functional decline was defined as deterioration one or more domains of ADL and/or IADL compared to baseline; ^c Decline in QoL was defined as a difference of ≥ 10 points; ^d Multivariate logistic regression: adjusted for age, gender, and number of medicines. For cancer patients we also adjusted for stage, type of cancer, and type of treatment.

Additional Table 4. Sensitivity analysis: women separately^a

			Sensitivity			Specificity		Positive predictive value		Negative predictive value		a under the curve	Univariate logistic regression		Multivariate logistic regression ^d	
		N total	Se	(95% CI)	Sp	(95% CI)	PPV	(95% CI)	NPV	(95% CI)	AUC	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Function	al decline ^b															
aCGA	Cancer patients	97	75%	(62% - 86%)	33%	(19% - 49%)	61%	(49% - 73%)	48%	(29% - 68%)	0.54	(0.45 - 0.63)	1.48	(0.60 - 3.62)	2.30	(1.01 - 5.22)
	Persons without cancer	120	74%	(59% - 87%)	44%	(33% - 56%)	43%	(31% - 55%)	76%	(61% - 87%)	0.59	(0.51 - 0.68)	0.80	(0.27 - 2.33)	1.84	(0.75 - 4.54)
G8	Cancer patients	97	60%	(46% - 72%)	38%	(23% - 54%)	58%	(44% - 70%)	40%	(24% - 57%)	0.49	(0.39 - 0.59)	0.89	(0.39 - 2.04)	3.08	(1.39 - 6.79)
	Persons without cancer	120	70%	(54% - 83%)	57%	(45% - 68%)	48%	(35% - 61%)	77%	(64% - 87%)	0.64	(0.55 - 0.72)	0.54	(0.20 - 1.46)	2.80	(1.13 - 6.95)
GFI	Cancer patients	50	59%	(39% - 78%)	57%	(35% - 77%)	62%	(41% - 80%)	54%	(33% - 74%)	0.58	(0.44 - 0.72)	1.89	(0.61 - 5.83)	1.14	(0.27 - 4.74)
	Persons without cancer	120	61%	(44% - 75%)	56%	(44% - 67%)	43%	(31% - 57%)	72%	(59% - 83%)	0.58	(0.49 - 0.67)	1.93	(0.91 - 4.13)	1.52	(0.64 - 3.60)
VES-13	Cancer patients	50	67%	(46% - 84%)	57%	(35% - 77%)	64%	(44% - 81%)	59%	(36% - 79%)	0.62	(0.48 - 0.75)	2.60	(0.82 - 8.20)	2.25	(0.51 - 10.03)
	Persons without cancer	120	72%	(56% - 85%)	60%	(48% - 71%)	50%	(37% - 63%)	79%	(67% - 89%)	0.66	(0.57 - 0.75)	3.83	(1.71 - 8.59)	3.56	(1.44 - 8.81)
Decline i	n Qol ^c															
aCGA	Cancer patients	50	54%	(25% - 81%)	35%	(20% - 53%)	23%	(10% - 41%)	68%	(43% - 87%)	0.45	(0.28 - 0.61)	0.63	(0.18 - 2.28)	0.47	(0.09 - 2.34)
	Persons without cancer	120	67%	(48% - 82%)	39%	(29% - 50%)	29%	(19% - 41%)	76%	(61% - 87%)	0.53	(0.43 - 0.63)	1.28	(0.55 - 2.98)	1.46	(0.57 - 3.71)
G8	Cancer patients	50	62%	(32% - 86%)	46%	(30% - 63%)	29%	(13% - 49%)	77%	(55% - 92%)	0.54	(0.38 - 0.70)	1.36	(0.37 - 4.95)	1.25	(0.29 - 5.29)
	Persons without cancer	120	42%	(26% - 61%)	44%	(33% - 55%)	22%	(13% - 35%)	67%	(53% - 79%)	0.43	(0.33 - 0.53)	0.57	(0.25 - 1.28)	0.47	(0.18 - 1.25)
GFI	Cancer patients	50	46%	(19% - 75%)	46%	(30% - 63%)	23%	(9% - 44%)	71%	(49% - 87%)	0.46	(0.30 - 0.62)	0.73	(0.21 - 2.59)	0.62	(0.14 - 2.77)
	Persons without cancer	120	42%	(26% - 61%)	47%	(36% - 58%)	23%	(13% - 36%)	68%	(55% - 80%)	0.45	(0.35 - 0.55)	0.66	(0.29 - 1.47)	0.66	(0.26 - 1.66)
VES-13	Cancer patients	50	54%	(25% - 81%)	43%	(27% - 61%)	25%	(11% - 45%)	73%	(50% - 89%)	0.49	(0.32 - 0.65)	0.89	(0.25 - 3.16)	0.44	(0.08 - 2.47)
	Persons without cancer	120	49%	(31% - 67%)	47%	(36% - 58%)	26%	(16% - 39%)	71%	(57% - 82%)	0.48	(0.38 - 0.58)	0.84	(0.38 - 1.87)	0.86	(0.34 - 2.16)

Abbreviations: QoL, Quality of Life; aCGA, abbreviated Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment; GFI, Groningen Frailty Indicator; VES-13, Vulnerable Elders Survey-13; Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value, OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ^a The diagnostic accuracy of geriatric screening tools to predict decline in functional status and QoL in women separately, because the proportion of women in the group of cancer patients was higher as compared to the primary care population without cancer due to the inclusion of breast cancer patients; ^b Functional decline was defined as deterioration one or more domains of ADL and/or IADL compared to baseline; ^c Decline in QoL was defined as a difference of ≥ 10 points; ^d Multivariate logistic regression: adjusted for age, gender, and number of medicines. For cancer patients we also adjusted for stage, type of cancer, and type of treatment.

Additional Table 5. Sensitivity analysis: predicting decline in ADL and IADL separately^a

			S	Sensitivity	Specificity		Positive predictive value		Negative predictive value		Area under the curve		Univariate logistic regression		Multivariate logistic regression ^d	
		N total	Se	(95% CI)	Sp	(95% CI)	PPV	(95% CI)	NPV	(95% CI)	AUC	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Decline i	n ADL ^b															
aCGA	Cancer patients	111	71%	(55% - 83%)	33%	(22% - 45%)	41%	(30% - 53%)	63%	(45% - 79%)	0.52	(0.43 - 0.61)	1.17	(0.51 - 2.66)	0.49	(0.17 - 1.42)
	Persons without cancer	186	60%	(42% - 75%)	46%	(38% - 54%)	21%	(14% - 31%)	82%	(72% - 90%)	0.53	(0.44 - 0.62)	1.23	(0.59 - 2.56)	0.59	(0.25 - 1.41)
G8	Cancer patients	111	64%	(48% - 78%)	36%	(25% - 49%)	39%	(28% - 52%)	60%	(43% - 75%)	0.50	(0.41 - 0.59)	0.98	(0.44 - 2.16)	0.47	(0.17 - 1.29)
	Persons without cancer	186	65%	(48% - 80%)	51%	(43% - 59%)	25%	(17% - 35%)	85%	(76% - 92%)	0.58	(0.49 - 0.67)	1.92	(0.91 - 4.06)	1.30	(0.54 - 3.17)
GFI	Cancer patients	64	55%	(32% - 76%)	55%	(39% - 70%)	39%	(22% - 58%)	70%	(51% - 84%)	0.55	(0.42 - 0.68)	1.45	(0.52 - 4.09)	0.61	(0.15 - 2.48)
	Persons without cancer	186	65%	(48% - 80%)	60%	(51% - 68%)	29%	(19% - 40%)	87%	(79% - 93%)	0.62	(0.54 - 0.71)	2.74	(1.29 - 5.80)	1.80	(0.77 - 4.21)
VES-13	Cancer patients	64	55%	(32% - 76%)	57%	(41% - 72%)	40%	(23% - 59%)	71%	(53% - 85%)	0.56	(0.43 - 0.69)	1.60	(0.57 - 4.52)	0.58	(0.12 - 2.72)
	Persons without cancer	186	62%	(45% - 78%)	59%	(51% - 67%)	27%	(18% - 38%)	86%	(78% - 92%)	0.61	(0.52 - 0.70)	2.37	(1.13 - 4.97)	1.39	(0.58 - 3.33)
Decline i	n IADL ^c															
aCGA	Cancer patients	111	82%	(67% - 93%)	36%	(25% - 48%)	41%	(30% - 53%)	79%	(61% - 91%)	0.59	(0.51 - 0.67)	2.58	(1.00 - 6.67)	2.46	(0.75 - 8.10)
	Persons without cancer	182	78%	(64% - 89%)	53%	(44% - 62%)	36%	(27% - 46%)	88%	(79% - 94%)	0.66	(0.58 - 0.73)	4.05	(1.86 - 8.81)	6.25	(2.46 - 15.92)
G8	Cancer patients	111	64%	(47% - 79%)	38%	(26% - 50%)	36%	(25% - 48%)	66%	(49% - 80%)	0.51	(0.41 - 0.60)	1.07	(0.48 - 2.41)	0.91	(0.34 - 2.45)
	Persons without cancer	182	67%	(52% - 81%)	54%	(45% - 62%)	33%	(24% - 43%)	83%	(73% - 90%)	0.61	(0.53 - 0.69)	2.39	(1.19 - 4.83)	2.25	(0.99 - 5.11)
GFI	Cancer patients	63	58%	(34% - 80%)	55%	(39% - 70%)	36%	(19% - 55%)	75%	(57% - 89%)	0.56	(0.43 - 0.70)	1.65	(0.56 - 4.89)	3.80	(0.75 - 19.19)
	Persons without cancer	182	57%	(41% - 71%)	59%	(50% - 67%)	32%	(22% - 43%)	80%	(71% - 87%)	0.58	(0.49 - 0.66)	1.86	(0.95 - 3.65)	1.87	(0.84 - 4.16)
VES-13	Cancer patients	63	63%	(38% - 84%)	61%	(46% - 76%)	41%	(24% - 61%)	79%	(62% - 91%)	0.62	(0.49 - 0.76)	2.72	(0.90 - 8.28)	7.98	(1.19 - 53.64)
	Persons without cancer	182	67%	(52% - 81%)	63%	(55% - 71%)	38%	(28% - 50%)	85%	(77% - 91%)	0.65	(0.57 - 0.73)	3.55	(1.75 - 7.22)	5.87	(2.30 - 14.97)

Abbreviations: ADL, activities of daily living; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; aCGA, abbreviated Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment; GFI, Groningen Frailty Indicator; VES-13, Vulnerable Elders Survey-13; QoL, Quality of Life; Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value, OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ^a The diagnostic accuracy of geriatric screening tools to predict decline in ADL and IALD separately; ^b Decline in ADL was defined as deterioration on one or more domains of ADL compared to baseline; ^c Decline in IADL was defined as deterioration on one or more domains of ADL compared to baseline; ^d Multivariate logistic regression: adjusted for age, gender, and number of medicines. For cancer patients we also adjusted for stage, type of cancer, and type of treatment.