

Supplemental Appendix I

Systematic Review Data Collection Form

Reference Information:

Authors: _____

Title: _____

Journal: _____

Year: _____ Volume: _____ Issue: _____ Page Numbers: _____

Language of Publication: _____

Reason for exclusion:

- Non-English
- Not relevant (not assessing comorbidity)
- Review article
- Not original data (data presented in another paper already)
- Methods not reported (thus cannot be evaluated)

General Information:

Continent Where Data Collection Occurred (check all that apply)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> Asia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Australia | <input type="checkbox"/> Europe |
| <input type="checkbox"/> North America | <input type="checkbox"/> South America |

Country or Countries Where Data Collection Occurred _____

State/ Province/ County/ City Where Data Collection Occurred (if not national) _____

Time Period for Data Collection: _____

Study Population:

Population-Based Sample: Yes No

Sample Size: _____

Response Rate: _____

- Unknown
- Not Applicable*

*Choose not applicable in studies that did not directly contact patients (i.e. chart reviews, administrative data studies, etc)

MS Definition and Description:

Diagnoses included (mark all that apply):

- RIS (Radiologically Isolated Syndrome)
- CIS (Clinically Isolated Syndrome)
- MS (Multiple Sclerosis)
- NMO (Neuromyelitis Optica) spectrum disorder
- ADEM
- Other CNS demyelinating disorder

Diagnostic Criteria used for MS

- Schumacher
- McAlpine
- Rose
- Bauer
- Poser
- McDonald 2001
- McDonald 2005
- McDonald 2010
- Other _____
- Not reported

Clinical courses of MS included (mark all that apply):

- Relapsing remitting
- Secondary progressive
- Primary progressive
- Progressive relapsing
- Uncertain
- Not reported

Duration of MS from onset: mean _____ SD _____

Age of MS onset: mean _____ SD _____

EDSS: median _____ range _____

mean _____ SD _____

Comorbidity Information:

Definition of condition: _____

Were Diagnostic Criteria Used to Make the Diagnosis of Comorbidity?

- Yes
- No
- Not reported

If yes, please list the criteria below

Methodological Information:

Data Sources (mark all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Door-to-door survey | <input type="checkbox"/> Telephone survey |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mailed survey | <input type="checkbox"/> Administrative databases |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hospital/clinic chart review | <input type="checkbox"/> Prescription drug database |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Registry/clinical database | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ | |

How was Diagnosis Established (mark all that apply)?

- Clinical Assessment by a Health Professional
- Interview
- Medical chart review
- Administrative data codes (specify codes: _____)
- Self-report of a condition (diagnosed by a health professional)
- Self-report of a condition (undiagnosed by a health professional)
- Use of specific prescription drug
- Genetic test
- Imaging Test (x-ray, ultrasound, CT, MRI, etc) _____
- Laboratory test (specify): _____
- Other (specify): _____

Not reported

If by interview and physical assessment, interviewer blinded to case control status (diseased vs. not diseased)?

Yes No Not applicable

Overall Incidence in MS population: _____

LCL _____

UCL _____

Age-standardized incidence: _____

LCL _____

UCL _____

Standard population used: _____

Overall Prevalence: _____

Age-standardized prevalence: _____

LCL _____

UCL _____

Standard population used: _____

Breakdown of Incidence/Prevalence by Socio-Demographic Factors

		Incidence	Prevalence	Not Specified
Gender	Male			
	Female			
Area of Residence	Urban			
	Rural			
Age				
Ethnicity				

Comparative Data (note this section will also serve to assess quality for studies with controls)

Comparisons to other populations (controls): Yes No

If yes, selection of controls:

- From literature
- Clinic-based
- Hospital-based
- Community-based
- Population-based
- Not reported

Comparability of cases and controls on basis of design or analysis:

Matched Yes No
 If yes, on sex age ethnicity region
 other specify _____

If not matched, study controls for sex age ethnicity region
 other specify _____

Definition of controls:

- No history of MS
- No description

Response rate in controls _____ Same as in MS cases Yes No

Assessment of comorbidity comparable in cases & controls Yes No Not reported

Comorbidity data for comparison population

Overall Incidence in comparison population: _____

LCL _____

UCL _____

Age-standardized incidence: _____

LCL _____

UCL _____

Standard population used: _____

Overall Prevalence: _____

Age-standardized prevalence: _____

LCL _____

UCL _____

Standard population used: _____

Breakdown of Incidence/Prevalence by Socio-Demographic Factors

		Incidence	Prevalence	Not Specified
Gender	Male			
	Female			
Area of Residence	Urban			
	Rural			
Age				
Ethnicity				

Quality Assessment^{1,2,3}

REPRESENTATIVENESS OF SAMPLE

1. Is the target population clearly defined? Yes No Unclear Not reported

e.g. The target population must be defined by shared characteristics assessed and measure accurately. Some of these characteristics include age, sex, ethnicity, income, etc. Clear inclusion and exclusion criteria.

2. Was either of the following ascertainment method used (must be one or the other)

- 1) **probability sampling OR**
2) **entire population surveyed** Yes No Unclear Not reported

e.g. Members of the target population were identified through a sampling frame or listing of potential respondents. This listing must provide access to all members or the defined target population except for exclusions acknowledged by the study authors.

3. **Is the response rate $\geq 70\%$** Yes No Unclear Not reported
* note that if entire population captured using health claims data or registry mark 'yes'

4. **Are non-responders clearly described?** Yes No Unclear Not reported
 Not applicable

5. **Is the sample representative of the target population?** Yes No Unclear Not reported
e.g. need to ensure that non responders have similar characteristics as responders (otherwise may have selection bias)
note that if entire population captured using health claims data mark 'yes'

ASSESSMENT OF COMORBID CONDITION

6. **Were data collection methods standardized?** Yes No Unclear Not reported

e.g. Identical methods of assessment and data collection were used with all respondents so that the information for analysis is completely comparable. Standardization of methods not only refer to eliciting information from respondents but also to interviewing training, supervision, enlistment of respondents and processing of data.

- 7a. **Were validated criteria used to assess for the presence/ absence of multiple sclerosis?** Yes No Unclear Not reported

e.g. or a validated scale, diagnostic tool, survey, etc.

- 7b. **Were validated criteria used to assess for the presence/ absence of disease?** Yes No Unclear Not reported

e.g. or a validated scale, diagnostic tool, survey, etc.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

8. **Are the estimates of prevalence and incidence given with confidence intervals and in detail by subgroup (if applicable)?** Yes No Unclear NR

9. **Are standardized estimates reported?** Yes No Not reported

References:

1. Boyle M.H. Guidelines for evaluating prevalence studies. *Evid Based Mental Health*. 1998;1:37-39.
2. Loney P.L. et al. Critical appraisal of the health research literature: Prevalence or incidence of a health problem. *Chronic Dis in Canada*. 2000;19(4) accessed on Nov 27, 2010 on the Public Health Agency of Canada website at: http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/cdic-mcc/19-4/e_e.html.
3. Evans C, Beland S, Kulaga S, et al. Incidence and prevalence of multiple sclerosis in the Americas: a systematic review. *Neuroepidemiology* 2013;40(3):195-210