Neurally expressed *Drosophila* genes encoding homologs of the NSF and SNAP secretory proteins

(neurotransmitter release/neurogenetics/molecular neurobiology/synaptic transmission)

RICHARD W. ORDWAY, LEO PALLANCK, AND BARRY GANETZKY

Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706

Communicated by Seymour Benzer, March 8, 1994

ABSTRACT Several lines of investigation have now converged to indicate that the neurotransmitter release apparatus is formed by assembly of cytosolic proteins with proteins of the synaptic vesicle and presynaptic terminal membranes. We are undertaking a genetic approach in Drosophila melanogaster to investigate the functions of two types of cytosolic proteins thought to function in this complex: N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein (NSF) and the soluble NSF attachment proteins (SNAPs). We have identified Drosophila homologs of the vertebrate and yeast NSF and SNAP genes. Both Drosophila genes encode polypeptides that closely resemble their vertebrate counterparts and are expressed in the nervous system; neither appears to be in a family of closely related Drosophila genes. These results indicate that the Drosophila NSF and SNAP genes are excellent candidates for mutational analysis of neurotransmitter release.

Chemical synaptic transmission is the primary form of signaling between neurons. A critical part of this process is the exocytotic release of neurotransmitter by regulated fusion of synaptic vesicles with the membrane of the presynaptic nerve terminal. Molecular analysis of the apparatus responsible for neurotransmitter release has recently been advanced by the remarkable convergence of studies on regulated and constitutive secretory mechanisms (reviewed in refs. 1–7). This work has indicated that a neurosecretory complex is formed by assembly of cytosolic proteins with proteins of the synaptic vesicle and presynaptic terminal membranes and has revealed key functional components of this complex.

N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein (NSF) and the soluble NSF attachment proteins α -, β -, and γ -SNAP are cytosolic proteins now thought to be components of the neurotransmitter release apparatus. Although NSF and the SNAPs were originally identified as proteins required for constitutive secretion in a mammalian cell-free Golgi transport assay (8) and in yeast (6), their assembly with proteins known to function in neurotransmitter release has strongly implicated them in this process as well (5, 9). It is now important to confirm directly that NSF and the SNAPs function in neurotransmitter release, to further define their specific roles, and to investigate their functional interactions with other proteins involved in this process. One powerful approach to addressing these issues is by mutational analysis of NSF and SNAP function. Drosophila is an ideal model system for accomplishing this because of the combination of experimental approaches possible in this organism, including classical genetics, molecular genetics, and synaptic electrophysiology (for example see ref. 10). To initiate such an analysis, we have identified Drosophila NSF and SNAP homologs expressed in the nervous system.*

vestigate the functions of two types of cytosolic proteins hought to function in this complex: N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive

by Tom Schwarz, Stanford University). The NSF probe was generated by PCR amplification of a subfragment of the SEC18 gene (11) from Saccharomyces cerevisiae genomic DNA (strain LRB228; kindly provided by Ching Kung, University of Wisconsin-Madison). Degenerate oligodeoxynucleotide primers (Operon Technologies, Alameda, CA) for PCR corresponded to SEC18 peptide sequences YGPPG [5'-CTCGAATTCTA(C,T)GG(A,C,G,T)CC(A,C,G,T)C-C(A,C,G,T)GG-3'] and PDEKG [5'-CAGGGTACC(C,T)T-T(C,T)TC(A,G)TC(A,C,G,T)GG-3']. The PCR product was cloned and its identity was confirmed by dideoxynucleotide sequencing from double-stranded templates (Sequenase version 2.0 DNA sequencing kit; United States Biochemical). The SNAP probe was a 0.74-kb Pst I/Sph I restriction fragment from the mouse β -SNAP cDNA, I47 (12) (kindly provided by Kikuya Kato, Research Development Corporation of Japan, Kyoto).

Library screening was carried out under conditions of low stringency (34% mismatch). Hybridizations were performed for 12–24 hr at 42°C in solutions containing 20% formamide, 10× Denhardt's solution, 5× standard saline citrate phosphate (SSCP), and 250 μ g of autoclaved and denatured salmon sperm DNA per ml. Washes were carried out at 42°C in 2× SSC/0.1% SDS. Following purification of phage, cDNA clones in pBluescript SK- plasmids were generated by autoexcision using the Exassist helper phage system (Stratagene). cDNAs were mapped with commercially available restriction enzymes in the buffers supplied (New England Biolabs).

Sequencing of cDNAs was performed using the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method from single-stranded templates with dITP and pyrophosphatase substituting for dGTP (Sequenase version 2.0 DNA sequencing kit; United States Biochemical). The open reading frames (ORFs) were sequenced completely on both strands. Sequences were analyzed using the PILEUP and DISTANCES programs of the Genetics Computer Group (Madison, WI) software package (13).

Southern Blot Analysis. Southern analysis of cDNAs was performed at high stringency using enhanced chemiluminescence detection (ECL; Amersham). Clones were digested with *Hind*III and *Pst* I, separated on a 1.0% agarose gel, and transferred to nylon membrane (Hybond-N; Amersham). Probe labeling, hybridization, washes, and detection of hybridizing bands were performed as described (ECL; Amersham). The

MATERIALS AND METHODS Isolation and Sequencing of cDNA Clones. ³²P-labeled NSF

and SNAP probes were used to screen 6×10^5 recombinant

phage from a λ ZAP cDNA library (Stratagene) prepared

Abbreviation: ORF, open reading frame.

^{*}The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank data base [accession nos. U09373 (dNSF) and U09374 (dSNAP)].

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

blot of putative NSF clones was probed with the largest putative NSF cDNA, dN20. Similarly, cDNA clone dS2 was used to probe the blot of putative SNAP cDNA clones.

Southern blots of Canton S genomic DNA were hybridized at high and low stringency with probes derived from the Drosophila NSF and SNAP cDNAs to search for closely related sequences (those hybridizing only at low stringency). Genomic DNA was separated on an agarose gel and blotted after digestion with the following enzymes: Ban I, Cla I, EcoRI, HincII, Pst I, SnaBI, and Xba I (New England Biolabs). The NSF probe was a 2.3-kb Spe I/EcoRV restriction fragment containing most of the ORF of cDNA dN20 (the Spe I site derives from pBluescript and is at the 5' end of dN20). Similarly, a 1.0-kb EcoRI/BamHI ORF-containing fragment of the dS2 cDNA was used to probe the SNAP Southern blot. High-stringency hybridizations were carried out for 12–24 hr at 65°C in $10 \times$ Denhardt's solution/2× SSCP/250 μ g of autoclaved and denatured salmon sperm DNA per ml, followed by several 20- to 30-min washes at 65°C in 0.2× SSC/0.1% SDS. Conditions for low stringency (27% mismatch) consisted of a 12- to 24-hr hybridization at 42°C in 25% formamide/10× Denhardt's solution/5× SSCP/ 250 μ g of autoclaved and denatured salmon sperm DNA per ml, and washes at 42°C in $2 \times SSC/0.1\%$ SDS.

Northern Blot Analysis. Total RNA was isolated from Canton S adults and third-instar larvae and subjected to poly(A)⁺ RNA selection as described (14) except that tissue homogenization was carried out with a Brinkman homogenizer. Approximately 5 μ g of mRNA per lane was separated on a 0.65 M formaldehyde-agarose gel as described (15) and transferred to nylon membrane (Hybond-N; Amersham). Blots were hybridized with ³²P-labeled probes identical to the *Drosophila* NSF and SNAP probes used in the genomic Southern blots (see above) and washed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Hybond-N; Amersham).

In Situ Hybridization. Unstaged Canton S embryos were prepared for tissue in situ hybridization as described (16). Following preparation, embryos were fixed with equal parts of 4% formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline/50 mM EGTA and heptane by shaking gently for 20 min. The aqueous phase was then removed and embryos were washed once in methanol and three times in ethanol and stored at -20° C. Subsequent fixation, hybridization, and staining of embryos were performed essentially as described for tissue in situ hybridization to whole-mount imaginal disks (17). Digoxygenin-labeled antisense RNA probes were generated from the dN20 and dS2 cDNA clones as described (Boehringer Mannheim). Stained embryos were dehydrated in ethanol and then mounted in methyl salicylate for photography. In situ hybridization to polytene chromosomes was carried out as described (18) with digoxygenin-labeled DNA probes derived from the same DNA fragments used as probes in the genomic Southern and Northern analyses.

RESULTS

Cloning and Characterization of cDNAs Encoding a Drosophila Homolog of NSF. To identify Drosophila homologs of NSF, a head cDNA library was screened at low stringency with a probe from the SEC18 gene, which encodes the S. cerevisiae counterpart of NSF (11). From \approx 70 positive clones, 11 were characterized and found to be derived from a single gene by hybridization and restriction mapping. Sequencing of the largest clone, a 3.2-kb cDNA termed dN20, revealed that it contains a 2.2-kb ORF (Fig. 1A) and terminates in a run of adenylate residues. The polypeptide encoded by dN20 aligns well with NSF from Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and the SEC18 gene product (Fig. 2). On the basis of this alignment, the dN20 polypeptide shares 62% identities with CHO NSF and 42% with SEC18. These A. dN20



FIG. 1. Restriction maps of cDNAs dN20 (A) and dS2 (B). Shaded boxes designate protein coding sequences. RI, EcoRI; RV, EcoRV; X, Xba I; B, BamHI.

findings indicate that dN20 derives from a *Drosophila* NSF gene, which we designate dNSF.

The dNSF gene maps to position 11D9-E4 on the X chromosome by *in situ* hybridization to polytene chromosomes.

A Single Transcript from the dNSF Gene. A probe from the dNSF cDNA, dN20, detects a single 3.2-kb transcript on a Northern blot of mRNA from adult flies (Fig. 3A). A similar result was obtained with mRNA from larvae. The similarity in size of the dNSF transcript and the dN20 clone indicates that this cDNA is full length, or nearly so.

Cloning and Characterization of Drosophila SNAP cDNAs. To identify Drosophila homologs of the SNAP genes, a head cDNA library was screened at low stringency with a mouse β -SNAP cDNA probe. Among ≈ 100 positive clones, 9 were purified and were found to derive from a single gene by hybridization, restriction mapping, and sequence analysis.[†] The largest clone, a 2.0-kb cDNA designated dS2, was sequenced and found to contain a 1.0-kb ORF (Fig. 1B). This cDNA is probably incomplete because it does not terminate in a run of adenylate residues.

Sequence alignments show that the polypeptide encoded by dS2 is most closely related to the bovine α - and β -SNAP polypeptides with 62% and 61% amino acid identities, respectively (Fig. 4). The dS2 polypeptide is more distantly related to the *S. cerevisiae SEC17* and bovine γ -SNAP polypeptides with 31% and 20% identities, respectively. In addition, 25 amino acids present in the dS2-encoded polypeptide are identical in all five sequences. These findings indicate that the dS2 cDNA derives from a *Drosophila* SNAP gene, which we designate dSNAP.

The dSNAP gene maps to position 77B1-4 on chromosome 3 by *in situ* hybridization to polytene chromosomes.

Multiple Transcripts from the dSNAP Gene. Northern blot analysis of mRNA from adult flies using a probe derived from the dS2 cDNA detected multiple transcripts ranging in size from 1.5 to 2.3 kb (Fig. 3B). Among these were two abundant species of 1.5 and 1.9 kb, as well as a poorly resolved group of larger transcripts. As with dNSF, similar results were obtained using larval mRNA. The size of the dS2 cDNA and the likelihood that it is incomplete at its 3' end indicate that it derives from the larger class of transcripts. Although the origins of the dSNAP transcript heterogeneity have not been determined, it is of interest to note that a similar complexity of transcripts has been observed for the bovine α - and γ -SNAP genes (19).

[†]A polymorphism was evident in Xba I digests of the nine dSNAP cDNAs. Six of the clones (including dS2) yielded a 0.3-kb Xba I fragment, while a 0.33-kb fragment was obtained from the other three clones. Partial sequence analysis of four clones showed them to be identical except for a 26-nucleotide sequence present in the 3' untranslated region of the two clones containing the 0.33-kb Xba I fragment but absent from the remaining two clones. The functional significance of this polymorphism, if any, remains unclear.



FIG. 2. Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of *Drosophila* NSF (dNSF) with those of CHO NSF and S. cerevisiae SEC18. Identities with dNSF are highlighted.

The dNSF and dSNAP Genes Are Expressed in the Embryonic Central Nervous System. To investigate whether dNSF and dSNAP are expressed in the nervous system and thus might be components of the neurotransmitter release apparatus, the expression pattern of these genes was determined by *in situ* hybridization to whole embryos. dNSF transcripts were detected throughout the embryo, with dark staining in the central nervous system (Fig. 5A). Similar central nervous system staining was observed for dSNAP, with little expression detected elsewhere in the embryo (Fig. 5B). Although the expression of dNSF appears to be more widespread than that of dSNAP, both are clearly expressed in the embryonic central nervous system.

DISCUSSION

We have identified and characterized *Drosophila* homologs of the vertebrate and yeast NSF and SNAP genes encoding proteins that have been implicated in neurotransmitter re-



FIG. 3. Northern analysis of $poly(A)^+$ RNA obtained from Canton S adults and probed with a dNSF probe (A) and a dSNAP probe (B). Numbers on right are kb.

lease. Drosophila NSF and SNAP cDNAs were isolated from a head library and their neural expression was confirmed by in situ hybridization to whole embryos. Although all of the bovine SNAPs have been shown to be expressed in brain, with β -SNAP expression largely limited to this tissue, neural expression of NSF has not previously been demonstrated. The expression of dNSF and dSNAP in the nervous system indicates that the encoded proteins may be components of the neurotransmitter release apparatus in Drosophila.

To lay the groundwork for a genetic analysis of dNSF and dSNAP, it is important to consider whether either gene might be part of a family of closely related genes with redundant functions in *Drosophila*. This does not appear to be the case because all of the NSF and SNAP cDNAs isolated in lowstringency screens of the *Drosophila* head library were derived from a single dNSF or dSNAP gene. Furthermore, low-stringency genomic Southern analysis failed to reveal genes closely related to dNSF or dSNAP (see *Materials and Methods*). This apparent lack of redundancy indicates that these genes are promising candidates for mutational analysis.

Although dSNAP does not appear to be in a family of closely related *Drosophila* genes, it is most similar to a pair of bovine genes, α - and β -SNAP, that are closely related to each other (19). Thus, these bovine genes appear to have a single counterpart in *Drosophila*. Consistent with this, dSNAP is equally similar to α -SNAP and β -SNAP, suggesting that a duplication event gave rise to the two bovine genes after the evolutionary divergence of arthropods and vertebrates. Whether there is also a *Drosophila* counterpart to the bovine γ -SNAP gene is unknown. By analogy to the bovine SNAP family, we would expect a *Drosophila* γ -SNAP to be distantly related to dSNAP; thus, it probably would not have been detected in our analyses.

Genetic analysis of neurotransmitter release has been facilitated by identification of several *Drosophila* genes encoding homologs of mammalian proteins involved in this process (20-24). One of these, synaptotagmin, has been



FIG. 4. Alignment of deduced amino acid sequence of Drosophila SNAP (dSNAP) with those of the bovine α -, β -, and γ -SNAPs and the S. cerevisiae SEC17 gene product. Identities with dSNAP sequence are highlighted.

subjected to mutational analysis. Synaptotagmin null mutants have shown that the absence of this protein impairs, but does not abolish, regulated release of neurotransmitter in Drosophila (25, 26). These results, together with work in other systems (27, 28), have led to new models of synaptotagmin function (29, 30). Other Drosophila homologs include those of the neurosecretory proteins synaptobrevin, Rab 3a, and SNAP-25. All of these homologs, like dNSF and dSNAP, are closely related to their vertebrate counterparts, suggesting that their functional roles in neurotransmitter release have also been conserved. Because of this conservation, and because of the powerful combination of experimental approaches available, Drosophila should serve as an excellent model system for the genetic analysis of neurotransmitter release. Such an analysis of dNSF and dSNAP



FIG. 5. Embryonic expression patterns of dNSF (A) and dSNAP (B) determined by in situ hybridization. Embryos are oriented with the anterior end to the right and the dorsal surface up. Note that for both dNSF and dSNAP, prominent staining is observed throughout the central nervous system including the ventral nerve cord (vnc) and supraesophageal ganglia (seg).

function promises to further define their roles in neurotransmitter release and to reveal their functional interactions with other proteins involved in this process.

R.W.O. and L.P. contributed equally to this work. We thank Scott Chouinard, Chang-Sook Hong, Robert Kreber, Eric Liebl, Janna McLean, and Justin Thackeray for their valuable advice throughout the course of this work. This study was supported by Grant NS15390 from the National Institutes of Health, and a McKnight Neuroscience Development Award to B.G., and Postdoctoral Fellowships NS09364 to R.W.O. from the National Institutes of Health and 3985 to L.P. from the American Cancer Society. This is paper no. 3396 from the Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

- 1. Barinaga, M. (1993) Science 260, 487-489.
- Südhof, T. C., De Camilli, P., Niemann, H. & Jahn, R. (1993) 2. Cell 75, 1-4.
- Huttner, W. B. (1993) Nature (London) 365, 104-105. 3.
- Takizawa, P. A. & Malhotra, V. (1993) Cell 75, 593-596. 4.
- Söllner, T., Bennett, M. K., Whiteheart, S. W., Scheller, 5. R. H. & Rothman, J. E. (1993) Cell 75, 409-418.
- Kaiser, C. A. & Schekman, R. (1990) Cell 61, 723-733. 6
- Bennett, M. K. & Scheller, R. H. (1993) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 7. USA 90, 2559-2563.
- 8. Rothman, J. E. & Orci, L. (1992) Nature (London) 355, 409-415.
- 9. Söllner, T., Whiteheart, S. W., Brunner, M., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Geromanos, S., Tempst, P. & Rothman, J. E. (1993) Nature (London) 362, 318-324.
- 10. Ganetzky, B. & Wu, C.-F. (1986) Annu. Rev. Genet. 20, 13-44. 11. Eakle, K. A., Bernstein, M. & Emr, S. D. (1988) Mol. Cell.
- Biol. 8, 4098-4109. 12.
- Kato, K. (1990) Eur. J. Neurosci. 2, 704-711.
- 13. Devereux, J., Haeberli, P. & Smithies, O. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 387-395
- 14. McLean, J. R., Boswell, R. & O'Donnell, J. (1990) Genetics 126, 1007-1019.
- 15. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), 2nd Ed.
- 16. Tautz, D. & Pfeifle, C. (1989) Chromosoma 98, 81-85.
- 17. Masucci, J. D., Miltenberger, R. J. & Hoffmann, F. M. (1990) Genes Dev. 4, 2011–2023.
- Doctor, J. S., Jackson, P. D., Rashka, K. E., Visalli, M. & 18. Hoffmann, F. M. (1992) Dev. Biol. 151, 491-505.
- 19. Whiteheart, S. W., Griff, I. C., Brunner, M., Clary, D. O., Mayer, T., Buhrow, S. A. & Rothman, J. E. (1993) Nature (London) 362, 353-355.

- DiAntonio, A., Burgess, R. W., Chin, A. C., Deitcher, D. L., Scheller, R. H. & Schwarz, T. L. (1993) J. Neurosci. 13, 4924-4935.
- 21. Südhof, T. C., Baumert, M., Perin, M. S. & Jahn, R. (1989) Neuron 2, 1475-1481.
- Johnston, P. A., Archer, B. T., III, Robinson, K., Mignery, G. A., Jahn, R. & Südhof, T. C. (1991) Neuron 7, 101-109.
- Perin, M. S., Johnston, P. A., Özcelik, T., Jahn, R., Franke, U. & Südhof, T. C. (1991) J. Biol. Chem. 266, 615-622.
- Risinger, C., Blomqvist, A. G., Lundell, I., Lambertsson, A., Nässel, D., Pieribone, V. A., Brodin, L. & Larhammer, D. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 24408-24414.
- DiAntonio, A., Parfitt, K. D. & Schwarz, T. L. (1993) Cell 73, 1281–1290.
- Littleton, J. T., Stern, M., Schulze, K., Perin, M. & Bellen, H. J. (1993) Cell 74, 1125–1134.
- Shoji-Kasai, Y., Yoshida, A., Sato, K., Hoshino, T., Ogura, A., Kondo, S., Fujimoto, Y., Kuwahara, R., Kato, R. & Takahashi, M. (1992) Science 256, 1820-1823.
- Nonet, M. L., Grundahl, K., Meyer, B. J. & Rand, J. B. (1993) Cell 73, 1291–1305.
- 29. Popov, S. V. & Poo, M.-M. (1993) Cell 73, 1247-1249.
- 30. DeBello, W. M., Betz, H. & Augustine, G. J. (1993) Cell 74, 947-950.