

**Table S1**

Bacterial species isolated from wild *Agalychnis callidryas* and *Agalychnis moreletii* and tested for anti-*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) activity against *Bd*GPL isolates SFBC 014 and AUL 1.2 using in vitro challenges. Bacterial isolates used for quantitative assessment of inhibitory activity against three *Bd*GPL isolates (CORN 3.2, JEL 423, VA05) are indicated by an #.

<b>Bacterial species</b>	<b>Host species</b>	<b>GenBank accession number</b>	<b><i>Bd</i>GPL SFBC 014 inhibition</b>	<b><i>Bd</i>GPL AUL 1.2 inhibition</b>
<i>Acinetobacter sp. 1</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853177		Yes
<i>Acinetobacter sp. 2</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853194	Yes	Yes
<i>Acinetobacter sp. 3</i>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853193		
<i>Acinetobacter sp. 4</i>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853216		Yes
<i>Agrobacterium sp.</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853210	Yes	Yes
<i>Arthrobacter sp.</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853208		
<i>Bacillus sp. 1</i>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853209		
<i>Bacillus sp. 2</i>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853212		
<i>Burkholderia sp.</i>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853184		
<i>Buttiauxella sp.</i>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853180		
<i>Chitinophaga sp.</i>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853191		
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 1</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853174		
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 2</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853182	Yes	Yes
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 3</i> (#)	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853202		Yes
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 4</i>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853179		
<i>Chryseobacterium sp. 5</i>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853218		
<i>Citrobacter sp.</i>	<i>Agalychnis</i>	KC853213		

	<i>callidryas</i>		
<b><i>Cupriavidus sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853214	
<b><i>Curtobacterium sp. 1</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853169	
<b><i>Curtobacterium sp. 2</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853190	
<b><i>Deinococcus sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853204	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 1 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853189	Yes
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 2 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853192	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 3</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853197	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 4</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853199	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 5</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853205	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 6</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853217	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 7</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853221	
<b><i>Enterobacter sp. 8</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853224	Yes
<b><i>Erwinia sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853200	
<b><i>Gordonia sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853207	
<b><i>Kluyvera sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853172	
<b><i>Lysobacter sp. (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853178	Yes
<b><i>Massilia sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853215	
<b><i>Microbacterium sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853186	
<b><i>Novosphingobium sp. 1</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853211	
<b><i>Novosphingobium sp. 2</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853222	
<b><i>Pseudomonas sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853219	

<b><i>Rhizobium sp.</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853173	
<b><i>Sanguibacter sp. 1</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853168	
<b><i>Sanguibacter sp. 2</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853187	
<b><i>Sanguibacter sp. 3</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853188	
<b><i>Serratia sp. 1 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853175	Yes
<b><i>Serratia sp. 2 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853176	Yes
<b><i>Serratia sp. 3 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853181	
<b><i>Serratia sp. 4 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853196	Yes
<b><i>Staphylococcus sp. 1</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853170	
<b><i>Staphylococcus sp. 2</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853206	
<b><i>Staphylococcus sp. 3</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853223	
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 1 (#)</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853203	Yes
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 2</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853183	
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 3</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KF444793	
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 4</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853198	
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 5</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853201	
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 6</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis callidryas</i>	KC853220	Yes
<b><i>Stentrophomonas sp. 7</i></b>	<i>Agalychnis moreletii</i>	KC853171	Yes

**Table S2**

Parameter estimates from the best supported model investigating the effects of bacterial genus and *Bd* isolate on inhibition score. The best supported model contained both *Bd* isolate and bacterial genus as main effects. The intercept is the reference category of *Bd* isolate = CORN 3.2 and Bacterial Genus = *Acinetobacter*. Both the Bacterial Genus *Enterobacter* and the *Bd* isolate VA05 were associated with significantly lower inhibition scores (credible intervals for both effects do not cross zero). In addition, we provide the cut-point estimates to allow back-transformation of scoring probabilities for the four bacterial genera and three *Bd*GPL genotypes.

	Posterior Mean	lower95% CI	upper95% CI
<b>Genus/Bacteria</b>	0.2100	0.0003	0.9800
	Posterior Mean	lower95% CI	upper95% CI
<b>(Intercept)</b>	0.37	-0.69	1.32
<b>BdJEL423</b>	0.33	-0.56	1.12
<b>BdVA05</b>	<b>-1.42</b>	<b>-2.46</b>	<b>-0.43</b>
<b>GenusChryseobacterium</b>	-0.16	-1.42	0.98
<b>GenusEnterobacter</b>	<b>-2.03</b>	<b>-3.53</b>	<b>-0.57</b>
<b>GenusSerratia</b>	-0.36	-1.44	0.61
Cutpoints:			
	Posterior Mean	lower95% CI	upper95% CI
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.1</b>	0.27	0.09	0.50
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.2</b>	0.76	0.45	1.13
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.3</b>	1.46	1.02	1.95
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.4</b>	1.93	1.33	2.45
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.5</b>	2.23	1.57	2.88
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.6</b>	2.63	1.79	3.43
<b>cutpoint.traitinhib.7</b>	2.98	1.98	3.87

**Table S3**

Model selection results from five ordinal models fitted using the package ‘MCMCglmm’ (Hadfield, 2010) to investigate the effects of bacterial genus and *Bd* isolate on inhibition score. All five competing models contained the same random effects structure controlling for phylogenetic structure of bacterial relatedness. (~Genus/Bacteria). The most complex model contained *Bd*GPL, Bacterial genus, and their interaction as fixed effects. We also fitted all 4 nested models: *Bd*GPL and Bacterial isolate as main effects without their interaction, *Bd* isolate only, Bacterial genus only, and an intercept only model. We compared all five models using the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC). All models were run for 100,000 iterations following a burnin of 20,000 iterations, with a thinning interval of 100. We used uninformative priors for the random effects (G) structure specifying  $V = 1$  and  $\nu = 0.002$ . As the residual variance is not identifiable for ordinal models, it was fixed at 1.

<b>Model</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>DIC</b>	<b><math>\Delta</math>DIC</b>
<b>Bd + Genus</b>	8	242.87	0
<b>Bd</b>	5	251.81	8.94
<b>Bd * genus</b>	14	257.72	14.85
<b>Intercept Only</b>	3	262.61	19.74
<b>Genus</b>	6	265.93	23.05

**All models contained Bacterial Isolate nested within Genus as random effects**

**Hadfield JD** (2010) MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models: The MCMCglmm R Package. *J Stats Softw* **33**: 1-22.