**Developmental Cell** 

**Supplemental Information** 

# A Family of Tetraspans Organizes Cargo for Sorting into Multivesicular Bodies

Chris MacDonald, Johanna A. Payne, Mariam Aboian, William Smith, David J. Katzmann, Robert C. Piper

## Supplemental Figure 1



bsd2 $\Delta$  art1 $\Delta$  art2 $\Delta$  art3 $\Delta$  art4 $\Delta$  art6 $\Delta$ art7 $\Delta$  art8 $\Delta$  art10 $\Delta$ 

b

а





Yeast Nitrogen Base levels in media

d

## С

VITAMINS Thiamine HCI (B1), 1,5µM	<b>SALTS</b> H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> , 8μM CuSO <sub>4</sub> , 0.25μM	WT	•	000
Riboflavin (B2), $0.5\mu$ M Niacin (B3), $3.2\mu$ M Calcium pantothenate (B5), $8\mu$ M Pyridoxine HCI (B6), $2.3\mu$ M Biotin (B7), $8n$ M Inositol (B8), $11\mu$ M Folic acid (B9), $4n$ M p-Aminobenzoate (Bx), $3\mu$ M	KI, 0.6μM FeCl <sub>3</sub> , 1.2μM MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 2.6μM Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> , 1μM ZnSO <sub>4</sub> , 2.4μM	rpd3∆	•	8
	$KH_2PO_4$ , 7.3mM MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 4.1mM NaCl, 1.7mM CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.9mM	sin3∆	-	20

GFP DIC

GFP-Cps1

### Supplemental Figure 2



## Supplemental Figure 3

















С













b

DIC

## Supplementary figure legends

# Figure S1, related to Figure 1: Effect of media composition, *rpd3*∆, and *sin3*∆ on MVB sorting

a) Localization of additional GFP-tagged cargo proteins in mutant cells lacking 9 known Rsp5 adaptor proteins grown to mid- and late-log phase ( $OD_{600}$  = 1.0 and 2.0, respectively). Ste3-GFP is normally rapidly sent to the vacuole for degradation. The low levels of Ste3-GFP on the plasma membrane in cells grown to mid-log phase are absent in cells grown to late-log phase. Fur4-GFP is primarily at the cell surface in mid-log grown cells, but is completely re-localized to the vacuole in cells grown to late-log phase.

*b*) Cells expressing Mup1-GFP were grown to late-log phase in standard SD-Met media (2% glucose, 5 g/L ammonium sulphate, 1x vitamin base mixture), and also media that was depleted (0.5x) or supplemented (2x and 4x) with glucose, ammonium chloride, yeast nitrogen base, or buffered protons. The percentage of cells (n = indicated for each condition) showing GFP in vacuole lumen was quantified. We found changes in glucose levels and pH had no effect on Mup1-GFP sorting. Supplementing nitrogen decreased Mup1-GFP sorting to the vacuole consistent with the finding that amino acid levels control down-regulation of transporters through the TORC1 pathway (MacGurn et al., 2011). We also find the vitamin base mixture suppresses Mup1 vacuolar sorting, specifically the NAD precursor Nicotinic Acid (NA).

*c*) Components in Yeast Nitrogen Base, which at higher concentrations suppresses the delivery of Mup1-GFP to the vacuole when cells are grown to late-log phase.

d) GFP-Cps1 localization in wild-type cells,  $rpd3\Delta$  and  $sin3\Delta$  null mutant cells. Bar 5  $\mu$ M.

#### Figure S2, related to Figure 2: COS gene regulation by HDACs

We found vacuolar delivery of cell surface membrane proteins could be accelerated by growth past log phase and modulated by two opposing transcription factors (accelerated by deletion of *SIR2* and attenuated by deletion of *RPD3*). These criteria were used to cross-reference existing microarray data and narrow the list of gene products that are transcriptionally activated by growth past log phase and *SIR2* deletion and repressed by *RPD3* deletion.

a) Collated microarray expression data showing gene expression profiles of the COS genes as cells are grown past log phase and in the indicated HDAC null mutants:  $sir2\Delta$ ,  $hda1\Delta$ ,  $rpd3\Delta$  and  $sin3\Delta$  (Bedalov et al., 2001; Bernstein et al., 2000; Gasch et al., 2000). Loss of the NAD<sup>+</sup>-

dependent HDAC *SIR2* induces expression of many *COS* genes. Loss of *SIN3* and *RPD3*, encoding components of a NAD<sup>+</sup>-independent HDAC complex, suppresses *COS* gene expression. Deletion of *HDA1*, another HDAC, had no affect on *COS* gene expression. The average values from duplicate experiments are displayed. The relative values are conditionally formatted, with green indicating strongest repression, red indicating strongest induction and grey as no change in expression. *COS* genes share extremely high levels of homology; it is therefore difficult to specifically generate expression profiles for each family member, for this reason average change across all *COS* genes is also shown.

*b*) List of the 15 genes that increase expression upon entry towards stationary phase and upon *SIR2* deletion, and decrease upon loss of *RPD3*.

*c*) Deletion of the HDAC gene *HDA1* has no affect on *COS* gene expression (a) or the down-regulation of Mup1-GFP to the vacuole in cultures grown to late-log phase.

*d*) Cos5 was chosen as a representative member of the Cos family for functional studies. Shown here are the levels of identify between *COS5* and the other family members at the deduced amino acid level (protein) and at the nucleotide level (gene), homology of which is calculated from 1000 bp upstream of the start codon to end of the ORF.

e) Schematic diagram of yeast chromosomes and the location of COS genes (blue) and the DUP240 family members (red). Bar 5  $\mu$ M.

#### Figure S3, related to Figure 3: Generation of cos mutant cells

*a*) Mup1-GFP is localized to the cell surface in exponentially dividing cells but is efficiently delivered to the vacuole after 1-hour treatment with 20 μg/ml methionine. Mup1-GFP-Ub expressed in wild-type cells is found largely in the vacuole, even in cells grown in media lacking methionine.

b) Localization of Ste3-GFP in mid-log phase grown WT and cosΔ mutant cells. Lower, immunoblot of WT and cosΔ cells expressing Ste3-GFP and Ste3-GFP-Ub. Anti-GFP antibodies reveal a processed form of GFP that occurs upon cargo delivery to the vacuole lumen. The loading control with α-Rsp5 antibodies confirms no changes in the levels of Rsp5.
c) Scheme for disrupting multiple highly homologous COS genes. Following first round of deletion, another gene is targeted by virtue of a sequence that is no loner present in the previous deleted gene. By targeting first round of deletions with 2 selectable markers, *HIS5* from *K. lactis* and G418 resistance, each with same homology but selecting for both, therefore

confirming 2 deletions per round prior to expressing *cre*-recombinase to regenerate the selectable markers for subsequent rounds of gene disruption.

d) Genome assembly of the *cos*∆ strain showing disruption of all *COS* genes and pseudogenes. Paired-end 100 bp Illumina reads from fragmented *cos*∆ genomic DNA library were aligned separately to each of the yeast chromosomes. This artificially increases read depth at the chromosome ends that contain sequences homologous to additional loci. For instance, sequences of many of the *COS* genes can map to multiple loci. Mapping all genomic sequences to only individual chromosomes separately, however, shows unambiguous disruption of all *COS* genes. Shown are graphs of the corresponding read depths for each position, the y-axis of each graph totals 600 reads and the black bar indicates 2.5 kbp. The beginning or end of each chromosome is also labeled. The positions of the *COS* ORFs (blue arrows), *COS* pseudogenes (red arrows) as well as other annotated ORFs (grey) within the region are indicated. These data also indicate loss a few other sub-telomeric loci. However, the defects of this strain were complemented by overexpressing Cos5 from a plasmid, indicating that loss of these other regions were not responsible for the MVB sorting defects observed. Bar 5 µm.

#### Figure S4, related to Figure 4: Characterization of Cos proteins

a) Localization of other Cos-GFP fusion proteins in WT cells.

*b*) Cos5-GFP and Cos5<sup>KR</sup>-GFP lacking the lysines within Cos5, were immunoprecipitated from lysates of *pep12* $\Delta$  cells also expressing HA-Ub using  $\alpha$ -GFP antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with  $\alpha$ -GFP and  $\alpha$ -HA. Also analyzed were Cos5<sup>2K</sup>-GFP and Cos5<sup>7K</sup>-GFP in which either 2 or 7 lysines were reintroduced into Cos5<sup>KR</sup>-GFP.

*c*) Localization of  $Cos5^{KR}$ -GFP,  $Cos5^{2K}$ -GFP,  $Cos5^{7K}$ -GFP in WT cells. Introduction of novel ubiquitination sites restores MVB sorting of  $Cos5^{KR}$ -GFP.

d) Localization of Cos5-GFP in *vps27* $\Delta$  and *vps4* $\Delta$  mutants. Cos5-GFP localizes to mutant endosomal class E compartments.

*e*) Localization of Cos5-GFP in WT cells expressing a fusion protein of Rsp5 and the catalytic domain of the Ubp7 deubiquitinating enzyme.

f) Coomassie-stained gel of samples from the recombinant Rsp5 binding experiment in Fig. 4I. Indicated are the positions of intact GST-Cos CTD fusions, GST-Sna3 C-terminal domain fusions, and bound MBP-Rsp5. *g*) Synthetic effects of deleting *SNA3* or *BSD2* and other *COS* genes on MVB sorting. Localization of GFP-Cps1 in the indicated single and double null mutants demonstrates a synthetic sorting defect between TMD containing Rsp5 adaptors Sna3 and Bsd2, and the Cos proteins.

#### Figure S5, related to Figure 5: Sub-compartmentalization within Endosomes

*a*) Immunoblot analysis of Cos5-HA expressed in *pep4* $\Delta$  cells using  $\alpha$ -HA. The expected monomeric Cos5-HA is accompanied by a dimeric and higher order oligomeric form, which can be visualized in lanes heavily loaded with lysate.

*b*) Vph1-GFP-Ub is directed to the vacuolar lumen, where is co-localizes with Cos5-RFP. Also shown is the differential localization of Cos5-RFP in the vacuole and Vph1-GFP to the limiting membrane of the vacuole

c) Confocal microscopy of Cos5-RFP and GFP-Ub in mutant  $vps36\Delta$  and  $vps4\Delta$  cells.

*d*) Co-localization of Cos5-RFP and either Vph1-GFP or Vph1-GFP-Ub in the indicated class E *vps* / ESCRT mutants.

#### Figure S6, related to Figure 6: Characterization of the Triggered Recycling Assay

a) To demonstrate the utility of this assay we assessed the ability of Mup1-GFP-FKBP to bind FRB fusion proteins upon Rapamycin addition. Shown is localization of Mup1-GFP-FKBP and FRB-RFP in *fpr1* $\Delta$  *tor1-1* cells or *vps36* $\Delta$  *fpr1* $\Delta$  *tor1-1* cells that were treated in the absence or presence of Rapamycin (10 µM) for 90 min. Cells carried the *tor1-1* mutation allowing them to grow in the presence of Rapamycin and also lacked FKBP-12 (*fpr1* $\Delta$ ) to ensure exclusive pairing of FRB-M48 to Mup1-GFP-FKBP. Cells were grown to mid-log in SD-Met media. Rapamycin induces FRB-RFP localization to Mup1-GFP-FKBP whether it is at the cell surface or trapped within class E endosomal compartments.

*b*) With FRB fused to M48, adding Rapamycin stabilized Mup1-GFP-FKBP at the cell surface in the presence of high concentrations of methionine [40.0  $\mu$ g/ml], consistent with previous studies where Mup1-GFP was translationally fused to the UL36 DUb (Stringer and Piper, 2011). Shown is Mup1-GFP-FKBP or Ste3-GFP-FKBP were co-expressed in *fpr1* $\Delta$  *tor1-1* cells with FRB-DUb and grown in SD+Met to mid-log phase in the presence and absence of 10  $\mu$ M Rapamycin. *c*) Triggered recycling of Mup1-GFP-FKBP occurs more slowly in cells overexpressing Cos5-HA, as detected at the 4 hour time point in some cells. Bar = 5  $\mu$ m.

#### Figure S7, related to Figure 7: The sorting of GPI-APs into the MVB pathway

a) Model illustrating the topology of GPI-anchored proteins as they travel through the endocytic pathway to the vacuole or to the MVB pathway. Vacuolar proteases could potentially release lumenal GFP or YFP fused onto GPI-APs regardless of whether that GPI-AP was delivered to the limiting vacuolar membrane or intralumenal vesicles derived from the MVB sorting pathway. *b*) GPI-APs co-localize with Cos5-RFP in the class E compartment of *vps4* $\Delta$  (Pep<sup>+</sup>) cells, but a large portion also accesses the lumen of the vacuole, shown by fluorescence microscopy of YFP-Tos6.

*c*) Intravacuolar localization of Cos5-RFP, RFP-Gas1, YFP-Ccw14, YFP-Tos6 and YFP-Cwp2 was quantified (n = >150) in wild-type and *vps4* $\Delta$  cells.

*d*) *pep4* $\Delta$  cells expressing YFP-labeled GPI-APs were grown to mid-log phase in media containing 100  $\mu$ M NA. Localization is compared with *pep4* $\Delta$  cells co-expressing Cos5-RFP. *e*) Schematic diagram of Cos5 showing membrane spanning regions (blue) and the mutations within them used to create the TMD\* construct (red, residue changes labeled above). Bar = 5  $\mu$ m.

## Supplementary Experimental Materials

#### Yeast strains used in this study.

	Сепотуре	Use	Source
RY4742	MATa his 340 lou 240 lus 240 ura 340	Throughout	(Brachmann et al. 1008)
SEV6210	MATa lev2 2 112 ura2 52 bis2 A200 tra1 A001 bis2 801 aus2 A0	Throughout	(Robinson et al., 1990)
3210210	MATO, 1602-3, 172 0183-32 11153-2200 1101-2301 1952-001 Sucz-239	moughout	
		Figure 1	
ENY60	BY4742; art1Δ art2Δ art3Δ art4Δ art6Δ art7Δ art8Δ art10Δ bsd2Δ	1B & s1a	(Nikko and Pelham, 2009)
PLY4032	BY4742; <i>sir2</i>	1D, 1E & 1F	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
PLY4033	BY4742; rpd3∆	1D, 1F; s1d; 2G	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
PLY4030	BY4742; sin3Δ	s1d; 2G	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
		Figure 2	
JPY21	SEY6210: mvb124::HIS3	2C	(Oestreich et al., 2007b)
PLY4031	BY4742; hda1∆	s2c	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
		5: 0	
	BY4742: cos14 cos24 cos34 cos44 cos54 cos64 cos74 cos84 cos94 cos104		
PLY4624	cos12∆ yhl042w∆ yhl043w∆ yhl044w∆ yir043c∆ yir044c∆	s2b, s2d, 7C	This study
PLY4654	BY4742; $\cos 1\Delta \cos 2\Delta \cos 3\Delta \cos 4\Delta \cos 5\Delta \cos 6\Delta \cos 7\Delta \cos 8\Delta \cos 9\Delta \cos 10\Delta \cos 12\Delta vhl042wA vhl043wA vhl044wA vir043cA vir044cA sp3A$	3E	This study
110/00		Figure 4	
LHY23	MATa leu2 ura3 his3 trp1 lys2 bar1 rsp5-1	4A	(Dunn and Hicke, 2001)
TVY614	SEY6210; pep4A::LEU2 prb1A::HISG prc1A::HIS3	4B	(Wurmser and Emr, 1998)
PLY3983	BY4742; sna3∆	4F4E?	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
PLY4359	BY4742; bsd2 <u>A</u> sna3 <u>A</u>	4F 4E?	This study
JPY130	SEY6210; bsd2A::HIS3	4F	(Lee et al., 2009)
MAY3	SEY6210; <i>sna3∆::HI</i> S3	4F	(Oestreich et al., 2007a)
JPY489	SEY6210; cos6 <i>∆</i> .:H/S3	4F	This study
JPY602	SEY6210; <i>bsd2A</i> :: <i>HIS3 cos6A</i> ::	4F	This study
JPY597	SEY6210; cos6 <i>A::HIS3 sna3A::HIS3</i>	4F	This study
CBY31	SEY6210; pep12A::LEU2	4G; s4b	(Burd et al., 1997)
JPY617	SEY6210; bsd2 <u>A</u> ::HIS3 pep12 <u>A</u> ::LEU2 sna3 <u>A</u> ::HIS3	4G	This study
MBY21	SEY6210;VPS27::HIS3	s4d	(Shih et al., 2002)
MBY3	SEY6210; <i>vps4Δ</i> :: <i>TRP1</i>	s4d	(Babst et al., 1997)
JPY434	SEY6210; cos1 <i>Δ</i> :HIS3	s4g	This study
JPY425	SEY6210; cos2/3A::HIS3	s4g	This study
JPY435	SEY6210; cos4 <u>A</u> ::HIS3	s4g	This study
MPY1	SEY6210; cos5 <i>A</i> ::H/S3	s4g	This study
JPY590	SEY6210: $cos1\Delta$ :HIS3 sna3 $\Delta$ :HIS3	s4q	This study
JPY592	SEY6210; cos2/3A::HIS3 sna3A::HIS3	s4q	This study
JPY594	SEY6210: cos4 <u>A</u> ::HIS3 sna3 <u>A</u> ::HIS3	s4q	This study
JPY596	SEY6210; cos5 <i>A</i> ::HIS3 sna3 <i>A</i> ::HIS3	s4q	This study
JPY585	SEY6210; cos1 <i>A::</i> HIS3 bsd2 <i>A::</i> HIS3	s4q	This study
JPY603	SEY6210; cos2/3A::HIS3 bsd2A::HIS3	s4q	This study
JPY582	SEY6210: cos4 <u>A</u> ::HIS3 bsd2 <u>A</u> ::HIS3	s4g	This study
JPY583	SEY6210; cos5A::HIS3 bsd2A::HIS3	s4g	This study
		Figure 5	
PI Y4005	RY4742: vns364	54 5D' e5b' 6P	(Winzeler et al. 1000)
PLY2463	SEY6210; pep4Δ	5B	(Macdonald et al., 2012a)
PI Y4092	BY4742 <sup>-</sup> νps4Λ	5E; s5b, s5c; 6B;	(Winzeler et al 1999)
1 21 4032	BY4742: cos14 cos24 cos34 cos44 cos54 cos64 cos74 cos84 cos94 cos104	s7c, s7d	(*****20101 61 81., 1999)
PLY4646	cos12∆ yhl042w∆ yhl043w∆ yhl044w∆ yir043c∆ yir043c∆ yir044c∆ vps4∆	5E	
DI V/003	BY4742; vps23 <i>A</i>	s5c	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
FL14095			

		Figure 6	
PLY4168	BY4742; <i>vps24</i> Δ	6A, 6B	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
PLY4169	BY4742; snf7∆	6A, 6B	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
W303	$MAT\alpha$ ade 2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3		(Thomas and Rothstein, 1989)
KY14708	W303; tor1-1 fpr1∆	s6b	(Haruki et al., 2008)
PLY4575	W303; tor1-1 fpr1∆ vps4∆ CUP1-HA-FRB-M48 MUP1-GFP-FKBP	6D	This study
PLY4392	W303; tor1-1 fpr1∆ vps36∆ (W303)	s6a	This study
		Figure 7	
PLY2030	BY4742; <i>pep4</i> ∆	7A, 7C, 7D; s7b, s7e	(Winzeler et al., 1999)
PLY4099	SEY6210; <i>pep4</i> <sup><i>Δ</i></sup> <i>vps4</i> <sup><i>Δ</i></sup>	7B	(Macdonald et al., 2012a)
PLY4648	BY4742; cos1∆ cos2∆ cos3∆ cos4∆ cos5∆ cos6∆ cos7∆ cos8∆ cos9∆ cos10∆ cos12∆ yhl042w∆ yhl043w∆ yhl044w∆ yir043c∆ yir044c∆ pep4∆::LEU2 atg8∆::KanMX	3F, 3H, 7E, 7F, 7G	This study

## Plasmids used in this study.

Plasmid	Description	Use	Source
pRS413	Low copy yeast shuttle plasmid containing HIS3 marker	Throughout	(Sikorski and Hieter, 1989)
pRS415	Low copy yeast shuttle plasmid containing LEU2 marker	Throughout	(Sikorski and Hieter, 1989)
pRS416	Low copy yeast shuttle plasmid containing URA3 marker	Throughout	(Sikorski and Hieter, 1989)
		Figure 1	
pCHL642	pRS416 expressing Mup1-GFP from MUP1 promoter	1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F; s1b; 2F, 2G; s2c; 3A; s3a, 4C; 6B	(Lin et al., 2008)
pCHL571	pRS416 expressing Can1-GFP from CAN1 promoter	1B	(Lin et al., 2008)
pPL5633	pRS416 expressing Yor1-GFP from CUP1 promoter	1B	This study
pPL2583	pRS416 expressing GFP-Snc1 from TPI1 promoter	1B; 3D	(Burston et al., 2009)
pPL967	pRS415 expressing Ste3-GFP from STE3 promoter	s1a; s3b; 6A	(Urbanowski and Piper, 2001)
pPL3797	pRS415 expressing Fur4-GFP from CUP1 promoter	s1a	(Stringer and Piper, 2011)
pPL2356	pRS416 expressing GFP-Cps1 from TPI1 promoter	s1d	(Reggiori and Pelham, 2001)
		Figure 2	
pMA27	pRS416 expressing Cos5-GFP from TDH3 promoter	2C, 4A, 4B, 4E, 4G; s4a, s4d, s4e	This study
pPL5000	pRS416 expressing Cos5-HA from CUP1 promoter	2D, 2E, 2F, 2G; 3D, 3E, 4D, 5B, 6A, 6B	This study
		Figure 3	
pPL4147	pRS415 expressing Mup1-GFP-Ub from CUP1 promoter	3A, 3B, s3a	(Stringer and Piper, 2011)
pPL5696	pRS416 expressing Mup1-GFP-Ub-Ub from CUP1 promoter	3B	This study
pPL3878	pRS415 expressing GFP-Ub from PRC1 promoter	3C, s5b	(Bilodeau et al., 2003)
pPL2279	pRS415 expressing Sna3 <sup>KR</sup> from SNA3 promoter Sna3 (K19R, K125R)	3E	(MacDonald et al., 2012b)
pPL3484	pRS415 expressing Ste3-GFP-Ub from STE3 promoter	s3b	(Bilodeau et al., 2003)
1		l	

		1	1
		Figure 4	
pMA131	pGPD416-Cos5 <sup>KR</sup> -GFP	4A, 4B; s4c	This study
pPL5103	pRS416 expressing Cos5-GFP-UL36 from CUP1 promoter	4A	This study
pPL5003	pRS416 expressing Cos5 <sup>KR</sup> -HA from CUP1 promoter	4D; 5B	This study
pPL5764	pRS416 expressing Cos5 <sup>KR</sup> -HA-myc-Ub from CUP1 promoter	4D	This study
pGO45	pRS426-GFP-Cps1	4F; s4g	(Odorizzi et al., 1998)
pGPD414HA-Ub	pRS414 expressing HA-Ub	4G; s4b	(Oestreich et al., 2007a)
pJP10	GST-Cos4 <sup>CID2</sup> (Cos 4 residues 297-380)	4I; s4f	This study
pJP21	GST-Cos5 <sup>C1D2</sup> (Cos5 residues 299-384)	4l; s4f	This study
pJP25	GST-Cos6 <sup>CTD2</sup> (Cos6 residues 299-382)	4I; s4f	This study
GST-Sna3	GST-Sna3	4I; s4f	(Oestreich et al., 2007a)
GST-Sna3	GST-Sna3	4l; s4f	(Oestreich et al., 2007a)
pJL242	His-MBP-Rsp5"	4l; s4f	(Oestreich et al., 2007a)
pMA24	pGPD416-Cos1-GFP	s4a	This study
pMA25	pGPD416-Cos2/3-GFP	s4a	This study
pMA26	pGPD416-Cos4-GFP	s4a	This study
pMA27	pGPD416-Cos5-GFP	s4a	This study
pMA28	pGPD416-Cos6-GFP	s4a	This study
pMA132	pGPD416-Cos5 <sup>TT</sup> -GFP	S4C	This study
piviA133	pGPD416-C0S5 -GFP	S4C	I his study
pPL3742	pRS416 expressing Rsp5-Ubp7-3xHA Active Ubp7 (catalytic domain)	S46, 7A	(Stringer and Piper, 2011)
pPL5128	pRS415 expressing Coss-GFP from TDH3 promoter	s4e	This study
		Firme F	
- DI 5000		Figure 5	This should
pPL5392	pRS416 expressing Cos5-mCherry-GFP from CUP1 promoter	5A	This study
pPL5390	pRS416 expressing Cos5-mCherry from CUP1 promoter	5A; \$5D; 7G, 7H	I his study
pPL5128	pRS415 expressing Cos5-GFP from TDH3 promoter	s7d, s7e	This study
pPL5424	pRS415 expressing Cos5-mCherry from CUP1 promoter	5A; s5a, s5c	This study
pPL1556	pRS416 expressing Vph1-GFP-Ub from VPH1 promoter	5A, 5D, 5E; s5a, s5c	(Urbanowski and Piper, 2001)
pPL848	pRS416 expressing Vph1-GFP from VPH1 promoter	5D, 5E; s5a, s5c	(Urbanowski and Piper, 2001)
pPL4147	pRS416 expressing Mup1-mCherry-Ub from CUP1 promoter	5E	(Stringer and Piper, 2011)
		Figure 6	
pPL5519	pRS416 expressing Cos5-HA from TEF1 promoter	6C, 6D; s6c	This study
pPL3607	pRS416 expressing Ste3-mCherry from STE3 promoter	6D; s6c	(Ren et al., 2008)
pPL5348	pRS-ADE2 expressing Mup1-GFP-FKBP from CUP1 promoter	s6a, s6b	This study
pPL5011	pRS416 expressing HA-FRB-mCherry from SNA3 promoter	s6a	This study
pPL5350	pRS-ADE2 expressing Ste3-GFP-FKBP from CUP1 promoter	s6b	This study
		Figure 7	
p416VenusCcw14	pRS416 expressing Venus-Ccw14 from ADH1 promoter	7A, 7E, 7F; s7b, s7d, s7e	(Castillon et al., 2009)
p416VenusTos6	pRS416 expressing Venus-Tos6 from ADH1 promoter	7B, 7F; s7c, s7d, s7e	(Castillon et al., 2009)
p416HA-GAS1	pRS416 expressing HA-Gas1 from GAS1 promoter	7C, 7E, 7G	Scott Moye-Rowley
pPL5724	pRS416 expressing Myc-Sed1 from <i>MET25</i> promoter, contains the 20 residue N-terminal Alpha factor signal sequence	7C	This study
DI 5000	pRS416 expressing Myc-Rot1 from <i>MET</i> 25 promoter, contains the 20		<b>T</b> 1.5, 5, 1
pPL5692	residue N-terminal Alpha factor signal sequence	70	This study
pPL5268	pRS415 expressing Cos5-HA from CUP1 promoter	7E	This study
p416VenusCwp2	pRS416 expressing Venus-Cwp2 from ADH1 promoter	7E, 7F; s7b, s7d, s7e	(Castillon et al., 2009)
	pRS415 expressing Cos5-TMD*-mCherry from CUP1 promoter (K45V,		
pPL5742	S46Y, P50M, P58L, G83I, P84A, C91I, G92S, L93F, T227A, K231V,	7G	This study
	R232L, S238M, P240I, R260L, C263F, P264L, G265V		
pPL5720	pRS416 expressing Cos5-TMD*-mCherry from <i>CUP1</i> promoter (K45V, S46Y, P50M, P58L, G83I, P84A, C91I, G92S, L93F, T227A. K231V.	7H	This study
·	R232L, S238M, P240I, R260L, C263F, P264L, G265V		
pPL5707	pRS413 expressing Venus-Ccw14 from ADH1 promoter	7H	This study

## Supplementary Experimental Procedures

#### Generation of the $cos \Delta$ null strain

The *COS* gene family, *COS1 – COS10* and *COS12*, are encoded exclusively in sub-telomeric regions that share regions of high homology. The strategy to delete the entire family of *COS* related genes involved several technical considerations due to this high level of homology between different *COS* loci, not only within the open reading frame (ORF) but also extending far into the 5' and 3 untranslated regions (UTRs). We designed a nested gene disruption strategy to non-specifically delete *COS* genes, whereby progressively smaller integration cassettes are used to target *only* the remaining *COS* genes. Disruption cassettes that confer resistance to G418 (*kanMX*) and histidine prototrophy (*HIS5*) were sequentially integrated at 2 loci and yeast selected for both markers prior to expression of *cre*-recombinase to recycle the markers for further rounds of disruption.

Every cassette integration, and subsequent *loxP* recombination, was confirmed by PCR analysis of isolated genomic DNA (gDNA). The homology between different *COS* genes was so high that even short oligonucleotides, to confirm loci had been correctly modified, could not distinguish between certain pairs of *COS* genes (*COS1* & *COS4*, *COS2* & *COS6*, *COS5* & *COS7*). For this reason, these pairs were never targeted in the same round of *KanMX* and *HIS5* integrations. Instead, one of the genes was targeted first and converted to a *loxP* site before the remaining gene was disrupted.

We found integrations that remove large regions of the telomeres were unsuccessful, possibly because such deletions prove lethal. To avoid this, we used a gene disruption strategy where the *COS* promoter sequence, the start codon and a portion of the ORF were replaced with a *loxP* marked cassette. The insertion was designed to leave a *loxP* scar containing a stop codon and shifting the frame of the remaining ORF following Cre-recombinase activity. The largest of the non-specific cassettes targeted ~150 bp of 5' promoter sequence and ~500 bp of the ORF; the smallest cassette targeted ~100 bp of 5' promoter sequence and ~250 bp of the ORF.

It was difficult to avoid targeting the 2 reported COS pseudogenes (YHL042 / YHL043W / YHL044W and YIR04C / YIR044C) using our generalized strategy, so we also disrupted these loci. Although these loci are denoted as pseudogenes in the reference genome of strain S288c, it remains unclear whether these loci truly do not encode functional proteins. The *DUP380* locus

*YHL043W* is reported to be a non-functional pseudogene due to the insertion of a frame shifting nucleotide approximately half way through the ORF. However, many strains sequenced and documented in the *Saccharomyces* Genome database (SGD) do not report this insertion and report a *bona fide* and un-assigned member of the *COS* gene family. Less sequence data is available for *YIR044C* to define stop codon insertion frequency. However, the sequence identity of the *YIR04C / YIR044C* is extremely similar to the *COS* gene consensus and is denoted only as a pseudogene on account of a single nucleotide insertion that prematurely encodes termination.

#### Pulse-chase analysis

Pulse-chase analysis of Cos5-GFP and Cos5<sup>KR</sup>-GFP was performed using methods as previously described (Babst et al., 2002). Cos5 was detected with monoclonal anti-GFP AV-JL8 (Clontech). Quantitation was performed using phosphorimaging screens and a Storm 84 System (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom).

#### In vitro binding studies

Expression and purification of Rsp5 recombinant proteins and immunoprecipitation and in vitro binding experiments were performed as described previously (Lee et al., 2009). BL21 *E.coli* (Stratagene) expressing His-MBP-Rsp5 and GST- Cos4<sup>ctd</sup>, GST- Cos5<sup>ctd2</sup>, GST- Cos6<sup>ctd2</sup>, GST- Sna3<sup>ctd</sup>, GST- Sna3<sup>ctdΔpy</sup> constructs were induced with 0.5mM IPTG at 37<sup>0</sup> for 4 hours, lysed and stored at -80<sup>o</sup>C. Binding studies were performed as previously described in (Oestreich et al., 2007a). Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Binding to His-MBP-Rsp5 was detected with monoclonal anti-MBP (Sigma).

#### Bafilomycin induced sorting of GPI-APs

During the course of these studies we discovered that the V-ATPase inhibitor Bafilomycin enhanced GPI-AP sorting to the vacuole, albeit through an unknown mechanism. We typically add 1  $\mu$ M Bafilomycin to yeast cells grown in minimal media and find this is sufficient to rapidly direct GPI-APs into the vacuole, as measured by both intravacuolar delivery of fluorescently tagged proteins expressed in *pep4* $\Delta$  cells by microscopy and enhanced degradation of total protein levels shown by immunoblot.

## Supplementary References

Babst, M., Katzmann, D.J., Snyder, W.B., Wendland, B., and Emr, S.D. (2002). Endosomeassociated complex, ESCRT-II, recruits transport machinery for protein sorting at the multivesicular body. Dev Cell *3*, 283-289.

Babst, M., Sato, T.K., Banta, L.M., and Emr, S.D. (1997). Endosomal transport function in yeast requires a novel AAA-type ATPase, Vps4p. EMBO J *16*, 1820-1831.

Bedalov, A., Gatbonton, T., Irvine, W.P., Gottschling, D.E., and Simon, J.A. (2001). Identification of a small molecule inhibitor of Sir2p. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *98*, 15113-15118.

Bernstein, B.E., Tong, J.K., and Schreiber, S.L. (2000). Genomewide studies of histone deacetylase function in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *97*, 13708-13713.

Bilodeau, P.S., Winistorfer, S.C., Kearney, W.R., Robertson, A.D., and Piper, R.C. (2003). Vps27-Hse1 and ESCRT-I complexes cooperate to increase efficiency of sorting ubiquitinated proteins at the endosome. J Cell Biol *163*, 237-243.

Brachmann, C.B., Davies, A., Cost, G.J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., and Boeke, J.D. (1998). Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast *14*, 115-132.

Burd, C.G., Peterson, M., Cowles, C.R., and Emr, S.D. (1997). A novel Sec18p/NSF-dependent complex required for Golgi-to-endosome transport in yeast. Mol Biol Cell *8*, 1089-1104.

Burston, H.E., Maldonado-Baez, L., Davey, M., Montpetit, B., Schluter, C., Wendland, B., and Conibear, E. (2009). Regulators of yeast endocytosis identified by systematic quantitative analysis. J Cell Biol *185*, 1097-1110.

Castillon, G.A., Watanabe, R., Taylor, M., Schwabe, T.M., and Riezman, H. (2009). Concentration of GPI-anchored proteins upon ER exit in yeast. Traffic *10*, 186-200.

Dunn, R., and Hicke, L. (2001). Domains of the Rsp5 ubiquitin-protein ligase required for receptor-mediated and fluid-phase endocytosis. Mol Biol Cell *12*, 421-435.

Gasch, A.P., Spellman, P.T., Kao, C.M., Carmel-Harel, O., Eisen, M.B., Storz, G., Botstein, D., and Brown, P.O. (2000). Genomic expression programs in the response of yeast cells to environmental changes. Mol Biol Cell *11*, 4241-4257.

Haruki, H., Nishikawa, J., and Laemmli, U.K. (2008). The anchor-away technique: rapid, conditional establishment of yeast mutant phenotypes. Mol Cell *31*, 925-932.

Lee, J.R.E., Oestreich, A.J., Payne, J.A., Gunawan, M.S., Norgan, A.P., and Katzmann, D.J. (2009). The HECT domain of the ubiquitin ligase Rsp5 contributes to substrate recognition. J Biol Chem *284*, 32126-32137.

Lin, C.H., MacGurn, J.A., Chu, T., Stefan, C.J., and Emr, S.D. (2008). Arrestin-related ubiquitinligase adaptors regulate endocytosis and protein turnover at the cell surface. Cell *135*, 714-725.

Macdonald, C., Buchkovich, N.J., Stringer, D.K., Emr, S.D., and Piper, R.C. (2012a). Cargo ubiquitination is essential for multivesicular body intralumenal vesicle formation. EMBO Rep *13*, 331-338.

MacDonald, C., Stringer, D.K., and Piper, R.C. (2012b). Sna3 Is an Rsp5 Adaptor Protein that Relies on Ubiquitination for Its MVB Sorting. Traffic.

MacGurn, J.A., Hsu, P.-C., Smolka, M.B., and Emr, S.D. (2011). TORC1 regulates endocytosis via Npr1-mediated phosphoinhibition of a ubiquitin ligase adaptor. In Cell, pp. 1104-1117.

Nikko, E., and Pelham, H.R. (2009). Arrestin-mediated endocytosis of yeast plasma membrane transporters. Traffic *10*, 1856-1867.

Odorizzi, G., Babst, M., and Emr, S.D. (1998). Fab1p PtdIns(3)P 5-kinase function essential for protein sorting in the multivesicular body. Cell *95*, 847-858.

Oestreich, A.J., Aboian, M., Lee, J., Azmi, I., Payne, J., Issaka, R., Davies, B.A., and Katzmann, D.J. (2007a). Characterization of multiple multivesicular body sorting determinants within Sna3: a role for the ubiquitin ligase Rsp5. Mol Biol Cell *18*, 707-720.

Oestreich, A.J., Davies, B.A., Payne, J.A., and Katzmann, D.J. (2007b). Mvb12 is a novel member of ESCRT-I involved in cargo selection by the multivesicular body pathway. Mol Biol Cell *18*, 646-657.

Reggiori, F., and Pelham, H.R. (2001). Sorting of proteins into multivesicular bodies: ubiquitin-dependent and -independent targeting. EMBO J *20*, 5176-5186.

Ren, J., Pashkova, N., Winistorfer, S., and Piper, R.C. (2008). DOA1/UFD3 plays a role in sorting ubiquitinated membrane proteins into multivesicular bodies. J Biol Chem *283*, 21599-21611.

Robinson, J.S., Klionsky, D.J., Banta, L.M., and Emr, S.D. (1988). Protein sorting in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: isolation of mutants defective in the delivery and processing of multiple vacuolar hydrolases. Mol Cell Biol *8*, 4936-4948.

Shih, S.C., Katzmann, D.J., Schnell, J.D., Sutanto, M., Emr, S.D., and Hicke, L. (2002). Epsins and Vps27p/Hrs contain ubiquitin-binding domains that function in receptor endocytosis. Nat Cell Biol *4*, 389-393.

Sikorski, R.S., and Hieter, P. (1989). A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *122*, 19-27.

Stringer, D.K., and Piper, R.C. (2011). A single ubiquitin is sufficient for cargo protein entry into MVBs in the absence of ESCRT ubiquitination. J Cell Biol *192*, 229-242.

Thomas, B.J., and Rothstein, R. (1989). Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. Cell *56*, 619-630.

Urbanowski, J.L., and Piper, R.C. (2001). Ubiquitin sorts proteins into the intralumenal degradative compartment of the late-endosome/vacuole. Traffic *2*, 622-630.

Winzeler, E.A., Shoemaker, D.D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Boeke, J.D., Bussey, H., *et al.* (1999). Functional characterization of the S. cerevisiae genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. Science *285*, 901-906.

Wurmser, A.E., and Emr, S.D. (1998). Phosphoinositide signaling and turnover: PtdIns(3)P, a regulator of membrane traffic, is transported to the vacuole and degraded by a process that requires lumenal vacuolar hydrolase activities. EMBO J *17*, 4930-4942.