Supplementary Online Content

Siddiqui M, Roberts ET, Pollack CE. The effect of emergency department copayments
for Medicaid beneficiaries following the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005. JAMA Intern Med.
Published online January 26, 2015. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7582.

eTable. Medicaid Policies in Copayment and Control States

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

© 2015 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

eTable. Medicaid Policies in Copayment and Control States

States	Copayment	Medicaid	Primary	Medicaid	Per Capita
	amount (\$)	Managed	Care Case	Patient	Primary
		Care	Management	Centered	Care
		Enrollment	Enrollment	Medical	Physicians [€]
		$\left(\%\right)^{\dagger}$	$(\%)^{**^\dagger}$	Home [¥]	
STATES with					
COPAYMENTS					
Florida	5% (\$15	64.5	31.6	Yes	44.3
	cap)*				
Kentucky	5% (\$6	88.2	44.0	Yes	43.5
	cap)*				
Minnesota	6	63.8	0.0	Yes	56.8
Montana	5	74.6	99.9	Yes	41.6
Ohio	3	73.5	0.0	Yes	50.4
Pennsylvania	3	81.7	17.5	Yes	49.7
South Carolina	3	100	13.8	Yes	36.3
Washington	3	86.7	0.6	Yes	59.6
STATES without					
COPAYMENTS					
California	0	55.1	0.0	Yes	47.3
Colorado	0	94.6	5.1	Yes	51.4
Connecticut	0	69.9	1.1	Yes	53.8
Georgia	0	91.0	9.1	No	31.0
Louisiana	0	63.7	99.9	Yes	39.0
Maryland	0	79.5	0.0	Yes	50.3
Michigan	0	86.2	0.0	Yes	52.3
North Carolina	0	77.5	98.3	Yes	38.7
Texas	0	67.0	33.7	Yes	33.6
Virginia	0	59.2	10.7	Yes	44.5

^{*}As percent of total payment with cap on copayment amount

^{**}Of those enrolled in Medicaid Managed Care

[†]Data obtained from the 2011 Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment Report¹⁴

^{*2013} Data from the National Academy for State Health Policy¹⁵

^{€2012} Data from the National Center for Health Statistics (per capita PCP remained stable from 2002-2012)¹⁶