Supplementary article data

Anatomic shoulder replacement for primary osteoarthritis in patients over 80 years

Outcome is as good as in younger patients

Iker IRIBERRI^{1, a}, Christian CANDRIAN^{2, a}, Michael T FREEHILL³, Patric RAISS⁴, Pascal BOILEAU⁵, and Gilles WALCH⁶

¹ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Centre Hospitalier de Saint-Palais, Saint-Palais, Pays Basque, France; ² Department of Surgery and Orthopaedic Surgery, Ospedale Regionale, Lugano, Switzerland; ³ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery. Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, NC, USA; 4 Clinic for Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; 5 Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Sports Traumatology, Hôpital de L'Archet-University of Nice Sophia-Antipolis, Nice, France; ⁶ Unité Epaule, Centre Orthopédique Santy-Hôpital Privé Jean Mermoz, Lyon, France.

^a Both authors contributed equally.

Correspondence: ikeririberri@hotmail.com

Submitted 2014-07-25. Accepted 2014-11-18.

Table 4. Comparison of preoperative and postoperative active and passive range of motion, expressed as mean and range, and the significance for the difference between groups

	Group	Preoperative	p-value	Postoperative	p-value	Progression	p-value
Active anterior elevation	O Y	90 (30 to 140) 101 (60 to 150)	0.07	143 (30 to 170) 152 (90 to 180)	0.5	53 (–80 to 120) 50 (–20 to 120)	0.4
Passive anterior elevation ^a	0 Y	95 (60 to 160) 115 (60 to 160)	0.005	148 (90 to 180) 162 (130 to 180)	0.06	51 (-20 to 120) 51 (-20 to 100) 47 (0 to 100)	0.3
External rotation at side, active	O Y	10 (-10 to 40) 7 (-20 to 30)	0.3	36 (10 to 80) 37 (5 to 60)	0.62	26 (-10 to 70) 30 (0 to 60)	0.5
External rotation at side, passive	O Y	12 (-10 to 40) 16 (-10 to 50)	0.4	35 (10 to 70) 42 (5 to 60)	0.12	23 (–10 to 50) 27 (–10 to 60)	0.4

Statistically significant.