## SYNOPSIS OF CLINICAL STUDY REPORT

Investigational Product: Meditoxin® Inj.

A randomized, double blind, multi-center, active drug controlled, phase III clinical trial to compare the efficacy and safety of MEDITOXIN<sup>®</sup> versus BOTOX<sup>®</sup> in treatment of post stroke upper limb spasticity

Protocol No.	:	MT_PRT_ST01
Version No.	:	Ver 03.2_English ver 01
Report Date	:	17-Jun-2011
Sponsor	:	Medy-Tox, Inc.

## **Confidentiality Statement**

This protocol is the property of Medy-Tox and may not - in full or in part - be passed on, reproduced published or otherwise use without the express permission Medy-Tox. Do not disclose the information contained herein to others without written authorisation from Medy-Tox.

## SYNOPSIS OF CLINICAL STUDY REPORT

Study title	A Randomized, Double Blind, Multi-center, Activ Compare the Efficacy and Safety of Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> Stroke Upper Limb Spasticity.	ve Drug Controlled Clinical Trial to versus Botox $^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ in Treatment of Post
Sponsor	Medytox Inc.	
	Institutions Seoul National University Hospital,	Principal investigators Moon-seok Bang,
Institutions	Asan Medical Center, ,	Min-ho Chon
and Principal investigators	Seoul National University Bundang Hospital,	Nam-jong Baek,
	Seoul Metropolitan Boramae Medical Center	Si-wook Lee
	Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital	Beom-seon Kwon
	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of the stud controlled drug (Botox <sup>®</sup> ) in treatment of post-stok	ly drug (Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> ), compared to e upper limb spasticity.
	Primary objectives Compare Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> vs. Botox <sup>®</sup> in change from muscle tone as measured on the MAS (Modifie post-stoke upper limb spasticity	baseline at week 4 for wrist flexor d Ashworth Scale) in patients with
	<ul> <li>Secondary objectives</li> <li>■ Compare the efficacy of Meditoxin<sup>®</sup> vs. Botox<sup>®</sup></li> <li>• Change from baseline at week 4, 8, 12 for el flexor muscle tone as measured on MAS</li> </ul>	in following outcomes: bow flexor, finger flexor and thumb
Objective	<ul> <li>Change from baseline at week 8 and 12 measured on the MAS</li> </ul>	for wrist flexor muscle tone as
	<ul> <li>Percentage of treatment responders in wrist find thumb flexor at week 4, 8 and 12 after inject defined as at least 1-point improvement from being the second second</li></ul>	exor, elbow flexor, finger flexor and tion when a treatment response is paseline on the MAS
	<ul> <li>Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in a Assessment Scale)</li> </ul>	a targeted domain of DAS(Disability
	<ul> <li>Global assessment evaluated by investigator after injection</li> </ul>	r and subject/caregiver at week 12
	Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in by caregiver	the Carer Burden Scale evaluated
	■ Compare the safety of Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> vs. Botox <sup>®</sup>	
Study Design	Double blind, randomized, active drug controlled, mu	lticenter Phase III study
Targeted Disease (Indication)	Patients over 20 years old with post stroke upper lim	o spasticity
	Inclusion Criteria	
Inclusion criteria	<ol> <li>Inviate or temate patients ≥ 20 years</li> <li>Diagnosed with stroke at least 6 weeks before</li> </ol>	e the study enrollment
	3. $\geq$ 2 points in the focal spasticity of wrist fle	xor and $\geq$ 1 points at least one of

	elbow flexor and fing	ger flexor as measured or	n MAS	
	<ol> <li>2 points in one set</li> </ol>	elected item among hygie	ne, dressing, limb positic	on and pain
	for DAS (Disability A	Assessment Scale) assess	sment	a aubiaat ar
	5. Voluntary consent has his/her legally accept	table representative.	Informed consent from by	a subject or
	Exclusion Criteria			
	<ol> <li>Systemic neuromus gravis, or amyotropl</li> </ol>	scular disorders (e.g. Lar hic lateral sclerosis)	mbert-Eaton syndrome, i	myasthenia
	<ol> <li>History of (within 6 treatment with phen</li> </ol>	on the of IP treatment of or alcohol injection or s	) or planned (during stu surgery in the target limb	udy period)
	<ol> <li>History of (within 6 treatment with tended</li> </ol>	6 months of IP treatment on lengthening in the targe	) or planned (during stu et limb	udy period)
	<ol> <li>Fixed joint/muscle c is defined as a con- resistance to passiv</li> </ol>	contracture in the target lin dition with seriously limite re movement.	nb Fixed joint or muscle ed mobility of joint due to	contracture significant
	5. Severe atrophy in the	ne target limb (upper limb	with spasticity)	
	6. Concurrent treatme	nt with intrathecal baclofe	n	
	7. History of treatment	with Botulinum Toxin with	nin 3 months of IP treatm	ent
	8. Known allergy or botilinum toxin type	sensitivity to study drug A, human serum albumin	or its components (cl or sodium chrolide).	orostridium
Exclusion criteria	<ol> <li>For concurrent use subjects who have subjects who are e weeks), even if thes</li> </ol>	e of muscle relaxants a changed the regimen wit xpected to change the re se medications were stable	Ind/or benzodiazepine in thin 1 month before scree egimen during the study e from 1 month before sc	medication, eening; and period (12 creening
	10. For a subject with c limb, these therapy	urrent physical, occupatio y has been changed wir huring the study period (1)	nal or splinting therapy in thin 1 month before so 2 weeks)	n the target creening or
	11 Subjects who are no	articipating in other clinica	I study at the screening	
	12. Females who are p	regnant or breast-feeding	or have positive result	in a serum
	or urine pregnancy from the screening	test, or who do not agree up to 12 weeks after the e	to use of acceptable co and of treatment	ntraception
	* All females of child	dbearing potential should	have negative result in a	pregnancy
	test (urine or blood)	) conducted within 14 day	s of initial IP injection to	participate
	or received surgica	l sterilization (bilateral tul	bal ligation, bilateral oor	phorectomy
	or hysterectomy)	are not considered of	child-bearing potential.	Medically
	acceptable contrac	eptions include specimi	de, contraceptive pill a	and barrier
	13 Others determined i	nappropriate for the study	in the investigator's opir	nion
	Population Size (Plan	ad Population Size and	Actual Analysis Bonul	ation Sizo)
		Study Group	Control Group	
		(Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> )	(Botox <sup>®</sup> )	Total
Number of subjects	Analysis Population Size	78	78	156
	Analysis Population Size including drop- out (20%)	98	98	196
Number of centers	5 centers			

Investigator Products	Experimental drug Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> (Clostridium Botuli Controlled Drug Botox <sup>®</sup> (Clostridium Botulinum	num Toxin Type A, 100 unit) b า Toxin Type A, 100 unit) by Al	y Medytox Ltd. lergan Ltd.
Fomula	Injection with freezed dry wh	nite powder in colorless trans	parent vial
Injection Site	Intramuscular, IM		
	Refer to the table below. Flexe have to be injected, but others can be injected up to total of 3	or carpi radialis and flexor car s are injected only the score of 60U.	pi ulnaris related to wrist flexor MAS is over 1. Selected sites
	Injection Sites	Dosage	Injection Sites
Dosage ·	<b>Flexed wrist</b> Flexor carpi radialis Flexor carpi ulnaris	15-60U 10-50U	1-2 sites 1-2 sites
Administratio n	Clenched fist Flexor digitorum superficialis Flexor digitorum profundus	15-50U 15-50U	1-2 sites 1-2 sites
	Flexed elbow Biceps Thumb-in-palm	100-200U	Up to 4 sites
	Flexor pollicis longus Adductor pollicis Flexor pollicis brevis/opponens	0-20U 0-10U 0-10U	1-2 sites 1-2 sites 1-2 sites
Efficacy Variable	<ul> <li>(1) Primary efficacy endpoin Compare Meditoxin<sup>®</sup> vs. Bo muscle tone as measured of post-stoke upper limb spasti</li> <li>(2) Secondary efficacy endp</li> <li>Compare the efficacy of M</li> <li>Change from baseline at muscle tone as measure</li> <li>Change from baseline flexor and thumb flexor if</li> <li>Percentage of treatmer and thumb flexor at wee is defined as at least 1-p</li> <li>Change from baseline DAS(Disability Assessmine Global assessment evalue</li> <li>Assessments by Subject</li> <li>Global assessment evalue</li> <li>Change from baseline to</li> </ul>	t: ptox <sup>®</sup> in change from baselin on the MAS (Modified Ashv city point : Meditoxin <sup>®</sup> vs. Botox <sup>®</sup> in follo at week 4 for elbow flexor, fi ed on MAS at week 8 and 12 for wris muscle tone as measured or nt responders in wrist flexo ek 4, 8 and 12 after injection point improvement from base e to week 4, 8 and 12 nent Scale) uated by investigator at wee or Caregiver luated by subject/caregiver a o week 4, 8 and 12 in the C	ne at week 4 for wrist flexor vorth Scale) in patients with wing outcomes: nger flexor and thumb flexor t flexor, elbow flexor, finger n MAS r, elbow flexor, finger flexor when a treatment response eline on the MAS in a targeted domain of k 12 after injection at week 12 after injection arer Burden Scale evaluated

	by caregiver
Safety Variable	Adverse events, laboratory tests (hematological/blood chemistry tests, urine test), physical examination, vital signs
	Data obtained from subjects participating in the study are followed by ITT (Intention-to- treat) and categorized into Safety set, FAS (Full Analysis Set) and PP (Per-Protocol).
	<b>[Efficacy evaluation set]</b> Efficacy is analyzed in FAS and PP. In this study, FAS is the main analysis set for efficacy evaluation and additionally evaluation in PP is conducted.
	1) FAS (Full Analysis Set)
	<ul> <li>Every enrolled subject who received randomized number is in FAS. However, the subject can be excluded from FAS if the subject as bleow;</li> <li>Subjects who are enrolled, but not treated with the investigational product</li> <li>Subjects who are treated with investigational product but never evaluated.</li> </ul>
Analysis Set	For any missing data due to subject's premature discontinuation or not-implemented procedure, LOCF (Last Observation Carried Forward Method) was employed in FAS analysis.
	But, any missing data after IP treatment was not replaced with baseline value. Thus, when week 4 data was missing in primary and secondary efficacy endpoints, it cannot be replaced with baseline data and FAS analysis should be done with data missing, even though LOCF was used.
	2) PP (Per-Protocol) set
	FAS subjects who completed protocol without any protocol violation can be qualified for PP set. Subject exceptions in PP set analysis are followed in protocol section 12.5.
	<b>[Efficacy evaluation set]</b> Subjects with at least one IP treatment are in safety set. Safety evaluation is conducted for safety set.

	Total of 5 visits:				
	Visit 1 (~ -14days):	Screening			
	Visit 2 (week 0): Tr	eatment			
	Visit 3 (week 4 ± 7	days), Visit 4 (we	ek 8 ±7 days): Sa	fety and Efficacy E	Evaluation
Study timeline	Visit 5 (week 12±7	days): Safety and	d Efficacy Evaluation	on, End of Study V	⁄isit
	Visit 1 (~ -14 days)	Visit 2 (week 0)	Visit 3 (week 4 ± 7 days)	Visit 4 (week 8 ±7 days)	Visit 5 (week 12±7 days)
			Follow-	up Visit	End of Study Visit
	Screening visit	rreatment	Safety and Efficacy Evaluation		
Statistical Analysis methods	<ul> <li>Efficacy analysis</li> <li>(1) Primary effica We provided control group measures by greater than inferior to the</li> <li>(2) Secondary eff</li> <li>① Change from elbow flexor, Changes fro elbow flexor statistics (m treatment gu sample t- tess</li> <li>② Change from among wrist the MAS</li> <li>Changes fro vrist flexor, descriptive s group and d test.</li> <li>③ Percentage and thumb fl is defined as</li> <li>We provided improved at flexor, elbow</li> </ul>	s methods acy analysis 95% CI (both o in change from MAS (Modified 0.45 (non-inferi control group. icacy analysis: n baseline at we finger flexor and m baseline to w finger flexor and roup and the di st. baseline at we flexor, elbow flexor, finger flexor, fin tatistics (mean, \$ ifference betwee of treatment res exor at week 4, 8 at least 1-point on t v flexor, finger f	sided) for differ baseline to wee Ashworth Scale ority margin), the ek 4 for muscle thumb flexor as eek 4 in muscle deviations, media fference betwee ek 8 and 12 for kor, finger flexor eek 4 in muscle ger flexor and th SD, median, mini n the two groups ponders in wrist 3 and 12 after inje mprovement from I percentage of the MAS at week lexor and thumb	rence between s ek 4 in wrist flexo e). If the upper e study group is tone in the inject measured on the tone of the inject are summarized an, minimum an n groups is ana muscle tone in t and thumb flexor tone of the inject numb flexor are s mum and maxim is compared usi flexor, elbow fle ection when a tre n baseline on the treatment respo 4, 8 and 12 from	study group and or muscle tone as limit of CI is no a determined not cted sites among e MAS cted sites among with descriptive ad maximum) by alyzed using two the injected sites as measured on cted sites among summarized with um) by treatment ing two sample t- exor, finger flexor eatment response MAS nders who have a baseline in wrist apared difference

	(4) Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the predefined target domain of
	the DAS(Disability Assessment Scale) evaluated by investigator
	Changes from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the predefined target domain of
	DAS are summarized with descriptive statistics (mean, SD, median, minimum
	and maximum) by treatment group and difference between the two groups is
	compared using Wilcox's rank sum test.
	6 Global assessment evaluated by investigator at week 12 after injection
	We provide frequency and percentage of each global assessment category
	measured at week 12 after injection by treatment group and compared difference between the two groups using Fisher's exact test.
	6 Global assessment evaluated by subject/caregiver at week 12 after injection
	We provide frequency and percentage of each global assessment category
	measured at week 12 after injection by treatment group and compared difference between the two groups using Pearson's chi-square test.
	⑦ Change from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in the Carer Burden Scale evaluated by caregiver
	Changes from baseline to week 4, 8 and 12 in Carer Burden Scale are
	summarized with descriptive statistics (mean, SD, median, minimum and
	maximum) by treatment group and difference between the two groups is
	compared using Wilcox's rank sum test.
	Safety analysis methods
	Adverse events (AEs), treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), adverse drug
	consent are summarized with descriptive statistics (number of subjects incidence
	consent are summarized with descriptive statistics (number of subjects, incidence
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AFs_TEAFs_ADRs
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group.
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired t test or Wilcover's signed rank test depending on their
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12 and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical variables are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.
	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical variables are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.
Expected	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical variables are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. 1) Total study period (per subject): Approximately 12~15 months 2) Enrollment period: 10 months (Feb. 2011 ~ Nov. 2011)
Expected Study period	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical variables are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. 1) Total study period (per subject): Approximately 12~15 months 2) Enrollment period: 10 months (Feb. 2011 ~ Nov. 2011) 3) Total study period (FPI~LPO); 13 months (Feb. 2011 ~ Feb. 2012)
Expected Study period	rate and number of events) and any differences in incidences of AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs between groups are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. AEs, TEAEs, ADRs and SAEs are coded using MedDRA by SOC (System Organ Class) and PT (Preferred Term) and the coded events are summarized with number of subjects, incidence rate and number of events by treatment group. Continuous variables for clinical lab test, physical examination and vital sign are analyzed using paired-t-test or Wilcoxon's signed rank test depending on their fulfillment of normality assumption to determine intragroup changes from baseline to week 12, and categorical variables are analyzed using MacMemar's test. For changes from baseline to week 12 between groups, continuous variables are analyzed using two sample t-test or Wilcoxon's rank sum test and categorical variables are analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. 1) Total study period (per subject): Approximately 12~15 months 2) Enrollment period: 10 months (Feb. 2011 ~ Nov. 2011) 3) Total study period (FPI~LPO): 13 months (Feb. 2011 ~ Feb. 2012) * Total period can be modified by the speed of subject enrollment