Modular Approach To Spintronics: Supplementary Information

Kerem Yunus Camsari,^{*} Samiran Ganguly, and Supriyo Datta School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, IN, 47907

I. MODULES

This section gives a detailed overview of all the modules mentioned in the main paper.

A. Non-Magnet(NM)



FIG. 1. Circuit model for Non-Magnet module

where
$$G_c = A/(\rho L)$$
 $G_s = A/(\rho \lambda) \operatorname{csch}(L/\lambda)$ $G'_s = A/(\rho \lambda) \tanh(L/2\lambda)$.

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Length	L	m
Area	A	m^2
Resistivity	ho	Ω -m
Spin-flip Length	λ	m

^{*} kcamsari@purdue.edu



FIG. 2. Circuit model for Ferromagnet module

B. Ferromagnet(FM)

where
$$G_c = A/(\rho L)$$
, $G_s = A/(\rho L)(1 - P^2)(L/\lambda) \tanh(L/2\lambda)$, $G'_s = A/(\rho \lambda') \tanh(L/2\lambda')$ and $\alpha = P^2 + (1 - P^2)L/\lambda \operatorname{csch}(L/\lambda)$.

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Length	L	m
Area	A	m^2
Resistivity	ρ	Ω-m
Polarization	P	-
Longitudinal Spin-flip Length	λ	m
Transverse Spin-flip Length	λ'	m

C. FM–NM Interface

(3)

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Conductance	G_0	S
Polarization	P	-
In-plane torque coeff.	a	-
Out-of-plane torque coeff.	b	-



FIG. 3. Circuit model for FM–NM module

D. Rotation matrix

$$\left[G(\theta,\phi)\right]^{FM} = U_R \left[G^{FM} \left(\theta = 0,\phi\right)\right] U_R^{\dagger}$$
(4)

$$U_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c}{c} & \frac{z}{1} & \frac{x}{0} & \frac{y}{0} \\ \frac{c}{z} & 0 & \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) & \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \\ \frac{x}{2} & 0 & -\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) & \cos(\theta) + \sin^{2}(\phi)(1 - \cos(\theta)) & -\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)(1 - \cos(\theta)) \\ \frac{x}{2} & 0 & -\sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) & -\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)(1 - \cos(\theta)) & \cos(\theta) + \cos^{2}(\phi)(1 - \cos(\theta)) \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

E. Rashba Spin-Orbit Coupling (1D)



FIG. 4. Circuit model for RSO module

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

$$G_{sh1} = G_{11} + G_{21} \qquad G = -(G_{12} + G_{21})/2$$

$$G_{sh2} = G_{22} + G_{12} \qquad \beta = G^{-1}(G_{21} - G_{12})/2 \qquad (7)$$

$$G_{11} = G_{22} = \left(\frac{2q^2 \ M}{h}\right) \begin{pmatrix} c & z & x & y \\ z & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

$$G_{12} = -\left(\frac{2q^2 M}{h}\right) \begin{pmatrix} c & z & x & y \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad G_{21} = -\left(\frac{2q^2 M}{h}\right) \begin{pmatrix} c & z & x & y \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)
where $\theta = \frac{2m^*\eta L}{\hbar^2}$

F. Rashba Spin-Orbit Coupling (2D)

 G_{11} and G_{22} remain unchanged for mode dependent conductances. $G_{12}(\theta, \phi)$ and $G_{21}(\theta, \phi)$ read:

$$G_{12} = -\left(\frac{2q^2 M}{h}\right) \begin{pmatrix} c & z & x & y \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta') & \sin(\theta')\cos(\phi) & -\sin(\theta')\sin(\phi) \\ 0 & -\sin(\theta')\cos(\phi) & \cos(\phi')\cos(\phi)^2 + 1 - \cos(\phi)^2 & -\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)(\cos(\theta') - 1) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta')\sin(\phi) & -\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)(\cos(\theta') - 1) & \cos(\theta') - \cos(\theta')\cos^2(\phi) + \cos^2(\phi) \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ where \theta' = \frac{\theta}{\cos(\phi)} \text{ and } \phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{k_y}{k_x}\right)$$
$$G_{21} = -\left(\frac{2q^2 M}{h}\right) \begin{pmatrix} c & z & x & y \\ 0 & \cos(\theta') & -\sin(\theta')\cos(\phi) & -\sin(\theta')\sin(\phi) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta')\cos(\phi) & \cos(\theta')\cos(\phi)^2 + 1 - \cos(\phi)^2 & -\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)(\cos(\theta') - 1) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta')\sin(\phi) & -\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)(\cos(\theta') - 1) & \cos(\theta') - \cos(\theta')\cos^2(\phi) + \cos^2(\phi) \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ where \theta' = \frac{\theta}{\cos(\phi)} \text{ and } \phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{k_y}{k_x}\right)$$

The mode-dependent conductances need to be added in order to get a single 2D conductance:

$$G_{21}^{2D} = \frac{\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} G_{21}(\phi) \, d\phi}{\pi} \tag{10}$$

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Length	L	m
Effective Mass	m^*	kg
Rashba coeff.	$ \eta $	eV-m
No. of modes	M	-

G. Giant Spin Hall Effect



FIG. 5. Circuit model for GSHE module

$$G_{sh}^{z} = \sigma \frac{LW}{\lambda} \tanh(\frac{t}{2\lambda}) \quad G_{se}^{z} = \sigma \frac{LW}{\lambda} \operatorname{csch}(\frac{t}{\lambda})$$
(11)

$$I_0^z = \beta G_0 (V_1^c - V_2^c) \tag{12}$$

$$G_0 = \sigma \frac{tW}{L} \quad \beta = \theta_{SH} \frac{L}{t} \tag{13}$$

$$I_0^c = \beta G_0 (V_3^z - V_4^z) \tag{14}$$

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Spin Hall angle	θ	-
Length	L	m
Width	W	m
Resistivity	ρ	Ω -m
Thickness	t	m
Spin-flip Length	λ	m

H. Magnetic Tunnel Junction

The details of the MTJ model obtained by the matrix multiplication of the conductance matrices are given in [1]. In this paper, we have only considered the low-bias angular dependence of the charge conductance of the MTJ to draw comparisons with Spin Valves.

$$G_{21}^{cc} = G_{12}^{cc} = 1 + P_M P_m \cos(\theta) \tag{15}$$

where G_{12} and G_{21} correspond to different orders of multiplication for the interface conductances. The multiplication is commutative for the charge conductance. θ is the relative angle between \hat{M} and \hat{m} .

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Conductance	G_0	S
Polarization (Fixed FM)	P_M	_
Polarization (Free FM)	P_m	-



FIG. 6. Circuit model for MTJ module

I. LLG Solver



FIG. 7. Circuit model for LLG module

LLG equation (normalized units):

$$\left(\frac{1+\alpha^2}{\gamma H_k}\right)\frac{d\hat{m}}{dt} = -\hat{m}\times\vec{h} - \alpha \ \hat{m}\times\hat{m}\times\vec{h} - \hat{m}\times\hat{m}\times\vec{i_s} + \alpha \ \hat{m}\times\vec{i_s} \tag{16}$$

LLG is solved by an opamp based integrator circuit. At the + node of the opamp the nodal equation is:

$$C\frac{d\hat{m}}{dt} = G_{int} \ \hat{m} + G_{ext}\vec{H}_{ext} + G_{stt}\vec{i}_s \tag{17}$$

Since the nodal equation has the same form, the voltage appearing at the output of the opamp is the solution of the LLG equation. The internal/self magnetic field is constructed from the output of the opamp as a feedback using the matrix relation given below:

$$\begin{cases} \overrightarrow{H}_{x} \\ \overrightarrow{H}_{y} \\ \overrightarrow{H}_{z} \end{cases}_{int} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \hat{m}_{x} \\ \hat{m}_{y} \\ \hat{m}_{z} \end{cases}$$
(18)

The vector products appearing on the RHS of the LLG equation are carried out by the 3×3 conductance matrices which can be viewed as operators acting on the magnetic field and spin torque current. These are given as:

$$G_{int} = X(1+\alpha X)\frac{K_{int}}{H_k} \quad G_{stt} = X(X+\alpha)\frac{1}{qN_sH_k} \quad G_{ext} = X(1+\alpha X)\frac{1}{H_k}$$
(19)

where X is the cross product operator with \hat{m} :

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -m_z & m_y \\ m_z & 0 & -m_x \\ -m_y & m_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

As an example, for an in-plane magnet, whose axis is in \hat{z} and out-of-plane is in \hat{x} , $K_z = 1$, $K_y = 0$, $K_x = -4\pi M_s/H_k$. For an PMA magnet with out-of-plane in \hat{x} , $K_x = 1$, $K_y = 0$, $K_z = 0$. Note that the normalization needed for the LLG equation are handled by these operator conductances.

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Saturation Magnetization	M_s	emu/cc
Damping coeff.	α	-
Anisotropy field strength	H_k	Oe
Internal field coeff.	K_x, K_y, K_z	-
Area of cross section	A	m^2
Thickness	t	m

J. Magnetic Coupling



FIG. 8. Circuit model for Magnetic Coupling module

The magnetic field between two magnets j and i is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \overrightarrow{H}_{x} \\ \overrightarrow{H}_{y} \\ \overrightarrow{H}_{z} \end{cases}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{xx} & K_{xy} & K_{xz} \\ K_{yx} & K_{yy} & K_{yz} \\ K_{zx} & K_{zy} & K_{zz} \end{bmatrix}_{ji} \begin{cases} \widehat{m}_{x} \\ \widehat{m}_{y} \\ \widehat{m}_{z} \end{cases}_{i}$$
(21)

These matrix elements $K_{\alpha\beta}$ can be adjusted to generate a magnetic interaction of certain type: Dipolar and exchange coupling, are calculated from magnetostatic equations or exchange integrals. The inputs for these calculations could be material properties like saturation magnetization, electronic bandstructure (for exchange integral), geometrical dimensions and separation of the two magnets. The detailed computation of the dipolar interaction used in this paper are given in [2].

These matrix elements need to be precomputed for a given set of magnets and provided to the module as parameters while the spin circuit is setup. The inputs for the module are the magnetization of the two magnets and output are the magnetic fields between them. The circuit is implemented using two VCVS whose gain coefficients are the coupling matrices, as shown in the circuit diagram.

Parameter	Symbol	Units
Coupling matrix between 1 and 2	K_{12}	Oe
Coupling matrix between 2 and 1	K_{21}	Oe

II. ANGULAR MAGNETORESISTANCE

Here we provide experimental benchmarks of angular MR obtained from spin-circuits for (1) MTJ (2) CPP spin-valve, and (3) CIP spin-valve, showing the functional form of MR as a function of relative angle (θ) of the two magnets.



FIG. 9. (a) Experimental MR of an MTJ with respect to (θ) reproduced with permission from [3] (b) Functional form of TMR using P=0.1



FIG. 10. (a) Experimental MR of a CPP spin-valve with respect to (θ) reproduced with permission from [4] (b) Functional form of CPP-GMR using and $R_P = 13.25n\Omega$, a = 2.8, P = 0.33



FIG. 11. (a) Experimental MR of a CIP spin-valve with respect to (θ) reproduced with permission from [5] (b) Functional form of CIP-GMR using and $R_P = 4.66\Omega$, $R_{AP} = 4.78\Omega$

III. INVERSE SPIN HALL EFFECT IN THE NON-LOCAL SPIN VALVE GEOMETRY

A. Without the GSHE module

The table below provides the parameters for the elemental modules to reproduce the analytical Takahashi-Maekawa formula, that are fit to reproduce the experimental results for three different channel lengths in [6].

Parameters	FM (both	FM-NM	NM1 (left-	NM2=NM3	NM4
	identical)	(both iden-	most)	(middle re-	(right-
		tical)		gions)	most)
Area	$1e-14 m^2$	1e-14 m ²	$1e-14 m^2$	$1e-14 m^2$	1e-14 m ²
Length	1e-7 m	N/A	1e-5 m (does	Length (x-axis)	1e-5 m (does
			not affect		not affect
			$R_s)$		$R_s)$
Polarization	0.23	0.11	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spin-flip	5e-9 m (lon-	N/A	1.3e-6 m	1.3e-6 m	1.3e-6 m
length	gitudinal)				
	5e-10 m				
	(transverse)				
Resistivity	1.9e-7 $\Omega-{\rm m}$	N/A	1.5e-8 Ω – m	1.5e-8 $\Omega-{\rm m}$	1.5e-8 Ω – m
Resistance	$0.5\text{e-}15~\Omega$ –	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
per Area	m^2				
Spin-mixing	N/A	In-plane	N/A	N/A	N/A
conductances		(a)=1 Out-			
		of-plane			
		(b)=0			

B. With the GSHE module

The parameters for the simulation of the spin circuit without the GSHE (above) remain the same for the circuit with the GSHE module. In addition to those parameters, the parameters used for the GSHE are:

Spin-flip	Resistivity	Spin-Hall	Effective	Width	Thickness	Effective
length		Angle	Length			Area
λ (x-axis)	11.3e-8	-0.12 (for	100e-9 m	250e-9 m	20e-9 m	100e-9 m
	Ω – m (for	$Cu_{99.5}Bi_{0.5})$				\times 250e-9
	$Cu_{99.5}Bi_{0.5}$)					m

IV. SPIN SWITCH

Material parameters and dimensions used for simulation of the spin switch device are given below. The NM is used an insulator by making its resistivity very high ($\rho \approx \infty$).

GSHE					
Spin-flip	Pogiativity	Spin-Hall	Longth	Width	Thielenoog
length	Resistivity	angle	Length	Wittin	1 mckness
1 nm	1e-6 Ohm-m	0.3	80 nm	100 nm	2 nm
FM-NM Interface					
Conductance	Delemination	In-plane	Out-of-plane		
$(G_0 LW)$	Polarization	coeff. (a)	coeff. (b)		
40 S	0.5	1	0	1	
LLG (FM and MT	J)			1	
Saturation					
Magnetization	Damping	Coercivity	Area	Thickness	
(M_s)					
700 omu / co	0.01	40.00	80 nm	2 nm	
100 emu/cc	0.01	40 00	\times 100 nm	2 1111	
MTJ]
Conductance	Polarization	Polarization]
(G0)	(fixed layer)	(free-layer)			
1e-3 S	0.5	0.5			
Dipolar Coupling			1		
$K_{xx} = 35.5 \text{ Oe}$	$K_{yy} = -19.9 \text{ Oe}$	$K_{zz} = -15.7 \text{ Oe}$			

- [1] K. Y. Camsari, S. Ganguly, D. Datta, and S. Datta, International Electron Devices Meeting (2014).
- [2] V. Diep, C.-C. Lin, R. Faria, A. Verma, J. Appenzeller, Z. Chen, and S. Datta, (in preparation) (2014).
- [3] H. Jaffrès, D. Lacour, F. Nguyen Van Dau, J. Briatico, F. Petroff, and A. Vaurès, Physical Review B 64, 064427 (2001).
- [4] S. Urazhdin, R. Loloee, and W. P. Pratt, Physical Review B 71, 100401 (2005).
- [5] T. Okuyama, H. Yamamoto, and T. Shinjo, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 113, 79 (1992).
- [6] Y. Niimi, Y. Kawanishi, D. H. Wei, C. Deranlot, H. X. Yang, M. Chshiev, T. Valet, A. Fert, and Y. Otani, Physical Review Letters 109, 156602 (2012).