# **CHEMISTRY** A European Journal

## Supporting Information

© Copyright Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, 2014

### On the Role of Pre- and Post-Electron-Transfer Steps in the Sml<sub>2</sub>/ amine/H<sub>2</sub>O-Mediated Reduction of Esters: New Mechanistic Insights and Kinetic Studies

Michal Szostak,\* Malcolm Spain, and David J. Procter\*<sup>[a]</sup>

chem\_201400295\_sm\_miscellaneous\_information.pdf

## On the Role of Pre- and Post-Electron Transfer Steps in the SmI<sub>2</sub>/amine/H<sub>2</sub>O-Mediated Reduction of Esters: New Mechanistic Insights and Kinetic Studies

Michal Szostak,\* Malcolm Spain, and David J. Procter\*

School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

michal.szostak@manchester.ac.uk; david.j.procter@manchester.ac.uk

## Supplementary Information

Table of Contents	1
List of Known Compounds/General Methods	2
Experimental Procedures and Characterization Data	2
Intermolecular Competition Experiments	2
Hammett and Taft Studies	7
Radical Clock Experiments	12
Epimerization Studies	18
• H <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O Incorporation Experiments	19
Reagent Stoichiometry Studies	20
• Reduction of Esters using SmI <sub>2</sub> –NaOH	21
Kinetic Experiments	23
References	27
<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR Spectra	28
HPLC Traces	66

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Dr. Michal Szostak Professor David J. Procter School of Chemistry University of Manchester Oxford Road Manchester, M13 9PL United Kingdom

#### **General Methods/List of Known Compounds**

All compounds reported in the manuscript study have been described in literature or are commercially available. Esters were purchased from commercial suppliers or prepared by standard methods.<sup>1-9</sup> All experiments involving SmI<sub>2</sub> were performed using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques under argon or nitrogen atmosphere unless stated otherwise. All solvents were purchased at the highest commercial grade and used as received or after purification by passing through activated alumina columns or distillation from sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen. All solvents were deoxygenated prior to use. All other chemicals were purchased at the highest commercial grade and used as received. Reaction glassware was oven-dried at 140 °C for at least 24 h or flame-dried prior to use, allowed to cool under vacuum and purged with argon (three cycles). Samarium(II) iodide was prepared by standard methods and titrated prior to use.<sup>10-14</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on Bruker spectrometers at 300, 400 and 500 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and 75, 100 and 125 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C NMR). All shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> peak (7.27 and 77.2 ppm, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, respectively). All coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz (Hz). Abbreviations are: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; br s, broad singlet. All flash chromatography was performed using silica gel, 60 Å, 230-400 mesh. TLC analysis was carried out on aluminium sheets coated with silica gel 60 F254, 0.2 mm thickness. The plates were visualized using a 254 nm ultraviolet lamp or aqueous potassium permanganate solutions. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data are given for all compounds in the Supporting Experimental for characterization purposes. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR and HRMS data are reported for all new compounds.

#### **Intermolecular Competition Experiments**

<u>*General Procedure.*</u> An oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was placed under a positive pressure of argon, and subjected to three evacuation/backfilling cycles under high vacuum. Samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, typically 0.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv, 0.10 M) was added followed by  $Et_3N$  (0.33 mL, 24 equiv) and  $H_2O$  (0.043 mL, 24 equiv) with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark brown color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>– $Et_3N$ – $H_2O$  complex. A preformed solution of two substrates (each 0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv, stock solution in THF, 1.0 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred until decolorization to white had occurred. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) and HCl (1 *N*, 30 mL).

The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 x 30 mL), the organic layers were combined, dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , filtered, and concentrated. The sample was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) and GC-MS to obtain conversion and yield using internal standard and comparison with authentic samples.

entry	<sub>کر</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Me	Run $1^b$	Run $2^b$	$\mathrm{RV}^b$
1	Ph <sup>'</sup>	99.1:0.9	99.1:0.9	>100
2	Ph	90:10	90:10	9.14
3	Ph	81:19	81:19	4.29
4	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>19</sub> <sup>5</sup>	1.00	1.00	1.00
5	$R = nC_5H_{11}$	29:71	29:71	0.41
6	and the second s	20:80	21:79	0.26
7	Ph Y2 Me	49:51	46:54	0.91
8	n-Hex n-Bu	4.4:95.6	5.3:94.7	0.05
entry	PhC(O)XR	Run 1 <sup>b</sup>	Run $2^b$	$\mathrm{RV}^b$
9	R = OMe	1.00	1.00	1.00
10	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{OPh}$	87:13	87:13	6.88
11	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Opfp}$	90:10	90:10	9.15
12	$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{SEt}$	85:15	85:15	5.78

Table SI-1. Steric and Electronic Influence on the Relative Rates in the Reduction of Esters.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. Conditions: under argon, to SmI<sub>2</sub> (typically, 0.20 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (typically, 2.4 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>O (typically 2.4 mmol) an equimolar amount of the competition substrates (typically, 0.10 mmol) in THF (typically, 1 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred until decolorization to white had occurred. <sup>*b*</sup>Relative reactivity values determined from product distribution by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC of crude reaction mixtures and comparison with authentic samples. All data represent average of at least two experiments.

Methyl benzoate (Table 3, entry 1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.83 (s, 3 H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.46 (tt, J = 1.5, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.95 (dd, J = 1.5, 8.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  52.1, 128.4, 129.6, 130.2, 132.9, 167.1.

**Methyl 2-phenylacetate (Table 3, entry 2).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 3.53 (s, 2 H), 3.59 (s, 3 H); 7.14-7.20 (m, 3 H), 7.21-7.25 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 41.2, 52.1, 127.1, 128.6, 129.3, 134.0, 172.1.

**Methyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table 3, entries 3, 9).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.68 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.00 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 7.21-7.28 (m, 3 H), 7.30-7.37 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  31.0, 35.7, 51.6, 126.3, 128.3, 128.6, 140.6, 173.3.

**Methyl decanoate (Table 3, entry 4).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.84 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.18-1.31 (m, 12 H), 1.52-1.64 (m, 2 H), 2.27 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.62 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.0, 22.6, 24.9, 29.1, 29.2, 29.2, 29.4, 31.8, 34.0, 51.3, 174.2.

(1*S*,4*R*)-Methyl 4-pentylcyclohexanecarboxylate (Table 3, entry 5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.83-0.98 (m, 2 H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.13-1.34 (m, 9 H), 1.42 (qd, *J* = 3.3, 12.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.77-1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.92-2.01 (m, 2 H), 2.24 (tt, *J* = 3.6, 12.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.7, 26.5, 29.1, 32.2, 32.4, 36.9, 37.2, 43.5, 51.4, 176.8.

**Methyl adamantane-1-carboxylate (Table 3, entry 6).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.62-1.75 (m, 6 H), 1.84-1.89 (m, 6 H), 1.95-2.02 (m, 3 H), 3.63 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 27.9, 36.5, 38.8, 40.7, 51.5, 178.2.

**Methyl 2-methyl-3-phenylpropanoate (Table 3, entry 7).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.19 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 2.65-2.84 (m, 2 H), 3.07 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H), 7.17-7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.24-7.35 (m, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  16.8, 39.7, 41.5, 51.6, 126.4, 128.4, 129.0, 139.4, 176.6.

**Methyl 2-butyloctanoate (Table 3, entry 8).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, *J* = 6.9, 3 H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.18-1.34 (m, 12 H), 1.38-1.51 (m, 2 H), 1.53-1.67 (m, 2 H), 2.29-2.39 (m, 1 H), 3.68 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  13.9, 14.0, 22.6, 22.6, 27.4, 29.2, 29.7, 31.7, 32.2, 32.5, 45.7, 51.3, 177.1.

**Phenyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table 3, entry 10).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.96 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.15 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.05-7.11 (m, 2 H), 7.24-7.46 (m, 8 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 31.0, 36.1, 121.6, 125.9, 126.5, 128.5, 128.7, 129.5, 140.2, 150.7, 171.5.

**Perfluorophenyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table 3, entry 11).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.88-2.95 (m, 2 H), 2.99-3.05 (m, 2 H), 7.14-7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.22-7.29 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 30.7, 25.0, 126.7, 128.3, 128.7, 139.3, 168.8; <sup>19</sup>F (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ -162.3 (m, 2 F), -158.0 (t, J = 21.5 Hz, 1 F), -152.5 (m, 2 F). Aromatic CF groups were not apparent in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum despite long acquisition times. The <sup>19</sup>F spectrum clearly indicates the presence of the pfp group.

*S*-Ethyl 3-phenylpropanethioate (Table 3, entry 12). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.14 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 2.75 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.78 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.88 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.07-7.12 (m, 3 H), 7.16-7.21 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.8, 23.4, 31.5, 45.5, 126.4, 128.4, 128.6, 140.2, 198.6.

**Benzyl alcohol (Table 3, entry 1).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.75 (br, 1 H), 4.60 (s, 2 H), 7.19-7.24 (m, 1 H), 7.26-7.31 (m, 4 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 65.4, 127.0, 127.7, 128.6, 140.9.

**Phenethyl alcohol (Table 3, entry 2).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.65 (br, 1 H), 2.78 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.76 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.13-7.17 (m, 3 H), 7.21-7.25 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  39.2, 63.7, 126.5, 128.6, 129.1, 138.5.

**3-Phenylpropan-1-ol (Table 3, entries 3, 10-12).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.32 (br, 1 H), 1.79-1.87 (m, 2 H), 2.64 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.61 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.09-7.24 (m, 5 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 32.1, 34.3, 62.3, 125.9, 128.4, 128.5, 141.8.

**Decan-1-ol (Table 3, entry 4).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.81 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.15-1.33 (m, 15 H), 1.47-1.53 (m, 2 H), 3.57 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.7, 25.8, 29.3, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 31.9, 32.8, 63.1.

((1*S*,4*R*)-4-pentylcyclohexyl)methanol (Table 3, entry 5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.75-0.91 (m, 7 H), 1.02-1.27 (m, 10 H), 1.38 (br, 1 H), 1.71 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 4 H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.7, 26.6, 29.5, 32.2, 32.7, 37.4, 37.8, 40.7, 68.8.

SI-5

**1-Adamantanemethanol (Table 3, entry 6).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.26 (br, 1 H), 1.43-1.46 (m, 6 H), 1.55-1.70 (m, 6 H), 1.90-1.95 (m, 3 H), 3.13 (s, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 28.2, 34.5, 37.2, 39.0, 73.9.

**2-Methyl-3-phenylpropan-1-ol (Table 3, entry 7).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.85 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.33 (br, 1 H), 1.79-1.94 (m, 1 H), 2.36 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 13.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 6.2, 13.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.37-3.50 (m, 2 H), 7.07-7.25 (m, 5 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  16.5, 37.8, 39.7, 67.7, 125.9, 128.3, 129.2, 140.6.

**2-Butyloctan-1-ol (Table 3, entry 8).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.79-0.85 (m, 6 H), 1.09-1.14 (br, 1 H), 1.16-1.29 (m, 16 H), 1.35-1.42 (m, 1 H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.1, 22.7, 23.1, 26.9, 29.1, 29.8, 30.6, 30.9, 31.9, 40.5, 65.8.

#### Hammett and Taft Studies

<u>General Procedure.</u> An oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was placed under a positive pressure of argon, and subjected to three evacuation/backfilling cycles under high vacuum. Samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, typically 0.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv, 0.10 M) was added followed by  $Et_3N$  (0.33 mL, 24 equiv) and  $H_2O$  (0.043 mL, 24 equiv) with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark brown color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>– $Et_3N-H_2O$  complex. A preformed solution of two substrates (each 0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv, stock solution in THF, 1.0 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred until decolorization to white had occurred. The reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and HCl (1 *N*, 30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 x 30 mL), the organic layers were combined, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The sample was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) and GC-MS to obtain conversion and yield using internal standard and comparison with authentic samples.

**Table SI-2.** Electronic Influence on the Relative Rates in the Reduction of Esters – Hammett

 Correlation Study.<sup>a</sup>

	<pre> </pre> CO₂M	e Sml	<sub>2</sub> –H <sub>2</sub> O–Et <sub>3</sub> N	OH
x			THF, RT	x
ρ=	+0.43			
	entry	Х	$k_{\rm X}/k_{ m H}{}^a$	Hammett constant
	1	CF <sub>3</sub>	1.79	0.54
	2	Cl	1.44	0.23
	3	F	1.22	0.06
	4	Н	1.00	0
	5	MeO	0.81	-0.27

<sup>a</sup>Relative reactivity values determined from product distribution by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC of crude reaction mixtures.

**Figure SI-1.** Hammett plot in the Reduction of 4-Phenylacetic Methyl Esters with  $SmI_2$ -Et<sub>3</sub>N-H<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>*a*</sup>



	CO <sub>2</sub> R	Sm	$H_2-H_2O-Et_3N$		`ОН
			THF, RT		
E <sub>S</sub> =	+0.97				
	entry	R	$k_{\rm X}/k_{\rm Me}{}^a$	Taft $E_{\rm S}$ parameter	
	1	Me	1.00	0	
	2	Et	0.55	-0.07	
	3	<i>i</i> -Pr	0.19	-0.47	
	4	t-Bu	0.026	-1.54	
	5	Ph	6.20	-2.55	

**Table SI-3.** Steric Influence on the Relative Rates in the Reduction of Esters – Taft  $E_s$  Correlation Study.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Relative reactivity values determined from product distribution by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC of crude reaction mixtures.

Figure SI-2. Taft plot in the Reduction of Hydrocinnamic Acid Esters with  $SmI_2-Et_3N-H_2O$ .<sup>*a*</sup>



**Methyl 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetate (Table SI-2, entry 1).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.70 (s, 2 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  40.8, 52.2, 122.8, 125.5 (q, *J*<sup>3</sup> = 3.7 Hz), 129.5 (q, *J*<sup>2</sup> = 32.1 Hz), 129.7, 138.0, 171.2; <sup>19</sup>F (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -62.6.

Methyl 2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetate (Table SI-2, entry 2). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.61 (s, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  40.4, 52.2, 128.7, 130.7, 132.4, 133.1, 171.6.

Methyl 2-(4-fluorophenyl)acetate (Table SI-2, entry 3). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.61 (s, 2 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H), 7.02 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (dd, J = 5.4, 8.4 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  40.2, 52.1, 115.4 (d,  $J^2 = 21.7$  Hz), 129.7 (d,  $J^4 = 3.2$  Hz), 130.9 (d,  $J^3 = 7.6$  Hz), 162.1 (d,  $J^1 = 243.9$  Hz), 171.9; <sup>19</sup>F (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -115.7.

Methyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetate (Table SI-2, entry 5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.59 (s, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  40.3, 52.0, 55.3, 114.0, 126.1, 130.3, 158.7, 172.4.

**Ethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table SI-3, entry 2).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 2.65 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.99 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.19-7.26 (m, 3 H), 7.28-7.36 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.2, 31.0, 36.0, 60.4, 126.2, 128.3, 128.5, 140.6, 172.9.

**Isopropyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table SI-3, entry 3).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.24 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 6 H), 2.63 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.99 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.99-5.11 (m, 1 H), 7.19-7.27 (m, 3 H), 7.28-7.36 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.8, 31.1, 36.3, 67.8, 126.2, 128.4, 128.5, 140.6, 172.5.

*tert*-Butyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table SI-3, entry 4). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.46 (s, 9 H), 2.58 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.19-7.26 (m, 3 H), 7.28-7.36 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 28.1, 31.2, 37.1, 80.4, 126.1, 128.4, 128.4, 140.8, 172.3.

**2-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethanol (Table SI-2, entry 1).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.51 (br, 1 H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.92 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  38.9, 63.2, 122.5, 125.5 (q, *J*<sup>3</sup> = 3.8 Hz), 129.3 (q, *J*<sup>2</sup> = 32.5 Hz), 129.4, 142.8; <sup>19</sup>F (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -62.4.

**2-(4-Chlorophenyl)ethanol (Table SI-2, entry 2).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.48 (br, 1 H), 2.86 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.87 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 38.5, 63.5, 128.7, 130.4, 132.3, 137.0.

**2-(4-Fluorophenyl)ethanol (Table SI-2, entry 3).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.40 (br, 1 H), 2.77 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.77 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.93 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (dd, J = 5.7, 8.7 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  38.3, 63.5, 115.4 (d,  $J^2 = 21.2$  Hz), 130.4 (d,  $J^3 = 7.7$  Hz), 134.2 (d,  $J^4 = 3.2$  Hz), 161.7 (d,  $J^I = 242.8$  Hz); <sup>19</sup>F (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  - 116.8.

**2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethanol (Table SI-2, entry 5).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.55 (br, 1 H), 2.84 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 3.85 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  38.3, 55.3, 63.8, 114.1, 130.0, 130.4, 158.3.

#### **Radical Clock Experiments**

General Procedure. An oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was placed under a positive pressure of argon, and subjected to three evacuation/backfilling cycles under high vacuum. Samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, typically 0.20-0.80 mmol, 2.0-8.0 equiv, 0.10 M) was added followed by Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.33 mL, 24 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.043 mL, 24 equiv) with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark brown color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>-Et<sub>3</sub>N-H<sub>2</sub>O complex. A solution of ester substrate (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv, stock solution in THF, 1.0 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for the indicated time. The excess of Sm(II) was oxidized by bubbling air through the reaction mixture, and the reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) and HCl (1 N, 30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x 30 mL), the organic layers were combined, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The sample was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) and GC-MS to obtain conversion and yield using internal standard and comparison with authentic samples. In all other cases, the Sm(II) reagent was preformed by adding the specified additive to the SmI<sub>2</sub> solution prepared as described above, and stirring until the color characteristic to the particular Sm(II) complex had appeared (SmI<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O: burgundy red; SmI<sub>2</sub>-MeOH: dark brown; SmI<sub>2</sub>–HMPA: purple; SmI<sub>2</sub>–LiCl: green; SmI<sub>2</sub>–Et<sub>3</sub>N: dark blue).

**Table SI-4.** Summary of the Radical Clock Experiments using  $SmI_2$ – $Et_3N$ – $H_2O$  and  $SmI_2$ – $H_2O$  Complexes in the Reductive Opening of **3**.

	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	<b>.</b> .					Гон	
<	4	Sml <sub>2</sub> -	-Et <sub>3</sub> N–H <sub>2</sub> O		∽⊳			
	Ph	TI	HF, RT				Ph	
	3			4, R = 5, R =	CO <sub>2</sub> Me CH <sub>2</sub> OH	<b>6</b> , no	t detecte	d
				0,10	0112011			
entry	SmI <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	H <sub>2</sub> O	time <sup>a</sup>	conv. <sup>b</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>b</sup>	yield <sup>c</sup>
	(equiv)	(equiv)	(equiv)	(h)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	4	24	24	1 min	80	75	5	80
2	8	48	48	2 h	>98	<2	98	98
3	2	-	415	1 min	1.7	1.7	-	1.7
4	4	-	833	15 min	4.1	4.1	-	4.1
5	4	-	200	5 min	11	11	-	11
6	8	-	200	2 h	53	53	-	53

All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. <sup>*a*</sup>Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC-MS of crude reaction mixtures and comparison with authentic samples. <sup>*c*</sup>Combined yield of **4** and **5**. Conversion = (100-SM). In all entries **6** was not detected (<2.0%).

**Table SI-5.** Summary of the Radical Clock Experiments using Sm(II) Complexes with Different Redox Potentials in the Reductive Opening of **3**.



All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. <sup>*a*</sup>Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC-MS of crude reaction mixtures and comparison with authentic samples. <sup>*c*</sup>Combined yield of **4** and **5**. <sup>*d*</sup>66:34 ratio of ester to acid. In all entries, >85% recovery of the SM. In all entries, **6** was not detected (<2.0%).

 Table SI-6.
 Summary of the Radical Clock Experiments using Sm(II) Complexes in the Reductive Opening of 3-SI.

Г

	< co	<sub>2</sub> Me Sml <sub>2</sub> –add	litive	Me	~_	$\langle$	-он	
	3-SI	THF, R	т	<b>4-SI</b> , R = <b>5-SI</b> , R =	CO <sub>2</sub> Me CH <sub>2</sub> OH	6-SI		
entry	SmI <sub>2</sub> (equiv)	additive	(equiv)	time <sup>a</sup> (h)	conv. <sup>b</sup> (%)	<b>4-SI</b> <sup>b</sup> (%)	<b>5-SI</b> <sup>b</sup> (%)	<b>6-SI</b> <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	2	H <sub>2</sub> O/morpholine	24/24	2 h	62.8	34.2	26.6	2.0
2	8	H <sub>2</sub> O/morpholine	48/48	2 h	>98	<2	93.6	6.4
3	8	MeOH	980	2 h	<2	-	-	<2
4	8	H <sub>2</sub> O	200	2 h	<2	-	-	<2
5	8	$H_2O$	800	2 h	<2	-	-	<2

All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. <sup>*a*</sup>Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by GC-MS analysis of crude reaction mixtures and comparison with authentic samples. Morpholine was used a Brønsted base to facilitate analysis of the crude reaction mixtures. Similar results were obtained with  $Et_3N$ . Agilent 7890A GC System and Agilent 5975C inert XL EI/CI MSD, Agilent HP-5MS column (19091S-433) (length 30 m, internal diameter 0.25 mm, film 0.25  $\mu$ m), helium as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, initial oven temperature of 40 °C. 15 °C/min ramp, after 40 °C hold for 3 min to 300 °C, then hold at 300 °C for 5 min: retention time: **3-SI**, 3.93 min; **4-SI**, 3.55 min; **5-SI**, 3.15 min; **6-SI**, 3.30 min.

 Table SI-7.
 Summary of the Radical Clock Experiments using Sm(II) Complexes in the Reductive Cyclization of 7-SI.



All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. <sup>*a*</sup>Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC-MS of crude reaction mixtures and comparison with authentic samples. <sup>*c*</sup>Combined yield of **8-SI** and **9-SI**. In all entries, **10-SI** was not detected (<2.0%). Entry 2, **9-SI**, dr = 41:33:15:11; entry 3, **9-SI**, dr = 39:32:18:11. **10-SI** was not detected in the reaction of **7-SI** with limiting Sm(II) (SmI<sub>2</sub>-Et<sub>3</sub>N-H<sub>2</sub>O, 2-24-24 equiv). Standard procedure for reductions using SmI<sub>2</sub>-amine-H<sub>2</sub>O was followed.

**Rac-**(1*R*,2*R*)-**Methyl 2-phenylcyclopropanecarboxylate** (**3**, **Tables SI-4**, **SI-5**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.22-1.27 (m, 1 H), 1.51-1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.81-1.85 (m, 1 H), 2.43-2.48 (m, 1 H), 3.64 (s, 3 H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  17.0, 24.0, 26.3, 51.9, 126.2, 126.5, 128.5, 140.0, 173.9.

**Methyl 4-phenylbutanoate (4, Tables SI-4, SI-5).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.96-2.07 (m, 2 H), 2.38 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.70 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 7.19-7.27 (m, 3 H), 7.30-7.37 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  26.6, 33.4, 35.2, 51.5, 126.0, 128.4, 128.5, 141.4, 173.9.

**4-Phenylbutan-1-ol (5, Tables SI-4, SI-5).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.44-1.67 (m, 4 H), 1.71 (br, 1 H), 2.56 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.55 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.06-7.13 (m, 3 H), 7.16-7.23 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 27.6, 32.3, 35.7, 62.8, 125.8, 128.3, 128.5, 142.4.

**Rac-((1***R***,2***R***)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methanol (6, Tables SI-4, SI-5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) \delta 0.82-0.91 (m, 2 H), 1.34-1.41 (m, 1 H), 1.65 (br, 1 H), 1.72-1.76 (m, 1 H), 3.49-3.57 (m, 2 H), 6.99 (dd,** *J* **= 1.5, 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (tt,** *J* **= 1.5, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (t,** *J* **= 7.5 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) \delta 13.9, 21.3, 25.3, 66.6, 125.7, 125.9, 128.4, 142.5.** 

**Rac-**(*1R*,*2R*)-2-Phenylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid (Tables SI-4, SI-5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.39-1.48 (m, 1 H), 1.66-1.73 (m, 1 H), 1.90-1.97 (m, 1 H), 2.59-2.67 (m, 1 H), 7.09-7.16 (m, 2 H), 7.21-7.35 (m, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 17.6, 24.1, 27.2, 126.3, 126.8, 128.6, 139.5, 180.2.

**Methyl 2-benzylhex-5-enoate (7-SI, Table SI-7).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.56-1.68 (m, 1 H), 1.72-1.86 (m, 1 H), 1.98-2.19 (m, 2 H), 2.67-2.82 (m, 2 H), 2.89-3.03 (m, 1 H), 3.62 (s, 3 H), 4.96-5.07 (m, 2 H), 5.71-5.84 (m, 1 H), 7.14-7.33 (m, 5 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 31.2, 31.5, 38.5, 47.0, 51.4, 115.2, 126.4, 128.4, 128.9, 137.7, 139.3, 175.9.

**2-Benzylhex-5-en-1-ol (8-SI, Table SI-7).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.25-1.48 (m, 2 H), 1.62 (br, 1 H), 1.67-1.80 (m, 1 H), 1.94-2.12 (m, 2 H), 2.56 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.36-3.48 (m, 2 H), 4.83-4.97 (m, 2 H), 5.62-5.77 (m, 1 H), 7.07-7.14 (m, 3 H), 7.16-7.23 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 29.9, 31.2, 37.6, 41.9, 64.6, 114.7, 126.0, 128.4, 129.2, 138.8, 140.7.

**2-Benzyl-5-methylcyclopentanol** (**9-SI, Table SI-7**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ca. 65:35 mixture of diastereoisomers) 0.90 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, minor), 0.92 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, major), 0.98-1.31 (m, 3 H), 1.58-2.03 (m, 3 H), 2.04-2.17 (m, 1 H), 2.48 (dd, J = 8.4, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, major), 2.55 (dd, J = 8.0, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, minor), 2.70 (dd, J = 7.2, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, major), 2.81 (dd, J = 7.2, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, minor), 3.61 (dd, J = 2.8, 5.2 Hz, 1 H, minor), 3.71 (dd, J = 4.8, 5.6 Hz, 1 H, major), 7.09-7.24 (m, 5 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ca. 65:35 mixture of diastereoisomers) 13.9, 19.8, 29.0, 29.1, 30.6, 31.0, 35.4, 37.5, 40.6, 42.4, 45.1, 48.6, 80.0, 80.9, 125.7, 125.9, 128.4, 128.4, 128.8, 128.9, 141.2, 141.9.

Scheme SI-1. Reductive Opening of Cyclopropyl Carbinol 6 using  $SmI_2$ -Et<sub>3</sub>N-H<sub>2</sub>O - Control Experiment to C-C Bond Cleavage.



According to the general procedure, an oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was charged with samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, 0.40 mmol, 8.0 equiv, 0.085 M), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.50 mL, 72 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.065 mL, 72 equiv) with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark brown color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>–Et<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub>O complex. A solution of ((1*R*,2*R*)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanol (0.05 mmol, 1.0 equiv, stock solution in THF, 1.0 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. After the standard work-up as described above, the sample was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to obtain conversion and yield using internal standard: conversion <5%; yield of recovered starting material: 96%, indicating that the reductive opening of phenyl-activated cyclopropyl carbinols with SmI<sub>2</sub>–Et<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub>O is not operative under these reaction conditions. We thank Prof. Chaozhong Li (Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for suggesting this experiment.

Additional Discussion. To determine the rate of the reduction of acyl-type radicals with Sm(II), three types of radical probes with gradually increasing sensitivity<sup>15</sup> were selected and subjected to the standard reaction conditions with  $SmI_2$ -amine- $H_2O$ :

a) trans-cyclopropyl-phenyl containing radical clock **3** (Tables 4-SI and 5-SI) ( $k_{\text{frag}} = \text{ca. 3 x}$ 10<sup>11</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C).<sup>15</sup>

b) cyclopropyl-containing radical clock **3-SI** (Table 6-SI) ( $k_{\text{frag}} = \text{ca. } 9.4 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C}$ ).<sup>15</sup> c) substituted 5-exo-hexenyl radical clock **7-SI** (Table 7-SI) ( $k_{5-\text{exo}} = \text{ca. } 2.3 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C}$ ).<sup>15</sup> The relative product distribution obtained in these experiments allows to approximate a bimolecular rate constant for the reduction of acyl-type radicals using Sm(II).<sup>15-18</sup> The rate constant  $k_{\text{SmI2}}$  was estimated as follows:  $k_{\text{SmI2}} = (\text{red/cycl}) \times k_{5-\text{exo}} \times [\text{SmI}_2]^{-1}$ .<sup>15-18</sup> In all cases the concentration of SmI<sub>2</sub> was corrected for changing the reaction volumes as indicated in Tables 4-SI to 7-SI. The product distribution was quantified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC-MS analysis of the crude reaction mixtures and comparison with authentic samples. The approximated  $k_{\text{SmI2}}$  rate constant as estimated from the results obtained in Table 6-SI using

cyclopropyl-containing radical clock **3-SI** indicates that the reduction of acyl-type radicals under these reaction conditions is comparable to a unimolecular reaction with *k* of about  $10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup>. The approximated  $k_{\text{Sm12}}$  rate constant as estimated from the results obtained in Table 7-SI using the substituted analogue of 5-exo-cyclohexenyl radical clock **7-SI** indicates that the reduction of acyl-type radicals is comparable to a unimolecular reaction with *k* of about  $10^7$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Overall, these results demonstrate that the reduction of acyl-type radicals with SmI<sub>2</sub>– amine–H<sub>2</sub>O is remarkably fast (previously, the rate constant of 7 x  $10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> was determined for the reduction of primary alkyl iodides with SmI<sub>2</sub>–HMPA complexes, which is a benchmark reaction in this field).<sup>16-18</sup> Equally importantly, these results indicate that acyltype radicals generated using SmI<sub>2</sub>–amine–H<sub>2</sub>O can participate in a wide range of crosscoupling reactions with unactivated radical acceptors.<sup>19</sup> Studies in this direction are a subject of current research in our laboratory and these results will be reported shortly.

Szostak, Spain and Procter

#### **Epimerization Studies**

**Scheme SI-2.** Epimerization Study of Enantioenriched Ester **7** under SmI<sub>2</sub>–Et<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub>O Reaction Conditions.

$$\begin{array}{c} Me \\ Ph \\ \hline CO_2Me \\ \textbf{7}, >99.5\% \text{ ee} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Sml}_2-\text{Et}_3N-\text{H}_2O} \\ \hline THF, RT \\ \textbf{8}, 99\%, 98.4\% \text{ ee} \end{array}$$

According to the general procedure using the preformed Sm(II) complex, (*R*)-methyl 2phenylpropanoate (0.10 mmol, >99.5% ee), was reacted with samarium(II) iodide (0.8 mmol), water (4.8 mmol) and triethylamine (4.8 mmol) for 2 h at rt to afford the title compound in 99% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.21 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (br, 1H), 2.84-2.91 (m, 1H), 3.63 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.14-7.18 (m, 3H), 7.24-7.28 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  17.6, 42.5, 68.7, 126.7, 127.5, 128.7, 143.7. HPLC analysis (chiralpak IA 28C, hexanes/*i*-PrOH 99/1, 1.0 mL/min, 220 nm) indicated 98.4% ee: t<sub>R</sub> (minor) = 18.42 minutes, t<sub>R</sub> (major) = 19.48 minutes. In addition, the reaction of (*R*)-**7** (0.1 mmol, >99.5% ee) was stopped at half-conversion (conditions: SmI<sub>2</sub> (0.6 mmol), water (3.6 mmol) and triethylamine (3.6 mmol), preformed Sm(II) complex, 5 min, room temperature) to give the title product in 44% yield (44% conv.). HPLC analysis chiralpak IA 28C, hexanes/*i*-PrOH 99/1, 1.0 mL/min, 220 nm) indicated 98.9% ee: t<sub>R</sub> (minor) = 17.75 minutes, t<sub>R</sub> (major) = 19.02 minutes. Taken together, these results indicate that enolization does not occur to an appreciable extent under these reaction conditions, which is consistent with fast first electron transfer.

#### H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O Incorporation Studies

<u>*General Procedure.*</u> According to the general procedure using the preformed Sm(II) complex, methyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.10 mmol), was reacted with samarium(II) iodide (0.6 mmol),  $H_2^{18}O$  (4.8 mmol) and triethylamine (4.8 mmol) for 18 h at rt to afford the title compound in 99% yield, <2.0% of <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (determined by HRMS analysis) (Table SI-8, entry 1). In addition, the reaction of a hindered isopropyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table SI-8, entry 2) and electronically-activated phenyl 3-phenylpropanoate (Table SI-8, entry 3) under the reaction conditions described above afforded the title compound in 92% and 98% yield, respectively, with <2.0% of <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (determined by HRMS analysis). These results are consistent with direct electron transfer to the ester carbonyl groups even in the case of sterically-hindered (Table SI-8, entry 2) and electronically-activated substrates (Table SI-8, entry 3). See also Scheme SI-3 (Page SI-21) for experiment using the SmI<sub>2</sub>–NaOH–H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O system.

**Table SI-8.** Determination of  $H_2^{18}O$  Incorporation in the Reduction of Esters of Hydrocinnamic Acid using SmI<sub>2</sub>-Et<sub>3</sub>N-H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O.

			CO <sub>2</sub> R	Sml <sub>2</sub> –Et <sub>3</sub> N–H	H₂ <sup>18</sup> O ►		∕ОН	
entry	R	SmI <sub>2</sub> (equiv)	Et <sub>3</sub> N (equiv)	H <sub>2</sub> O (equiv)	time <sup>a</sup> (h)	conv. <sup>b</sup> (%)	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	$^{18}O^{c}$ (%)
1	Me	6	48	48	18 h	>98	99	<2
2	<i>i</i> -Pr	6	48	48	18 h	>98	92	<2
3	Ph	6	48	48	18 h	>98	98	<2

All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. <sup>a</sup>Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>b</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>c</sup>Determined by HRMS.

#### **Reagent Stoichiometry Studies**

<u>General Procedure.</u> An oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was placed under a positive pressure of argon, and subjected to three evacuation/backfilling cycles under high vacuum. Samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, 0.45 mmol, 4.5 equiv, 0.10 M) was added followed by  $Et_3N$  (4-24 equiv) and  $H_2O$  (4-24 equiv) with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark brown color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>– $Et_3N-H_2O$  complex. A solution of ester substrate (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv, stock solution in THF, 1.0 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for the indicated time. The excess of Sm(II) was oxidized by bubbling air through the reaction mixture, a small aliquot (typically, 1.0 mL) was removed from the reaction mixture, diluted with diethyl ether (2 mL) and HCl (0.1 N, 0.25 mL) and analyzed by GC-MS to obtain product distribution. All data represent values corrected for response factors obtained by analyzing known quantities of the starting materials/products. The required amounts are:  $H_2O$  (3 equiv);  $Et_3N$  (2 equiv);  $SmI_2$  (1 equiv).

**Table SI-9.** Determination of the Reagent Stoichiometry of Required for the Reduction of Esters of using  $SmI_2$ -Et<sub>3</sub>N-H<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>*a*</sup>

	CO <sub>2</sub> Me THF	F, RT	∕∕он
entry	H <sub>2</sub> O (equiv)	Et <sub>3</sub> N (equiv)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{conv.} \\ \left(\%\right)^{b} \end{array}$
1	4	4	21.1
2	8	4	17.1
3	4	8	10.0
4	8	8	52.3
5	12	8	82.7
6	8	12	52.8
7	12	12	86.4
8	24	24	>98
9	4	12	7.4
10	12	4	17.1
11	-	12	<2
12	12	-	<2

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. Conditions: SmI<sub>2</sub> (4.5 equiv), rt, 24 h. Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by GC-MS. Agilent HP-5MS (19091S-433) (length 30 m, internal diameter 0.25 mm, film 0.25  $\mu$ m), He as the carrier gas, flow rate 1 mL/min, initial oven temp. 50 °C, 25 °C/min ramp, after 50 °C hold for 3 min to 300 °C, then hold at 300 °C for 5 min: retention time: **1**: 9.11 min; **2**: 8.87.

#### Reduction of Esters using SmI<sub>2</sub>-NaOH

<u>General Procedure.</u> An oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was charged with sodium hydroxide (pellets, ground in a mortar immediately prior to use), placed under a positive pressure of argon, and subjected to three evacuation/backfilling cycles under high vacuum. Samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, typically 0.60 mmol, 6.0 equiv, 0.080 M) was added followed by ester (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv, stock solution in THF, 1.0 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (typically, 24-48 equiv) with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark green color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>–NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O complex. The excess of Sm(II) was oxidized by bubbling air through the reaction mixture, and the reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) and HCl (1 N, 30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x 30 mL), the organic layers were combined, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The sample was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) and GC-MS to obtain conversion and yield using internal standard and comparison with authentic samples.

	$\land$	CO <sub>2</sub>	Me Sml <sub>2</sub> –	NaOH–H <sub>2</sub> O	$\wedge$		
			T	HF, RT		Υ OH	
ontru	$SmI_2$	NaOH	H <sub>2</sub> O	time <sup>b</sup>	conv. <sup>c</sup>	acid <sup>c</sup>	yield <sup>c</sup>
enti y	(equiv)	(equiv)	(equiv)	ume	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	6	12	$167^{d}$	2 h	40	<5	35
2	6	24	$333^{d}$	$60 \text{ s}^e$	31	51	31
3	6	72	$1000^{d}$	$2 \min^{e,f}$	8	34	7
4	6	12	24	2 h	95	<5	92
5	6	12	48	2 h	87	<5	81
6	6	12	12	2 h	84	<5 <sup>g</sup>	81
7	8	12	24	2 h	84	<5	79
8	6	12	24	30 s	5.0	<5	5
9	6	12	0	2 h	$44^h$	25	33
10	6	12	48	18 h	>95	<5	90

**Table SI-10.** Reduction of Unactivated Esters using the  $SmI_2$ -NaOH-H<sub>2</sub>O Complex (Modified Kamochi and Kudo Conditions<sup>20-22</sup>).<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Conditions: entries 1-3: SmI<sub>2</sub> was added to ester, followed by NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub>; entries 4-10: SmI<sub>2</sub> was added to NaOH<sub>(solid)</sub>, followed by ester and H<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>*b*</sup>Quenched with air after the indicated time. <sup>*c*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR; conversion is based on reduced starting material and does not include the hydrolysis product. <sup>*d*</sup>4 N NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub> was used. <sup>*e*</sup>Oxidation of the Sm(II) reagent was observed after the indicated time. <sup>*f*</sup>Heterogenous mixture formed immediately upon addition of NaOH. <sup>*g*</sup>Acid formed in 4.5%. In other entries, when <5, hydrolysis to acid was not observed. <sup>*h*</sup>Homo-coupling product formed in 23% yield.

To determine whether ester hydrolysis contributes to the mechanism of ester reduction using  $SmI_2$ -NaOH-H<sub>2</sub>O under these reaction conditions, the reduction was carried out in the presence of  $H_2^{18}O$  (Scheme SI-3, see also Table SI-8, page SI-18).

Scheme SI-3. Determination of  $H_2^{18}O$  Incorporation in the Reduction of Esters of Hydrocinnamic Acid using SmI<sub>2</sub>-NaOH-H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O.



<u>*General Procedure.*</u> According to the general procedure using for the reduction of esters using SmI<sub>2</sub>–NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, methyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.10 mmol), was reacted with samarium(II) iodide (0.6 mmol), sodium hydroxide (1.2 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O (4.8 mmol) for 18 h at rt to afford the title compound in 89% yield, >95% conversion, <2.0% of <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (determined by HRMS analysis). This result demonstrates that the reduction of esters under these reaction conditions occurs via direct electron transfer to the ester carbonyl groups, which is consistent with the proposed role of amine/H<sub>2</sub>O in the general reduction mechanism as described in the manuscript.

#### **Kinetic Experiments**

<u>*General Procedure.*</u> An oven-dried vial containing a stir bar was placed under a positive pressure of argon, and subjected to three evacuation/backfilling cycles under high vacuum. Samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, 0.10 M) was added followed by Et<sub>3</sub>N and H<sub>2</sub>O with vigorous stirring, which resulted in the formation of a characteristic dark brown color of the SmI<sub>2</sub>–Et<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub>O complex. A solution of ester substrate (stock solution in THF) was added and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred under argon. Small aliquots (typically, 0.25 mL) were removed from the reaction mixture at set time intervals, immediately quenched by bubbling air through the reaction mixture, diluted with diethyl ether (2.0 mL) and HCl (0.1 N, 0.25 mL), and analyzed by GC and/or GC-MS to obtain yield and product distribution using internal standard and comparison with authentic samples. Agilent HP-5MS (19091S-433) (length 30 m, internal diameter 0.25 mm, film 0.25  $\mu$ m), He as the carrier gas, flow rate 1 mL/min, initial oven temp. 90 °C, 10 °C/min ramp, after 90 °C hold for 3 min to 220 °C, then hold at 220 °C for 5 min: Product: 11.50 min, starting material: 12.55 min, standard: 12.45 min.

*Ester Order*. Conditions:  $SmI_2$  (6.0 equiv),  $Et_3N$  (12.0 equiv),  $H_2O$  (18.0 equiv), Ester (0.05-0.15 equiv).

**Figure SI-3**. Determination of Ester Rate Order in the Reduction of **1** using SmI<sub>2</sub>–Amine–H<sub>2</sub>O.



*Samarium(II) Iodide Order*. Conditions: SmI<sub>2</sub> (5.0-8.0 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (12.0 equiv), H<sub>2</sub>O (18.0 equiv), Ester (1.0 equiv).





*Amine Rate Order*. Conditions:  $SmI_2$  (6.0 equiv), amine (6.0-96.0 equiv),  $H_2O$  (18.0 equiv), Ester (1.0 equiv).

Figure SI-5. Determination of Amine Rate Order in the Reduction of 1 using  $SmI_2$ -Amine- $H_2O$ .



*Water Rate Order*. Conditions:  $SmI_2$  (6.0 equiv), amine (12.0 equiv),  $H_2O$  (6.0-96.0 equiv), Ester (1.0 equiv).

Figure SI-6. Determination of  $H_2O$  Rate Order in the Reduction of 1 using  $SmI_2$ -Amine- $H_2O$ .



Figure SI-7. Determination of  $H_2O$  Rate Order in the Reduction of 1 using  $SmI_2$ -Amine- $H_2O$ .



 $pK_{BH+}$  of Amines. Conditions: SmI<sub>2</sub> (6.0 equiv), amine (12.0 equiv), H<sub>2</sub>O (18.0 equiv), Ester (1.0 equiv).

**Figure SI-8**. Plot of Initial Rates vs.  $pK_{BH+}$  in the Reduction of 1 and 1-Chlorodecane<sup>23</sup> using SmI<sub>2</sub>-Amine-H<sub>2</sub>O.



#### References

- 1. B. S. Bodnar and P. F. Vogt, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 2598.
- C. A. Mosley, S. J. Myers, E. E. Murray, R. Santangelo, Y. A. Tahirovic, N. Kurtkaya, P. Mullasseril, H. Yuan, P. Lyuboslavsky, P. Le, L. J. Wilson, M. Yepes, R. Dingledine, S. F. Traynelis and D. C. Liotta, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, *17*, 6463.
- 3. B. D. Hosangadi and R. H. Dave, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 6375.
- 4. L. J. Goossen and A. Döhring, Synlett, 2004, 263.
- V. V. Rekha, M. V. Ramani, A. Ratnamala, V. Rupakalpana, G. V. Subbaraju, C. Satyanarayana, and C. S. Rao, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2009, 13, 769.
- 6. J. Yamazaki, T. Watanabe and K. Tanaka, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 2001, 12, 669.
- P. C. Bulman Page, M. J. McKenzie, S. M. Allin and D. R. Buckle, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, 56, 9683.
- S. Khatib, O. Nerya, R. Musa, S. Tamir, T. Peter and J. Vaya, J. Med. Chem., 2007, 50, 2676.
- S. W. Wright, D. L. Hageman, A. S. Wright and L. D. McClure, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 7345.
- 10. P. Girard, J. L. Namy and H. B. Kagan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 2693.
- 11. T. Imamoto and M. Ono, Chem. Lett., 1987, 501.
- 12. A. Dáhlen and G. Hilmersson, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2004, 3020.
- 13. J. A. Teprovich, Jr., P. K. S. Antharjanam, E. Prasad, E. N. Pesciotta and R. A. Flowers, II, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **2008**, 5015.
- 14. M. Szostak, M. Spain and D. J. Procter, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 3049.
- 15. M. Newcomb, Tetrahedron, 1993, 49, 1151-1176.
- 16. E. Hasegawa and D. P. Curran, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 1717-1720.
- 17. D. P. Curran, T. L. Fevig, C. P. Jasperse and M. J. Totleben, Synlett, 1992, 943-961.
- 18. G. A. Molander and C. Kenny, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 8236-8246.
- 19. C. Chatgilialoglu and A. Studer, *Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology and Materials*; Wiley-Blackwell: 2012.
- 20. Y. Kamochi and T. Kudo, Chem. Lett., 1991, 893.
- 21. Y. Kamochi and T. Kudo, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 3511.
- 22. Y. Kamochi and T. Kudo, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1992, 65, 3049.
- 23. A. Dáhlen and G. Hilmersson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8340.



























































110 100 90 f1 (ppm)

180 170 160 150 140 130 120

210 200

190

70

80

60

50

40

30 20 10 0 -10



























































































DAD1A.ch



17.0 17.5 18.0 18.5 19.0 19.5 20.0 Retention Time (m								
17.0 17.5 18.0 18.5 19.0 19.5 20.0 Retention Time (m	 							
	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0	Retention Time (mir

No.	t <sub>R</sub>	Peak Area (Y units/ms)	Area Percent	Height
1	18.420	45232.68	0.783	1942.88
2	19.487	5731476.07	99.217	260776.40

DAD1A.ch



#### 16.5 17.0 17.5 18.0 18.5 19.0 19.5 20.0 Retention Time (mir

No.	t <sub>R</sub>	Peak Area (Y units/ms)	Area Percent	Height
1	17.947	7914426.500	49.575	381518.344