TREND Statement Checklist for the paper "Isotonic Glycerol and Sodium Hyaluronate Containing Artificial Tear Decreases Conjunctivochalasis After One and Three Months: A Self-controlled, Unmasked Study"

Paper	Item	Descriptor		Reported?	
Section/ Topic	No		\checkmark	Pg#	
Title and Abstr	act				
Title and	1	 Information on how unit were allocated to interventions 	OK	1	
Abstract		Structured abstract recommended	OK	1	
		 Information on target population or study sample 	OK	1	
Introduction					
Background	2	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	OK	2	
J		Theories used in designing behavioral interventions	N.A.		
Methods	1		"		
Participants	3	Eligibility criteria for participants, including criteria at different levels in	OK	6	
rarticipants		recruitment/sampling plan (e.g., cities, clinics, subjects)		Ü	
		Method of recruitment (e.g., referral, self-selection), including the	OK	5	
		sampling method if a systematic sampling plan was implemented			
		Recruitment setting	OK	5	
		Settings and locations where the data were collected	OK	6	
Interventions	4	Details of the interventions intended for each study condition and how			
		and when they were actually administered, specifically including:			
		o Content: what was given?	OK	6	
		 Delivery method: how was the content given? 	OK	6	
		 Unit of delivery: how were the subjects grouped during delivery? 	OK	6	
		Deliverer: who delivered the intervention?	OK	6	
		 Setting: where was the intervention delivered? 	OK	6	
		 Exposure quantity and duration: how many sessions or episodes or 	OK	6	
		events were intended to be delivered? How long were they intended to last?			
		 Time span: how long was it intended to take to deliver the intervention to each unit? 	OK	6	
		Activities to increase compliance or adherence (e.g., incentives)	OK	6	
Objectives	5	Specific objectives and hypotheses	OK	2	
Outcomes	6	Clearly defined primary and secondary outcome measures	OK	2	
		Methods used to collect data and any methods used to enhance the quality of measurements	OK	7	
		Information on validated instruments such as psychometric and biometric	OK	7	
		properties			
Sample Size	7	 How sample size was determined and, when applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping rules 	OK	7	
Assignment	8	 Unit of assignment (the unit being assigned to study condition, e.g., 	OK	6	
Method		 individual, group, community) Method used to assign units to study conditions, including details of any 	N.A.		
		restriction (e.g., blocking, stratification, minimization)		_	
		 Inclusion of aspects employed to help minimize potential bias induced due to non-randomization (e.g., matching) 	OK	5	

TREND Statement Checklist for the paper "Isotonic Glycerol and Sodium Hyaluronate Containing Artificial Tear Decreases Conjunctivochalasis After One and Three Months: A Self-controlled, Unmasked Study"

Blinding (masking)	9	Whether or not participants, those administering the interventions, and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to study condition assignment; if so, statement regarding how the blinding was accomplished and how it was assessed.	OK	6
Unit of Analysis	10	Description of the smallest unit that is being analyzed to assess intervention effects (e.g., individual, group, or community)	OK	6
		If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis)	N.A.	
Statistical Methods	11	Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data	OK	7
		Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis	OK	7
		Methods for imputing missing data, if used	N.A.	
		Statistical software or programs used	OK	7
Doculto				
Participant flow	12	Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment, assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a diagram is strongly recommended)		
		 Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility, found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study 	OK	2
		 Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition 	OK	2
		 Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who received each intervention 	OK	2
		 Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow-up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition 	OK	2
		 Analysis: the number of participants included in or excluded from the main analysis, by study condition 	OK	2
		Description of protocol deviations from study as planned, along with reasons	OK	6,7
Recruitment	13	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	OK	6
Baseline Data	14	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in each study condition	OK	6
		Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease prevention research	OK	6
		Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition	N.A.	
		Comparison between study population at baseline and target population of interest	OK	2-5
Baseline equivalence	15	Data on study group equivalence at baseline and statistical methods used to control for baseline differences	OK	2-7

TREND Statement Checklist for the paper "Isotonic Glycerol and Sodium Hyaluronate Containing Artificial Tear Decreases Conjunctivochalasis After One and Three Months: A Self-controlled, Unmasked Study"

		0.77	
16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	OK	2-3
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
ļ			
	, - ,	OK	2-3
	not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses		
17	• For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each	OK	2-3
	•		
	interval to indicate the precision		
	 Inclusion of null and negative findings 	OK	2-3
Ī	 Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through 	N.A.	
	which the intervention was intended to operate, if any		
18	 Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted 	N.A.	
	analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory		
19	Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each	OK	3
	study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and		
	confidence intervals)		
20		OK	3-5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		OK	3-5
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	mechanisms or explanations		
	• Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention,	OK	3-5
	fidelity of implementation		
	 Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications 	OK	3-5
21	Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account	OK	4-5
	the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of		
	follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in		
	the study, and other contextual issues		
22	General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence	OK	3-5
	18 19 20 21	study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision Inclusion of null and negative findings Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study Interpretation of results taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues	study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision Inclusion of null and negative findings Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) OK Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study Discussion of results taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications OK Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues

From: Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N., & the Trend Group (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94, 361-366. For more information, visit: http://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/