

Additional file to:
 Ranking treatments in frequentist network meta-analysis works without re-sampling methods

Gerta Rücker and Guido Schwarzer

Proof that SUCRA and P-score are identical

We consider all probabilities as known. Let $R(i) = k$ mean s that treatment i has rank k . We have

$$P_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=k+1}^n P(R(i) = k \wedge R(j) = l)$$

and

$$(n-1)SUCRA(i) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} F(i, r) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^r P(i, k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=k}^{n-1} P(i, k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)P(i, k)$$

which is the expected proportion of treatments worse than i . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=k+1}^n P(R(i) = k \wedge R(j) = l) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=k+1}^n P(i, k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)P(i, k) \\ &= (n-1)SUCRA(i) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\bar{P}_i = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij} = SUCRA(i)$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

Note: For $n > 2$, neither the ranking probabilities $P(i, k)$, nor the probabilities P_{ij} can be uniquely derived from \bar{P}_i or $SUCRA(i)$.