## Copyright WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69469 Weinheim, Germany, 2013. Supporting Information

## Materials and Fractal Designs for 3D Multifunctional Integumentary Membranes with Capabilities in Cardiac Electrotherapy

Lizhi Xu, Sarah R. Gutbrod, Yinji Ma, Artin Petrossians, Yuhao Liu, R. Chad Webb, Jonathan A. Fan, Zijian Yang, Renxiao Xu, John J. Whalen III, James D. Weiland, Yonggang Huang, Igor R. Efimov, and John A. Rogers\*

## Supplementary Note: FEA results

ABAQUS commercial software was used to study the elastic modulus and the stretchability of the device. The silicone elastomer (Ecoflex, ~150  $\mu$ m in thickness, with modulus ~60 kPa) was modeled by the hexahedron element (C3D8R), while the device is modeled by the composite shell element (S4R). A submodel was established for the fractal electrode to obtain its effective mechanical properties. Figure S1 shows the stress-strain relation of the silicone elastomer with and without the fractal electrode. The modulus of silicone elastomer with the fractal electrode increases to 130 kPa for vertical stretching and 114 kPa for horizontal stretching. Figure S2 and Figure S3 show the deformation and strain distribution of the overall device subject to (0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%) horizontal stretching and (0%, 5%, 10% and 15%) biaxial stretching, respectively. The optical image in Figure S2(a) agrees well with that obtained by FEA in Figure S2(b) for horizontal stretching. The maximum strain in the interconnect (Figure S3 displays similar characteristics of the device subject to biaxial stretching.

FEA was used to study the distribution of contact pressure for a 3D-MIM (~150  $\mu$ m thickness, and modulus ~74kPa from Figure 2d) wrapped around a heart. The volume change associated with integration of 3D-MIM was modeled by the thermally coupled shell element (S3T). Figure S4 shows the contact pressure (a) in the unexpanded state and (b) 145% volume of the unexpanded state, which corresponds to the diastolic state of the heart.

FEA was used to study the temperature field associated with the operation of heater #5. The substrate (myocardium, ~4 mm in thickness, with thermal conductivity ~0.5 W/mK [S1]) was modeled by the heat transfer brick element (DC3D8), while the device was modeled by the heat transfer shell element (DS4). The ambient temperature is 38 °C, and the heater 5 was heated to 70.8 °C. Table S1 shows the average temperature for each of the 9 temperature sensor/heater array.

[S1] D. Schutt, E. J. Berjano, D. Haemmerich, Int. J. Hyperthermia 2009, 25, 99.

Tabl	e S1.	The	average	temperature	on the 9	temperature	sensor/h	neater a	rray t	)y	FE.	A.
------	-------	-----	---------	-------------	----------	-------------	----------	----------	--------	----	-----	----

Sensor 1: 38.2℃	Sensor 4: 39.6℃	Sensor 7: 38.2℃
Sensor 2: 38.5℃	Heater 5: 70.8℃	Sensor 8: 38.5 °C
Sensor 3: 38.4°C	Sensor 6: 39.6℃	Sensor 9: 38.4°C

**Figure S1.** FEA results for the stress-strain relation of the silicone elastomer with and without integrated fractal electrodes.

**Figure S2.** (a) Optical images and (b) FEA results for the device with up to 20% horizontal strain. The corresponding strain distribution in (c) interconnect, (d) fractal electrode and (e) sensor.

**Figure S3**. (a) Optical images and (b) FEA results for the device with up to 15% biaxial strain. The corresponding strain distribution in (c) interconnect, (d) fractal electrode and (e) sensor.

**Figure S4**. The contact pressure exerted on the heart by 3D-MIM for 145% of the contracted volume, which corresponds to the diastolic state of the heart.

Figure S5. Calibration data for the temperature sensors array.

Figure S6. Improvements in electrochemical impedance by fractal electrode design.



Figure S1



Figure S2



Figure S3



Expanded (100% volume) Average pressure=0 Pa Expanded (145% volume) Average pressure=273 Pa

Figure S4



Figure S5



Figure S6