Note to Readers: EHP strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in EHP articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Carotid Intima-Media Thickness and Long-Term Exposure to Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Middle-Aged Residents of Taiwan: A Cross-Sectional Study

Ta-Chen Su, Juey-Jen Hwang, Yu-Cheng Shen, and Chang-Chuan Chan

Table of Contents

- **Table S1**. Pearson's correlation between 5 different pollutants (N=689).
- **Table S2.** Models for two pollutants (PM_{2.5} abs and NO₂) as determinants of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) after adjusting other cardiovascular covariates.
- **Table S3.** Interaction effects and the subgroups analysis to maximum CIMT at LCCA with $PM_{2.5}$ abs.
- **Table S4.** Interaction effects and the subgroups analysis to maximum CIMT at LCCA with NO₂.

Table S1. Pearson's correlation between 5 different pollutants (N=689).

Exposure	Estimate	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5abs}	PM ₁₀	NO ₂	NO _x
PM _{2.5}	r	1.00	0.57	0.56	0.38	0.44
	Р		<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
PM _{2.5} abs	r	0.57	1.00	0.56	0.55	0.56
	Р	<.001		<.001	<.001	<.001
PM ₁₀	r	0.56	0.56	1.00	0.46	0.44
	Р	<.001	<.001		<.001	<.001
NO ₂	r	0.38	0.55	0.46	1.00	0.91
	Р	<.001	<.001	<.001		<.001
NO _x	r	0.44	0.56	0.44	0.91	1.00
	Р	<.001	<.001	<.0001	<.001	

Table S2. Models for two pollutants (PM_{2.5} abs and NO₂) as determinants of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) after adjusting other cardiovascular covariates.

Outcome	PM ₂₅ abs (10 ⁻⁵ m ⁻¹) % difference (95% CI)	NO ₂ (20 μg/m³) % difference (95% CI)		
Mean CIMT at LCCA	3.58 (-1.02, 8.18)	0.35 (-1.12, 1.82)		
Mean CIMT at RCCA	-0.10 (-4.64, 4.43)	0.83 (-0.62, 2.28)		
Combined mean CIMT	1.75 (-2.21, 5.72)	0.59 (-0.68, 1.86)		
Maximum CIMT at LCCA	2.57 (-2.14, 7.28)	0.95 (-0.56, 2.45)		
Maximum CIMT at RCCA	0.09 (-4.26, 4.44)	0.88 (-0.52, 2.27)		
Combined maximum CIMT	1.35 (-2.50, 5.20)	0.91 (-0.32, 2.14)		

Adjusted for age, male gender, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, LDL-cholesterol, lipid-lowering treatment, diabetes mellitus, education, and ever smoking history.

Table S3. Interaction effects and the subgroups analysis to maximum CIMT at LCCA with PM_{2.5} abs.

Interaction Eff	Subgroups Analysis ^b			
Interaction Term	% difference (95% CI)	Subgroup	N	% difference (95% CI)
PM _{2.5} abs*Age	0.16 (-0.35, 0.68)	Age<50 years	371	1.18 (-1.01, 3.38)
		Age≥50 years	318	1.88 (-2.21, 5.97)
PM _{2.5} abs*Male gender	-9.36 (-18.13, -0.60)	Female	192	4.98 (0.20, 9.76)*
		Male	497	1.05 (-1.24, 3.33)
PM _{2.5} abs*Hypertension	0.06 (-0.21, 0.34)	Hypertension, No	484	1.80 (-0.48, 4.08)
		Hypertension, Yes	205	4.18 (-0.54, 8.89)
PM _{2.5} abs*BMI	-0.44 (-1.69, 0.81)	BMI<27	531	2.54 (0.18, 4.89)*
		BMI≥27	158	2.08 (-2.35, 6.52)
PM _{2.5} abs*Diabetes mellitus	2.03 (-6.36, 10.42)	Diabetes mellitus, No	452	1.86 (-0.20, 3.93)
		Diabetes mellitus, Yes	237	3.23 (-1.52, 7.98)
PM _{2.5} abs*Ever smoking	-5.48 (-14.61, 3.64)	Ever Smoking, No	523	2.85 (0.38, 5.32)*
		Ever Smoking, Yes	166	0.08 (-3.73, 3.90)
PM _{2.5} abs*Lipid-lowering treatment	-1.12 (-4.03, 1.79)	Lipid-lowering treatment, No	625	1.85 (-0.36, 4.07)
		Lipid-lowering treatment, Yes	64	3.53 (-2.60, 9.66)
PM _{2.5} abs*Hypercholesterolemia	7.27 (-6.22, 20.75)	Hypercholesterolemia, No	388	3.28 (0.52, 6.03)*
	·	Hypercholesterolemia, Yes	301	1.32 (-1.85, 4.48)

^aMultivariate linear regression analysis are with interaction term. ^bMultivariate linear regression analysis are conducted in subgroup. Both model are adjusted for age, male gender, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, LDL-cholesterol, lipid-lowering treatment, diabetes mellitus, education, and ever smoking history. Exposure increment used for calculation of percent change PM25abs in every 10^{-5} m⁻¹. *P < 0.05.

Table S4. Interaction effects and the subgroups analysis to maximum CIMT at LCCA with NO₂.

Interaction	Subgroups Analysis ^b			
Interaction Term	% difference (95% CI)	Subgroup	N	% difference (95% CI)
NO ₂ *Age	0.40 (0.08, 0.72)*	Age<50 years	371	0.04 (-1.35, 1.43)
		Age≥50 years	318	3.13 (0.45, 5.80)*
NO ₂ *Male gender	-4.95 (-10.53, 0.63)	Female	192	2.90 (0.04, 5.77)*
		Male	497	0.69 (-0.79, 2.18)
NO ₂ *Hypertension	0.0 1(-0.18,0.19)	Hypertension, No	484	1.83 (0.38, 3.29)*
		Hypertension, Yes	205	0.92 (-2.08, 3.91)
NO ₂ *BMI	-0.35 (-1.17, 0.48)	BMI<27	531	1.62 (0.11, 3.12)*
		BMI≥27	158	1.16 (-1.73, 4.06)
NO ₂ *Diabetes mellitus	-0.51 (-5.86, 4.85)	Diabetes mellitus, No	452	1.73 (0.40, 3.07)*
		Diabetes mellitus, Yes	237	1.03 (-1.98, 4.04)
NO ₂ *Ever smoking	-1.81 (-7.46, 3.83)	Ever Smoking, No	523	1.75 (0.15, 3.34)*
		Ever Smoking, Yes	166	0.80 (-1.52, 3.11)
NO ₂ *Lipid-lowering treatment	-1.59 (-3.29, 0.11)	Lipid-lowering treatment, No	625	1.64 (0.23, 3.06)*
		Lipid-lowering treatment, Yes	64	-0.14 (-3.91, 3.62)
NO ₂ *Hypercholesterolemia	-2.70 (-11.16, 5.76)	Hypercholesterolemia, No	388	1.80 (0.08, 3.52)*
		Hypercholesterolemia, Yes	301	0.97 (-1.11, 3.06)

^aMultivariate linear regression analysis are with interaction term. ^bMultivariate linear regression analysis are conducted in subgroup.

Adjusted for age, male gender, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, LDL-cholesterol, lipid-lowering treatment, diabetes mellitus, education, and ever smoking history. Exposure increment used for calculation of percent change NO_2 in every $20~\mu\text{g/m}^3$.

^{*}P < 0.05.