Cell Reports

Trim25 Is an RNA-Specific Activator of Lin28a/TuT4-**Mediated Uridylation**

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Nila Roy Choudhury, Jakub S. Nowak, ..., Steven H. Spoel, Gracjan Michlewski

Correspondence

gmichlew@staffmail.ed.ac.uk

In Brief

Lin28a triggers TuT4-mediated pre-let-7 uridylation. Despite binding to other premicroRNAs, only pre-let-7 is efficiently uridylated by TuT4. Choudhury et al. show that Trim25 is an RNA-specific cofactor for Lin28a/TuT4-mediated uridylation. These findings reveal that Trim25 can guide the function of canonical RNP complexes in cis, thereby providing an additional level of specificity.

Highlights

Lin28a binding to a pre-miRNA is insufficient to trigger TuT4mediated uridylation

The E3 ligase Trim25 binds to the conserved terminal loop of pre-let-7

Trim25 is an RNA-specific cofactor for Lin28a/TuT4-mediated uridylation





Cell Reports

Trim25 Is an RNA-Specific Activator of Lin28a/TuT4-Mediated Uridylation

Nila Roy Choudhury,¹ Jakub S. Nowak,¹ Juan Zuo,¹ Juri Rappsilber,^{1,2} Steven H. Spoel,³ and Gracjan Michlewski^{1,*} ¹Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Michael Swann Building, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK

²Department of Biotechnology, Technische Universität Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany

³Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Daniel Rutherford Building, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK

*Correspondence: gmichlew@staffmail.ed.ac.uk

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.017

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

SUMMARY

RNA binding proteins have thousands of cellular RNA targets and often exhibit opposite or passive molecular functions. Lin28a is a conserved RNA binding protein involved in pluripotency and tumorigenesis that was previously shown to trigger TuT4-mediated pre-let-7 uridylation, inhibiting its processing and targeting it for degradation. Surprisingly, despite binding to other pre-microRNAs (pre-miRNAs), only pre-let-7 is efficiently uridylated by TuT4. Thus, we hypothesized the existence of substrate-specific cofactors that stimulate Lin28a-mediated pre-let-7 uridylation or restrict its functionality on non-let-7 premiRNAs. Through RNA pull-downs coupled with quantitative mass spectrometry, we identified the E3 ligase Trim25 as an RNA-specific cofactor for Lin28a/TuT4-mediated uridylation. We show that Trim25 binds to the conserved terminal loop (CTL) of pre-let-7 and activates TuT4, allowing for more efficient Lin28a-mediated uridylation. These findings reveal that protein-modifying enzymes, only recently shown to bind RNA, can guide the function of canonical ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes in cis, thereby providing an additional level of specificity.

INTRODUCTION

Next-generation studies on RNA binding proteins have supplied a constant stream of novel RNA-protein interactions (Ascano et al., 2012; Milek et al., 2012; Ray et al., 2013). Additionally, new clades of RNA binding proteins, such as kinases, E3 ligases, and metabolic enzymes, have recently been discovered by highthroughput proteomic analysis, and their RNA-related roles are awaiting characterization (Baltz et al., 2012; Castello et al., 2012; Hentze and Preiss, 2010; Kwon et al., 2013). In the light of the often short and abundant RNA sequence motifs that provide protein-binding specificity, a fundamental question is which RNA-protein complexes are functional as opposed to being promiscuous or passive.

Lin28a is an example of an RNA binding protein with a well-defined binding motif (GGAG) and roles in the control of

microRNA (miRNA) maturation (Heo et al., 2009; Nowak et al., 2014; Van Wynsberghe et al., 2011; Viswanathan et al., 2008), mRNA translation (Cho et al., 2012; Shyh-Chang et al., 2013), and mRNA splicing (Wilbert et al., 2012). In undifferentiated metazoan cells, Lin28a suppresses the maturation of let-7 miRNAs by binding to the conserved terminal loop (CTL) of the let-7 precursor (pre-let-7) and recruiting the 3' terminal uridyl transferase (TuTase) TuT4, which adds an oligouridine tail to the 3' end of pre-let-7 (Hagan et al., 2009; Heo et al., 2009; Lehrbach et al., 2009). The oligouridine tail inhibits cleavage by Dicer (Heo et al., 2008) and targets pre-let-7 for degradation by the exonuclease Dis3L2 (Chang et al., 2013; Ustianenko et al., 2013). Other mechanisms describing the blockage of primary microRNA (primiRNAs) and pre-miRNA processing have also been suggested (Lightfoot et al., 2011; Piskounova et al., 2008). Strikingly, even though in vivo (Cho et al., 2012; Hafner et al., 2013; Wilbert et al., 2012) and in vitro (Towbin et al., 2013) binding data show that Lin28a binds many more pre-miRNAs, only some members from the pre-let-7 family are efficiently uridylated. Hence, we predicted the existence of substrate-specific cofactors that enable Lin28a-mediated uridylation of pre-let-7 and/or restrict its functionality on non-let-7 pre-miRNAs.

Here, we show that Lin28-mediated uridylation is dependent on the structure of the RNA and the sequence context of the Lin28a binding motif. Using RNA pull-down combined with high-throughput stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) mass spectrometry, we discovered that the E3 ligase Trim25 is a factor that binds to pre-let-7. We found that Trim25 colocalizes and coimmunoprecipitates with Lin28a. We also found that Trim25 activates TuT4 and that drug inhibition of the E1 ubiquitin ligase reduces the levels of TuT4 and decreases pre-let-7 uridylation. Finally, using in vitro pre-miRNA processing and in vivo pre-miRNA quantification, we showed that Trim25 is an RNA-specific cofactor for Lin28a-mediated uridylation of pre-let-7. Altogether, our results uncover an important layer of RNA binding factors that can modify canonical ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes and control their molecular function.

RESULTS

Lin28-Mediated Uridylation Is Dependent on RNA Structure and the Sequence Context of the GGAG Motif Previously, the GGAG motif was shown to be sufficient to induce Lin28a binding, but not uridylation (Heo et al., 2009). A fixed



distance between the motif and the pre-miRNA stem was shown to determine the functionality of the complex. We sought to test this hypothesis by testing pre-let-7a-1 and its CTL mutants using an in vitro uridylation assay (Figure S1). Pre-let-7a-1 mutants @2 and @3 with truncated CTLs and the naturally occurring 3' adjacent AU dinucleotide or a UA mutation, respectively, demonstrated robust Lin28a-binding efficiency (Figures 1 and S2). Importantly, despite preserving the secondary structure and the distance of the GGAG motif from the pre-miRNA stem, as assayed by RNA structure probing with lead ions and ribonuclease T1 (Figure S3), pre-let-7a-1@2 was efficiently uridylated, but pre-let-7a-1@3 was not (Figure 1C). Pre-let-7a-1 mutant @1 with a minimal terminal loop harboring the wild-type GGAGAU sequence did not bind Lin28a (Figure 1B), nor was it

Figure 1. Structural and Sequence Context of the GGAG Motif Determine Lin28a Binding and Functionality

(A) Schematic of the secondary structure of wildtype and conserved terminal loop (CTL) mutants of pri-let-7a-1. The mutated nucleotides are in green, and the GGAG motif is in red.

(B) Western blot (WB) analysis of Lin28a and DHX9 proteins in RNA pull-downs from day 0 (d0) P19 teratocarcinoma cell extract using wild-type prelet-7 or its CTL mutants.

(C) In vitro processing uridylation assays performed with internally radiolabeled pre-let-7a transcripts (50×10^3 cpm, approximately 20 pmol)) in the presence of d0 P19 cell extract. (–) represents an untreated control. Reactions were supplemented with 0.25 mM UTP. The products were analyzed on an 8% denaturing polyacrylamide cel.

(D) Schematic of the secondary structure of wild-type pri-miRNA-363 and its CTL mutant.

(E) Western blot analysis of Lin28a protein in RNA pull-downs from day 0 P19 cell extract using wild-type pre-miRNA-363 or mutant pre-miRNA-363@1.

(F) In vitro processing uridylation assays performed with internally radiolabeled pre-miRNA-363 transcripts (50×10^3 cpm, approximately 20 pmol) in the presence of d0 P19 cell extracts. (–) represents an untreated control. Reactions were supplemented with 0.25 mM UTP. The products were analyzed on an 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gel.

uridylated (Figure 1C). These data point to structural RNA constraints on Lin28a's interaction with its targets.

Co-overexpression of pri-let-7a-1 mutants with Lin28a in HeLa cells followed by northern blotting against let-7a confirmed the importance of the AU dinucleotide adjacent to the GGAG motif in the Lin28a-mediated inhibition of let-7a processing, as only the pri-let-7a-1@2 mutant was suppressed to the same extent as the wild-type pri-let-7a-1 (Fig-

ure 2). Notably, all substrates were inhibited at the Drosha cleavage step (Figure 2), validating previous claims regarding Lin28a-mediated repression of pri-let-7 processing (Viswanathan et al., 2008). Finally, introduction of an AU dinucleotide adjacent to the GGAG motif in the terminal loop of pre-miRNA-363, which previously had been shown to bind Lin28a but undergo only marginal uridylation (Heo et al., 2009), greatly enhanced its uridylation without changing its affinity for Lin28a (Figures 1D–1F). Notably, the uridylation efficiency of pre-miRNA-363@1 was much weaker than that of pre-let-7a-1 (Figures 1C and 1F). Overall, these results indicate that Lin28a binding per se, even at the same distance to the stem as in wild-type pre-let-7, is not sufficient to trigger efficient uridylation. The functional role of the AU dinucleotide adjacent to the GGAG motif



Figure 2. Lin28a Inhibits Pri- and Pre-let-7 Processing, but the Efficiency Depends on Fine Features of the Let-7 CTL

(A) Northern blot analysis with a probe for let-7a of total RNA from HeLa cells transfected with only a pCG plasmid coding for pri-let-7a-1 or one of its CTL mutants or cotransfected with a pri-let-7 plasmid and pCG T7-Lin28a.
(B) As a loading control, ethidium bromide (EB) staining of the polyacrylamide gel before blotting is shown.

suggests the existence of an additional RNA-specific cofactor for Lin28a-mediated uridylation.

Trim25 Binds to Pre-let-7 and Interacts with Components of the Lin28/TuT4 Pathway

To find the RNA-specific cofactor for Lin28a-mediated uridylation, we next used RNA pull-down combined with SILAC and high-throughput mass spectrometry (Figure 3A). Pre-let-7a-1, pre-let-7a-1@2, and pre-let-7a-1@3 pulled down 28 common proteins, including Lin28a, with very similar efficiency (Figure 3B). Six proteins were pulled down specifically by wild-type pre-let-7a-1 and the @2 mutant (Figures 3B and 3C). Among them, we identified the Trim25 protein with well-documented E3 ligase function (Gack et al., 2007; Inn et al., 2011), which has recently been observed to bind RNA and be downregulated during retinoic acid-driven differentiation (Kwon et al., 2013). We validated Trim25 binding to the wild-type pre-let-7a-1 and pre-let-7a-1@2 mutant by RNA pull-down followed by western blotting (Figure 3D). The binding of Trim25 to the pre-let-7a-1@3 mutant was detectable but much weaker compared to wild-type prelet-7a-1 and the pre-let-7a-1@2 mutant (Figures 3D and 3E). Strikingly, despite all substrates pulling down comparable amounts of Lin28a, only the wild-type and mutant pre-let-7a-1@2 successfully pulled down TuT4 (Figures 3D and 3E). TuT4 was not identified in our original RNA pull-down screen, but this could be due to lack of detection during the high-throughput mass spectrometry. Intriguingly, even though pre-miRNA-363@1 pulled down more TuT4 than wild-type pre-miRNA-363, we detected similar binding of Trim25 to both substrates (Figure S4). Importantly, relative to the loading control, pull-down of both TuT4 and Trim25 by pre-miRNA-363@1 was much less efficient than that observed for pre-let-7a-1 (Figures S4 and 3D). This suggests a different composition of the functional pre-miRNA-363 and pre-let-7-protein complexes and their putative roles in uridylation.

Next, we sought to establish whether Trim25 interacts with components of the pre-let-7/Lin28/TuT4 pathway. Using immunocytochemistry on mouse teratocarcinoma P19 cells, we found that Lin28a and Trim25 colocalize in vivo, supporting their functional link (Figure 3F). Notably, Lin28a and Trim25 localize to the cytoplasm as well as to the nucleus. Finally, using coimmunoprecipitation of ectopically expressed T7-Lin28a and V5-Trim25 in HeLa cells, we validated their interaction (Figure 3G). The stability of the complex in the presence of ribonuclease (RNase) points either to direct interaction, which is unlikely because mutant prelet-7a-1@3 binds Lin28a, but not Trim25 (Figure 3D), or close proximity binding on the same RNA. Altogether, our results show that Trim25 could function as an RNA-specific cofactor for the Lin28a-mediated uridylation of pre-let-7.

Trim25 Is an RNA-Specific Cofactor for Lin28a/ TuT4-Mediated Uridylation

To determine whether Trim25 plays a role in Lin28a-mediated uridylation, we performed Trim25 RNAi in mouse teratocarcinoma P19 cells (Figure 4A). Efficient knockdown of Trim25 caused a noticeable decrease in TuT4 levels (Figure 4A), which suggests that Trim25 has a positive effect on the TuT4 protein level in vivo. Importantly, pre-let-7a-1 uridylation in extracts



Figure 3. SILAC Combined with RNA Pull-Down and Mass Spectrometry Reveals Putative Cofactors for Lin28a-Mediated Uridylation

(A) Schematic of the method. P19 cells or HeLa cells overexpressing Lin28a were grown in "light" medium containing 12C6-arginine and 12C6-lysine or in "heavy" medium containing 13C6-arginine and 13C6-lysine. Next, RNA pull-down was performed with either agarose beads incubated with extract from light HeLa cells or beads with wild-type pre-let-7a-1 or its CTL mutants covalently linked incubated with extract from heavy HeLa cells. After thorough washing, the resulting supernatants were mixed and subjected to quantitative mass spectrometry.

(B) Venn diagrams representing numbers of overlapping proteins identified in the pull-downs with wild-type pre-let-7a-1, pre-let-7a-1@2, and pre-let-7a-1@3. (C) Proteins identified exclusively in the pre-let-7a-1 and pre-let-7a-1@2 pull-downs.

(D) Western blot (WB) analysis of Trim25, TuT4, and Lin28a proteins in RNA pull-downs from extract of HeLa cells overexpressing Lin28a using wild-type pre-let-7 and its CTL mutants @2 and @3.









pre-miRNA-302a

pre-miRNA-9

pre-let-7a



Figure 4. Trim25 Is a Positive Cofactor for the Lin28a-Mediated Uridylation of Pre-let-7 (A) Western blot analysis of Trim25. TuT4. Lin28a.

(A) Western blot analysis of rim25, 1014, Linzba, and α -tubulin proteins in protein extracts from P19 cells depleted of Trim25 or FAM114A1 using RNAi. Serial dilutions of the total protein extracts provide estimates of the linearity and limit of detection of the western blot assay.

(B) In vitro processing assays with internally radiolabeled pre-let-7a-1 transcripts (50×10^3 cpm, approximately 20 pmol) in the presence of mock-, Trim25-, or FAM114A1-depleted P19 cell extract. Trim25 RNAi_1 and Trim25 RNAi_2 represent results from treatment with two different siRNA sets. The reactions were supplemented with 0.25 mM UTP.

(C) Real-time quantitative RT-PCR of miRNAs (let-7a, miRNA-302a, and miRNA-9) in P19 cells depleted of Trim25 or FAM114A1. The values were normalized to miRNA-16 levels. The fold change in the abundance miRNAs mediated by RNAi was plotted relative to values from a mock-transfected control, which were set to one. Mean values and SDs of three independent experiments are shown.

(D) Real-time quantitative RT-PCR of pre-miRNAs (pre-let-7a, pre-miRNA-302a, and pre-miRNA-9) in P19 cells depleted of Trim25 or FAM114A1. The values were normalized to pre-miRNA-16 levels. The fold change in the abundance of the corresponding miRNA mediated by RNAi was plotted relative to values from a mock-transfected control, which were set to one. Mean values and SDs of three independent experiments are shown.

(E) Western blot analysis of ubiquitinated proteins in protein extracts from P19 cells treated with DMSO or 50 μ M PYR-41 for 4 hr. As a loading control, Coomassie blue stain is shown.

(F) Western blot analysis of TuT4 in total extracts from P19 cells treated with DMSO or 50 μ M PYR-41 for 4 hr.

(G) In vitro processing assays with internally radiolabeled pre-let-7a-1 transcripts (50 \times 10³ cpm, approximately 20 pmol) in the presence of P19 cell extract treated with DMSO or 50 μ M PYR-41 for 4 hr.

derived from cells depleted of Trim25 (by two independent small interfering RNA [siRNA] substrates) was inhibited as seen by substantial retention of unprocessed pre-let-7a substrate (Figure 4B). Furthermore, Trim25 knockdown did not affect mature miRNA levels but caused 2-fold accumulation of pre-let-7a (Figures 4C and 4D). This mirrors the effects reported for TuT4 knockdown (Chang et al., 2013). Crucially, neither pre-miRNA-302a nor pre-miRNA-9, which were previously shown to bind Lin28a, but not undergo uridylation (Nowak et al., 2014; Wilbert

et al., 2012), were affected by Trim25 knockdown (Figures 4C and 4D). This provides evidence that Trim25 is an RNA-specific cofactor stimulating Lin28a-mediated uridylation.

Inhibition of the Proteasome and Ubiquitin-Activating Enzyme E1 Results in Decreased Levels and Activity of TuT4

Because Trim25 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase, we sought to determine whether interfering with the ubiquitination pathway would inhibit

(G) Coimmunoprecipitation between Trim25 and Lin28a was performed with cell extracts prepared from HeLa cells overexpressing T7-Lin28a and V5-Trim25. The extracts were incubated with anti-T7 or control immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody bound to protein-A beads. The bound proteins were analyzed by western blotting with anti-Lin28a or anti-V5. To control for directionality of the interaction, the assay was also performed in the presence of RNase.

⁽E) Quantification of the results presented in (D). The band intensities were calculated with ImageJ software and were normalized to 100% based on the wild-type pre-let-7a-1 pull-down.

⁽F) Immunofluorescence staining of Hoechst (blue), Lin28a (green), and Trim25 (red) in P19 cells showing colocalization of Lin28a and Trim25 predominantly in the cytoplasm. Scale bar, 10 μ m.

its downstream activity on the Lin28a/TuT4 pathway. After treating P19 cells with the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme inhibitor PYR-41 (Yang et al., 2007) for 4 hr, we detected a significant reduction in TuT4 levels, albeit with similar levels of total protein (Figures 4E and 4F). Crucially, extracts derived from PYR-41treated cells were impaired in Lin28-mediated uridylation of pre-let-7a-1 (Figure 4G). This indicates that a functional ubiquitination pathway is necessary for TuT4 stability. Altogether, these results imply the existence of a connection between canonical RNA binding proteins involved in RNA processing and RNA binding factors involved in protein modification.

DISCUSSION

RNA binding proteins control gene expression programs in living cells by regulating RNA processing, modification, localization, translation, and turnover (Glisovic et al., 2008). They predominantly recognize RNA sequences several nucleotides long that occur frequently in various transcriptomes (Ray et al., 2013). For example, SRSF1 and SRSF2 were found to bind ~50,000 specific sequences in mammalian cells (Pandit et al., 2013). Furthermore, large numbers of RNA binding proteins are multifunctional, and even more strikingly, depending on the substrate they bind, they have opposite effects on the same RNA-processing event. It has been previously shown that the multifunctional protein hnRNP A1 stimulates pri-miRNA-18a processing (Guil and Cáceres, 2007; Michlewski et al., 2008, 2010) but inhibits the biogenesis of pri-let-7a (Michlewski and Cáceres, 2010a). Furthermore, Drosha has been recently shown to promote alternative splicing of exon 5 of eIF4H gene in cleavage-independent manner (Havens et al., 2014). Finally, many high-affinity RNAprotein interactions are functionally passive (Singh and Valcárcel, 2005). This raises the important question of what determines the functionality of RNP complexes.

The RNA binding protein Lin28a is a pluripotency-promoting factor involved in downregulation of let-7 during early development and differentiation in metazoans (Nowak and Michlewski, 2013; Shyh-Chang and Daley, 2013). The major mechanism involves binding to the CTL of pre-let-7 via the cold shock domain (CSD) and CHCC zinc-finger domain (Choudhury and Michlewski, 2012; Loughlin et al., 2012; Nam et al., 2011) and subsequent binding of TuT4 or the closely related TuT7, which adds an oligo-U tail to the 3' end of pre-let-7 (Hagan et al., 2009; Heo et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2012). Overexpression of Lin28a is linked with induction of tumor growth (Urbach et al., 2014). Lin28 preferentially interacts with GGAG and GNGAY motifs (Wilbert et al., 2012), and it binds to uridine-rich elements and isolated guanosines (Hafner et al., 2013). Importantly, a number of pre-miRNAs, such as pre-miRNA-363, pre-miRNA-302d, and pre-miRNA-9-1, contain the highly conserved GGAG motif and bind Lin28a with high affinity but are not uridylated (Heo et al., 2009; Nowak et al., 2014; Wilbert et al., 2012). This strongly suggests the existence of substrate-specific coactivators or inhibitors of Lin28a function.

Using RNA pull-down coupled with quantitative SILAC mass spectrometry (Choudhury et al., 2013; Michlewski and Cáceres, 2010b), we identified the E3 ligase Trim25 as an RNA-specific cofactor for the Lin28a/TuT4 uridylation pathway. Trim25 is a

member of the tripartite motif (TRIM) protein family, which is involved in the innate immune response (Versteeg et al., 2013). Its main protein target is retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I), the ubiquitination of which leads to type I interferon production (Inn et al., 2011). Recently, Trim25 has been shown to bind RNA through its coiled-coil (CC) domain and be downregulated during retinoic acid-driven differentiation (Kwon et al., 2013). Interestingly, another protein from the same family, Trim71, has previously been implicated in the miRNA pathway due to its targeting of Lin28b and Ago2 for canonical proteasome-dependent degradation (Lee et al., 2014; Rybak et al., 2009). Here we show that an E3 ligase can recognize specific RNA and positively regulate a protein involved in the processing of cognate RNA in *cis*. Our model is that the sequence and structural features of the pre-let-7 CTL allow efficient Trim25 binding, which in turn stabilizes TuT4 leading to increased uridylation. In the future, it will be important to determine which type of modifications are responsible for this phenomenon and whether other members from the Trim family have similar RNA-specific functions. Because there are 75 Trim proteins annotated in the human genome, functional overlap, redundancy, and specification are very likely (Versteeg et al., 2013). Furthermore, pre-miRNAs, which possess efficient Lin28a binding but are not uridylated, could lack Trim25 binding, as seen in the case of pre-let-7a-1@3. Finally, the existence of additional factors inhibiting Lin28a function on non-pre-let-7 substrates cannot be ruled out.

In summary, we have identified a process with extensive implications for all RNA-protein interactions. Protein-modifying enzymes such as kinases, phosphatases, and E3 ligases with the ability to bind RNA can provide selectivity for RNA processing events, spatially modulating the activity of canonical RNP complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture

Mouse teratocarcinoma P19 cells or HeLa cells (American Type Culture Collection) were maintained in standard Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies). For SILAC mass spectrometry, undifferentiated cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with "heavy" [13C]Arg/[13C]Lys isotopes, and differentiation was performed using DMEM supplemented with "light" [12C]Arg/[12Lys] isotopes (Pierce SILAC Proteins Quantitation Kit, Thermo Scientific). Where indicated, cells were treated with the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme inhibitor PYR-41 (Yang et al., 2007) for 4 hr.

In Vitro Transcription and Pre-miRNA Uridylation Assays

Pre-miRNA transcripts were prepared by standard in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of [α -32P]-uridine-5'-triphosphate (UTP). Where indicated, pre-miRNA probes were 5' end-labeled with [γ -32P]-ATP. The templates used to generate the transcripts were prepared by PCR amplification from larger fragments of the human genome using primers corresponding precisely to the ends of pre-miRNAs. The pre-let-7a-1@2, pre-let-7a-1@3, and pre-miRNA-363@1 templates were derived using mutagenesis of the wild-type pre-miRNAs. Generation of the template for pre-let-7a-1@16TL was described before (Nowak et al., 2014). Gel-purified probes (50 × 10³ counts per minute [cpm]), approximately 20 pmol) were incubated in 30 µl reaction mixtures containing 50% (v/v) total P19 cell extract (approximately 10 µg × µl⁻¹), 0.5 mM ATP, 20 mM creatine phosphate, and 3.2 mM MgCl₂. The reactions were supplemented with 0.25 mM UTP and incubated at 37°C for 30 min followed by phenol-chloroform extraction, precipitation, and 8% (w/v) denaturing gel electrophoresis.

The bands on the gel were visualized with a radiographic film or by exposure to a phosphorimaging screen and subsequent scanning on a FLA-5100 scanner (Fujifilm).

RNA Pull-Down and Mass Spectrometry

RNA pull-down and mass spectrometry were performed as previously described (Choudhury et al., 2013), with slight modifications. In brief, total protein extracts from undifferentiated or differentiated P19 cells grown in light [12C]Arg/[12Lys] and heavy [13C]Arg/[13C]Lys isotopes, respectively, were incubated with in vitro-transcribed RNAs chemically coupled to agarose beads. The incubation was followed by a series of washes with buffer G (20 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 135 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.2 mM phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride). After the final wash, the proteins associated with the beads were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by in-gel digestion and mass spectrometry or western blotting.

Western Blot Analysis

Total protein samples (100 µg per lane), isolated by sonication, were resolved by standard NuPAGE SDS-PAGE electrophoresis with MOPS running buffer (Life Technologies) and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked overnight at 4°C with 1:10 western blocking reagent (Roche) in Tris-buffered saline buffer with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST). The following day, the membrane was incubated for 1 hr at room temperature with primary antibody solution in 1:20 western blocking reagent diluted in TBST: rabbit polyclonal anti-Lin28a (A177) (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit polyclonal anti-Trim25 (1:1,000, Abcam), rabbit polyclonal anti-TuT4 (1:1,000, Protein-Tech), rabbit polyclonal anti-DHX9 (1:1,000, Protein-Tech), mouse-monoclonal anti-ubiquitin (1:1,000 Cell Signaling Technology), and mouse-monoclonal anti-β-tubulin (1:10,000, Sigma-Aldrich). After washing in TBST, the blots were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase and detected with SuperSignal West Pico detection reagent (Thermo Scientific). The membranes were stripped using ReBlot Plus Strong Antibody Stripping Solution (Chemicon) equilibrated in water, blocked in 1:10 western blocking solution in TBST, and reprobed as described above.

Northern Blot Analysis

Northern blot analysis was performed as previously described (Choudhury et al., 2013). In brief, total RNA was resolved on a 10% PAGE-urea gel. The ribosomal RNA was visualized with ethidium bromide to confirm equal loading. The RNA was transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond N). The membrane was prehybridized overnight at 40°C with 10 ml of hybridization buffer (1 × saline sodium citrate [SSC], 1% SDS, 200 μ g × ml⁻¹, single-stranded DNA). A northern probe was prepared using the mirVana miR Probe Construction Kit (Life Technologies) and hybridized against the membrane for 2 hr at 40°C in 10 ml of hybridization buffer. Subsequently, the membrane was washed with wash buffer (0.2% SSC, 0.2% SDS). The signal was registered on a FLA-5100 scanner (Fujifilm).

RNAi, miRNA, and Protein Overexpression

Pools of siRNAs were obtained from Dharmacon in the format of three independent siRNAs targeting different regions of the mRNA coding for the protein of interest. Genomic fragments containing miRNAs were cloned into the pCG T7 plasmid and transiently expressed in HeLa cells. Four micrograms of siRNA was delivered in two transfection events separated by 48 hr using nucleofection technology (AMAXA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. HeLa cells transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and four figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.017.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

N.R.C. designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. J.S.N. performed and analyzed the experiments. J.Z. and J.R. performed the mass spectrometry analysis. G.M. designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments, wrote the manuscript, and supervised the whole project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Tollervey and Sonia Guil for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Jae Jung for the kind gift of V5-Trim25-expressing plasmid. J.R. was supported by a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship (084229). S.H.S. was supported by a Royal Society Fellowship (UF090321) and BBSRC grant (BB/L006219/1). J.S.N. is a recipient of a Wellcome Trust PhD Studentship (096996). G.M. is a recipient of an MRC Career Development Award (G10000564). This work was also supported by two Wellcome Trust Centre Core Grants (077707 and 092076) and a Wellcome Trust Instrument Grant (G91020).

Received: September 4, 2014 Revised: September 30, 2014 Accepted: October 3, 2014 Published: November 6, 2014

REFERENCES

Ascano, M., Hafner, M., Cekan, P., Gerstberger, S., and Tuschl, T. (2012). Identification of RNA-protein interaction networks using PAR-CLIP. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 3, 159–177.

Baltz, A.G., Munschauer, M., Schwanhäusser, B., Vasile, A., Murakawa, Y., Schueler, M., Youngs, N., Penfold-Brown, D., Drew, K., Milek, M., et al. (2012). The mRNA-bound proteome and its global occupancy profile on protein-coding transcripts. Mol. Cell *46*, 674–690.

Castello, A., Fischer, B., Eichelbaum, K., Horos, R., Beckmann, B.M., Strein, C., Davey, N.E., Humphreys, D.T., Preiss, T., Steinmetz, L.M., et al. (2012). Insights into RNA biology from an atlas of mammalian mRNA-binding proteins. Cell *149*, 1393–1406.

Chang, H.M., Triboulet, R., Thornton, J.E., and Gregory, R.I. (2013). A role for the Perlman syndrome exonuclease Dis3l2 in the Lin28-let-7 pathway. Nature 497, 244–248.

Cho, J., Chang, H., Kwon, S.C., Kim, B., Kim, Y., Choe, J., Ha, M., Kim, Y.K., and Kim, V.N. (2012). LIN28A is a suppressor of ER-associated translation in embryonic stem cells. Cell *151*, 765–777.

Choudhury, N.R., and Michlewski, G. (2012). Terminal loop-mediated control of microRNA biogenesis. Biochem. Soc. Trans. *40*, 789–793.

Choudhury, N.R., de Lima Alves, F., de Andrés-Aguayo, L., Graf, T., Cáceres, J.F., Rappsilber, J., and Michlewski, G. (2013). Tissue-specific control of brainenriched miR-7 biogenesis. Genes Dev. *27*, 24–38.

Gack, M.U., Shin, Y.C., Joo, C.H., Urano, T., Liang, C., Sun, L., Takeuchi, O., Akira, S., Chen, Z., Inoue, S., and Jung, J.U. (2007). TRIM25 RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase is essential for RIG-I-mediated antiviral activity. Nature 446, 916–920.

Glisovic, T., Bachorik, J.L., Yong, J., and Dreyfuss, G. (2008). RNA-binding proteins and post-transcriptional gene regulation. FEBS Lett. 582, 1977–1986.

Guil, S., and Cáceres, J.F. (2007). The multifunctional RNA-binding protein hnRNP A1 is required for processing of miR-18a. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 14, 591–596.

Hafner, M., Max, K.E., Bandaru, P., Morozov, P., Gerstberger, S., Brown, M., Molina, H., and Tuschl, T. (2013). Identification of mRNAs bound and regulated by human LIN28 proteins and molecular requirements for RNA recognition. RNA *19*, 613–626.

Hagan, J.P., Piskounova, E., and Gregory, R.I. (2009). Lin28 recruits the TUTase Zcchc11 to inhibit let-7 maturation in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *16*, 1021–1025.

Havens, M.A., Reich, A.A., and Hastings, M.L. (2014). Drosha promotes splicing of a pre-microRNA-like alternative exon. PLoS Genet. *10*, e1004312. Hentze, M.W., and Preiss, T. (2010). The REM phase of gene regulation. Trends Biochem. Sci. *35*, 423–426.

Heo, I., Joo, C., Cho, J., Ha, M., Han, J., and Kim, V.N. (2008). Lin28 mediates the terminal uridylation of let-7 precursor MicroRNA. Mol. Cell *32*, 276–284.

Heo, I., Joo, C., Kim, Y.K., Ha, M., Yoon, M.J., Cho, J., Yeom, K.H., Han, J., and Kim, V.N. (2009). TUT4 in concert with Lin28 suppresses microRNA biogenesis through pre-microRNA uridylation. Cell *138*, 696–708.

Inn, K.S., Gack, M.U., Tokunaga, F., Shi, M., Wong, L.Y., Iwai, K., and Jung, J.U. (2011). Linear ubiquitin assembly complex negatively regulates RIG-Iand TRIM25-mediated type I interferon induction. Mol. Cell *41*, 354–365.

Kwon, S.C., Yi, H., Eichelbaum, K., Föhr, S., Fischer, B., You, K.T., Castello, A., Krijgsveld, J., Hentze, M.W., and Kim, V.N. (2013). The RNA-binding protein repertoire of embryonic stem cells. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *20*, 1122–1130.

Lee, S.H., Cho, S., Sun Kim, M., Choi, K., Cho, J.Y., Gwak, H.S., Kim, Y.J., Yoo, H., Lee, S.H., Park, J.B., and Kim, J.H. (2014). The ubiquitin ligase human TRIM71 regulates let-7 microRNA biogenesis via modulation of Lin28B protein. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1839*, 374–386.

Lehrbach, N.J., Armisen, J., Lightfoot, H.L., Murfitt, K.J., Bugaut, A., Balasubramanian, S., and Miska, E.A. (2009). LIN-28 and the poly(U) polymerase PUP-2 regulate let-7 microRNA processing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *16*, 1016–1020.

Lightfoot, H.L., Bugaut, A., Armisen, J., Lehrbach, N.J., Miska, E.A., and Balasubramanian, S. (2011). A LIN28-dependent structural change in pre-let-7g directly inhibits dicer processing. Biochemistry *50*, 7514–7521.

Loughlin, F.E., Gebert, L.F., Towbin, H., Brunschweiger, A., Hall, J., and Allain, F.H. (2012). Structural basis of pre-let-7 miRNA recognition by the zinc knuckles of pluripotency factor Lin28. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *19*, 84–89.

Michlewski, G., and Cáceres, J.F. (2010a). Antagonistic role of hnRNP A1 and KSRP in the regulation of let-7a biogenesis. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *17*, 1011–1018.

Michlewski, G., and Cáceres, J.F. (2010b). RNase-assisted RNA chromatography. RNA *16*, 1673–1678.

Michlewski, G., Guil, S., Semple, C.A., and Cáceres, J.F. (2008). Posttranscriptional regulation of miRNAs harboring conserved terminal loops. Mol. Cell *32*, 383–393.

Michlewski, G., Guil, S., and Cáceres, J.F. (2010). Stimulation of pri-miR-18a processing by hnRNP A1. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 700, 28–35.

Milek, M., Wyler, E., and Landthaler, M. (2012). Transcriptome-wide analysis of protein-RNA interactions using high-throughput sequencing. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 23, 206–212.

Nam, Y., Chen, C., Gregory, R.I., Chou, J.J., and Sliz, P. (2011). Molecular basis for interaction of let-7 microRNAs with Lin28. Cell *147*, 1080–1091.

Nowak, J.S., and Michlewski, G. (2013). miRNAs in development and pathogenesis of the nervous system. Biochem. Soc. Trans. *41*, 815–820.

Nowak, J.S., Choudhury, N.R., de Lima Alves, F., Rappsilber, J., and Michlewski, G. (2014). Lin28a regulates neuronal differentiation and controls miR-9 production. Nat. Commun. 5, 3687.

Pandit, S., Zhou, Y., Shiue, L., Coutinho-Mansfield, G., Li, H., Qiu, J., Huang, J., Yeo, G.W., Ares, M., Jr., and Fu, X.D. (2013). Genome-wide analysis reveals SR protein cooperation and competition in regulated splicing. Mol. Cell *50*, 223–235.

Piskounova, E., Viswanathan, S.R., Janas, M., LaPierre, R.J., Daley, G.Q., Sliz, P., and Gregory, R.I. (2008). Determinants of microRNA processing inhibition by the developmentally regulated RNA-binding protein Lin28. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 21310–21314.

Ray, D., Kazan, H., Cook, K.B., Weirauch, M.T., Najafabadi, H.S., Li, X., Gueroussov, S., Albu, M., Zheng, H., Yang, A., et al. (2013). A compendium of RNAbinding motifs for decoding gene regulation. Nature *499*, 172–177.

Rybak, A., Fuchs, H., Hadian, K., Smirnova, L., Wulczyn, E.A., Michel, G., Nitsch, R., Krappmann, D., and Wulczyn, F.G. (2009). The let-7 target gene mouse lin-41 is a stem cell specific E3 ubiquitin ligase for the miRNA pathway protein Ago2. Nat. Cell Biol. *11*, 1411–1420.

Shyh-Chang, N., and Daley, G.Q. (2013). Lin28: primal regulator of growth and metabolism in stem cells. Cell Stem Cell *12*, 395–406.

Shyh-Chang, N., Zhu, H., Yvanka de Soysa, T., Shinoda, G., Seligson, M.T., Tsanov, K.M., Nguyen, L., Asara, J.M., Cantley, L.C., and Daley, G.Q. (2013). Lin28 enhances tissue repair by reprogramming cellular metabolism. Cell *155*, 778–792.

Singh, R., and Valcárcel, J. (2005). Building specificity with nonspecific RNAbinding proteins. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *12*, 645–653.

Thornton, J.E., Chang, H.M., Piskounova, E., and Gregory, R.I. (2012). Lin28mediated control of let-7 microRNA expression by alternative TUTases Zcchc11 (TUT4) and Zcchc6 (TUT7). RNA *18*, 1875–1885.

Towbin, H., Wenter, P., Guennewig, B., Imig, J., Zagalak, J.A., Gerber, A.P., and Hall, J. (2013). Systematic screens of proteins binding to synthetic microRNA precursors. Nucleic Acids Res. *41*, e47.

Urbach, A., Yermalovich, A., Zhang, J., Spina, C.S., Zhu, H., Perez-Atayde, A.R., Shukrun, R., Charlton, J., Sebire, N., Mifsud, W., et al. (2014). Lin28 sustains early renal progenitors and induces Wilms tumor. Genes Dev. 28, 971–982.

Ustianenko, D., Hrossova, D., Potesil, D., Chalupnikova, K., Hrazdilova, K., Pachernik, J., Cetkovska, K., Uldrijan, S., Zdrahal, Z., and Vanacova, S. (2013). Mammalian DIS3L2 exoribonuclease targets the uridylated precursors of let-7 miRNAs. RNA *19*, 1632–1638.

Van Wynsberghe, P.M., Kai, Z.S., Massirer, K.B., Burton, V.H., Yeo, G.W., and Pasquinelli, A.E. (2011). LIN-28 co-transcriptionally binds primary let-7 to regulate miRNA maturation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *18*, 302–308.

Versteeg, G.A., Rajsbaum, R., Sánchez-Aparicio, M.T., Maestre, A.M., Valdiviezo, J., Shi, M., Inn, K.S., Fernandez-Sesma, A., Jung, J., and García-Sastre, A. (2013). The E3-ligase TRIM family of proteins regulates signaling pathways triggered by innate immune pattern-recognition receptors. Immunity *38*, 384–398.

Viswanathan, S.R., Daley, G.Q., and Gregory, R.I. (2008). Selective blockade of microRNA processing by Lin28. Science *320*, 97–100.

Wilbert, M.L., Huelga, S.C., Kapeli, K., Stark, T.J., Liang, T.Y., Chen, S.X., Yan, B.Y., Nathanson, J.L., Hutt, K.R., Lovci, M.T., et al. (2012). LIN28 binds messenger RNAs at GGAGA motifs and regulates splicing factor abundance. Mol. Cell *48*, 195–206.

Yang, Y., Kitagaki, J., Dai, R.M., Tsai, Y.C., Lorick, K.L., Ludwig, R.L., Pierre, S.A., Jensen, J.P., Davydov, I.V., Oberoi, P., et al. (2007). Inhibitors of ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), a new class of potential cancer therapeutics. Cancer Res. 67, 9472–9481. Cell Reports, Volume 9 Supplemental Information

Trim25 Is an RNA-Specific Activator

of Lin28a/TuT4-Mediated Uridylation

Nila Roy Choudhury, Jakub S. Nowak, Juan Zuo, Juri Rappsilber, Steven H. Spoel, and Gracjan Michlewski

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION



Supplemental Figure 1. Related to Figure 1. Pre-let-7a-1 is efficiently uridylated in extract from HeLa cells overexpressing Lin28a. In vitro processing uridylation assays performed with internally radiolabeled pre-let-7a transcripts (50×10^3 c.p.m., approximately 20 pmol) in the presence of extract derived from HeLa cells overexpressing Lin28a. (–) represents an untreated control. The reactions were supplemented with 0.25 mM of either UTP, ATP, CTP or GTP.



Supplemental Figure 2. Related to Figure 1. Lin28a efficiently binds pre-let-7a-1, pre-let-7a-1@2 and pre-let-7a-1@3 but not pre-let-7a-1@1. EMSA analysis of pre-let-7a-1 and CTL mutants in extracts from d0 P19 cells. Where indicated, either anti-Lin28a or IgG antibody was added to the reaction mixture at 1:100. Lin28a/pre-miRNA complexes are indicated by bands, the intensity of which is decreased upon addition of anti-Lin28a antibody.





Supplemental Figure 3. Related to Figure 1. RNA structure probing reveals that pre-let-7a-1@2 and pre-let-7a-1@3 are structurally identical. The results of structure probing of pri-let-7a-1, pre-let-7a-1@1, pre-let-7a-1@2 and pre-let-7a-1@3 are shown. Cleavage patterns were obtained for 5' 32P-labeled pri-let-7 transcripts (100 \times 10³ cpm, approximately 40 pmol) treated with Pb(II) ions (0.5, 1 mM) or ribonuclease T1 (1.5, 3.0 U/µL). Lanes F and T denote nucleotide residues subjected to partial digestion with formamide (every nucleotide) or ribonuclease T1 (G-specific cleavage), respectively. Electrophoresis was performed on an 8% polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions. The positions of selected G residues are indicated. Nucleotides are numbered from the 5' end of the Drosha cleavage site. (B) Proposed structures of pri-let-7a-1, pre-let-7a-1@1, pre-let-7a-1@2 and pre-let-7a-1@3. The cleavage sites generated by the structure probes and their intensities are shown.



Supplemental Figure 4. Related to Figure 3. Pre-miRNA-363 binds Trim25 but notably less efficiently than pre-let-7a-1 (Figure 3D, E). (A) Western blot analysis of Trim25, TuT4 and Lin28a proteins in RNA pull-downs from extract of HeLa cells overexpressing Lin28a using wild-type pre-miRNA-363 and the pre-miRNA-363@1 CTL mutant. (E) Quantification of the results presented in A. The band intensities were calculated with ImageJ software and were normalized to 100% based on the wild-type pre-miRNA-363 pull-down.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Immunofluorescence

Trim25 was visualized in P19 cells using primary monoclonal rabbit anti-human Trim25 antibody [EPR7315] from Abcam (ab167154). Lin28a was detected by native fluorescence of GFP molecules present in the Lin28GFP transgene. Prior to microscopy, cells were plated on cover slips coated with 2 mL of 10 µg/mL PDL (Sigma-Aldrich P4707). At 24 h after plating, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich 37% w/v in H2O 252549-500) for 10 minutes at RT. Next, the cells were permeabilized for 10 min at RT by adding 0.2%Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich T9284-100). Subsequently, the cells were blocked for 15 min at RT with goat serum and incubated for 1 h at RT with primary antibody at a 1/1000 dilution in goat serum and for 1 h at RT with Alexa Fluor goat anti-rabbit 568 secondary antibody (Molecular Probes A-11036) at a dilution of 1/1000 in goat serum. In the last step, cells were counterstained with Hoechst dye (1/20000) for 15 min at RT and mounted on slides using 15 μ L of mounting medium (Molecular Probes Prolong Gold AntiFade P36930). Each of the above steps was separated by 3 washes with PBS for 5 min at RT. Mounted cells were visualized using a Zeiss Axio Imager Z1 fluorescent microscope with an apotome and analyzed with ImageJ software

Co-immunoprecipitation

Extracts prepared from HeLa cells transfected with pCG T7-Lin28a and V5-Trim25 were incubated overnight in the cold room with anti-T7 antibody or control IgG antibody bound to protein-A beads and washed three times with buffer D. The bound proteins were separated on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and analyzed by western

blotting with anti-Lin28a or anti-V5 antibodies. Alternatively, the immunoprecipitate was treated with RNases A/T1 for 30 min prior to loading on the gel. The loading control represents 2% of the amount of extract used for each immunoprecipitation.

Real-time Quantitative RT-PCR

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)–PCR was performed using the SuperScript III Platinum SYBR Green One-Step qRT–PCR Kit (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's instructions on a Roche 480 LightCycler. For mature miRNAs we used miScript qRT–PCR kit (Qiagen) on total RNA isolated with TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies), and each sample was run in duplicate. To assess the levels of the corresponding miRNAs, their values were normalized to that of miRNA-16. For each measurement, three independent experiments were performed. For miRNA precursors we used the miScript qRT–PCR kit (Qiagen) on RNA size-fractionated through 6% PAGE–urea gel purification and each sample was run in duplicate. To assess the levels of the corresponding miRNA-16. For each measurement, three independent experiments were normalized to that of pre-miRNA-16. For each measurement, three independent experiments were performed.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed as previously described (Nowak et al., 2014). Internally labeled pre-miRNAs were incubated in a 30 μ l reaction mixture containing 50% (v/v) total P19 cell extract (approximately 10 μ g μ l⁻¹), 0.5 mM ATP, 20 mM creatine phosphate and 3.2 mM MgCl2. The reactions were incubated at 4°C for 1 h followed by electrophoresis in a 6% (w/v) non-denaturing gel. Where indicated, antibodies were added to reaction mixture

(1:100) to generate a supershift. The signal was registered with radiographic film or exposed to a phosphorimaging screen and scanned on a FLA-5100 scanner (Fujifilm).

RNA Structure Probing

RNA structure probing was performed as previously described (Choudhury et al., 2013). Briefly, pre-miRNA transcripts were 5' end-labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase (NEB) and [gamma-32P] ATP (4,500 Ci/mmol; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). RNAs were denaturated in a buffer containing 1.2 mM MgCl2, 48 mM NaCl, 12 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2 and then renaturated by slowly cooling to 37° C. Limited RNA digestion was initiated by mixing 8 µl of the RNA sample (100×10^{3} c.p.m., approximately 40 pmol, 4 µM) with 2 µl of a probe solution (Pb(II) ions or ribonuclease T1). The reactions were performed at 37° C for 10 min. To determine the cleavage sites, the products of alkaline hydrolysis and limited ribonuclease T1 digestion of the 5' end-labeled RNA fragmentation reaction were separated on a 10% PAGE–urea gel along with the structure-probing products. Electrophoresis was performed at 1500 V and was followed by autoradiography at -80°C with an intensifying screen or exposure to a PhosphorImager screen (Molecular Dynamics).

REFERENCES

Choudhury, N.R., de Lima Alves, F., de Andres-Aguayo, L., Graf, T., Caceres, J.F., Rappsilber, J., and Michlewski, G. (2013). Tissue-specific control of brain-enriched miR-7 biogenesis. Genes & development *27*, 24-38.

Nowak, J.S., Choudhury, N.R., de Lima Alves, F., Rappsilber, J., and Michlewski, G.

(2014). Lin28a regulates neuronal differentiation and controls miR-9 production.

Nature communications 5, 3687.