Supplemental Material S4 Text: Motif plasticity with weightdependent (multiplicative) STDP

The multiplicative STDP rule [\[1,](#page-1-0) [2\]](#page-1-1) is:

$$
\epsilon L(s) = \begin{cases}\n(\epsilon W^{\max} - \mathbf{W}_{ij}) f_{+} e^{-\frac{|s|}{\tau_{+}}}, & \text{if } s \ge 0 \\
(\mathbf{W}_{ij}) (-f_{-}) e^{-\frac{|s|}{\tau_{-}}}, & \text{if } s \le 0,\n\end{cases}.
$$
\n(1)

Each weight has a stable fixed point:

$$
\mathbf{W}_{ij}^* = \mathbf{W}_{ij}^0 \frac{f_+ \tau_+}{f_+ \tau_+ + f_- \tau_-} W^{\text{max}} \tag{2}
$$

Now the mean weight and two-synapse motifs evolve according to:

$$
\epsilon \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{W}_{ij}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon L(s) \left(r_i r_j + \delta_{ij} \mathbf{C}_{ij}^0(s) \right) ds \tag{3}
$$

$$
\epsilon^2 \frac{dq^{\text{div}}}{dt} = \frac{2}{N^3} \sum_{i,j,k} \mathbf{W}_{ik} \mathbf{W}_{jk}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon L(s) \left(r_j r_k + \delta_{jk} \mathbf{C}_{jk}^0(s) \right) ds - 2\epsilon^2 p \frac{dp}{dt}
$$
(4)

$$
\epsilon^2 \frac{dq^{\text{con}}}{dt} = \frac{2}{N^3} \sum_{i,j,k} \mathbf{W}_{ik} \mathbf{W}_{ij}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon L(s) \left(r_i r_j + \delta_{ij} \mathbf{C}_{ij}^0(s) \right) ds - 2\epsilon^2 p \frac{dp}{dt}
$$
(5)

$$
\epsilon^2 \frac{dq^{\text{div}}}{dt} = \frac{2}{N^3} \sum_{i,j,k} \mathbf{W}_{ij} \mathbf{W}_{jk}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon L(s) \left(r_j r_k + \delta_{jk} \mathbf{C}_{jk}^0(s) \right) ds - 2\epsilon^2 p \frac{dp}{dt}
$$
(6)

where we only examine the contribution of firing rates to the plasticity, assuming that the pre- and post-synaptic neurons' spike trains are uncorrelated. This corresponds to the observation that with multiplicative STDP, the weight-dependence of $L(s)$ dominates the dynamics of the weights. Inserting Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and the motif definitions and assuming homogenous firing rates yields:

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{dp}{dt} = r^2 \left(p_0 W^{\text{max}} f_+ \tau_+ - p \left(f_+ \tau_+ + f_- \tau_- \right) \right) \tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{dq^{\text{div}}}{dt} = 2r^2 \left(f_+ \tau_+ W^{\text{max}} q_X^{\text{div}} - \left(f_+ \tau_+ + f_- \tau_- \right) q^{\text{div}} \right) \tag{8}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{dq^{\text{con}}}{dt} = 2r^2 \left(f_+ \tau_+ W^{\text{max}} q_X^{\text{con}} - \left(f_+ \tau_+ + f_- \tau_- \right) q^{\text{con}} \right) \tag{9}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{dq^{\text{ch}}}{dt} = r^2 \left(f_+ \tau_+ W^{\text{max}} \left(q_X^{\text{ch}, A} + q_X^{\text{ch}, B} \right) - 2 \left(f_+ \tau_+ + f_- \tau_- \right) q^{\text{ch}} \right)
$$
(10)

The mixed divergent motifs obey:

$$
\frac{dq_X^{\text{div}}}{dt} = r^2 \left(f_+ \tau_+ W^{\text{max}} q_0^{\text{div}} - \left(f_+ \tau_+ + f_- \tau_- \right) q_X^{\text{div}} \right) \tag{11}
$$

and $q_X^{\text{con}}, q_X^{\text{ch},A}$ and $q_X^{\text{ch},B}$ obey exactly analogous equations. Defining $q_X^{\text{ch}} = q_X^{\text{ch},A} + q_X^{\text{ch},B}$ puts the dynamics of q^{ch} into the same form as those for q^{div} and q^{con} . Dropping the motif labels, since they obey the same dynamics, yields a three-dimensional system for (p, q, q_X) with steady state

$$
\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \\ qx \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_{+}\tau_{+}}{f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-}} p_0 W^{\max} \\ \left(\frac{f_{+}\tau_{+}}{f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-}} W^{\max}\right)^2 q_0 \\ \frac{f_{+}\tau_{+}}{f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-}} W^{\max} q_0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
(12)

and Jacobian:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n-(f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-}) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -(f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-}) & f_{+}\tau_{+}W^{\max} \\
0 & 0 & -(f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-})\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(13)

The eigenvalue of the three-dimensional multiplicative STDP system is $-(f_{+}\tau_{+}+f_{-}\tau_{-})$ which is always negative, so the steady state is linearly stable. So, multiplicative STDP simply stabilizes whatever motif structure is embedded in the adjacency matrix.

References

- 1. Rubin J, Lee D, Sompolinsky H (2001) Equilibrium properties of temporally asymmetric hebbian plasticity. Physical Review Letters 86: 364–367.
- 2. Van Rossum MC, Bi GQ, Turrigiano GG (2000) Stable hebbian learning from spike timing-dependent plasticity. The Journal of Neuroscience 20: 8812–8821.