SI Appendix

SI Materials and methods

Tomato transformation

Tomato transformation

For tomato transformation, a 223bp fragment (Fig. S2A) corresponding to part of the highly conserved HhH-GPD domain of DNA glycosylase Lyase was amplified from the *SlDML1* cDNA and cloned in sense and antisense orientation in pK7GWIWG2 (I) plasmid to form a hairpin structure necessary for to RNA silencing. The recombinant plasmid named pK7GWSIDML was introduced in the *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101.

Subsequently, tomato cotyledon transformation was done as described in Gonzalez *et al.* (S1). Twenty five regenerated shoots were selected from independent calli and cultured as described in How Kit *et al.* (S2). Twenty five kanamycin resistant T0 plants were transferred to the greenhouse and grown to obtain T1 seeds.

Among the 25 independent T0 transgenic plants obtained, 8 including plants 2 and 8, presented delayed and limited ripening phenotypes. Plants 2 and 8 were selected for further studies and self-pollinated and backcrossed, respectively, to generate lines 2 and 8. Backcrossing of the T0 plant 8 was necessary due to flower abnormality. Twenty five T1 plants were grown in each case and were classified based on the level of *SlDML* expression as determined by semi quantitative RT–PCR on 20 dpa fruits and used for preliminary phenotype characterization. No flower abnormality was observed on T1 plants that were therefore self-pollinated. Complete phenotypic and molecular analyses were performed on T2 plant population obtained after selfing of a single homozygote (line 2) or hemizygote (line 8) T1 plant.

VIGS experiments

For VIGS experiments a 480 bp PCR amplified fragment corresponding to the 5' coding sequence of *SlDML2* was inserted into the PVX vector (21). This part of the gene has no significant homology with any of the other tomato *SlDML* genes. VIGS and analysis of VIGS experiments were as described (21), using 80 independent fruits injected at 12 days post anthesis.

Molecular Analysis

Gene expression analysis

Absolute quantification of transcript was performed as described (S3). For each gene, PCR fragments were cloned and controlled by sequencing and a calibration curve was done. For comparative RT-QPCR, experiments were performed as described (S2). Normalization of data was done according to Pfaffl *et al.* (S4) using EF1 alpha as a reference gene and a reference sample. An ANOVA two ways was performed and difference in gene expression levels between Wild Type and transgenic plants were

assessed using a student t test (n=3; *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***p<0.001). All primers used for RT PCR analysis are listed in Table S2.

For microarrays analysis, total RNA was isolated from *rin*, *nor Cnr* and wild type Ailsa Craig pericarp samples according to methods as described in (21). The concentration of RNA was determined using an Agilent Bioanalyser 2100 (Agilent Technologies). Total RNA was treated with DNA-free (Ambion) as per the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was then hybridized to the Syngenta Tomato Affymetrix GeneChip.[™] The microarrays were normalized using the Robust Multichip Average (RMA) method with the Bioconductor Affymetrix package (S5), which both accounts for the background correction using the perfect match (PM) features for quantile normalization of all the arrays (S6) and for condensing probes into probe regions (hereafter referred to as genes) (S7). The expression values are arbitrary units of fluorescence intensity.

A linear mixed model was fitted to the logarithm of the DML data with genotype, developmental stage and gene considered as fixed effects and plant and fruit-withinplant as random terms in the model. The significance of the fixed effects and their interactions were tested using the Variance ratio F- tests output by the mixed model fitting routines within the Genstat 17 statistical package

McrBC-PCR Analysis

For methylation analysis, genomic DNA were purified from fruit pericarp using the illustra DNA extraction kit Phytopure (GE Healthcare, UK), quantified at 260nm and quality control was performed after electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel. For McrBC-PCR methylation analysis, 1µg of genomic DNA was digested with McrBC (NEB) for 5h according to manufacturer instructions with or without GTP as a negative control. PCR amplification was performed with 50 ng of genomic DNA with the relevant primers shown in Table S2.

Bisulfite sequencing

Gene specific BS sequencing was performed essentially as described in (28). Briefly, PCR primers for bisulfite treated DNA amplification were designed with Primer3 (<u>http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/</u>) using the unconverted genomic DNA sequence as input sequence. As every C nucleotide can be potentially methylated in plants and in order to avoid any sequence selection bias during PCR amplification, "C" and "G" nucleotides were replaced by "Y" and "R" nucleotides in forward and reverse primers respectively. All primers are listed in Table S2.

One microgram of genomic DNA was used for bisulfite treatment conversion using the EpiTect 96 Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf/France) according to manufacturer's

instructions. The absence of unconverted genomic DNA was assessed processing a whole-genome amplified sample (Whole Genome Amplification (WGA) kit, Sigma-Aldrich) simultaneously with the bisulfite conversion of all samples, which presented a DNA methylation value of 0% for every C position of each amplicon after pyrosequencing. PCR amplification of the selected promoter fragments, purification of PCR products and pyrosequencing experiments were performed as described (S8). DNA methylation patterns were analyzed with the PyroMArk CpG software (Qiagen) and by an in-house developed Microsoft Excel Visual Basic Application for Cytosines outside CpG sites. For each sample, average DNA methylation value of each cytosine or cytosine group (CC, CCC) obtained by pyrosequencing of the three regions of interest (CNR, NOR and PSY1) were pooled to generate a matrix. Heatmap representation of the data was then performed using "heatmap2" function of "gplots" package of the R software. Hierarchical unsupervised clustering between columns and rows were computed using Euclidean distance and complete linkage method as agglomerative method.

Metabolite analysis

Ethylene production analysis

Ethylene production was assayed on individual fruit after 2 h by withdrawing 1-ml gas samples from sealed jars. Gas samples were analyzed via gas chromatography (7820A GC system Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA, http://www.chem.agilent.com/en-US/products-services/Instruments-Systems/Gas-Chromatography/7820A-GC/Pages/default.aspx). Ethylene was identified via comigration with an ethylene standard and quantified with reference to a standard curve for ethylene concentration.

Carotenoid analysis

Carotenoid analysis was done as described (S3). Samples from transgenic plants DML2A, B and DML8A, B were compared to those of WT1 and WT2 respectively. Differences between samples were evaluated using an ANOVA and Tukey's test (n=3, *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***p<0.001).

¹*H*-*NMR Metabolite analysis*

For ¹H-NMR analysis, polar metabolites were extracted from ground *Solanum lycopersicum L.*, cv WVA106 pericarp fruit. Briefly, the frozen powdered samples were lyophilised and polar metabolites were extracted from 15 to 30 mg of lyophilised

powder samples with an ethanol–water series at 80°C. The supernatants were combined, dried under vacuum and lyophilized. Each lyophilized extract was solubilized in 500 μ L of 300 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 6.0, in D₂O. Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid disodium salt (EDTA) was added at a final concentration of 3 mM. Each extract was titrated with KOD solution to pH 6 and lyophilized again. The lyophilized titrated extracts were stored in darkness under vacuum at room temperature, before ¹H-NMR analysis was completed within one week.

¹H-NMR analysis was performed using 500 μ L of D₂O with sodium trimethylsilyl $[2,2,3,3^{-2}H_4]$ propionate (TSP, 0.01% final concentration for chemical shift calibration) added to the lyophilized titrated extracts. The mixture was centrifuged at 17,700 g for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was then transferred into a 5 mm NMR tube for acquisition. Quantitative ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded at 500.162 MHz and 300 K on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer (Wissembourg, FR) using a 5-mm broadband inverse probe, a 90° pulse angle and an electronic reference for quantification The assignments of metabolites in the NMR spectra were made by comparing the proton chemical shifts with literature or database values (S9), by comparison with spectra of authentic compounds and by spiking the samples. For assignment purposes, ¹H-¹H COSY, spectra were acquired for selected samples. For absolute quantification three calibration curves (glucose and fructose: 2.5 to 100 mM, glutamate and glutamine: 0 to 30 mM) were prepared and analysed under the same conditions. The glucose calibration was used for the quantification of all amino-acids, as a function of the number of protons of selected resonances except fructose, glutamate and glutamine that were quantified using their own calibration curve. The metabolite concentrations were calculated using AMIX (version 3.9.10, Bruker) and Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) softwares.

To explore the metabolite multidimensional data set, one unsupervised multivariate statistical method was used on mean-centered data scaled to unit variance: Principal Component Analysis (PCA). PCA was used to visualize the grouping of the different samples without any knowledge of their group. PCA was performed of absolute concentration of 31 metabolites issued from ¹H-NMR analysis of transgenic and corresponding WT controls tomato pericarp fruit harvested at 20, 35, 40, 55, 70, 85 and 110 days post pollination (dpa), using SAS software version 8.01 (SAS Institute 1990).

For individual metabolites, means \pm standard deviations (sd) were calculated from three biological replicates. For all biochemical analyses two extractions were completed to measure the concentration of each biological replicate, then the mean of three biological replicates was calculated. Mean comparisons were conducted using an ANOVA followed by Tukey's t –test.

SI Supplementary Figures



Figure S1: Comparison of DNA Glycosylase Lyase sequences from tomato and from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. (A) Schematic diagram of domain structures of tomato and *Arabidopsis thaliana* DNA Glycosylase Lyase proteins. Numbers on the right indicate the number of amino acids in each protein. The positions of the domains are indicated in Table S1. (B) Phylogenetic analysis. An unrooted dendogram was generated using the Maximum Likelihood method in MEGA v.6 based on the JTT model (S 10). Sequences of DNA glycosylase proteins were aligned using Muscle. The numbers at the branching points indicate the percentage of times that each branch topology was found during bootstrap analysis (n=1000). (C) The Helixhairpin-helix -Gly/Pro rich domain (HhH-GPD) domain of tomato DNA Demethylase was aligned with those of *Arabidopsis*. Red dot indicates the highly conserved Lysine residue necessary for catalytic activity, blue dot shows the conserved aspartic acid residue present in the active site and green dots show the cysteine residues that constitute a [4Fe–4S] cluster (23). Accession numbers are indicated in Table S1.

Fig.S2

Α

В

	1	12
SlDML1	CGTATAGCTGTTAGACTGGGATGGGTGCCCCTGCAGCCACTGCCAGAGTCACTACAATTGCATCTTCTGGAATTGTACCCTGTGCTGGAGTCAAATTCAAAAATAC	CTCTGGCCACGTCT
SlDML2	CGTATAGCTGTTAGACTAGGATGGGTGCCCCTGCAGCCATTGCCTGAGTCACTACAGTTGCATCTTCTGGAACTGTACCCAATACTGGAGTCAAATTCAACAGTAC	CTATGGCCACGACT
SlDML3	CGAATAGCTGTGAGATTAGGATGGGTTCCTCTCCAACCACTTCCTGAGTCCCTGCAGTTGCATCTCTTGAACTGTATCCAATTCTGGAGTCAATTCAGAAGTAT	CTCTGGCCACGACT
SlDML4	CGGATAGTAGTACGTCTAGGATGGGTCCCTTTGCAACCATTACCTGATGGGCTCCAAATGCATCTTTTGGAAAAGTTCCCCTGGAGAGTTCCATACAAAAGTAC	CTTTGGCCACGTTT
	101	
	220	
SlDML1	TGCAAGCTTGATCAAAGAACATTATATGAGTTGCATTACCATATGATCACTTTTGGAAAGGTCTTCTGTTCAAAAAGCAAACCCAACTGCAACGC-AT-GTCC	
SlDML2	TGCAAGCTTGATCAAAGAACATTATATGAGCTACATTATCATATGATCACCTTTGGGAAGGTCTTCTGTACAAAAAGCAAACCCAATTGCAATGC-AT-GTCC	
SlDML3	TGCAAGCTCGATCAGAGAACACTGTATGAGTTGCACTACCACATGATTACCTTTGGAAAGGTTTTCTGCACCAAAAGTAAGCCTAACTGTAATGC-AT-GCCC	
S1DML4	TGCGAACTTGATGTGTCAACACTATATGAATTGCATTATCACATGATCACATTCGGAAAGGTCTTCTGTACAAAGAAAAAGCCAAACTGTGATGCCATTGAGA	



Figure S2:

(A) RNAi strategy: the sequence encoding part of the Helix-hairpin-helix -Gly/Pro rich domain (HhH-GPD) of *SIDML1* (+3897; +4123) was used to generate an RNAi construct in the vector pK7GWIWG2 (I). Alignment with corresponding sequences of *SIDML2* (+4252; +4378), *SIDML3* (+4191; +4470) and *SIDML4* (+3328; +3551) are shown. Sequence homology of *SIDML1* with the corresponding domain of *SIDML2*, *SIDML3*, and *SIDML4* is 90%, 83% and 75% respectively. Nucleotides shown in black correspond to differences between *SIDML2*, *3*, and *4* and *SIDML1*. Alignment was performed using the multalin software (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/multalin.html).

(B) Residual *SIDML* gene expression: *SIDML* gene expression was measured by Real time RT-PCR analysis in WT1 and DML2A (line 2) fruits at 20, 35, 55 (Br+16), 70 (Br+31) and 85 (Br+46) dpa. An ANOVA was performed and differences with WT1 fruits of the same age were analyzed using a student t test (n=3). Stars indicate difference between WT and transgenic fruits of the same age (*: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***p<0.001). The Br stage (39 dpa) was not analyzed due to a limited number of fruits produced by the transgenic plants of line 2 as explained in the methods.



Figure S3: Fruit, flower and leaf phenotypes of transgenic RNAi plants. (A) Phenotype of fruits formed on T2 plants (line 8) obtained after self-pollination of a single T1 parent. Fruits were harvested at 85 dpa. Number refers to individual plants from which fruits were harvested. Fruits representative of each plant are shown although on a single plant, fruit phenotype intensity may vary depending on plant age and position of the fruit. * indicates azygous plants that have lost the transgene after segregation. In this situation fruit ripening reversed to WT. A design plants DMLA and DMLB that were selected for metabolic, gene expression and methylation analysis. A indicate additional plants used as control for carotenoid measurement and or gene expression analysis and or methylation analysis. White bar: 1 cm. Similar ripening phenotypes were obtained in T2 plants of line 2. (B) Typical fruits of plants DML8B, and DML2B are shown along with WT fruits of the same age. Developmental stages are indicated. Plants of line 8 were self-pollinated whereas flowers of plant 2B required to be back crossed with WT pollen to allow fruit development. Fruits of plant DML2B are characterized, in addition to the delayed ripening phenotype by an increased number of locules. (C) Leaf (upper panel) and flowers (lower panel) of WT (left) and transgenic plants of line 2 (right). Leaf lacks indentation and flowers are fasciated. Such flowers need to be hand pollinated and will give fruits with multiple carpels (up to 18 in a few cases). (D) Representative leaf (upper panel) of WT (left) and transgenic T2 plants of line 1 (right). Representative flower (left) and fruit (right) of transgenic T3 plants of line 1 (lower panel).

В





Figure S4: VIGS analysis of SIDML2 function in tomato fruit ripening. (A) Construction of PVX/SIDML2. The specific 5' coding region (1- 480) of SIDML2 mRNA was PCR amplified and cloned into the PVX vector to generate PVX/SIDML2. (B) Fruits from Ailsa Craig plants were injected with PVX/SIDML2 (1, 2) or PVX (3, 4) at 14 dpa. Fruits were photographed 2 days after the breaker stage (Br + 2, 43dpa); (2, 4) Inside of fruits 1-3, respectively. Ripening-inhibited sectors in fruits injected with PVX/SIDML2 remain green.(C) SIDML gene expression analysis in ripening (R) and non ripening (NR) sectors of fruits treated with PVX/SIDML2. Values are normalized to EF1a and to the expression of the corresponding gene in the ripening sectors which represent the 100% of expression level. *** indicate significant difference (p<0.005) between R and NR sectors as determined with a student t test (n=3).

R NR

R NR





Figure S5: characterization of the metabolites content of the transgenic RNAi fruits. (A) PCA was performed with MatLab Software (version 7.4.0) to evaluate the grouping of samples without any knowledge of their group, using the absolute concentration of 31 metabolites determined from ¹H-NMR analysis of WT2 (Δ) and DML8B (o) [upper panel] and WT1 (Δ) and DML2A (o) and DML2B (\Box) [lower panel] tomato pericarp fruit harvested at 20, 35, 39, 55, 70, 85 and 110 dpa. PC1, (33.88% of the global variability) separates WT fruits at 55, 70, 85 [upper and lower panels] and 110 dpa, [upper panel] from all other samples. PC2 (19.15% of the total variability) separates fruit samples of WT1, WT2 and transgenic (DML8B and DML2A, 2B) at 20 dpa from 35dpa and 39dpa, irrespective to their genotype. (**B**) Sugar (sucrose, glucose, fructose, galactose and rhamnose), (**C**) organic acids (malic and citric acids) and (**D**) amino acids (aspartate, asparagine, glutamate, glutamine and GABA) content was determined by quantitative ¹H NMR spectroscopy as previously described (Sup ref S11) using for each stage and plant a minimum of 6 fruits in 3 biological replicates. An ANOVA one way was performed and difference between samples were evaluated using a t student test (n=3). Stars indicate difference between WT and transgenic fruits of the same age (*: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001).



Figure S6: Ethylene production in WT and transgenic fruits

Fruits were harvested from 2 (line 2) or 4 (line 8) independent T2 plants at the developmental stages indicated and analyzed individually for ethylene production. Values represent the average of a minimum of 4 to 10 independent fruits for each line and time point. An Anova was performed and differences between samples were evaluated using a turkey's test. Stars indicate difference between WT and transgenic fruits of the same age (*: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001). The Breaker stage (Br) for WT fruits corresponds to 39 +/-1 dpa.

Fig S7





RIN, NOR, CNR and PSY1 gene expression was analyzed in the transgenic plant DML2A during fruit ripening using real time RT-PCR. Primers are listed in Table S2. Values are normalized to EF1 α and to the WT fruits at 20dpa. Stars (*: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: :p<0.001) indicate significant difference between WT and DML2A plants at a given stage using a student t test (n=3).

Figure S8

RIN (Solyc05g012020).

-2132

ATCTGGTACATAAACTATTGTGCTTATGTAGAATTTGGGGGAAGAACGTCAAGGAATATA ATGTAAAGTATAGTAGACAATTTATTTTATCGTATACATATTAATAATTATTTTCACGATTC GAATATATATAACCGATAGATCACACAATAATAATAATATTAGTGTTGCTCATCGAAAACTCC ATTAAGTGTATATATACAACATATTTTAAACTTATTCTATAACTGGATTTCAATTTAAAAAA AATAATGATGTGTCATGTCCCAAAGTTAGTTGCACTCTAAAAAAGTTAAAAGGTTTTTAA CCAAAAATAACTTCTTGACTATAACAAATTAGAGTTGGAATTAATAATCAAAACATATAAA GTCTGCTTTAAATCTAGGAATGTACGTCTTTAAAATGCGTCATTAGTGGGTAAGACATGC TTACTTAAAACACGTCATTAATGAATAAGATTTGTTTACTTATATACTCAACATCTCTCATA TATTTTACTGATGTGAAATTAGTTATCTTAAACCGGAATGTCAGTACACTTCATTTGTATC TTTTTTTATATGAGCCATTATCATTTACATGTAAAAGTGCACCTTAAAGCTGGTTAAGCTT ATAAACTATAAATTGTTCATTTTTTCTCGTTTAATAATCAATATCTACTTAACAAGGCCTGT TTAATAGATGATAATAGTTTAAGTAGAAAAATGAAATTGTAACTTTTTACGACTTTTAACA TTTCAACTATCAGTTAGTAATATGCTCATCCATTACATATTTTAAAGAGAACAAAGAACCA TTAAAAGGTTAAAAACTTATTATAAAGTTAAATATTTTTTCAGTATATATGAAAGGACCTTA CAAGTTACAACTAAATCTTTTGAAAGAAAAGTATCGGTCACTACTAAGTTTTCCAAGAAAA ACAACAACAAGGAACAATCTTTTTCTACCACAAGGGGATGTGACTATTGATAGAATCCA TTCATTTTAATGGGAGGGCAATTTTTTTTAAGCGGATTCAAAATATAAAAAAGTAAATAT ACGGACAAAAAAAAAAAGAAAATTTATCAACGTATACATAAGAAAAGTTGCATACTTCCA AATAGACATGATACATAAACATGATCTTTAACTTGACGTCAGTTGGCAACTATATGTGCA CAAGTAGGCACTTAAACTTGTATAAGATTGAACAATTGACACATTCATCCTACAGGCACC TGTGGCTACTTGTTCAATTTTATACAAGAGTAAGTGCCTACTTGTGCGCATCCAAAGTTG AGGGTCATAGTTACCGACTGACGTCAAGTTAAGAGTCATGTTTATGTATTATGCCCTCCA GGTAACATAGATTTGAAGAAGCATGGAATGCATGTAGATCTTACTTCTCGTGAAAATGGT ACAAAATAATACAATAATAAAAAAAGTTATGATAATAATAATAATAAAAAACTATAGCAAAAT ATCTAATTTGAACACCGCAACTTTCTTTTAAATGGGCCCTCCACGACACTAATCTAGATT CTCTTTTCTTCTTGACTAGGGAACCATTAGATTTTAAAGACATTAAATCTATTACCCTTAC ATATAATTACATTATATTGTCTTATAACATATAGTCTTTTAAGGAAAAACAAATTTAGAAAA AAATAATATTATTTTACATTTTTTTTTCTTCATACAATATG

NOR (Solyc10g006880).

-2568

TTCCTC²³TTTAATTAAATGATTC²⁴ATATGAGCG²⁵CC²⁶ACG²⁷AATTC²⁸AAC²⁹TGAATATC³⁰ AAATAAAATAC³¹TGAATGATTGATATAGATCTC³²TTTATATATC³³TTGTGCAGGATAAAGT **AGTTCTGCGT**ATATGCCCCTTTTACTCGATTGTCCACGTGTTGGTACCAACTTGCATGCG TATCGATTAATTATATTGCCTAATTTTCAGTTATCAAGCTCTAATTACATCATTGTCATGTA TTAATCTAATCACCTCTTCAATTTATGCTAATGACGACCTCCACTTCTAATTTAATATTAAC ATATACACTATTTATTTTCCACTAACGACTAATTTTTTAATTTTTTTGACAATATTTAT ATAGTAATTTCTGCTAAGGTTAATTCTTAGTTTTTATCAACTCATTTTTACTATATAATTA ATGTCCTTCTCAAAGCCTAATAACGCCCATTTTACGTTAAGTTAAACTGTTAGAATTGAC GAAATTAGGATTAAAATTTTAAAAAGAATCTTGAAATATGATTTAAATATATTCACAAAATTA GAGTAGATTTATCCACACTAGTAATTGTGTGATTATTGTAACATATTATTCATTAGTCTAG ATTTTTTAATTAGCTTATTCCAAAGTAAAAAAAATCAAAGACATGTTCTTATATTTATGAAC AATTACCATAGAATTCAAAGCATGTTTACTGTTAGATAAATTTAAATATCTTCTATATTTTC TAAAACCTTTGGCGTCCAATTCGATCAAAGTATGTCCACACAATTCAATACTACAAAAAC TTTCTATATAAAGAGAGATAATAGTCAAAATACATCTGAAATGTTACGTTTTTGTAAAATT TCTATTTAAATTATCACGTGCTCAATTTTTTTTACCTAAATCATTATCAACTATTTAACAAT ACACATCTCAATTATCAGTTATTTTCTTTTTCTACTTGAATACAGTAATATTTCAGATAAAA ATATAAACAAAAATATATGTAATGGCATTACTGTAAATTCAGTGTTGCCTTATACCATATA TAAGTAAGTGTGGGGGGCTAAATTAACCAACTAAATTCCTTCTTGTTTATCATTTTCTCTCT TCCCAAAAAAAATCCCCAAAATTTAATCATAATACAATTCGAATTTATCAACCTCGTACTA CGTACATATTTTGTTGGTACGTAAAATACTGAATTCAGGTCAACTCAAACATCGTAAATT **GTGATTTCTTTATG**

PSY1 (Solyc03g031860).

-2345

GTTCACAATGTCAAAATCTAAACAACTAAAAACGACGAGGAGTAAGGTTTGCAAC GACGATAACAAGGATTAGGCAACAATTAGAGTTGTGAATTGTGAGTATTAACTAT TTTTATTTCGCCCTAAATTATTGGACAAGTCATATATTTGTTTTGAAAACATTCTTT TATTGGCTAAATCGAAAATTGAATCGTTAAAGATCAAAAATCAATAACAAATATCT TACGAAAACGAAATGGACTGACACACATTCCTAAATTTTTGGTCAAAATTTTTTCA TAATTTCCCTAAAATCTAAAATATTAAATATTTGACGGAAACAAAAAATTCACTTT AATAAATTATTTGAAGGACTAAAACAGTGGAAGAATATATTTAAGAAGCTAATTTG AACCTAGTGCCAAATATAAAGGGACCATTTTTGTCATTTTTCAACTTGAAAATCTA CGTGTCTTAATATAACACCAAAGAATTAATATTTACTGAAAAAATGTAAAAATGAG AAATAAAATTTAAAAAATAATAATAAATGCTATAAAATGACCAAAATGTGTGGAGC AAAAAGTGCAGAAAAAACCAACAAATTGCATTCTCCATTCTTGGAAGTGGCCATT CTTGATTTCTTGAAACAAAGGTTTGTTTCCCTTCACTTCTTGATATGTAAAGTTGC AATCTTTATAACTTTCTATTGCTTTGCTAGTGTTTTTGTTATATACAGGGGGTGGA GTTAGAGGGTAAGTTACGCATTTAGTCGTAACTTAGTCAAACTTCGTAATAATTT AGTAAGTTAAAATATATTAGAAATTTTCAGAATTCATAAACTTTAAATTTTAAATTTTG ACTTCGCTTTGTGTGACTATACAATTACAGAAATTCAGAGTGGCCATTGTTGAAAGAGA GGGTGGAATTTGTGTAAGTTTTGTTTCCTTTC¹AGTTC²TTGATATATAAAGTTGC³AATC⁴T TTAAC⁵ATTC⁶TTTGTTCAC⁷TTTC⁸TATAGGTTTGC⁹TAGGTTC¹⁰GGTTAAATTC¹¹AGTAGC¹

²TTTAGTTTAAACCC¹³TATGC¹⁴GGAATAGAGAATGTGTAAAC¹⁵TTTAAACTTC¹⁶AAATTTT GGCTCC¹⁷GC¹⁸ATACG¹⁹AC²⁰TAGC²¹GAC²²TATATAATAATAATAATAGGAATTGAGCACTTGGCTT **TTG**TATATAGCTTCTATGTGTACCAAAATTAGAAAATCAGGCGATTATTATAATCTTGTTG ACTAAATATAGAATGCATCCATTACCCCCAAAAAGTGTGATTCCACTGTCATAGGAGGTT TTTTTTATTTCATTTTATTTGTGCTTTCAATAATGTAGAGTAGTTTTACAAAGATCCTTTCT TTGTGACACATGGTAGGTAATATTGCTGATTTTGTTGTAGTTTTGGGGTTATAAAGTTTCA AATTATTTATACTGGAGGGTAGGGGTGG<u>GGGTTGTCTATAATGCAGGTTATGG</u>TTTTA<mark>C</mark> GTGAACTC²AATAATTATTGTAGATAC³TAAGAAATCC⁴ACTC⁵AGTGTTC⁶TTGC⁷GGTGTC⁸ TTGC⁹TTTTGATTTC¹⁰AGC¹¹ATC¹²AC¹³TTGTAGTTGATTGTGTGTTTAGATTATC¹⁴AC¹⁵ATTAT TC¹⁶TGTGGC¹⁷TGTAAC¹⁸TGTATCC¹⁹TTGTTAGTTGC²⁰TTTGTTTC²¹TAC²²AC²³TGTTGTTT TCCCTC²⁴TTTTATACC²⁵TATTTTGATATGTTGTACTCGAACGAGG</mark>GTCATCGGGGAACA ACCTCTTTACCTCCGTGAGGTAGAGCTATGGTCTGTGTCCACTCTACCCTCCCAGATC CCTCTTGTAGGATTTCACTATATTGTAATATTAACTTGAGGTCACTATAGGAGCTCAAA AACTTCTAATTTTGAATCAATGTCTGGTTATACTTTTTTGTCATAACTGTATCTCA AATGTGGTGTTTGGTTTATCTCATTTTGCAGAAGTCAAGAAACAGGTTACTCCTG TTTGAGTGAGGAAAAGTTGGTTTGCCTGTCTGTGGTCTTTTTATAATCTTTTCTA CAGAAGAGAAAGTGGGTAATTTTGTTTGAGAGTGGAAATATTCTCTAGTGGGAAT CTACTAGGAGTAATTTATTTTCTATAAACTAAGTAAAGTTTGGAAGGTGACAAAAA GAAAGACAAAAATCTTGGAATTGTTTTAGACAACCAAGGTTTTCTTGCTCAGA G

CNR (Solyc02g077920).

-3526

TCACAATCACAAGCAGGCAGTGAAACAATTACATCAAGCTCGTTTCATAGATTCTTCATT TTGGAATAATAGCTTGTCACAGAGTCTGTTCCTTGTTTCAAATTAGCAATTTCTGCGCAC AAATAATAAATCCTCGTCAAATTCGATCTATCAAAACGCTCCTTGTATTCATCCCATACCT TCTTCGCAATTGAAGCATAAACTATGCTTGGCATCAAATCAGCTGTAACAGTACTTCCTA TCCATGATAACACAATTGCATTAAATTTTTCCCATTACGCTTCTAGGTCTCCTTTAAACTT ACTCTTTGTGCAGTTTCCATCCACAAATCCAAGTTTTACTTTGCCTCGCAGTGCTAGTTT CATCGATTTGCTCCATAGAGAGTAGTTCTCTGGTCCTGTGAGTTTGATCGGAGTTATTAC TAAGTCTGGAGAATCAGAAGCTTAAAGAGAGAGGATGATGATGATTAATTTTTGTTG C¹AGC²TGGAACTTCC³ATC⁴TGTAGC⁵AC⁶ATTGTTCTCC⁷TGTC⁸ATTTCC⁹TAAAC¹⁰TAATT GATTGC¹¹GATTAACTC¹²AAATTCC¹³TAAGC¹⁴AGC¹⁵TGAAGGTGAGATC¹⁶GCC¹⁷GCTC¹ ⁸TGATACC¹⁹ATGTTAATTTGTAGTTATGTATGTAGAATTTATGGTGAATAAGTTC²⁰ACC²¹A TTGATGAATTTTC²²TAAGCTGCTACAGAGATATTGGAAGA</mark>GAAAAAGAGGATCACTATT TCCAGCTAACCTACTTCTAGAAAGTTCCATTTTAACTGACCTCATAACAAATTGTAACTAA TTTTGTTAGCTACATCACAAATGACACTTACAAGAATAACAGTAATAAGAAACAAGTTATT TCAACAGCTATCATTTATTATGTTACCTCATCTTGTATCGTGTTAATCCGTACAGACATAA TTAAAATACAAAATAAGAAAATTAGAACTAGAGGCTCTAAACAGGAAATTTCAGGAAGTT CCACCTCTGCCTAGCTATATTACATGATTTAAAAGGTATAATACAAGATGAACTCCTTAAA ATTATCAGAATACTTTTGTTTAAAAACTCGAATTACCCGTTGTTTCAATTGATGAAGTGTT TTAATCTGACACTTCCGGTTCGTTGTTATTCCTATACTAGATTGTTAAGTTAACCACATAT TTTTTTAATCACACATTTACCTCAATAAGATATAAAACTTTAAATATTTTCTTCTTGAGGTT GATACATATTATTATGAGATGACATATTTATGTGGTTAACTTAATTATGCGATAGACAAAT AAAAACACGTGCAAAAGTTCATTCAAAATTTAAAATTTAACGTGACTACTTGGAACATTTT ATTAGAAATTTAGGTGTTCAGTTAAAATAAGACTTATTGAAGTATCTAACCAGAATATCCT GACAAATTAAGGGACTTATCATGTATTATGCCCTTCAAAAAGATTACTTCTTTATTAATGA TGATTAGTTATACTCATAAGTAATAACCTCACTTCTTTTTCAGCCCATGTCCTAACCTTGT TTTGTTTTCTTCCAATCATGGAGTCCCTTTGTCATTTGTCTATCAGTTTGTTAGCCTCCCT TCACTAATAATTATCCATAACCGGCTAATAAAGTACATTCCATTTAAGTGTAAAAGAAAAA TTGAAGAGTTTGCCTATTCTTCTCACCACGTCCTCCCTAGAAAGTTCTCGTCACGCTTC ATTGTCAATTGTCATTCCTTCGACAACAAGGGAATATATAGTTGGAATACTTCTGTCCCA TCCATCCTGCCTACACACAAGTTATTCATTCTAACCTGTCTTTGCCTACTAAGATACGTCT TCGAAGCGAGAGAGGGAGCAGTAATGAGGAATATACAAATAAGGTCATTTTGGGGAACC ATTAAGCTATAAAACAATAATACACACTTATGAATTACCGATATATAATTTAATTTGGAATT TCATTCATATGGTTAATAGCAACAGAGTTGTCTTTGTATTAGTGCACTATCAATTT*AATAC* CTAGCTGTGACACTAAAAAGCTAGGTGCCCACAATTATTAAAACAAAAGTGTATCCACCT CAAGAAGAAGAAAAAAGGCAAATATGATATAAAACCATTTAACAAAGTCCATATCACAAA AATTAGACGGCAAAATCATACACGACTAATTTATAGATTCACTGAACCATGCAATTCTATA CCGTTCACTTCCAATAAATAAAACATAATACACTATGTTTAGAGTACAAGACTCTCCTTGC TTGAAAAGGACTACCAAGTAGGGGTTACTGCAGTGACTACCAAGTAGGGGTTACTGCAG TCATTTGTTAATTCATTTTGAGTAATGTAACTGTGCAAGATAATGATGTTTTTTTCCTTTT TGGTTAACTAAGATAATGATGGATTTAGGTAGATGAATTAGAC¹ATC²TAGTGATAATGG AGAGCC³AGTGC⁴AAC⁵AATTGAGC⁶ACTC⁷TAC⁸TGGACC⁹GAC¹⁰ATGGAC¹¹AAC¹²TGAGA GACC¹³AAC¹⁴TTGC¹⁵AGTATTATAATAGTGC¹⁶AAATTATAGTTTAGTC¹⁷GACTCCCTTC¹⁸G GAATC¹⁹TAC²⁰TAC²¹ATAAAGAAC²²TACC²³ATAAAC²⁴TATGTTAGATGGC²⁵TATTAC²⁶GGA GTTTAAATTAAACTC²⁷GAAAATATC²⁸AGAAAAAGAAGTAACTTC²⁹AACC³⁰AATTAC³¹AATG C³²ATACCC³³TTATC³⁴AC³⁵AAGTGAAAAAGAGTAAAC³⁶GTGCC³⁷AAACTC³⁸TTTTGATCCC TCC³⁹AAAGCTAGAGGAAAAGAGTGAGCAATTCACTACAAACCACTGGCTTTGGTCTAT ACTAAATTGGATGTTCTATCAGCTTCTTTACATCATAAAACAGTGAATACTGAACGCTGA GAGGCTAACTGACTGCCCAAAAAAACCTTGACAAAAGTTAGTGGAGTAACTACCTAGG AGTAAATTCAATAGTAGACCTTGAAAAGAACTTTAGCAAAGTCATCATAAATGCTCTTCAC GTCTCATGTACTATGTTAAGGAATGGTCACATTTCTCTCTGCATTAAAGCTAGTTCATGTT AAAAGTTGAGGCCGGTAGTAGTTTCAACTTTCAATTTAATTCCACCTTTCCTGGCCCACT TCTGTACGGAACACCAATCAGAATCTTTAGTTCATCTTAACACCAAAGCATCTCCACTTA GACACTTACTAGACTTCACATAGGAGGAAAAATATGGAACTGGTGGTCCTCACACGTAC TTACCTTTCTTTTTTACCTTTGTTCAAGTTTCATACTCTTTTATCTGGCTTCCTCACTCTA TTTTGGCCCAATAGGTTCTCCTCACAGGGATG

Figure S8: Promoter sequences analyzed using MCR-BC PCR and bisulfite Pyrosequencing

Promoter sequences of *RIN*, *NOR*, *PSY1* and *CNR* genes. Bases are numbered from the ATG. Fragment analyzed using McrBC-PCR (Fig. 5) are shown in italic. For the *NOR*, *CNR* and *PSY1* promoter fragments, sequences analyzed by Bisulfite-pyro-sequencing are underlined and limited either by red primers (PCR fragment 1) or by blue primers (PCR fragment 2). For each fragment analyzed by BS pyro-sequencing cytosines or group of cytosines for which the methylation level has been determined, have been numbered starting from the 5' part of the amplified sequence. ATG is shown.

Fig S9



Figure S9: Gene targeted Bisulfite Pyrosequencing Analysis: Methylation levels of the *PSY1* (A), *NOR* (B), and *CNR* (C) promoters at the two PCR fragments shown in Fig. S8. Methylation level at 20, 35, 39 (Br), 55 and 85 dpa is shown in WT fruits (upper panels) and in the transgenic RNAi line DML8A (lower panels) at the same stages. Fruit phenotypes are indicated on the right. DNA sequence is depicted as a black line on which are shown the differentially methylated regions (DMR) as determined using McrBC-PCR (red lines, this study), DMRs identified in (20) (black lines) and the position of the RIN BS identified by RIN-ChIP sequencing (20). Note the higher methylation level of *PSY1* fragment 1 (A; CC8 to C22) at all stages observed in plant DML8A as compared to WT as well as the reduction in methylation at the *NOR* (B) and *CNR* (C) fragment 2 during WT fruit ripening but not in fruits of the DML8A plant.

SI Supplementary Tables

Database and Accession		Name	Domain A		Glycosylase domain		Domain B		Total size
numbers			Position	size(aa)	Position	size(aa)	Position	size(aa)	(aa)
SGN	Solyc09g009080.2.1	SIDML1	691-811	121	1151-1366	216	1498-1702	205	1702
	Solyc10g083630.1.1	SIDML2	846-966	121	1267-1482	216	1615-1824	210	1824
	Solyc11g007580.1.1	SIDML3	836-955	120	1279-1494	216	1645-1869	225	1869
	Solyc03g123440.2.1	SIDML4	786-900	115	992-1206	215	1346-1538	193	1538
NCBI	NP_196076.2	AtDME	678-796	119	1190-1405	216	1530-1729	200	1729
	NP_181190.3	AtROS1	508-626	119	857-1072	216	1191-1393	203	1393
	NP_187612.5	AtDML2	477-595	119	789-1004	216	1129-1332	204	1332
	NP_195132.3	AtDML3	331-445	115	500-712	213	841-1044	204	1044

Supplementary Table S1:

Supplementary Table 1: Tomato and Arabidopsis *DML* genes Accession number of the tomato and Arabidopsis Demeter like cDNA is indicated together with the size of the corresponding proteins, and the position of the three conserved domains characteristic of the DNA Glysosylase-Lyase²³.

Supplementary Table S2: list of primers

Supplementary Table 2						
Primers for qRT-PCR						
NCBI Accession		1				
AK326269.1	EF1alpha F	GCTGTCGGTGTTGTCAAGAAT				
	EF1 alpha R	CATCACACTGCACAGTTCACT				
XP_006341256.1	SIDML1 F	GGGCTGAACAAGCTAACAACA				
	SIDML1 R	TGACCACCCTAAGTATCAGCTACA				
XP_004249459.1	SIDML2 F	AGTACTCATGCCAAAGCCAAA				
	SIDML2 R	CCTATCTTCTTTTACCGACTGGA				
XP_004250000.1	SIDML3 F	GCAGAATTGAAGTCACCCTTG				
	SIDML3 R	GATGGCTCAGTTTGTGAGCA				
XP_004236376.1	SIDML4 F	GAGCGAGTGTGGGAACAAC				
	SIDML4 R	ATGCGGGCAATGAATGAGTC				
NM_001247741.1	Rin F	AACATCATGGCATTGTGGTG				
	Rin R	GTGTTGATGGTGCTGCATTT				
	Psy1 F	ATCTTTGGTCTTGTACCGCAAA				
KC767847.1	Psy1 R	GGCAGTTTTTGTAGGAGGCACA				
NM_001247249.1	NOR F	AGAGAACGATGCATGGAGGTTTGT				
	NOR R	ACTGGCTCAGGAAATTGGCAATGG				
XM_004232854.1	CNR F	GCCAAATCAAGCAATGATGA				
	CNR R	TCGCAACCATACAGACCATT				
Primers for RNAi const	ruction					
	DMLENTRS	CACCGTATAGCTGTTAGAC				
	DMLENTRAS	GAACATGCGTTGCAGTTG				
Primers for McrBC analysis of promoter fragments						

Solgene accession		
Solyc02g077920	CNR F	TGAGCATCAACCACTCCTAATA
	CNR R	CAGACTTAGTAATAACTCCGAT
Solyc03g123630.2.1	PM F	AAACTAGACCATGAGTGTTGAGA
	PM R	TTTTAGAGTGAATTACAGAGAAGC
Solyc03g031860	PSY1 F	TTGTTATATACAGGGGGTGGAGTT
	PSY1 R	TAGAGTGGACACAGACCATAGCTC
Solyc10g006880	NOR F	CAAAAATTGTCCAAGTTAGGGCTAC
	NOR R	GTGGAGGTCGTCATTAGCATAAAT
Solyc05g012020	RIN F	GTAGAATTTGGGGAAGAAACGTC
, 0	RIN R	TATCAATAGTCACATCCCCTTGTG
Primers for bisulfite se	quencing analysis	
PCR primers	SI NOR F1	GATTGGTYATGTGAAGGATATGTG
	SI NOR R1	Biotin-CTCRRATARARACAACAAATACRAC
	SI NOR F2	ATYGATAGAGAYAAGTTGTTGTAAA
	SI NOR R2	Biotin-ACRCARAACTACTTTATCCTRCACA
	SI PSY1 F1	ATTGTTGAAAGAGAGGGTGGAA
	SI_PSY1_R1	Biotin-CAAAARCCAARTRCTCAATTCCTA
	SI_PSY1_F2	GGGTTGTYTATAATGYAGGTTATGG
	SI_PSY1_R2	Biotin-CCTCRTTCRARTACAACATATCAAA
	SI_CNR_F1	AAGATAGAGAGGATGATGATGATTAA
	SI_CNR_R1	Biotin-TCTTCCAATATCTCTRTARCARCTT
	SI_CNR_F2	TGATGGATTTAGGTAGATGAATTAG
	SI_CNR_R2	Biotin-TTRCTCACTCTTTTCCTCTARCTT
	SI_NOR_pyro1F1	TGAAGGATATGTGTTGAAA
Pyrosequencing	SI_NOR_pyro1F3	YAATGAAAGAATATTATAAA
primers	SI_NOR_pyro2F1	GAGAYAAGTTGTTGTAAAAA
	SI_NOR_pyro2F2	ТҮАААҮТТҮТӨТТӨТААААТ
	SI_NOR_pyro2F3	GTTTYYTYTTAATTAAATG
	SI_PSY1_pyro1F1	AATTTGTGTAAGTTTTGTTT
	SI_PSY1_pyro1F2	GCGGAATAGAGAATGTG
	SI_PSY1_pyro2F1	AATGYAGGTTATGGTTTT
	SI_PSY1_pyro2F2	GTTGATTGTGTTTAGATTAT
	SI_CNR_pyro1F1	GATGATTAATTTTGTTG
	SI_CNR_pyro1F2	AGYTGAAGGTGAGAT
	SI_CNR_pyro2F1	ATTTAGGTAGATGAATTAGA
	SI_CNR_pyro2F3	AGTGYAAATTATAGTTTAGT
	SI_CNR_pyro2F4	ATYAYAAGTGAAAAAGAGTA

References Supplementary Information

- S1. Gonzalez N, Gévaudant F, Hernould M, Chevalier C, Mouras A (2007) The cell cycle-associated protein kinase WEE1 regulates cell size in relation to endoreduplication in developing tomato fruit. *Plant J* 51(4):642-655.
- S2. How Kit A et al. (2010) Functional analysis of SIEZ1 a tomato enhancer of zeste (E(z)) gene demonstrates a role in flower development. *Plant Mol Biol* 74(3):201-213.
- S3. Télef N et al. (2006) Sucrose deficiency delays lycopene accumulation in tomato fruit pericarp discs. *Plant Mol Biol* 62(3):453-469.
- S4. Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in realtime RT-PCR. *Nucl Acids Res* 29(9):e45-.
- S5. Gentleman et al. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biology 2004, 5:R80 doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80
- S6. Bolstad, B.M, Irizarry R.A, Astrand, M and Speed T.P. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. Bioinformatics. 2003 Jan 22;19(2):185-93.
- S7. Irizarry R.A., Hobbs, B., Collin, F., Beazer-Barclay, Y.D., Antonellis, K.J., Scherf U and Speed, T.P. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics (2003), 4, 2, pp. 249– 264
- S8. Tost J, Gut IG (2007) DNA methylation analysis by pyrosequencing. *Nat Protocols* 2(9):2265-2275.
- S9. Mounet F et al. (2009) Gene and metabolite regulatory network analysis of early developing fruit tissues highlights new candidate genes for the control of tomato fruit composition and development. *Plant Physiol* 149(3):1505-1528.
- S10. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 30(12):2725-2729.
- S11. Deborde C et al. (2009) Proton NMR quantitative profiling for quality assessment of greenhouse-grown tomato fruit. *Metabolomics* 5(2):183-198.