# **Supplementary Information**

# Significantly shorter Fe-S bond in cytochrome P450-I is consistent with greater reactivity relative to chloroperoxidase

Courtney M. Krest,<sup>a</sup> Alexey Silakov, Jonathan Rittle, Timothy H. Yosca, Elizabeth L. Onderko, Julio C. Calixto, and Michael T. Green<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802

<sup>a</sup>Current address: Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California, 94025

**1) Figure S1**. Fe K-edge XANES region for ferric and compound I forms of CPO and CYP119A1. (Page 2)

2) Figure S2. Pre-edge data for P450-I and CPO-I. (Page 3)

3) Figure S3. EXAFS of ferric P450. (Page 4)

**4) Figure S4.** Compound I Mössbauer spectra at 4.2 K. (Page 5)

**5) Figure S5.** EXAFS and Fourier transforms for CYP119A2-I and CYP158A2\*-I. (Page 6)

**6) Figure S6.** Variable temperature Mössbauer data and fits for CYP119A1-I and CPO-I. (Page 7)

7) Figure S7. Variable temperature Mössbauer data for ferric CYP119A1. (Page 8)

**8)** Table S1. Ground-state (S=1/2) Mössbauer parameters used to simulate spectra presented in Figure 3 and S6. (Page 9)

**9) Table S2.** Temperature dependent relaxation parameters used for simulating Mössbauer spectra presented in Figure 3 and S6. (Page 9)

**10) Table S3.** Best fits for ferric P450 EXAFS data. (Page 10)

**11)** Optimized coordinates for calculations. (Page 11)



**Figure S1.** Fe K-edge XANES region showing the rising edge with the pre-edge expanded by a factor of 7. a) CPO ferric (blue), CPO-I (red). b) CYP119A1 ferric (blue), CYP119A1-I (black). c) Comparison of the XANES of both compound I species, CPO-I (red) CYP119A1-I (black).



**Figure S2.** Representative pre-edge fits. Fits of the pre-edge data indicate that the CPO-I preedge (a) is  $14\pm8$  % larger than the CYP119A1-I pre-edge (b). Fits of P450-I data accounted for the contribution of ~30% ferric enzyme. To obtain the final pre-edge area for P450-I, the value obtained from the fit must be scaled by 1.43 (i.e. 1.0/0.7).



Figure S3. EXAFS and Fourier transforms for ferric P450 119A1(a), 158A2(b), and 119A2(c).



**Figure S4.** Mössbauer spectra of compound I at 4.2 K with 54-mT field applied parallel to the  $\gamma$ -beam. To obtain the P450-I spectra, contributions from ferric enzyme were subtracted from the raw data.



Figure S5. EXAFS and Fourier transforms for CYP119A2-I and CYP158A2\*-I.



**Figure S6.** Variable temperature Mössbauer data and fits for CYP119A1-I (a) and CPO-I (b). To obtain the CYP119A1-I spectra, contributions from ferric enzyme (Fig. S4) were subtracted from the raw data. A 54-mT field was applied parallel to the  $\gamma$ -beam. Note that the Mössbauer spectrum of ferric CYP119A1 was found to be independent of temperature below ~ 40K. As a result, the 15K and 17.5K CYP119A1-I spectra were obtained by subtracting the 10K ferric spectrum from the raw data, while the 25K CYP119A1-I spectrum was obtained by subtracting the 20K ferric spectrum.



**Figure S7.** Variable temperature Mössbauer data for ferric CYP119A1. A 54-mT field was applied parallel to the γ-beam.

**Table S1.** Ground-state (S=1/2) Mössbauer parameters used to simulate spectra presented in Figure 3 and S6.

	δ (mm/s)	$\Delta E_Q$ (mm/s)	g <sub>x</sub>	gy	gz	A <sub>x</sub> (T)	A <sub>y</sub> (T)	A <sub>z</sub> (T)	FWHH* (mm/s)
CPO-I	0.13	0.96	1.72	1.61	2.00	-31	-30	-2	0.32
CYP119-I	0.11	0.90	1.96	1.86	2.00	-28	-32	-3	0.25

\* - FWHH stands for Full Width at Half Height of Lorentzian lineshape.

**Table S2.** Temperature dependent relaxation parameters used for simulating Mössbauer spectra presented in Figure 3 and S6.

Tomm	<b>Relaxation parameter</b> (x10 <sup>6</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )					
I  emp.	CYP	119-I	CPO -I			
( <b>K</b> )	trial 1	trial 2	trial 1	trial 2		
10.0	2.41	2.31	9.29	2.62		
12.5	-	-	17.87	18.44		
15.0	5.54	6.52	50.82	47.32		
17.5	8.14	-	87.79	-		
20.0	14.55	17.24	148.02	146.37		
25.0	34.77	-	-	-		
30.0	86.75	73.43	659.20	698.21		
35.0	137.37	-	-	-		
40.0	174.05	200.33	1548.31	1922.99		
50.0	493.54	-	-	-		
60.0	446.16	451.16	3777.46	7069.86		
100.0	2783.31	1358.13	7735.16	18771.33		

		Fe-N			Fe-S				
-	N	R	$\sigma^2$	N	R	$\sigma^2$		$E_0$	Error
-	4	1.994	0.0011	1	2.219	0.0013	-	-15.1	0.294
CVD110A1	4	1.990	0.0013	1	2.212	0.0014		-16.2	0.471
CIPII9AI	4	1.990	0.0011	1	2.216	0.0013		-19.0	0.299
	4	1.991	0.0014	1	2.210	0.0013		-16.0	0.327
Average		1.992			2.214				
90% Conf. Interval	l	0.002			0.005				
CYP158A2*	4	2.005	0.0009	1	2.2131	0.0025		-14.1	0.272
CYP119A2	4	1.987	0.0014	1	2.2121	0.0014		-16.8	0.338
Avg. (all P450s) <sup>a</sup>		1.997			2.212				
90% Conf. Interval	l	0.009			0.004				

**Table S3.** Best fits for ferric P450 EXAFS data. Each row corresponds to a best fit for data obtained from measurements on an independently prepared set of samples.

<sup>a</sup>Average includes the distance obtained previously for ferric P450<sub>cam</sub>. (Ref. 3 of main text.)

### **Optimized coordinates for calculations**

All geometry optimization calculations were performed in Gaussian 03<sup>1</sup> with the B3LYP<sup>2</sup> functional, TZVP<sup>3</sup> basis set, and COSMO<sup>4</sup> with the dielectric for water. The Fe-S and Fe-O bonds were constrained to the experimental determined distances during geometry optimizations. Protoporphyrin IX was modeled as porphine ligand. Cysteine was modeled as a methyl thiolate ligand. Spectral calculations were done with ORCA. First using ORCA 2.8<sup>5</sup> a single point calculation was done using the optimized geometry from Gaussian, the B3LYP<sup>2</sup> functional, TZVP<sup>2</sup> basis set, and COSMO<sup>4</sup> with a dielectric of water. Next TD-DFT calculations were done in ORCA with the same geometry, using the previous B3LYP<sup>2</sup> result as an initial guess, the BP86<sup>6, 7</sup> functional, TZVP basis set, and COSMO<sup>4</sup> with a dielectric of water, as well as core properties basis set, CP(PPP),<sup>8</sup> on the Fe atom. Plots of the results were made using the orca\_mapspc feature in ORCA and orbitals were visualized using orca\_plot.<sup>5</sup> Input files for preedge feature prediction were based on input files from Chandrasekaran *et al.*<sup>9</sup>

#### **CYP119-I**

Geometry used for pre-edge calculation. Geometry was optimized with Fe-S and Fe-O distances constrained to the values obtained from EXAFS measurements.

Ν	1.154797	1.735783	-0.137600
С	2.511543	1.835373	-0.004675
С	2.902711	3.220352	0.052806
С	1.763348	3.953437	-0.050020
С	0.676200	3.015930	-0.165527
С	3.396147	0.767303	0.069014
С	3.051992	-0.571486	-0.008597
С	3.990967	-1.663390	0.020907
С	3.268486	-2.806254	-0.106751

С	1.884620	-2.417425	-0.203635
N	1.775961	-1.055033	-0.140126
С	0.824372	-3.306471	-0.320122
С	-0.511740	-2.958614	-0.360745
С	-1.604743	-3.891909	-0.450772
С	-2.749834	-3.163484	-0.478329
С	-2.367509	-1.775856	-0.413029
N	-1.001377	-1.671497	-0.323650
С	-3.245996	-0.707884	-0.469124
С	-2.890939	0.635296	-0.449408
С	-3.829904	1.725435	-0.521442
С	-3.105307	2.872486	-0.461684
С	-1.721938	2.486208	-0.353280
N	-1.617018	1.118819	-0.341457
Fe	0.086216	0.043043	-0.361904
0	0.186962	0.036458	-2.028849
С	-0.661570	3.371614	-0.266213
S	-0.042105	-0.330976	2.005298
С	-1.695646	0.049849	2.666591
Η	-1.683320	-0.142819	3.738388
Η	-1.965355	1.085327	2.467180
Η	-2.436292	-0.605131	2.202273
Η	-3.457069	3.892513	-0.485974
Η	-4.899554	1.609054	-0.604505
Η	-4.300513	-0.938401	-0.550813
тт		2 515207	0 544471
н	-3.767998	-3.313307	-0.544471

Η	1.064505	-4.360980	-0.364554
Η	3.621491	-3.825917	-0.128748
Η	5.059443	-1.551127	0.123126
Η	4.446994	1.002928	0.178949
Η	3.919185	3.567437	0.158186
Η	1.650999	5.026773	-0.045401
Н	-0.894840	4.428639	-0.274837

# CPO-I

Geometry used for pre-edge calculation. Geometry was optimized with Fe-S and Fe-O distances constrained to the values obtained from EXAFS measurements.

Ν	1.153056	1.730718	-0.129348
С	2.509895	1.831017	0.007733
С	2.899822	3.215992	0.070429
С	1.760726	3.948778	-0.036180
С	0.674428	3.011301	-0.158405
С	3.395513	0.764286	0.076700
С	3.051651	-0.573919	-0.008633
С	3.991499	-1.664989	0.016892
С	3.270223	-2.807990	-0.116083
С	1.886325	-2.419921	-0.212434
N	1.775798	-1.057635	-0.143047
С	0.827141	-3.309428	-0.329920
С	-0.508825	-2.961061	-0.365244
С	-1.601369	-3.894584	-0.451201
С	-2.747058	-3.166684	-0.472226
С	-2.365506	-1.779336	-0.407615
N	-0.998299	-1.673637	-0.323466

С	-3.245907	-0.713279	-0.458954
С	-2.891112	0.629332	-0.440286
С	-3.830319	1.719274	-0.508816
С	-3.105687	2.866488	-0.453666
С	-1.721905	2.480926	-0.350701
N	-1.616177	1.113105	-0.337868
Fe	0.087931	0.039499	-0.376309
0	0.192220	0.044341	-2.023003
С	-0.662517	3.367148	-0.264486
S	-0.058124	-0.359423	2.056884
С	-1.702894	0.070987	2.707938
Η	-1.680230	-0.050659	3.790379
Η	-1.975185	1.090364	2.442545
Η	-2.447817	-0.615518	2.298495
Η	-3.457712	3.886458	-0.476587
Η	-4.900376	1.602624	-0.585978
Η	-4.300477	-0.944740	-0.536480
Η	-3.765371	-3.518930	-0.533828
Η	-1.487432	-4.967118	-0.489678
Η	1.067305	-4.363734	-0.377996
Η	3.623970	-3.827325	-0.141286
Η	5.059712	-1.552161	0.121268
Η	4.446102	1.000087	0.188396
Η	3.915740	3.563076	0.181245
Η	1.647896	5.022065	-0.029669
Η	-0.895900	4.424075	-0.275919

## References

1. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, Revision E.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

2. Devlin, F.; Finley, J.; Stephens, P.; Frisch, M., Ab Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra Using Density Functional Force Fields: A Comparison of Local, Nonlocal, and Hybrid Density Functionals *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 16883-16902.

3. Schafer, A.; Huber, C.; Ahlrichs, R., Fully optimized contracted Gaussian basis sets of triple zeta valence quality for atoms Li to Kr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100* (8), 5829-5835.

4. Klamt, A.; Schuurmann, G., COSMO: a new approach to dielectric screening in solvents with explicit expressions for the screening energy and its gradient. *J. Chem. Soc. Perk. Trans.* **1993**, *2* (5), 799-805.

5. Neese, F. ORCA an Ab Initio, Density Functional, and Semiempirical Electronic Structure Program Package, Version 2.8; Universitat Bonn, Germany, 2011.

6. Becke, A., Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior. *Phys Rev A* **1988**, *38* (6), 3098-3100.

7. Perdew, J., Density-functional approximation for the correlation energy of the inhomogeneous electron gas. *Physical Review B, Condensed Matter* **1986**, *33* (12), 8822-8824.

8. Neese, F., Prediction and interpretation of the 57Fe isomer shift in Mössbauer spectra by density functional theory. *Inorganica Chimica Acta* **2002**, *337*, 181-192.

9. Chandrasekaran, P.; Stieber, S. C. E.; Collins, T. J.; Lawrence Que, J.; Neese, F.; DeBeer, S., Prediction of high-valent iron *K*-edge absorption spectra by time-dependent Density Functional Theory. *Dalton Transactions* **2011**, *40*, 11070-11079.