Web-based Supplementary Materials for "Distribution-free Inference of Zero-inflated Binomial Data forLongitudinal Studies" by

He, H.^a, Wang, W. J.^a, Hu, J.^{a,b}^{*}, Gallop, R.^c,

Crits-Christoph, P^d and Xia, Y. L.^a

^aDepartment of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14642, USA; b ^bCollege of Basic Science and Information Engineering, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, Yunnan, China, 650201 ; c Department of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, West Chester University, West Chester, PA 19383, USA; d Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

February 15, 2015

Web Appendix A: Proof of Lemma 1

Let S_i and D_i are defined in (2.5), that is

$$
S_{i} = (s_{1i}, s_{2i})^{\mathsf{T}} = (I(\mathbf{y}_{i} = 0) - E[I(\mathbf{y}_{i} = 0) | \mathbf{x}_{i}], I(\mathbf{y}_{i} > 0)(\mathbf{y}_{i} - E[\mathbf{y}_{i} | \mathbf{y}_{i} > 0, \mathbf{x}_{i}]))^{\mathsf{T}},
$$

$$
D_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathbf{s}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{i}} s_{1i} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{i}} s_{2i} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{v}} s_{1i} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{v}} s_{2i} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

So we have

$$
\frac{\partial s_{1i}}{\partial \beta_u} = -(1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i}) \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial \beta_\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial s_{2i}}{\partial \beta_u} = \mathbf{0},
$$

[∗]Corresponding author. Email: hududu@ynau.edu.en

and

$$
\frac{\partial s_{1i}}{\partial \beta_{\nu}} = (1 - \rho_i) m_i (1 - p_i)^{m_i - 1} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \beta_{\nu}},
$$

$$
\frac{\partial s_{2i}}{\partial \beta_{\nu}} = -I (\mathbf{y}_i > 0) \frac{1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i} - p_i m_i (1 - p_i)^{m_i - 1}}{(1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i})^2} m_i \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \beta_{\nu}}.
$$

By simple algebraic computation, we have

$$
Var [s_{1i} | \mathbf{x}_i] = [\rho_i + (1 - \rho_i) (1 - p_i)^{m_i}] [(1 - \rho_i) (1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i})],
$$

\n
$$
E [s_{2i} | \mathbf{x}_i] = Pr (\mathbf{y}_i > 0 | \mathbf{x}_i) E [(\mathbf{y}_i - E [\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i]) | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i] = 0,
$$

and

$$
Var (s_{2i} | \mathbf{x}_i) = E [s_{2i}^2 | \mathbf{x}_i] - (E [s_{2i} | \mathbf{x}_i])^2
$$

= Pr ($\mathbf{y}_i > 0 | \mathbf{x}_i$) (E [[$\mathbf{y}_i - E [\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i$]]² | $\mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i$])
= Pr ($\mathbf{y}_i > 0 | \mathbf{x}_i$) (E [$\mathbf{y}_i^2 | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i$] - (E [$\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i$])²)
= (1 - ρ_i)(1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i}) $\left[\frac{m_i p_i + m_i (m_i - 1) p_i^2}{1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i}} - \left(\frac{m_i p_i}{1 - (1 - p_i)^{m_i}} \right)^2 \right].$

Hence

$$
Cov(s_{1i}, s_{2i} | \mathbf{x}_i) = E[(s_{1i} - E(s_{1i} | \mathbf{x}_i)) (s_{2i} - E(s_{2i} | \mathbf{x}_i)) | \mathbf{x}_i]
$$

\n
$$
= E(I(\mathbf{y}_i = 0) - E[I(\mathbf{y}_i = 0) | \mathbf{x}_i]) E[I(\mathbf{y}_i > 0) (\mathbf{y}_i - E(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i)) | \mathbf{x}_i]
$$

\n
$$
= Pr(\mathbf{y}_i > 0 | \mathbf{x}_i) E[I(\mathbf{y}_i = 0) - E[I(\mathbf{y}_i = 0) | \mathbf{x}_i]] E[(\mathbf{y}_i - E(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i)) | \mathbf{y}_i > 0, \mathbf{x}_i]
$$

\n
$$
= 0.
$$

Web Appendix B: Proof of Theorem 1

Based on (2.5), the GEE $\mathbf{w}_n (\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i V_i^{-1} S_i$. Since $E(D_i V_i^{-1} S_i) = 0$, the GEE (2.5) is unbiased and the estimate $\widehat{\beta}$, i.e., the solution to the equations, is also consistent.

By applying a Taylor expansion to (2.5), we have

$$
\sqrt{n}\mathbf{w}_n = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^\top \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta} - \beta\right) + \mathbf{o}_p(1). \tag{1}
$$

It follows from (1) that

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta\right) = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^{-\top}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\mathbf{w}_{ni} + \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right). \tag{2}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathbf{w}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} S_i \right) \left(D_i V_i^{-1} \right)^T = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \left(D_i V_i^{-1} \right)^T + \mathbf{o}_p \left(1 \right) \to_p B. \tag{3}
$$

where \rightarrow_p denotes convergence in probability, it follows from (2) and (3) that

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta\right) = -B^{-\top}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{w}_{ni} + \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right). \tag{4}
$$

By applying the central limit theorem and Slutsky's theorem, $\widehat{\beta}$ is asymptotically normal with the asymptotic variance given by Σ in Theorem 1.

Web Appendix C: Proof of Theorem 3

Based on (4.3), the weighted GEE $\mathbf{w}_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i V_i^{-1} \Delta_i S_i$. By definition, Δ_i is a $m \times m$ block diagonal matrix with the tth block diagonal matrix given by $\frac{r_{it}}{\pi_{it}} \mathbf{I}_2$ ($1 \le t \le m$), with \mathbf{I}_m denoting the $m \times m$ identify matrix. Since $E\left(\frac{r_{it}}{\pi m}\right)$ $\frac{r_{it}}{\pi_{it}}\mathbf{I}_2 | \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{x}_i\bigg| = \mathbf{I}_2$, we have $E(D_iV_i^{-1}\Delta_iS_i) = E[D_iV_i^{-1}S_iE(\Delta_i | \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{x}_i)].$ It follows that $E(D_iV_i^{-1}\Delta_iS_i) = E(D_iV_i^{-1}S_i) =$ 0. Thus, the WGEE (4.6) is unbiased and the estimate $\hat{\beta}$ obtained as the solution to the equations is consistent.

Let $\hat{\gamma}$ be the solution to the (4.5). By a Taylor expansion of the estimating equations in (4.5) and solving for $\hat{\gamma} - \gamma$, we obtain

$$
\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\gamma}-\gamma)=-H^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{Q}_{ni}+\mathbf{o}_{p}(1),
$$
\n(5)

where $\mathbf{o}_p(1)$ denotes the stochastic $\mathbf{o}(1)[1]$ and H is defined as in Theorem (3). Also, by applying a Taylor series expansion to (4.6), we have

$$
\sqrt{n}\mathbf{w}_n = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^{\top} \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta} - \beta\right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^{\top} \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\alpha} - \alpha\right) - \\ -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^{\top} \sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\gamma} - \gamma\right) + \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right).
$$
\n(6)

If $\widehat{\alpha}$ is $\sqrt{ }$ \overline{n} -consistent, it follows that

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \mathbf{w}_n \left(\beta, \alpha\right)\right)^{\top} \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\alpha} - \alpha\right) = \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right) \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\alpha} - \alpha\right) = \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right).
$$

By substituting $\mathbf{o}_p(1)$ for $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \mathbf{w}_n (\beta, \alpha)\right)^\top \sqrt{n} (\widehat{\alpha} - \alpha)$ in (6) and solving for $\sqrt{\ }$ $\overline{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta\right)$, we obtain

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta\right) = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^{-\top}\sqrt{n}\left[\mathbf{w}_n + C\left(\widehat{\gamma}-\gamma\right)\right] + \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right). \tag{7}
$$

It follows from (5) and (7) that

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta\right) = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\mathbf{w}_n\right)^{-\top}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\mathbf{w}_{ni} - CH^{-1}\mathbf{Q}_{ni}\right) + \mathbf{o}_p\left(1\right).
$$
 (8)

Since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathbf{w}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathbf{\Delta}_i S_i \right) \left(D_i V_i^{-1} \right)^T + \mathbf{o}_p(1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \mathbf{\Delta}_i \left(D_i V_i^{-1} \right)^T + \mathbf{o}_p(1) \rightarrow_p B. \tag{9}
$$

where \rightarrow_{p} denotes convergence in probability, it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta\right)=-B^{-\top}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{w}_{ni}-CH^{-1}\mathbf{Q}_{ni}\right)+\mathbf{o}_{p}\left(1\right).
$$
\n(10)

By applying the central limit theorem and Slutsky's theorem to (10)[1], $\widehat{\beta}$ is asymptotically normal with the asymptotic variance given by Σ_{β} in Theorem 3.

Web Appendix D: Derivative of $Corr(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | x_i)$

For ith subject in the at-risk group, the $Cov(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | x_i)$ and $Var(y_{i1} | x_i)$ can be computed as

$$
Cov(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | x_i) = E(y_{i1}y_{i2} | x_i) - E(y_{i1} | x_i)E(y_{i2} | x_i)
$$

= $(1 - \rho_i)(\lambda_i m p_i - \lambda_i m p_i^2 + (m p_i)^2) - [(1 - \rho_i) m p_i]^2$
= $(1 - \rho_i) m p_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_i p_i + \rho_i m p_i),$

and

$$
Var(y_{i1} | x_i) = E(y_{i1}^2 | x_i) - [E(y_{i1} | x_i)]^2
$$

= $(1 - \rho_i)mp_i(1 - p_i + mp_i) - [(1 - \rho_i)mp_i]^2$
= $(1 - \rho_i)mp_i(1 - p_i + m\rho_i p_i),$

hence we have

$$
corr(y_{i1}, y_{i2}|x_i) = \frac{\lambda_i - p_i(\lambda_i - m\rho_i)}{1 - p_i(1 - m\rho_i)}.
$$

References

[1] Jeanne Kowalski, Xin M. Tu. Modern applied U-statistics. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, 2008.