

Integration in Fysioroadmap
Update of GMFM-training

General impression

Positive

Useful
Clear
Nice

Application

Useful for evaluative purpose

Content

Disagreement on extent (short versus long)
Items

Important items missing
Variable order appreciated
Order of items illogical
Too few on activity level

Dimensions useful
Item set appreciated

Shortening
Reorganize order of items

Assessment

Very user friendly
Difficult in children with mental retardation or behavioral issues
Liked by children
Disadvantage of testing without aids/orthoses
Suitable environment needed
Limited equipment needed

Scoring

Disagreement on objectivity / level of interpretability
Accounts too little for compensating

GMAE

Valuable
User friendly
Useful options (percentiles / graphs / item maps)

Interpretation

Limited perceived sensitivity to change
In general
Young children
Severely affected children
Slightly affected children (ceiling effect)
Valuable for clinical practice (treatment plan)
Comparable with clinical presentation
Understandable for parents
Videotaping useful

Instruction DVD

More clear
Examples of how to score performances
Space for comments behind each item score

More specific description of scoring descriptions
Dutch GMFM-66 manual

Missing options

Description of score-description per item
Extension from motor growth curves
More page-efficient printing options

Reference tables
More responsive for severely affected children

General opinion

Suggestion