

EC

Supplemental electronic content to J Med Lib Assoc. Oct;103(4):DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.103.4.004

www.mlanet.org

© Kwon, Lemieux, McTavish, Wathen 2015

Identifying and removing duplicate records from systematic review searches

Yoojin Kwon, MLIS; Michelle Lemieux, MLIS; Jill McTavish, PhD, MLIS; Nadine Wathen, PhD

Table 3
Percentage of false negatives and positives

| | False negatives (% of total citations before deduplication) | False positives (% of total citations before deduplication) |
|----------------|---|---|
| Ovid | 7% | 0 |
| Ovid and EBSCO | 7% | 3.0% |
| RefWorks | 7% | 0.2% |
| EndNote | 17% | 0.3% |
| Mendeley | 3% | 0.3% |

^{*} False positives are duplicate citations that were deleted but should not have been and false negatives are duplicate citations that should have been deleted but were not.