Science Advances

www.advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/1/5/e1400127/DC1

Supplementary Materials for

Biodiversity and distribution of polar freshwater DNA viruses

Daniel Aguirre de Cárcer, Alberto López-Bueno, David A. Pearce, Antonio Alcamí

Published 19 June 2015, *Sci. Adv.* **1**, e1400127 (2015) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.1400127

This PDF file includes:

Fig. S1. Significant circular ssDNA-related contigs.

Fig. S2. Fine-grain genetic overlap between polar freshwater viromes.

Fig. S3. Coarse-grain genetic overlap between viromes.

Fig. S4. NJ trees depicting the relationships between phylogenetic marker genes.

Table S1. Cross-contig analysis of Arctic viromes.

Table S2. Taxonomic distribution (%) of assigned metagenomic reads from subsampled freshwater viromes.

Table S3. Cross-contig analysis of pooled freshwater environments.

Supplementary Materials



Supplementary figure 1. Significant circular ssDNA-related contigs. Contig name and length are shown. ORFs with no major similarities are shown in gray, while those with significant similarities to known viral structural or replication-related proteins are shown in blue and red, respectively. (A) Most abundant circular contigs >1000 bp found in each Arctic virome. (B) Representatives of the three highly similar contig groups found in at least four of the five Arctic viromes. (C) Representative of a shallow lineage found in both Antarctic and Arctic environments.



Supplementary figure 2. Fine-grain genetic overlap between polar freshwater viromes. The heatplot represents the results of reads-to-contigs mappings with *bowtie2*. Values (% of total reads with hits with - *-score-min* L,0,-0.2) are registered within the boxes and color-coded.



Supplementary figure 3. Coarse-grain genetic overlap between viromes. The heatplot represents the results of cross-tBLASTx results. Values (% of total reads with hits with E-value < 0.001) are registered within the boxes and color-coded. Horizontal labels represent viromes acting as query and vertical labels represent viromes acting as reference.



Supplementary figure 4. NJ trees depicting the relationships between phylogenetic marker genes. *Gene sequences were obtained from Arctic viromes (black and solid white branches), Antarctic viromes (green), Temperate lakes viromes (blue), recently published global sequences (orange), and reference sequences (red). (A) Circo-Nano-Geminiviridae (rep gene). (B) Microviridae (vp1 gene).* Arctic ssDNA viruses are broadly distributed across the known gene diversity spectra. Moreover, it seems that these contigs may expand the known diversity of *vp1* genes as the trees presents several deep–branching clusters with no previously described representatives (B).

Supplementary table 1. Cross-contig analysis of Arctic viromes. Number of contigs from each Arctic virome sharing at least 500bp at 98% similarity.

	SvL1	IR1	IR2	SvL2
IR1	169			
IR2	252	2408		
SvL2	25	37	26	
Lvl	21	100	135	49

Supplementary table 2. Taxonomic distribution (%) of assigned metagenomic reads from subsampled freshwater viromes*. Results represent BLASTx hits against a viral genomes database summarized by MEGAN. Only categories reaching 1% of assigned reads in at least one virome are shown.

	Lv1p	Bou	SvL1	IR1	IR2	SvL2	Lv1	Effl	A.Oce	Nur	Par
Ascoviridae	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0
Myoviridae	0.0	17.7	0.7	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.8	16.4	17.3	9.5	7.7
Podoviridae	0.0	3.7	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.6	13.7	4.1	9.7	5.5
Siphoviridae	0.0	21.4	3.8	1.0	0.3	0.0	1.3	37.6	25.5	29.0	20.7
Unclass.			0.1	0.0				0.0	1.0		0.5
Caudovirales	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.2	0.3	0.6
Iridoviridae	0.0	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.1
Mimiviridae	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	4.5	0.0	0.2
Phycodnaviridae	0.0	4.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	14.8	0.9	1.1
Unclass. dsDNA	0.0	11.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	5.1	2.1	3.5	5.1
Unclass. dsDNA	0.0			011				0.1		0.0	0.12
viruses	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.8	2.9	0.3	0.2
Satellites	0.5	0.0	1.7	1.2	1.7	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Circoviridae	13.5	0.2	37.0	41.3	22.0	56.9	42.9	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.7
Geminiviridae	4.3	0.0	3.3	5.6	6.0	0.9	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1
Inoviridae	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.1	0.1	0.0
Microviridae	1.4	13.2	8.3	11.2	14.1	1.0	1.3	0.1	0.0	3.8	0.0
Nanoviridae	9.2	0.2	7.2	2.0	6.4	3.6	11.9	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.0
Unclass. ssDNA viruses	70.0	0.2	28.4	28.4	39.4	35.7	34.2	2.3	0.0	22.7	48.5
ssRNA viruses	0.5	0.3	1.2	0.7	1.2	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Unclass. phages	0.0	7.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.9	9.1	6.6	5.9	3.6
%Reads assigned	0.5	4.6	3.9	3.2	5.2	6.8	2.0	2.9	0.6	3.7	4.2
	Pav	Pot	A.Spr	A.Sum	ElBer	Ham	Ilij	Mol	T504	T608	T439
Ascoviridae	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Myoviridae	20.4	13.4	2.5	5.4	31.0	24.9	28.6	45.4	9.5	14.1	14.0

Myoviridae	20.4	13.4	2.5	5.4	31.0	24.9	28.6	45.4	9.5	14.1	14.0
Podoviridae	4.8	9.4	2.2	0.8	10.7	11.4	6.9	5.0	23.4	27.4	25.2
Siphoviridae	27.8	27.2	6.2	5.4	20.6	25.7	28.4	26.9	18.6	24.1	23.1
Unclass.											
Caudovirales	0.5	0.9	0.0	0.1	2.2	2.4	2.9	2.1	1.4	1.9	1.9
Iridoviridae	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.8	1.0	1.5	0.4	1.5	0.9	1.2	0.6
Mimiviridae	0.4	1.8	0.0	2.2	2.1	0.7	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3
Phycodnaviridae	2.4	3.1	1.3	41.8	8.9	9.3	5.6	4.6	4.6	2.8	2.1
Unclass. dsDNA											
phages	4.4	4.5	1.0	0.4	4.1	3.4	6.1	4.9	6.9	10.9	7.0
Unclass. dsDNA											
viruses	0.5	0.0	0.2	15.4	3.4	2.2	1.6	0.7	0.5	1.2	0.6
Satellites	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Circoviridae	3.0	0.0	31.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.4
Geminiviridae	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.7
Inoviridae	0.0	2.7	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.3

Microviridae	0.3	3.6	4.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.3
Nanoviridae	0.7	0.0	1.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.5
Unclass. ssDNA											
viruses	10.1	0.0	37.2	16.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	18.5	0.0	1.7
ssRNA viruses	0.8	0.4	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Unclass. phages	5.7	26.8	1.0	0.9	3.3	4.2	4.0	2.2	3.3	4.1	6.0
%Reads											
assigned	3.0	0.6	1.5	2.7	2.5	3.8	3.6	5.8	3.6	2.7	3.9
deT 7 1	1		1 1 .	1							

*Virome names have been unambiguously shortened to fit.

Supplementary table 3. Cross-contig analysis of pooled freshwater environments;

Number of contigs from each pooled environment sharing at least 500bp at 98%, 95% and 90% similarity. Results are influenced by total information available for contig reconstruction in each case*.

98%	Antarctic	Acuacult.	Arctic	ArctOcean	Sahara	Temperate	Reclaimed
Antarctic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aquacult.	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arctic	0	0	-	-	-	-	-
ArctOcean	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
Sahara	26	0	0	0	-	-	-
Temperate	0	0	5	0	0	-	-
Reclaimed	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
95%	Antarctic	Acuacult.	Arctic	ArctOcean	Sahara	Temperate	Reclaimed
Antarctic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aquacult.	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arctic	2	0	-	-	-	-	-
ArctOcean	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
Sahara	28	0	0	0	-	-	-
Temperate	0	0	59	0	1	-	
Reclaimed	0	0	0	0	0	1	-
90%	Antarctic	Acuacult.	Arctic	ArctOcean	Sahara	Temperate	Reclaimed
Antarctic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aquacult.	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arctic	7	0	-	-	-	-	-
ArctOcean	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
Sahara	32	0	3	0	-	-	-
Temperate	13	1	286	0	23	-	-
Reclaimed	1	0	0	0	1	5	-

*Antarctic (ca. 240Mbp), Aquaculture (ca. 42Mbp), Arctic (ca. 3,200Mbp), Arctic Ocean (ca. 74Mbp), Sahara (ca. 65Mbp), Temperate lakes (ca. 250Mbp), Reclaimed water (ca. 238Mbp).