## **Table S1** Trait divergence categories

(Starts on next page) Trait divergence was considered 'parallel' when the best model of the species effect was either 'same effect' or was 'different effect' and the direction of divergence was 'same'. Trait divergence was considered 'single lake' when the best model of the species effect was either 'effect only in Paxton' or 'effect only in Priest'. Trait divergence was considered 'opposite' when the best model of species effect was 'different effect' and the direction of divergence was 'opposite'. The second best model of species effect and the delta AICc between it and the best model is also shown. When the delta AICc was less than two and the 2<sup>nd</sup> best model called for a different trait divergence category than the best model, we dropped the trait from further study (indicated by 'NA' in the "Trait divergence' based on AICc model selection' column), though detected QTL for all traits measured are shown in Tables S2 – S4.

Conte et al. 6

	based on AICc	Direction of	Best model of	2nd best model of	Delta
Trait	model selection	divergence	species effect	species effect	AICc
plate count	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	35.62
gill raker count	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.24
1st dorsal spine	Single lake	opposite	effect in Paxton only	different effect	2.14
2nd dorsal spine	NA	same	no effect	effect in Priest only	0.95
x1	NA	opposite	no effect	effect in Priest only	0.17
у1	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.72
x2	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	5.78
y2	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.28
х3	Opposite	opposite	different effect	effect in Paxton only	3.97
у3	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	1.14
x4	Single lake	opposite	effect in Priest only	different effect	2.12
y4	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	31.59
x5	NA	opposite	effect in Priest only	different effect	0.02
у5	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	2.77
x6	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	2.20
у6	Opposite	opposite	different effect	effect in Priest only	5.36
x7	NA	opposite	different effect	effect in Priest only	1.47
у7	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.90
x8	NA	opposite	effect in Paxton only	different effect	0.32
у8	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	0.53
x9	NA	same	effect in Priest only	different effect	1.36
у9	NA	same	effect in Paxton only	different effect	0.26
x10	Single lake	same	effect in Priest only	different effect	2.18
y10	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.01
x11	NA	same	effect in Paxton only	different effect	1.69
y11	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.83
x12	Opposite	opposite	different effect	effect in Priest only	19.50
y12	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.03
x13	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	2.97
y13	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.72
x15	NA	same	same effect	effect in Priest only	1.70
y15	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	1.01
x16	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	0.78
y16	Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	0.67
x17	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	3.19
y17	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	0.37
x18	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	0.84
y18	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	0.43
x19	NA	opposite	different effect	effect in Priest only	1.00
y19	Single lake	same	effect in Paxton only	different effect	2.13
x20	Parallel	same	different effect	effect in Priest only	3.10
y20	Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	17.17

'Trait divergence'

Conte et al. 7

## 'Trait divergence'

based on AICc	Direction of	Best model of	2nd best model of	Delta
model selection	divergence	species effect	species effect	AICc
Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	2.09
NA	opposite	no effect	effect in Priest only	0.37
Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	2.17
NA	same	effect in Paxton only	different effect	0.27
Opposite	opposite	different effect	effect in Paxton only	4.95
NA	same	different effect	effect in Priest only	0.29
NA	same	effect in Priest only	different effect	1.32
Opposite	opposite	different effect	effect in Paxton only	17.01
Single lake	same	effect in Priest only	different effect	2.19
Parallel	same	different effect	effect in Paxton only	4.15
NA	same	different effect	effect in Priest only	1.63
Parallel	same	different effect	same effect	3.30
Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	2.12
Parallel	same	same effect	different effect	2.15
Parallel	same	different effect	effect in Paxton only	25.59
	model selection  Parallel NA Parallel NA Opposite NA Opposite Single lake Parallel NA Parallel Parallel Parallel	model selectiondivergenceParallelsameNAoppositeParallelsameNAsameOppositeoppositeNAsameOppositeoppositeSingle lakesameParallelsameParallelsameParallelsameParallelsameParallelsameParallelsameParallelsame	model selectiondivergencespecies effectParallelsamesame effectNAoppositeno effectParallelsamesame effectNAsameeffect in Paxton onlyOppositeoppositedifferent effectNAsamedifferent effectNAsameeffect in Priest onlyOppositeoppositedifferent effectSingle lakesameeffect in Priest onlyParallelsamedifferent effectNAsamedifferent effectParallelsamedifferent effectParallelsamesame effectParallelsamesame effect	model selectiondivergencespecies effectspecies effectParallelsamesame effectdifferent effectNAoppositeno effecteffect in Priest onlyParallelsamesame effect in Paxton onlydifferent effectNAsameeffect in Paxton onlydifferent effectOppositeoppositedifferent effecteffect in Paxton onlyNAsameeffect in Priest onlydifferent effectOppositeoppositedifferent effecteffect in Paxton onlySingle lakesameeffect in Priest onlydifferent effectParallelsamedifferent effecteffect in Paxton onlyNAsamedifferent effecteffect in Priest onlyParallelsamedifferent effectsame effectParallelsamesame effectdifferent effectParallelsamesame effectdifferent effect

Conte et al. 8