**Table S1.** MNI coordinates mapping in according with Chang et al. parcellations (2012). If original paper provided coordinates in Talairax space they are listed in the most right column.

		MNI Coordi-		Insula	Talairax coordi- nates as
Concept	Source	nates	Contrast	Region	reported
Self-initiated stopping	Brass & Haggard, 2007 Brass & Haggard	-33,9,-12	stopping action vs. completing	vAI	-31,8,-6
Self-initiated stopping	2007	35,19,-17	stopping action vs. completing metabolic changes positively	vAI	32,17,-9
Urge to smoke PET & fMRI	Brody et al., 2002	38,23,-3	associated with urge to smoke in heavy smokers metabolic changes positively	dAI	34,20,4
Urge to smoke PET & fMRI	Brody et al., 2002	40,8,-2	associated with urge to smoke in heavy smokers metabolic changes positively	dAI	36,6,4
Urge to smoke PET & fMRI	Brody et al., 2002	-38,5,-7	associated with urge to smoke in heavy smokers metabolic changes positively	dAI	-36,4,-2
Urge to smoke PET & fMRI	Brody et al., 2002	-29,11,-12	associated with urge to smoke in heavy smokers	vAI	-28,10,-6
Urge to smoke	Brody et al., 2007	-49,17,1	positively correlated with craving	dAI	-46,14,6
Urge to smoke	Brody et al., 2007	-31,23,0	positively correlated with craving	dAI	-30,20,6
Urge to smoke	Brody et al., 2007	-40,13,2	positively correlated with craving	dAI	-38,10,6
Urge to smoke	Brody et al., 2007 Burke & Tobler,	-36,9,9	positively correlated with craving positive skewness vs. negative	dAI	-34,6,12
Skewness	Burke & Tobler, 2011	-30,8,-17	positive skewness > 0 skewness > negative skewness	vAI vAI	
Loss chasing game	Campbell- Meiklejohn et al., 2008	36,18,0	chasing losses vs. quit chasing losses	dAI	
Loss chasing game	Campbell- Meiklejohn et al., 2008	-32,20,2	chasing losses vs. quit chasing losses connectivity to ACC during high	dAI	
Connectivity	Cohen et al., 2005	-42,20,0	risk	dAI	
Connectivity Cognitive Control	Cohen et al., 2005 Cole & Schneider,	35,25,-17	risk	vAI+1	
Network Cognitive Control	2007 Cole & Schneider	38,22,5	target switching vs. non-switching	dAI	34,18,11
Network	2007 Dosenbach et al	-35,21,4	target switching vs. non-switching overlap of start-cue sustained and	dAI	-33,18,9
Task-set system	2006	-37,17,0	error-related activations	dAI	-35,14,5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plus sign indicates that the foci outside of the insula as defined by the masks but only 1 pixel off the area identified. Which means that the activation defined in the paper would include the identified area.

		MNI Coordi-		Insula	Talairax coordi- nates as
Concept	Source	nates	Contrast	Region	reported
Task-set system	Dosenbach et al., 2006	40,19,-3	overlap of start-cue, sustained, and error-related activations	dAI	36,16,4
Task-set system	2006	39,20,-2	focus	dAI	35,17,5
Task-set system	2006	-37,16,0	focus	dAI	-35,13,5
consciousness of thirst	Egan et al., 2003	-39,-20,18	saline injection	PI	-37,-22,18
consciousness of thirst	Egan et al., 2003	43,19,6	maximum thirst increase	dAI	39,15,12
consciousness of thirst	Egan et al., 2003	-41,27,-3	maximum thirst increase	dAI	-39,24,3
consciousness of thirst	Egan et al., 2003	-41,15,8	maximum thirst increase	dAI	-39,12,12
reward	Elliott et al., 2003 Engelman et al	50,-11,-4	reward vs. no-reward	PI+	45,-12,0
Smoking cue reactivity	2012	-28,-26,14	ALE: smoking cue > neutral cue	vAI	-27,-27,14
and controls	Garavan et al., 2000 Gizewski et al	45,-4,14	film	PI	40,-7,17
stimuli	2006	-44,-4,3	male-female in luteal phase	dAI	-42,-6,6
stimuli	Gizewski et al., 2006	50,-6,-6	male-female in luteal phase	PI+	45,-7,-1
Exposure to erotic stimuli	Gizewski et al., 2006	-44,-2,0	male-female menstrual phase	dAI	-42,-3,3
Exposure to erotic stimuli	Gizewski et al., 2006	48,-2,-2	male-female menstrual phase	ADI	43,-4,3
Exposure to erotic stimuli	Gizewski et al., 2006	-44,-2,-7	female in luteal vs. menstrual phase	PI+/dAI+	-42,-3,-3
Exposure to erotic stimuli	Gizewski et al., 2006	46,2,-7	female in luteal vs. menstrual phase	PI	42,0,-1
Error awareness	Harsay et al., 2012	34,18,-12	aware errors vs. unaware errors	vAI	
Error awareness Anticipation of	Harsay et al., 2012	50,8,-4	aware errors vs. unaware errors	dAI	
ambiguities uncertain gain	Huettel, 2006	41,19,9	decision phase, risk including ambiguity	dAI	
action when	Jenkins et al., 2000	-38,13,8	triggered action	dAI	-36,10,12
action when	Jenkins et al., 2000	38,22,2	triggered action	dAI	34,18,8
men: cocaine	Kilts et al., 2001	-33,-2,15	cocaine imagery vs. neutral story	PI	-32,-5,17
men: cocaine	Kilts et al., 2001	-41,21,0	cocaine imagery vs. neutral story	dAI	-39,18,5
men: cocaine	Kilts et al., 2001	50,19,-7	cocaine imagery vs. anger story	dAI	45,16,0

Concept	Source	MNI Coordi- nates	Contrast	Insula Region	Talairax coordi- nates as reported
Drug related urges in					1
men: cocaine Drug related urges in	Kilts et al., 2001	-36,23,-6	cocaine imagery vs. anger story	dAl	-34,20,0
women: cocaine	Kilts et al., 2004	-36,-1,6	cocaine images vs. neutral	PI dai+/vai	-34,-3,9
Error awareness	Klein et al., 2007	41,23,-14	aware errors vs. unaware errors	+	37,21,-6
Error awareness	Klein et al., 2007	-43,14,-5	aware errors vs. unaware errors	dAI	-41,12,0
to uncertain gain/loss	2008	33,23,-5	gain anticipation-loss anticipation	vAI	30,20,2
Anticipatory response	Knutson & Greer,				
to uncertain gain/loss	2008	27,23,-7	loss anticipation-gain anticipation	vAl	24,20,-8
Anticipatory response to uncertain gain/loss	Knutson & Greer, 2008	-38,19,-4	loss anticipation-gain anticipation	dAI	-36,16,2
Anticipatory response to uncertain gain/loss	Knutson & Greer, 2008	36,21,-7	gain anticipation -gain outcome	dAI	32,18,0
Anticipatory response to uncertain gain/loss	Knutson & Greer, 2008	51,14,-9	gain anticipation-gain outcome	dAI	46,12,-2
Monetary incentive delay	Knutson et al., 2000	37,19,0	reward trials vs. no incentive	dAI	33,16,6
Monetary incentive delay Anticipatory response	Knutson et al., 2000	36,23,-3	punishment vs. no incentive	dAI	
to predict purchase	Knutson et al., 2007	32,24,-9	viewing product, then price	vAI	29,21,-1
to predict purchase	Knutson et al., 2007	-28,23,-10	viewing product, then price	vAI	-27, 21,-3
Risk vs. safe choice in investment paradigm	Kuhen & Knutson, 2005	-41,22,2	anticipatory	dAI	-39, 19, 7
Risk vs. safe choice in investment paradigm	Kuhen & Knutson, 2005	42,23,5	anticipatory	dAI	38, 19, 11
Self-initiated stopping	Kuhn & Brass, 2009	-39,21,-7	decide nogo vs. instructed stop	dAI	
Self-initiated stopping	Kuhn & Brass, 2009	42,25,-4	decide nogo vs. instructed stop	dAI	
SMH	Lawrence et al 2009	-36, 6, -6	deck selection in IGT: risky-safe	dAI	
SMH	Lawrence et al 2009	-30, 12,-12	deck selection in IGT: risky-safe	vAI	
SMH	Lawrence et al 2009	36,27,3	deck selection in IGT: risky-safe	dAI	
SMH	Lawrence et al 2009	-36, 15, -6	score	dAI	
Post-error slowing	Li et al., 2008	36,20,8	post error trials slower RT vs. not no slower RT	dAI	

Concept	Source	MNI Coordi- nates	Contrast	Insula Region	Talairax coordi- nates as reported
Post-error slowing	Li et al., 2008	44,24,-4	post error trials slower RT vs. not slower RT	dAI	
Post-error slowing	Li et al., 2008	-32,20,12	post error trials slower RT vs. not slower RT post error trials slower RT vs. not	dAI	
Post-error slowing	Li et al., 2008	-44,16,-4	slower RT	dAI	
Urge to smoke Risk components: value, perceived risk &	McBride et al., 2006	-36,12,2	smoking video vs. control video	dAI	
risk attitude Risk components: value, perceived risk &	Mohr et al., 2010	44,22,-2	making judgment of perceived risk	dAI	
risk attitude Internally triggered	Mohr et al., 2010	32,12,-22	making judgment of perceived risk which button to press: free choice	vAI	
action what Internally triggered	Mueller et al.,2007	-41,7,2	vs. instruction which button to press: free choice	dAI	-39,5,6
action what	Mueller et al.,2007	43,10,-6	vs. instruction	vAI	39,8,0
alcohol cues in alcoholics Activation during	Myrick et al.,2004	41,-5,-14	alcohol vs. non alcoholic beverage	vAI	37,-5,-8
alcoholics Activation during alcohol cues in	Myrick et al.,2004	-48,15,-10	alcohol vs. non alcoholic beverage	dAI	-45,13,-4
alcoholics Activation during alcohol cues in	Myrick et al.,2004	47,22,-13	alcohol vs. control image	dAI+	43,20,-5
alcoholics	Myrick et al., 2004	-39,3,-8	alcohol vs. control image	vAI	-37,2,-3
Task-level control	Nelson et al., 2010	-28,24,-18	Ventral ROI	vAI +	-27,22,-10
Task-level control	Nelson et al., 2010	-33,31,-8	Anterior ROI	vAI +	-31,28,-1
Task-level control	Nelson et al., 2010	-35,26,4	Dorsal ROI	vAI <sup>2</sup>	-33,22,9
Task-level control	Nelson et al., 2010	-34,17,9	Dorsal-posterior ROI	dAI <sup>2</sup>	-33,13,13
Task-level control	Nelson et al., 2010	41,12,-5	Posterior ROI	dAl	-37,10,1
chance of larger win	Paulus & Frank, 2006 Preuschoff et al	-38,19,-8	anticipation: high reward vs. low reward	dAI	-36,17,-2
and risk Diff expected reward	2006 Preuschoff et al	-34,-4,9	immediate expected reward value	PI	-33,-6,11
and risk	2006 Preuschoff et al	-27,21,-5	immediate expected reward value	vAI	-26,18,1
and risk	2006	-34,20,-5	immediate reward variance	vAI	-32,17,1
Diff. expected reward and risk	Preuschoff et al., 2006	38,16,-6	immediate reward variance	dAI	34,13,1

 $^2$  Since only the most anterior portion of insula was examined in this paper the ROI names do not match with sub-regions we are discussing

Concept	Source	MNI Coordi- nates	Contrast	Insula Region	Talairax coordi- nates as reported
Diff. expected reward and risk	Preuschoff et al., 2006	-31,25,4	delayed reward variance	vAI	-30,21,9
prediction error	2008	36,17,-11	after 1 <sup>st</sup> card)	vAI	32,15,-3.3
prediction error	Preuschoff et al., 2008	-33,16,-8	risk prediction error (1s epoch after 1 <sup>st</sup> card)	vAI	-31,14,-2.4
Risk prediction and risk prediction error	Preuschoff et al., 2008	37,25,1	risk prediction (between cards 1 & 2, 2d epoch)	dAI	33,21,8
Risk prediction and risk prediction error Anticipation vs. consumption for	Preuschoff et al., 2008	-32,26,2	risk prediction (between cards 1 & 2, 2d epoch)	vAI/dAI+	-31,22,7.7
monetary and social rewards Anticipation vs	Rademacher et al., 2010	-48,11,-8	monetary reward anticipation vs.no reward	dAI	-45,9,-3
consumption for \$ and social rewards	Rademacher et al., 2010	34,-32,22	social reward anticipation vs. no reward	PI+	30,-34,21
game	Rilling et al., 2008a	39,13,-4	cooperation	dAI	35,11,2
game Social decisions PD	Rilling et al., 2008a	-34,16,-12	cooperation	vAI	-32,14,-6
game	Rilling et al., 2008a	41,25,-8	cooperation	dAI	37,22,0
social decisions PD game	Rilling et al., 2008a	-34,19,-10	cooperation	vAI	-32,17,-4
Neural correlates of sexual arousal	Safron et al., 2007	-34,5,16	erotic preferred gender vs. non- preferred	PI	-33,2,18
sexual arousal	Safron et al., 2007	-39,-1,1	erotic preferred gender vs. sports	PI	-37,-3,4
addicts	Sell et al., 1999	-40,11,-14	watching heroin salient video	vAI	-38,10,-8
Reward magnitude	Smith et al., 2009	42,-6,-10	Large - small reward	vAI	38,-7,-5
Salience Network	2008	34,26,-6	Insula ROI	dAI	
dimensions: skewness and variance Cue reactivity in	Symmonds et al., 2011	30,16,-14	positive correlation with increase in positive skewness	vAI	
alcohol dependent vs. controls	Tapert et al., 2004	-47,-11,15	alcohol cues, alcohol dependent vs. control participants	PI	-45,-13,16
hunger and satiation	1 ataranni et al., 1999	-44,18,-6	(liquid meal)	dAI	-42,16,0
Neural correlates of hunger and satiation	Tataranni et al., 1999	38,-7,-5	hunger after 36hr fast vs. satiation (liquid meal)	PI	34,-8,0
Itching and scratching	Vierow et al., 2009	-37,16,2	scratching during itch	dAI	-35,13,7
Itching and scratching	Vierow et al., 2009	45,16,-4	scratching during itch	dAI	41,13,3

		MNI Coordi-		Insula	Talairax coordi- nates as
Concept	Source	nates	Contrast	Region	reported
Itching and scratching	Vierow et al., 2009	49,-27,21	scratching during itch	in paper PI <sup>3</sup>	44,-29,21
Itching and scratching	Vierow et al., 2009	-48,-32,23	scratching during itch Anticipation of uncertainty vs	In paper PI <sup>3</sup>	-46,-33,21
Uncertainty	Volz, 2004	-27,28,0	certainty Anticipation of uncertainty vs.	vAI	-26,24,6
Uncertainty	Volz, 2004	31,26,2	certainty	vAI	28,22,9
Skewness Skewness	Wu et al., 2011 Wu et al., 2011	33,27,-7 32,26,-11	High vs low variance High vs low variance	vAI vAI +	30, 24, 1 -30, 24, -4
Skewness	Wu et al., 2011	33,26,-7	Skew vs. non skew	vAI	30, 23, 1
Skewness	Wu et al., 2011	-35,26,-5	Skew vs. non skew	vAI	-33, 23, 1
ALE meta on mean, variance and skewness	Wu et al., 2012	-34,18,-6	High vs. low variance	vAI	-32,16,0
variance and skewness ALE meta on mean,	Wu et al., 2012	36,16,-9	High vs. low variance	vAI	-32,14,-2
variance and skewness ALE meta on mean,	Wu et al., 2012	36,19,-5	High vs. low mean	dAI	34,16,2
variance and skewness	Wu et al., 2012	-31,20,-6	High vs. low mean	vAI	-30,18,0
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	-36,14,-2	outcome phase, risk vs. no-risk	dAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	-38,14,-2	outcome phase, risk vs. no-risk	dAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	40,18,-10	risk	dAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	-34,10,8	decision after non-risk vs. risk win	dAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	48,4,2	decision after non-risk vs. risk win	dAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	48,2,0	risk vs. non-risk decisions risk-seeking after non-risk vs.	dAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	34,22,-14	after risk-win risk-seeking after non-risk vs	vAI	
Effect of prior risk	Xue et al., 2010	-30,24,2	after risk-win	vAI	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The coordinates fell outside of the mask but the area identified in paper as stated (PI)