- 1 Supplementary Information
- 2 Supplementary Methods
- 3

4 **Protein purification**

5 Truncated H1.3 coding 37-211 (ΔN) and 1-111 amino acids (ΔC) were expressed 6 and purified as described elsewhere (1).

7

8 The affinity between HP1γ and histone H3N-terminal peptides

The affinities of HP1y and the CD domain towards the N-terminal tail peptide 9 10 (1-19) of H3 were determined by ITC as described previously (2). The N-terminal 11 peptide of H3 (1-19) containing H3cK9me3, in which lysine residue was replaced with aminoethyl cysteine, was prepared as described (2). In brief, histone H3 12(1-19) carrying K9C mutation was expressed with SUMO-tag in bacteria. The 13 fusion protein was digested with SENP2 protease, and the peptide was purified 14with reverse phase chromatography. The unmodified or trimethylated lysine 1516 analogue was introduced into the peptide as described (3).

17

18 Gel shift assays

GST, GST- HP1y, GST- HP1y CD+HR, GST- HP1y CD, GST-HP1a CD+HR, 19 GST- HP1 α CD, and GST-HP1 γ - α HR (1, 4, and 8 μ M) was mixed with 25 nM of 2021monosomal DNA without linker, of length 147bp, described by Mishima et al. (2), in the binding buffer including 100 mM NaCl and the binding activity was 22determined as described in the Materials and Methods. To examine the 23interaction between GST-HP1y and DNA in the presence of MgCl₂, 3 mM 24MgCl₂, instead of EDTA, was added in the binding buffer including 100 mM 2526NaCl, and separated in a 0.7% agarose gel in 45 mM Tris-borate, and 3 mM 27MgCl₂

28 MgCl₂-dependent nucleosome precipitation

Nucleosomes were precipitated by centrifugation in the presence of $MgCl_2$ (4, 5). 29In brief, 40 ng DNA/µI tetra-nucleosomes or mono-nucleosomes in buffer B 30 31comprising 2.5 mM NaCl, 0.25 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8 were mixed with an equal volume of buffer B containing MgCl₂ to make the indicated final 32MgCl₂ concentrations. After the incubation at room temperature for 10 min, 33 samples were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature. The 34absorbance at 260 nm of the supernatant fraction, which was not precipitated, 3536 was determined in a Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc).

37

38 Gel filtration

Purified HP1 without GST tag was separated by size exclusion chromatography,
 as described in Materials and Methods, with slight modification. The buffer used

- 41 contained either 0.2 mM EDTA or 3 mM MgCl₂.
- 42

43 MgCl₂-dependent binding of HP1γ to tetra-nucleosomes

To analyze the interaction between HP1y and reconstituted nucleosomes, 0.2 44nM HP1y and 90 nM of tetra-nucleosomes (amount converted to that of a 45nucleosome particle with a histone octamer) were mixed in 10 µl of binding 46 buffer containing 6 mM MgCl₂, incubated at room temperature for 30 min, and 47then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant and 48 49 precipitated fractions were separately electrophoresed in an 18% SDSpolyacrylamide gel. The protein bands were transferred onto a nitrocellulose 50membrane, and the HP1y and H4 were immuno-detected with the specific 51antibodies. 52

53

54 Pull down assay determining nucleosome-binding activity of HP1α

Pull down assay was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Two or
 four pmol (amount converted to that of a nucleosome particle with a histone
 octamer) of reconstituted nucleosomes were incubated with 40 or 80 pmol of
 GST- HP1α-bound GSH-Sepharose, unless otherwise mentioned.

59

60 H1-dependent nucleosome precipitation

Tetra-nucleosomes (0.2 μ M, amount converted to that of a nucleosome particle with a histone octamer) were incubated with 0.8 μ M H1 in the binding buffer, and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min at 4°C. As a control, 0.5 μ M HP1 γ , I155K, or the CD, or 0.8 μ M H1 alone was centrifuged. The supernatant and precipitated fractions were electrophoresed in an 18% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and stained with Lumitein.

67

68 Pull-down assay in the presence of H1

69 Pull-down assays were performed as described in the Materials and Methods,

except for fixed amount of H1 (four molar excess amount converted to that of a

nucleosome particle with a histone octamer) was added to the reaction mixture.

72Legends for Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure S1. Affinity of HP1y to the N-terminal peptide of H3. 73

ITC titration curves of full-length HP1y (FL) (A) and the CD of HP1y coding 74(1-75) (CD) (B) towards the N-terminal peptide of histone H3 (1-19) containing 7576 lysine analogue at K9 with tri-methylated (H3cK9me3) (left panel) or 77unmethylated (H3cK9me0) (right panels) are shown. (C) The dissociation constants are calculated by fitting the data using the ITC data analysis module of 7879Origin 7.0 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA). The dissociation constants are shown in µM. Measurements below the detection limit are indicated as b.d. The 80 dissociation constants for HP1 α (*) are taken from a previous report (2). 81

82

Supplementary Figure S2. HP1 α , HP1 γ , and H1 isoforms used in the 83 present study. 84

(A) Amino acid sequences of human HP1 α and HP1 γ aligned with ClustalW 85

(http://clustalw.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/). The CD, HR, and CSD are indicated in light blue, 86

87 dark blue, and red, respectively. Identical amino acids are indicated as asterisks

below the alignment. Colon and dot indicate similar and less similar amino 88

- acids, respectively. Ile 165 in HP1 α , which is reported to be crucial for 89
- dimerization (6), is enclosed with red square. Ile 155 in HP1v is the 90
- corresponding residue to the IIe 155 in HP1a. (B) SDS-polyacrylamide gel 91
- 92electrophoresis of purified HP1 α , HP1 γ , and H1 isoforms. Recombinant GST,
- GST-tagged wild-type, truncated and mutant HP1 α , HP1 γ , and their chimera 93
- HP1 (upper left panel), HP1 α , HP1 γ , HP1 α I165K, HP1 γ I155K, and HP1 γ (1-75) 94
- (upper right panel), wild type H1.2, H1.3, H1.4, and H1.5 (lower left panel), and 95
- the N- and C-terminal truncated H1.3 (lower right panel) (0.5 µg each) were 96
- 97 separated in 18% SDS-polyacrylamide gels, and stained with Coomassie
- 98 Brilliant Blue (CBB). Molecular size markers are indicated at left of each panel.
- 99

100 Supplementary Figure S3. DNA binding activity of GST-HP1y and GST-**HP1**α.

101

102(A) Naked DNA was incubated without protein (lane 1), with GST-HP1α (lanes 2-4), GST- HP1y (lanes 5-7), GST-HP1y-αHR (lanes 8-10) or GST (lanes 103 11-13). To DNA, 40 (lanes 2, 5, 8 and 11), 160 (lanes 3, 6, 9 and 12), or 320 104 (lanes 4, 7, 10 and 13) molar excess of protein was added, and the binding 105activity was examined as described in Figure 3A. (B) Naked DNA was 106 incubated without (lane 1) or with GST-HP1y (lanes 2-4). To the DNA, 40 (lane 107 2), 160 (lane 3), or

3

- 320 (lane 4) molar excess of GST-HP1γ was added in the presence of 3 mM
 MgCl₂, and the reaction mixture was separated in a 0.7% agarose containing 45
 mM Tris-borate, and 3 mM MgCl₂. Arrowhead and bracket indicate the position
- 111 of free DNA and shifted DNA, respectively.
- 112

Supplementary Figure S4. The CSD of GSTHP1γ negatively regulates the binding to DNA.

- **(A)** Schematic illustration of truncated GST-HP1γ. (**B**) The binding of tetra-
- 116 $\,$ nucleosomes to the GST-HP1\gamma deleted the HR and/or the CSD was determined
- as described in **Figure 1C**. The GSH-Sepharose bound with the truncated GST-
- 118 HP1γ was incubated with unmethylated H3 (unme) or H3K9me3 (K9me3) tetra-
- nucleosomes (left panel). The relative amounts of core histones recovered in
- the bound fraction over the input (%) were calculated and shown as mean \pm S.
- 121 E. (n=3) (right panel). (C) Naked DNA was incubated without protein (lane 1),
- 122 with GST-HP1 α FL (lanes 2-4), GST-HP1 α CD+HR (lanes 5-7), GST-
- 123 HP1 α CD (lanes 8-10), GST-HP1 γ (lanes 11-13), GST-HP1 γ CD+HR (lanes
- 124 14-16), or GST-HP1 γ CD (lanes 17-19). To the DNA, 40 (lanes 2, 5, 8, 11, 14
- and 17), 160 (lanes 3, 6, 9, 12, 15 and 18), or 320 (lanes 4, 7, 10, 13, 16 and
 19) molar excess of protein was added, and the binding activity was determined
 as described in Figure 3A. Arrowhead and bracket indicate the position of free
 DNA and shifted DNA, respectively.
- 129

Supplementary Figure S5. Effect of MgCl₂ concentration on the aggregation state of tetra- and mono-nucleosomes.

- Unmethylated H3 (open circles) and H3K9me3 (closed circles) tetra- (**A**), or mono-nucleosomes (**B**) were incubated with indicated concentrations of MgCl₂, and then centrifuged. The absorbance at 260 nm of the input and supernatant fractions was determined, and ratio (%) of tetra- and mono-nucleosomes in the supernatant to the input is shown as mean \pm S. E. (n=3).
- 137

Supplementary Figure S6. Effect of MgCl₂ on the elution profile of HP1γ on gel filtration.

- 140 HP1γ whose GST-tag was removed was loaded onto a Superdex 200 column (1
- 141 x 30 cm), equilibrated with a buffer containing either 0.2 mM EDTA (solid line) or
- 142 presence of 3 mM MgCl₂ (dotted line). Absorbance at 280 nm was monitored.
- 143

Supplementary Figure S7. MgCl₂ dependent interaction between HP1γ and tetra-nucleosomes.

Unmethylated H3 (lanes 1, 2, 5, and 6) or H3K9me3 (lanes 3, 4, 7, and 8) tetra-

147 nucleosomes were incubated with HP1 γ , in the reaction buffer containing 50

148 mM NaCl, either in the presence of 6 mM (lanes 1-4) or in the absence of MgCl₂

149 (lanes 5-8). After incubation, mixtures were centrifuged and then the

150 supernatant (S) and precipitated (P) fractions were separately recovered.

151 Histone H4 and HP1 γ in the fractions were detected with Western blotting.

152

Supplementary Figure S8. Binding activity of GST-HP1α towards

154 H3K9me3 tetra-nucleosomes in the presence or absence of MgCl₂.

Unmethylated or H3K9me3 tetra-nucleosomes, were incubated with GST-HP1a 155bound to GSH Sepharose, as described in either Figure 1C (in the absence of 156MgCl₂) or Figure 2A, in the presence of 3 mM MgCl₂ and 50 mM NaCl. (A) Two 157pmol of reconstituted nucleosomes were incubated with 80 pmol of GST- HP1y-158bound GSH-Sepharose. The data for binding activity of HP1α in the absence of 159 $MgCl_2$ are taken from previous report (2) (B) Four pmol of reconstituted 160nucleosomes were incubated with 40 pmol of GST-HP1y-bound GSH-161 162Sepharose. The binding activity was guantitated as in Figure 1C. Means \pm S. E. (n=3) are shown. 163

164

165 Supplementary Figure S9. Precipitation of tetra-nucleosomes in the 166 presence of H1 isoforms.

Unmethylated H3 (unme, lanes 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 18) or H3K9me3
(K9me3, lanes 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19 and 20) tetra-nucleosomes
were incubated without (lane 1-4), or with H1.2 (lanes 5-8), H1.3 (lanes 9-12),

170 H1.4 (lanes 13-16), or H1.5 (lanes 17-20). After the incubation, the reaction

mixtures were centrifuged, and supernatant (S) and precipitated (P)

172 fractions were separately recovered, and then electrophoresed in an 18%

173 SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Arrow and bracket indicate H1 and core histones,

- 174 respectively. Molecular size markers are shown at the left of the gel (kDa).
- 175

176 Supplementary Figure S10. HP1γ or/and H1 does not form aggregation.

177 HP1γ (WT) (lanes 1 and 2), HP1γ I155K (I155K) (lanes 3 and 4), HP1γ CD

178 (lanes 5 and 6) (0.5 μM), or 0.8 μM of H1.2 (lanes 7 and 8), H1.3 (lanes 9 and

179 10), H1.4 (lanes 11 and 12), or H1.5 (lanes 13 and 14) (A), and a mixture

containing HP1γ (0.5 μM) and H1 isoforms (0.8 μM), H1.2 (lanes 1 and 2), H1.3 (lanes 3 and 4), H1.4 (lanes 5 and 6), or H1.5 (lanes 7 and 8) **(B)** were centrifuged, supernatant (S) and precipitated (P) fractions were separately recovered, and then analyzed as in **Supplementary Figure S9**. Arrows and arrowheads indicate H1 isoforms and HP1γ, respectively. Molecular size markers (kDa) are shown at the left of images.

186

Supplementary Figure S11. Binding of GST-HP1γ to H3K9me3 tetra nucleosomes condensed by H1 isoforms.

189 GST-HP1γ binding to tetra-nucleosomes condensed by H1 isoforms were

190 examined as in **Figure 1C**. GSH-Sepharose, on which GST-HP1γ were

- anchored, was incubated with unmethylated H3 (unme) or H3K9me3 (K9me3)
- tetra-nucleosomes. After incubation, input (I), unbound (U), wash (W), and
- ¹⁹³ pulled down bound (B) fractions for H1.2, H1.3, H1.4, and H1.5 were separated.
- 194 Core histones are indicated by brackets (**A**). The relative amounts of core 195 histones recovered in the bound fraction over the input (%) were calculated and
- 196 shown as mean \pm S. E. (n=3) (B).
- 197

Supplementary Figure S12. Binding of GST-HP1γ with tetra-nucleosomes in the presence of truncated H1.3.

200 (A) Schematic illustration of full-length (FL), the N-terminal deleted H1.3

- 201 (37-221) (ΔN), and C-terminal deleted H1.3 ΔC (1-111). Globular domains are
- indicated by ellipses. **(B)** Binding of truncated H1.3 to tetra-nucleosomes.
- 203 Unmethylated H3 (unme, upper panel) or H3K9m3 (K9me3, lower panel) tetra-
- nucleosomes were incubated without H1 (lanes 1 and 10) or with H1.3 Δ N (Δ N)
- (lanes 2-5 and 11-14), or H1.3 Δ C (Δ C) (lanes 6-9 and 15-18). To 0.2 pmol of
- histone octamer, a half (lanes 2, 6, 11 and 15), equal (lanes 3, 7, 12 and 16),
- two-fold (lanes 4, 8, 13 and 17), or four-fold (lanes 5, 9, 14 and 18) molar
- excess of truncated H1.3 was added and electrophoresed. Tetra-nucleosomes
- and H1-bound fractions of tetra-nucleosomes are indicated by arrowheads and
- brackets, respectively. (C) Effect of truncated H1.3 on the binding of HP1 γ to
- the tetra-nucleosomes (left panel). Bound over input (%) was determined and
- means ± S. E. (n=3) are shown.
- 213

214 Supplementary Figure S13. Whole gel images for the main figures.

The regions excised are shown as red boxes. In panels for Figures 1A, 1C, 1G,

216 2A, 2C, 2E, 3B, 4A, and 4C, the input (one-fourth of each) (I), unbound (U), 217 wash (W), and bound (B) fractions were electrophoresed. For the binding 218 experiments, unmethylated H3 (unme) or H3K9me3 (K9me3) tetra-219 nucleosomes were used. The positions of GST, H3, H4, HP1 α , HP1 γ , and H1 220 isoforms are indicated in each corresponding images.

221

- **REFERENCES**

1, Takeshima, H., Suetake, I., and Tajima, S. (2008). Mouse Dnmt3a preferentially methylates linker DNA and is inhibited by histone H1. *J. Mol. Biol.*, , 810-821.

228 2, Mishima, Y., Watanabe, M., Kawakami, T., Jayasinghe, C. D., Otani, J.,
229 Kikugawa, Y., Shirakawa, M., Kimura, H., Nishimura, O., Aimoto, Tajima, S., and
230 Suetake, I. (2013) Hinge and chromoshadow of HP1α participate in recognition
231 of K9 methylated histore H3 in nucleosomes. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **425**, 54-70.

3, Simon, M. D. (2010) Installation of site-specific methylation into histones using
methyl lysine analogs. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol. Chapt* 21: unit 21.18, pp. 1-10,
John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

4, Schwarz, P. M and Hansen, J. C. (1994) Formation and Stability of Higher
Order Chromatin Structures. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **269**, 16284-16289.

5, Blacketer, M. J., Feely, S. J., and Shogren-Knaak, M. A. (2010) Nucleosome
Interactions and Stability in an Ordered Nucleosome Array Model System. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 285, 34597-34607.

6, Lechner, M. S., Schultz, D. C., Negorev, D., Maul, G. G., and Rauscher, F. J.
3rd. (2005) The mammalian heterochromatin protein 1 binds diverse nuclear
proteins through a common motif that targets the chromoshadow domain. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **331**, 929-937.



Δ										
<i>/ \</i>	HP1α	1 MGKKTKRTADSSSSEDEEEYVVEKVLDRRVVKGQVEYLLKWKGFSEEHNTWEPEKNLDCP 60								
	ΗΡ1γ	1 MGKKQNGKSKKVEEAEPEEFVVEKVLDRRVVNGKVEYFLKWKGFTDADNTWEPEENLDCP 60 **** : : **:*********************								
		chromo domain (CD)								
	HP1α	ELISEFMKKYKKMKEGENNKPREKSESNKRKSNFSNSADDIKSKKKREQSNDIARGFERG 120								
	HP1γ	61 ELIEAFLNSQKAGKEKDGTKRKSLSDSESDDSKSKKKRD-AADK p RGFARG 110								
		*** *:: * ***** *****: * * ******								
	HP1α	hinge region (HR)								
		121 LEPEKIIGATDSCGDLMFLMKWKDTDEADLVLAKEANVKCPQIV <mark>T</mark> AFYEERLTWHAYPE								
	HP1γ	111 LDPERIIGAIDSSGELMFLMKWKDSDEADLVLAKEANMKCPQIV I AFYEERLTWHSCPED 170								
		*:**:**** ** .*:***********************								
		chromo shadow domain (CSD)								
	HP1α	181 AENKEKETAKS 193								
	HP1γ	171 EAQ 173								









В









6					0				MgCl ₂ (mM)		
_	unr	ne	K9r	ne3	unn	ne	K9m	e3	nucl		
	S	Ρ	S	Ρ	S	Ρ	S	Ρ			
-	-		-	-	-		-		-HP1γ		
							-		ЦИ		
		-		-			-		-П4		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			









В













Fig. 1C



Fig. 1G



Fig. 2A





Fig. 2C



W B

턦

태

-H4

Fig. 3B













Supplementary Figure S13 (continued)