Supporting Information and Appendices

⁴¹⁴ Appendix A: Sensitivity of the stochastic growth rate

The stochastic growth rate of the perturbed population, $\bar{a}(s)$, can be calculated from the products of the perturbed matrices X_j , where at time t: $X_j(t) = X^*(t) + sH_j(t)$. Given M independent sample paths of T time steps each, for any sample path j:

$$\Lambda_j(T) = V_{j,T}^T X_j(T) X_j(T-1) \dots X_j(1) U_j(0)$$
$$a_j = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log \Lambda_j(T)}{T}$$

Now if we expand in the above product in orders of s, O(s), we have:

$$\Lambda_j(s) = \Lambda_j(0) + sV_j^T(T) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} X_j(T) \dots H(i) X_j(i-1) \dots X_j(1) \right) U_j(0) + O(s^2)$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{a}(s) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \left(\Lambda_j(0) + sV_j^T(T) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} X_j(T) \dots H_j(i) X_j(i-1) \dots X_j(1) \right) U(0) + O(s^2) \right)$$

Retaining only terms O(s):

$$\bar{a}(s) = \log(\Lambda_o + \delta\Lambda) \simeq \log\Lambda_o + \frac{\delta\Lambda}{\Lambda_o}$$

This leads to, for any sample path:

$$\log \Lambda(s) = \log \Lambda_o + s \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{(V^T(T) \sum_{i=1}^T X(T) X(T-1) \dots H(i) X(i-1) \dots X(1) U(0)}{V^T(T) X(T) X(T-1) \dots X(i) X(i-1) \dots X(1) U(0)} \right)$$

= $\log \Lambda_o + \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \left(\frac{(V(i)^T H(i) U_{i-1}}{V(i)^T X(i) U_{i-1}} \right)$
= $\log \Lambda_o + \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \left(\frac{(V(i)^T H(i) U_{i-1}}{\lambda(i) V(i)^T U(i)} \right)$
= $\log \Lambda_o + E \left[\frac{V(t)^T H(t) U(t-1)}{\lambda(t) V(t)^T U(t)} \right]$

For sample path j, at time t, define:

$$\xi_{j,t} = \frac{V_j(t)^T H_j(t) U_j(t-1)}{\lambda_j(t) V_j(t)^T U_j(t)}$$

The mean of these for that sample path is:

$$\bar{\xi}_j = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{V_j(t)^T H_j(t) U_j(t-1)}{\lambda_j(t) V_j(t)^T U_j(t)}$$

and the mean of these quantities across all runs is:

$$S_a = \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=j}^M \bar{\xi}_j$$

Appendix B: Sensitivity of the variance in long run ⁴¹⁶ population growth

We take the variance of the stochastic growth of our reference population to be:

$$v \simeq \frac{1}{MT} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\log \Lambda_j(T) - T\bar{a})^2$$
(12)

After a small perturbation, s, the value of v(s) will be changed by some small value δv ⁴¹⁸ where $v(s) = v(0) + s\delta v + O(s^2)$. We wish to estimate the change δv . For simplicity, hereafter take $\log \Lambda_j$ to indicate $\log \Lambda_j(T)$, and retain only terms of O(s).

After perturbation, the new values of $\log \Lambda_j$ will be:

$$\log \Lambda_j(s) = \log \Lambda_j(0) + sT\bar{\xi}_j$$
$$\bar{a}(s) = \bar{a}(0) + s\bar{\xi}$$
$$= \bar{a}(0) + \frac{s}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \bar{\xi}_j$$

and

$$\Lambda_j = \sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_j(t)$$
$$\bar{\xi}_j = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \xi_j(t)$$

Then we can approximate the variance of the perturbed population as:

$$\begin{aligned} v(s) &= \frac{1}{MT} \sum_{j} (\log \Lambda_{j}(T)(s) - T\bar{a}(s))^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{MT} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} (\log \lambda_{j}(t) + s \sum_{i=t}^{T} \xi_{j}(t)) \right) - T\left(\bar{a}(0) + s\bar{\xi}\right) \right)^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{MT} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(\log \Lambda_{j}(0) + Ts\bar{\xi}_{j} \right) - T(\bar{a}(0) + Ts\bar{\xi}) \right)^{2} \\ &= \frac{T}{MT} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left((a_{j}(0) - \bar{a}(0)) + s(\bar{\xi}_{j} - \bar{\xi}) \right)^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (a_{j}(0) - a(0))^{2} + 2(a_{j}(0) - \bar{a}(0)s(\bar{\xi}_{j} - \bar{\xi}) + O(s^{2}) \\ &= v(0) + \frac{2s}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (a_{j}(0) - \bar{a}(0)(\bar{\xi}_{j} - \bar{\xi}) + O(s^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and thus, the rate of change in the variance due to the perturbation is:

$$S_v = \frac{2s}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (a_j - \bar{a})(\bar{\xi}_j - \bar{\xi})$$
(13)

⁴²⁰ Appendix C. Probability of quasiextinction and its sensitivity

We define a population to be quasi-extinct if it falls to 1 percent of its current size. Call this quasi-extinction threshold θ . Then the probability of quasi-extinction will be (after Caswell 2001):

$$P_q = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } a < 0 \\ e^{(\frac{2alog\theta}{v})} & \text{if } a > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

By taking the log and applying the chain rule to the above, we get the sensitivity of the log extinction probability when a > 0:

$$\log P_q = 2\log\theta + \frac{a}{v}$$
$$S_{\log P_q} = \frac{S_a}{v} - \frac{a}{v^2}S_v$$

Since we are interested in S_{P_q} we write:

$$\frac{S_{P_q}}{P_q} = S_{\log P_q} = \frac{S_a}{v} - \frac{a}{v^2} S_v$$

and rearrange to get:

$$S_{P_q} = P_q(\frac{S_a}{v} - \frac{a}{v^2}S_v)$$

When dealing with elasticities of a and v instead of sensitivities, (recalling that $S_a = aE_a$), this becomes:

$$S_{P_q} = P_q(\frac{aE_a}{v} - \frac{aE_v}{v})$$
$$= \frac{a}{v}P_q(E_a - E_v)$$

422 Appendix D. Cumulative Extinction Risk

The probability that a population will ever reach a given extinction threshold, (say, $\theta = N_e/N_o$) is $P_q = e^{(\frac{(2a \log \theta)}{v})}$ when a > 0. In practice, when a is often less than 0 and

extinction is certain, it is more useful to know the probability that a population will reach the threshold before some time horizon, t. If we condition on the quasiextinction threshold eventually being reached, time to extinction (T_q) is a positive real-valued random variable with a continuous probability distribution that can be written in terms of a standard normal cdf (Lande and Orzack 1988, Dennis et al 1991):

$$P(T_q \le t) = G(t; \theta, a, v) = \Phi\left(\frac{\log \theta - at}{\sqrt{vt}}\right) + e^{\left(\frac{2\log \theta a}{v}\right)} \Phi\left(\frac{\log \theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}}\right)$$
(14)

where Φ is the standard normal probability integral:

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-z^2/2} dz$$

424

426

Now we define $P_q(t)$ to be the probability of quasiextinction, P_q , before some time horizon, t. For any given t, this probability of quasiextinction, $P_q(t)$, is the cumulative probability defined above as $P(T_q \leq t)$ (Lande and Orzack 1988, Dennis et al 1991, Morris and Doak 2002).

The sensitivities of this time-horizon-specific P_q are its derivatives with respect to some perturbation, call it α .

For now, let's also define $x = \frac{\log \theta - at}{\sqrt{vt}}, y = \frac{2\log \theta a}{v}$ and $z = \frac{\log \theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}}$ such that $P(T_q \le t) = \Phi(x) + e^y \Phi(z)$

Now we take the derivative to find that:

$$\frac{dP_q(t)}{d\alpha} = \frac{d\Phi(x)}{dx}\frac{dx}{d\alpha} + \frac{dy}{d\alpha}e^y\Phi(z) + e^y\frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz}\frac{dz}{d\alpha}$$
(15)

Since the normal pdf is the derivative of the cdf, we can simplify:

$$\frac{dP_q(t)}{d\alpha} = \phi(x)\frac{dx}{d\alpha} + \frac{dy}{d\alpha}e^y\Phi(z) + \phi(z)\frac{dz}{d\alpha}$$
(16)

Now we find expressions for $dx/d\alpha$, $dy/d\alpha$ and $dz/d\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{\log \theta - at}{\sqrt{vt}} \\ \frac{dx}{d\alpha} &= \frac{-t\frac{da}{d\alpha}(\sqrt{vt}) - \sqrt{t}\frac{d\sqrt{v}}{d\alpha}(\log \theta - at)}{vt} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{vt}}\left(t\frac{da}{d\alpha} + \frac{(\log \theta - at)}{2v}\frac{dv}{d\alpha}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$y = \frac{2 \log \theta a}{v}$$
$$\frac{dy}{d\alpha} = \left((2 \log \theta \frac{da}{d\alpha})v - (2 \log \theta a)(\frac{dv}{d\alpha}) \right)(v^{-2})$$
$$= \frac{2 \log \theta}{v^2} (v \frac{da}{d\alpha} - a \frac{dv}{d\alpha})$$

$$\begin{split} z =& \frac{\log \theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}} \\ \frac{dz}{d\alpha} =& \frac{t \frac{da}{d\alpha} (\sqrt{vt}) - \sqrt{t} \frac{d\sqrt{v}}{d\alpha} (\log \theta + at)}{vt} \\ =& \frac{t \sqrt{vt} \frac{da}{d\alpha} - \sqrt{t} (\log \theta + at) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{v}} \frac{dv}{d\alpha}}{vt} \\ =& \sqrt{\frac{t}{v}} \frac{da}{d\alpha} - (\frac{\log \theta + at}{2v\sqrt{vt}}) \frac{dv}{d\alpha} \\ =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{vt}} \left(t \frac{da}{d\alpha} - \frac{(\log \theta + at)}{2v} \frac{dv}{d\alpha} \right) \end{split}$$

Subbing back in our expressions for x, y, z and their derivatives, we get a general expression for the sensitivity of $P_q(t)$ to a perturbation α :

$$\frac{dP_q(t)}{d\alpha} = \phi \Big(\frac{\log \theta - at}{\sqrt{vt}}\Big) \frac{-1}{\sqrt{vt}} \Big(t\frac{da}{d\alpha} + \frac{(\log \theta - at)}{2v}\frac{dv}{d\alpha}\Big) \\ + e^{\frac{2\log \theta a}{v}} \Big(\frac{2\log \theta}{v^2} (v\frac{da}{d\alpha} - a\frac{dv}{d\alpha})\Phi\Big(\frac{\log \theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}}\Big)\Big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{vt}} \Big(t\frac{da}{d\alpha} - \frac{(\log \theta + at)}{2v}\frac{dv}{d\alpha}\Big)\phi\Big(\frac{\log \theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}}\Big)$$

Note that terms $\frac{da}{d\alpha}$ and $\frac{dv}{d\alpha}$ are the sensitivities of a and v (S_a and S_v) to the same perturbation. A change of notation clarifies our final expression for the sensitivity of cumulative extinction probability:

$$S_{P_q}(t) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{vt}} \phi\left(\frac{\log\theta - at}{\sqrt{vt}}\right) \left(tS_a + \frac{(\log\theta - at)}{2v}S_v\right) \\ + e^{\frac{2\log\theta a}{v}} \left(\frac{2\log\theta}{v^2} (vS_a - aS_v) \Phi\left(\frac{\log\theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{vt}} \left(tS_a - \frac{(\log\theta + at)}{2v}S_v\right) \phi\left(\frac{\log\theta + at}{\sqrt{vt}}\right)$$
(17)

Appendix E. Supplementary Figures.

Figure E: Upper quantile of stationary stage distributions

Figure F: Probability of and expected time to quasiextinction as a function of q

Figure G: Stochastic growth rate and its variance as a function of q