Reformed Teaching Observation Protocol (RTOP)

Daiyo Sawada External Evaluator Michael Piburn Internal Evaluator

and

Kathleen Falconer, Jeff Turley, Russell Benford and Irene Bloom Evaluation Facilitation Group (EFG)

Technical Report No. IN00-1 **Arizona Collaborative for Excellence in the Preparation of Teachers**Arizona State University

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
ID# of teacher Announced Observation? (yes, no, or explain)	
Years completed in Sanford Fellowship Program (0, 1, or 2)?	
Subject observed Grade level	
Observer Date of observation	
Start time End time	

II. CONTEXTUAL BACKGROUND AND ACTIVITIES

In the space provided below please give a brief description of the lesson observed, the classroom setting in which the lesson took place (space, seating arrangements, etc.), and any relevant details about the students (number, gender, ethnicity) and teacher that you think are important. Use diagrams if they seem appropriate.

Record here events which may help in documenting the ratings. Description of Events Time

III. LESSON DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

	Nevo Occi	er irred		Very Descriptive			
1)	The instructional strategies and activities respected students' prior knowledge and the preconceptions inherent therein.	0	1	2	3	4	
2)	The lesson was designed to engage students as members of a learning community.	0	1	2	3	4	
3)	In this lesson, student exploration preceded formal presentation.	0	1	2	3	4	
4)	This lesson encouraged students to seek and value alternative modes of investigation or of problem solving.	0	1	2	3	4	
5)	The focus and direction of the lesson was often determined by ideas originating with students.	0	1	2	3	4	

IV. CONTENT

Propositional knowledge

6)	The lesson involved fundamental concepts of the subject.	0	1	2	3	4
7)	The lesson promoted strongly coherent conceptual understanding.	0	1	2	3	4
8)	The teacher had a solid grasp of the subject matter content inherent in the lesson.	0	1	2	3	4
9)	Elements of abstraction (i.e., symbolic representations, theory building) were encouraged when it was important to do so.	0	1	2	3	4
10)	Connections with other content disciplines and/or real world phenomena were explored and valued.	0	1	2	3	4
Procedural Knowledge						
11)	Students used a variety of means (models, drawings, graphs, concrete materials, manipulatives, etc.) to represent phenomena.	0	1	2	3	4
12)	Students made predictions, estimations and/or hypotheses and devised means for testing them.	0	1	2	3	4
13)	Students were actively engaged in thought-provoking activity that often involved the critical assessment of procedures.	0	1	2	3	4
14)	Students were reflective about their learning.	0	1	2	3	4
15)	Intellectual rigor, constructive criticism, and the challenging of ideas were valued.	0	1	2	3	4

Continue recording salient events here. Time Description of Events

V. CLASSROOM CULTURE

	Communicative Interactions	Never Occurred				Very escriptive
16)	Students were involved in the communication of their ideas to others using a variety of means and media.	0	1	2	3	4
17)	The teacher's questions triggered divergent modes of thinking.	0	1	2	3	4
18)	There was a high proportion of student talk and a significant ar of it occurred between and among students.	mount 0	1	2	3	4
19)	Student questions and comments often determined the focus and direction of classroom discourse.	d 0	1	2	3	4
20)	There was a climate of respect for what others had to say.	0	1	2	3	4
	Student/Teacher Relationships					
21)	Active participation of students was encouraged and valued.	0	1	2	3	4
22)	Students were encouraged to generate conjectures, alternative solution strategies, and ways of interpreting evidence.	0	1	2	3	4
23)	In general the teacher was patient with students.	0	1	2	3	4
24)	The teacher acted as a resource person, working to support and enhance student investigations.	0	1	2	3	4
25)	The metaphor "teacher as listener" was very characteristic of the classroom.	is 0	1	2	3	4

Additional comments you may wish to make about this lesson.