Supporting Information

Understanding hydrothermal transformation from Mn₂O₃ particles to Na_{0.55}Mn₂O₄·1.5H₂O nanosheets, nanobelts, and single crystalline ultra-long Na₄Mn₉O₁₈ nanowires

Yohan Park,^{1,+} Sung Woo Lee,^{2,+} Ki-Hyeon Kim,³ Bong-Ki Min,⁴ Arpan

Kumar Nayak,⁵ Debabrata Pradhan,^{5,*} and Youngku Sohn^{1,*}

 ¹Department of Chemistry, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan, Gyeongbuk 38541, Republic of Korea
²Center for Research Facilities & Department of Materials Science and Engineering Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea
³Department of Physics, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan 38541, Republic of Korea
⁴Center for Research Facilities, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan, Gyeongbuk 38541, Republic of Korea
⁵Materials Science Centre, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721 302, W.B., India

* Corresponding author e-mails:youngkusohn@ynu.ac.kr; deb@matsc.iitkgp.ernet.in + There authors equally contributed to this work.



Fig. S1. XRD patterns of the starting materials and the synthesized materials with reaction time in 1.0 and 10 M NaOH solution conditions.



Fig. S2. Standard XRD patterns of Mn_3O_4 , Mn_2O_3 , $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$, and $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$.



Fig. S3a. Mixed (two crystal phases) XRD patterns and resolved two pure XRD patterns. The mixed sample showed a composition ratio of 24.19%:75.81% of Na_{0.55}Mn₂O₄·1.5H₂O:Na₄Mn₉O₁₈.



Fig. S3b. Observed (O) and Rietveld refinement X-ray powder diffraction patterns of a mixed phase sample (**Fig. S3a**). The difference plot (blue –) is shown at the bottom. Tick marks (green and pink | for $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ and $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$, respectively) above the difference plot indicate the Bragg reflection positions, identified by Rietveld analysis. The red solid line is the calculated pattern.

Table S1. Refined crystal structural parameters of $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$ and $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot H_2O$ obtained using the Rietveld refinement analysis of X-ray powder diffraction data acquired at room temperature. The Oc and *B* iso represent the occupation and isotropic thermal parameters, respectively. The numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations of the last significant digit. The atom crystallographic position, R-factors and a-lattice parameters are summarized in Table. The isotropic temperature factors (B) were obtained.

Reliability factors and goodness of fit

Rexp = 3.75%, Rwp = 4.35, Rp = 3.30, GOF = 1.16

| Atom | site | x | У | Ζ | Ос | Biso |
|------|------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|------|
| Nal | 4g | 0.2200(29) | 0.2125(13) | 0.00000 | 0.630(21) | 1 |
| Na2 | 4h | 0.7099(39) | 0.0791(15) | 0.50000 | 0.481(25) | 1 |
| Na3 | 4g | 0.1175(11) | -0.0105(78) | 0.00000 | 0.570(21) | 1 |
| Na4 | 4g | 0.8369(88) | 0.0620(34) | 0.00000 | 0.228(26) | 1 |
| Mn1 | 4h | 0.87688(99) | 0.19177(34) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| Mn2 | 2c | 0.50000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| Mn3 | 4g | 0.53908(73) | 0.19259(32) | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| Mn4 | 4h | 0.37009(92) | 0.08883(32) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| Mn5 | 4g | 0.0296(10) | 0.11004(29) | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| 01 | 4h | 0.9820(31) | 0.07606(81) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| O2 | 4g | 0.9142(37) | 0.23325(83) | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| O3 | 4h | 0.0766(33) | 0.15628(87) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| O4 | 4g | 0.5092(30) | 0.07300(98) | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| O5 | 4g | 0.2625(28) | 0.0902(11) | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| O6 | 4h | 0.3649(32) | 0.0080(11) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| O7 | 4h | 0.4475(32) | 0.16866(97) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| 08 | 4h | 0.6612(28) | 0.2128(11) | 0.50000 | 1 | 1 |
| 09 | 4g | 0.8549(33) | 0.1456(10) | 0.00000 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | |

Refined crystal structural parameters of Na₄Mn₉O₁₈

Space group: *Pbam* (No.55)

 $a = 9.09544(63) b = 26.0536(21) c = 2.82987(14) Å, R_{Bragg} = 1.020$

Phase composition (wt%) via Rietveld refinement : 75.81%

Refined crystal structural parameters of Na_{0.55}Mn₂O₄·H₂O

| Atom | site | x | y | Z | 0 | Biso |
|-------|------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|----------|
| Mn | 2a | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 1 | 18.4(39) |
| 0 | 4i | 0.366(14) | 0.00000 | 0.2893(92) | 1 | 1 |
| Na | 4i | 0.727(13) | 0.00000 | 0.50000 | 0.70(16) | 15.7(18) |
| Wat.1 | 4i | 0.727(13) | 0.00000 | 0.50000 | 0.30(16) | 15.7(18) |
| Wat.2 | 2c | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.50000 | 1 | 15.8(24) |

Space group: C12/m1 (No.12) a = 5.0621(72) b = 2.9029(29) c = 7.2498(10) Å, β = 100.85(10), R_{Bragg} = 0.259 Phase composition(wt%) via Rietveld refinement : 24.19%

Na₄Mn₉O₁₈ $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot H_2O$ Mn-O d(Å) Mn-O d(Å) Mn(1) - O(2)1.813(3)(x2)Mn(1)-O1 2.524(x6) O(3) 2.038(2)(x1)2.739(x8) O(8) 2.036(2)(x1)<Mean value> 2.63 O(9) 1.868(3)(x2)<Mean value> 1.94 Mn(2)-O(4)1.904(4)(x2)O(6) 1.886(2)(x4)<Mean value> 1.89 Mn(3)-O(2)2.242(2)(x1)O(7) 1.757(3) (x4) 1.874(2) (x2) O(8) <Mean value> 1.96

Table S2. Selected bond distances (Å)

| Mn(4)- O(4) | 1.941(4) (x2) | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| O(5) | 1.721(3) (x2) | | |
| O(6) | 2.107(3) (x1) | | |
| O(7) | 2.195(3) (x1) | | |
| <mean value=""></mean> | 1.99 | | |
| | | | |
| Mn(5)-O(1) | 1.724(3) (x2) | | |
| O(3) | 1.907(3) (x2) | | |
| O(5) | 2.181(3) (x1) | | |
| O(7) | 1.840(3) (x1) | | |

O(7) 1.840(3) (x1) <Mean value> 1.91



Fig. S4. SEM images of the materials synthesized in 1.0 M NaOH, LiOH, and KOH solutions for 24 hours.



Fig. S5. TEM and HRTEM images of the ultrathin nanosheets prepared in 0.1 M NaOH solution. Bulk Mn₂O₃ nanoparticles are also present as well as the nanosheets.



Fig. S6. HAADF image (left) of the sample and EDX profiles (right) of a nanowire edge.



Fig. S7. TEM and HRTEM image of the edges of nanosheets, HAADF image (bottom left), Illustrated (200) crystal plane (bottom right) showing [001] direction.



 $Mn_2O_3 + Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ <1 weeks

Fig. S8. SEM images of mixed samples upon reactions in 10 M NaOH solution for < 1 week.



1∼ 3 weeks Na_{0.55}Mn₂O₄•1.5H₂O + Na₄Mn₉O₁₈

Fig. S9. SEM images of mixed samples upon reactions in 10 M NaOH solution for 1 ~3 weeks.







Fig. S11. Optical microscope images of the starting materials and the synthesized samples with reaction time.



Fig. S12. Simulated electron diffractions patterns for orthorhombic $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$ (left) and monoclinic $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ (right).



Fig. S13. TEM image (bottom left), SAED (top left), simulated (top right) patterns, and crystal model of unconverted Mn_2O_3 nanoparticles.



Fig. S14. FT-IR spectra of starting Mn_2O_3 nanoparticles and the synthesized materials with reaction time.



Fig. S15. Raman spectra of $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ and $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$ before and after high power laser exposure (a laser wavelength of 532 nm, a 100×, 0.9NA microscope objective. a laser intensity of 0.19 mW, and 5 sec exposure time).



Fig. S16. Photoluminescence spectra of $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ and $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$ taken using a high laser power of 2.7 mW.



Fig. S17. Raman spectra of $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4 \cdot 1.5H_2O$ with laser power (a laser wavelength of 532 nm, a 100×, 0.9NA microscope objective. a laser intensity of 0.19 mW, and 5 sec exposure time)



Fig. S18. Survey (top) normalized high resolution Mn 2p and O 1s (bottom) XPS spectra with a common baseline



Fig. S19. Magnetization (M-H) curves of $Na_4Mn_9O_{18}$ nanowires measured at various temperatures between -5 kOe and 5kOe.



Fig. S20. Surface resistance with sample temperature of $Na_4Mn_9O_8$ nanowires. Inset shows the SEM image of the ultra-long nanowires.



 Mn_2O_3 (a) $Na_{0.55}Mn_2O_4$ · 1.5 H_2O structures.