# Stem Cell Reports **Article**



## Repair of Ischemic Injury by Pluripotent Stem Cell Based Cell Therapy without Teratoma through Selective Photosensitivity

Seung-Ju Cho,<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup> So-Yeon Kim,<sup>1</sup> Ho-Chang Jeong,<sup>1</sup> Hyeonsik Cheong,<sup>[3](#page-0-1)</sup> Doseok Kim,<sup>3</sup> Soon-Jung Park,<sup>[4](#page-0-2)</sup> Jong-Jin Choi, $^4$  $^4$  Hyongbum Kim, $^5$  $^5$  Hyung-Min Chung, $^4$  Sung-Hwan Moon, $^{2,\star}$  $^{2,\star}$  $^{2,\star}$  and Hyuk-Jin Cha $^{1,\star}$ 

<span id="page-0-0"></span>1Department of Life Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea

<span id="page-0-1"></span>3Department of Physics, College of Natural Sciences, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea

<span id="page-0-2"></span>4Department of Stem Cell Biology, School of Medicine, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Korea

<span id="page-0-3"></span>5Graduate School of Biomedical Science and Engineering, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

<span id="page-0-5"></span>\*Correspondence: [sunghwanmoon@kku.ac.kr](mailto:sunghwanmoon@kku.ac.kr) (S.-H.M.), [hjcha@sogang.ac.kr](mailto:hjcha@sogang.ac.kr) (H.-J.C.)

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

#### SUMMARY

Stem-toxic small molecules have been developed to induce selective cell death of pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) to lower the risk of teratoma formation. However, despite their high efficacies, chemical-based approaches may carry unexpected toxicities on specific differentiated cell types. Herein, we took advantage of KillerRed (KR) as a suicide gene, to selectively induce phototoxicity using visible light via the production of reactive oxygen species. PSCs in an undifferentiated state that exclusively expressed KR (KR-PSCs) were eliminated by a single exposure to visible light. This highly selective cell death in KR-PSCs was exploited to successfully inhibit teratoma formation. In particular, endothelial cells from KR-mPSCs remained fully functional in vitro and sufficient to repair ischemic injury in vivo regardless of light exposure, suggesting that a genetic approach in which KR is expressed in a tightly controlled manner would be a viable strategy to inhibit teratoma formation for future safe PSC-based therapies.

#### INTRODUCTION

For more than a decade, multipotent stem cells have been extensively studied for various cardiovascular diseases such as heart failure and ischemic cardiomyopathy, which was defined as the "first-generation" cell therapies [\(Behfar](#page-11-0) [et al., 2014](#page-11-0)). The ''next-generation'' cell therapies include pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) such as human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and induced PSCs (iPSCs) in an effort to maximize therapeutic value of stem cells for cardiovascular diseases ([Ban et al., 2014\)](#page-11-1).

However, PSCs have major safety concerns, such as teratoma formation due to possible contamination of the residual undifferentiated PSCs [\(Lee et al., 2013a\)](#page-12-0). Teratoma is a unique characteristic of PSCs owing to their pluripotency and ability to undergo unlimited proliferation ([Gruen and](#page-12-1) [Grabel, 2006](#page-12-1)). These properties (e.g., pluripotency and limitless proliferation), which theoretically allow the sufficient supply of cardiomyocytes, cardiac progenitors, and endothelial cells, make PSCs the most promising cell source for regenerative medicine ([Robinton and Daley, 2012](#page-12-2)). The unlimited proliferation capacity of PSCs is similar to the proliferation of cancer cells, which results from high telomerase activity [\(Thomson et al., 1998\)](#page-13-0) and constant inhibition of retinoblastoma protein ([Burdon et al., 2002](#page-11-2)). Therefore, the presence of a few undifferentiated PSCs or incompletely differentiated cells that escape the strict sorting process would be a high risk factor for tumor development in safe stem cell therapies ([Masuda et al., 2014\)](#page-12-3).

However, teratoma or tumor formation following xenotransplantation of human (h)PSCs into animal models (mostly rodents) has been barely reported ([Kriks et al.,](#page-12-4) [2011; Laflamme et al., 2007\)](#page-12-4), with a few exceptions [\(Bre](#page-11-3)[derlau et al., 2006; Seminatore et al., 2010](#page-11-3)). A recent study by [Doi et al. \(2012\)](#page-11-4) demonstrated that extended differentiation of hPSCs reduces the risk of teratoma formation in a primate model. Therefore, stringent selection of differentiated cells by flow cytometry for selective sorting [\(Cho et al.,](#page-11-5) [2007\)](#page-11-5) or prolonged differentiation ([Brederlau et al., 2006;](#page-11-3) [Zhang et al., 2001\)](#page-11-3) would be sufficient to avoid tumorigenicity. Nevertheless, considering the ''host-dependent tumorigenicity'' of ESCs (e.g., xenotransplantation of hPSCs into a rodent model) (Erdö [et al., 2003\)](#page-12-5), the risk of teratoma formation by hPSCs in humans remains to be resolved, especially given that several clinical trials of hPSC-based therapies are ongoing [\(Cyranoski, 2013](#page-11-6)). Not only mouse embryonic bodies (mEBs), but also differentiated cells from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) can reportedly form teratomas when transplanted into a rodent model, even after stringent cell sorting [\(Arnhold et al.,](#page-11-7) [2004; Fujikawa et al., 2005; Moon et al., 2013](#page-11-7)).

A number of approaches have been developed to reduce the risk of teratoma formation, including antibody-based selective elimination [\(Tang et al., 2011\)](#page-12-6), small molecules [\(Ben-David et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013b\)](#page-11-8), and integration of a suicide gene [\(Li and Xiang, 2013](#page-12-7)). Among them, the use of various chemicals to achieve selective cell death of PSCs (referred as "stemotoxic" in a review, see [Knoepfler,](#page-12-8)

<span id="page-0-4"></span><sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Korea

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.10.004>



[2009](#page-12-8)) may have unexpected caveats, despite being highly efficient [\(Knoepfler, 2009\)](#page-12-8). Additionally, there is no evidence indicating that such chemical treatment ensures functionality of differentiated cells in vivo ([Masuda et al.,](#page-12-3) [2014](#page-12-3)). Therefore, we attempted to eliminate undifferentiated PSCs without using small molecules and reveal that the differentiated cells after selective ablation of undifferentiated PSCs remain fully functional in vivo. To this end, KillerRed (KR), an artificial photosensitizer protein derived from a hydrozoan chromoprotein ([Bulina et al.,](#page-11-9) [2006](#page-11-9)) which produces reactive oxygen species (ROS) when exposed to visible light of 540–580 nm ([Wang](#page-13-1) [et al., 2012\)](#page-13-1), was introduced into PSCs with a pluripotent-specific promoter such that it was only expressed in undifferentiated PSCs. A single treatment with visible light successfully eliminated KR-expressing mouse PSCs (KRmPSCs) in an ROS-dependent manner, while endothelial cells (ECs) differentiated from KR-mPSCs survived and remained functional in vitro and in vivo. The similar results were repeated in a human ESC (hESC) model. Importantly, KR-mPSCs did not form teratomas after being exposed to the light, whereas ECs differentiated from KR-mPSCs effectively regenerated blood vessels under ischemic conditions in a rodent model. Our results strongly support and provide the ''proof of concept'' that a KR-based suicide gene approach in which visible light selectively induces phototoxicity in PSCs would be an efficient strategy to reduce the risk of tumorigenicity in PSC-based cardiovascular repair.

#### **RESULTS**

#### Establishment of KR-mPSCs

We aimed to induce selective cell death of undifferentiated PSCs using visible light, which is supposedly harmless to normal cells. We sought to specifically express KR, a photosensitizer protein, in a pluripotency-dependent manner. To this end, we took advantage of previously developed pluripotency-specific EOS (early transposon promoter and OCT-4 and SOX2 enhancers) vector systems such as EOS-C(3+) ([Hotta et al., 2009](#page-12-9)), which contains multimerized OCT-4 core enhancer element conserved region 4 (CR4) ([Okumura-Nakanishi et al., 2005\)](#page-12-10). KR expression was designed to localize to mitochondria by adding a mitochondrial-targeting sequence (MTS) ([Figures 1](#page-2-0)A and 1B) to maximize the induction of cell death via the production of ROS ([Rizzuto et al., 1995](#page-12-11)).

The constructed plasmid (EOS-C(3+)-KR) was delivered to J1 mESC line (J1), and KR expressing mESCs were sorted based on their red fluorescence [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)C). KR-mESCs formed teratomas consisting of ectodermal, mesodermal, and endodermal tissues, suggesting that the introduction

of KR did not interfere with the pluripotency of mESCs (Figure S1A). Similarly, EOS-C(3+)-KR was introduced into reprogrammable mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) [\(Carey](#page-11-10) [et al., 2010\)](#page-11-10) and the cells were subsequently reprogrammed by doxycycline treatment [\(Figure 1D](#page-2-0)). Consistent to previous reports [\(Hotta et al., 2009\)](#page-12-9), the fully reprogrammed cells were readily distinguished by their red fluorescence, owing to activation of the EOS promoter as pluripotency was acquired, in comparison with non-fluorescent control mouse iPSCs (miPSCs) [\(Figures 1](#page-2-0)D, 1E, and S1B). The established miPSCs expressing EOS-C(3+)-KR (KR-miPSCs) formed teratomas, similar to KR-mESCs (Figure S1C). While several typical pluripotency markers were equivalently expressed in KR-mESCs and KR-miPSCs compared with their parental cells, KR was exclusively expressed in KR-mPSCs ([Figures 1F](#page-2-0) and 1G). These results indicate that the introduction of KR did not impair the pluripotency properties of PSCs.

#### Specific Expression of KR in a Pluripotent-Dependent Manner

Red fluorescence from KR expression driven by activation of the EOS-C(3+) promoter was distinct to KR-mPSCs ([Fig](#page-2-0)[ures 1C](#page-2-0)–1E); therefore, we next investigated whether expression of KR was suppressed as KR-mESCs underwent differentiation. Spontaneous differentiation of KR-mESCs was induced through embryonic body (EB) formation followed by monolayer culture [\(Figure 2A](#page-3-0), inserted). Red fluorescence from KR was observed in the EB and gradually diminished over time [\(Figure 2A](#page-3-0)). Consistently, KR expression was suppressed concurrent with Nanog and Oct-4 suppression during spontaneous differentiation [\(Figure 2B](#page-3-0)). KR protein level was also markedly suppressed during differentiation (Figure S2A). Expression of marker genes of all three germ layers (Fgf5: ectoderm, Brachyury: mesoderm, and Sox17: endoderm) clearly increased in differentiated cells (after 6 days of differentiation) ([Figure 2B](#page-3-0)). Similarly, red fluorescence from KR expression was only observed in OCT-4-positive, not in OCT-4-negative populations (cultured in a monolayer for 4 days after EB formation), indicating that KR was specifically expressed in undifferentiated PSCs [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)C, white dotted line).

#### Induction of PSC-Specific Cell Death by Visible Light

KR expression was tightly controlled in a pluripotency-specific manner [\(Figure 2\)](#page-3-0); therefore, irradiation with visible light of 540–580 nm was expected to induce cell death specifically in KR-mPSCs, not in differentiated cells. KRmESCs displaying red fluorescence were completely eliminated within 6 hr after a single exposure to green light  $(1.3 \text{ W/cm}^2, 540 \text{ nm})$ , whereas control mESCs that did not express KR had no apparent alteration ([Figure 3](#page-4-0)A). The phototoxic effects in KR-mESCs were quantified by



<span id="page-2-0"></span>

#### Figure 1. Establishment of KR-mPSCs

(A) Schematic presentation of the vector used for stable transfection of mouse PSCs expressing KR under the control of the EOS-C(3+) promoter (mitochondrial target sequence: MTS).

(B) KR-mESCs treated with mitotracker (200  $\mu$ M) for 45 min. The KR expression (red) and mitochondria (by mitotracker, green) co-localization was shown with DAPI counterstaining. The scale bar represents  $10 \mu m$ .

(C) Phase contrast image and red fluorescence of KR-mESCs (KR).

(D) Reprogramming progress of reprogrammable MEFs transfected with EOS-C(3+)-KR plasmid observed by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy (KR).

(E) Phase contrast image and red fluorescence of KR-miPSCs (KR). The scale bar in  $(C)$ – $(E)$  represents 100 µm.

(F and G) Comparison of pluripotency marker expression between established mPSCs (Mock and KR). The results represent the mean  $\pm$  SD of two independent experiments performed in triplicate.

Annexin V/7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) double staining. Around 90% of cells were positive for both Annexin V and 7-AAD at 24 hr after light exposure [\(Figure 3](#page-4-0)B). Apoptosis of KR-mESCs following light exposure was further validated by the dramatic increase in caspase-3 activity ([Figure 3C](#page-4-0)). For clarification of the cell death rate of KR-mESCs after light exposure, dead cells were counted followed by trypan blue staining. The viability of lightexposed KR-mESCs was close to 0%, whereas that of control mESCs was slightly decreased after light exposure [\(Fig](#page-4-0)[ure 3](#page-4-0)D). Morphological changes in KR-mESCs were examined at various time points after light exposure. Signs of cell death were evident within 9–10 hr (560–660 min) after light exposure in KR-mESCs, but not in control mESCs [\(Figure 3E](#page-4-0); Movies S1 and S2). Similarly, KR-miPSCs were also killed by light exposure, as determined by Annexin V/7-AAD double staining (Figure S2B) and immunoblot analysis (Figure S2C).

#### ROS Generation in KR-mPSCs after Light Exposure Is Responsible for Phototoxicity

The phototoxicity of KR is owing to the generation of ROS [\(Bulina et al., 2006](#page-11-9)). Considering the high susceptibility of PSCs to oxidative damage [\(Han et al., 2008](#page-12-12)), ROS generation following KR activation was expected to be strongly associated with the induction of KR-mPSCs' cell death. The level of ROS in KR-mESCs was monitored by fluorescence microscopy [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)A) and flow cytometry [\(Figure 4B](#page-5-0)) using dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) as a fluorescent probe. Following light exposure, ROS generation was evident in KR-mESCs, but not in control mESCs. KR-mPSCs were pretreated with N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), a well-known antioxidant, prior to light exposure in an attempt to block ROS-dependent cell death. Apoptotic KR-mPSCs after light exposure, with or without NAC pretreatment, were quantified by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). This confirmed



<span id="page-3-0"></span>

#### Figure 2. Specific Expression of KR in a Pluripotent-Dependent Manner

KR-mESCs differentiated with monolayerculture for 12 days after 3 days of EB formation for spontaneous differentiation (\* indicates the day of sampling, inserted panel).

(A) Red fluorescence from KR indicative after differentiation monitored by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. The scale bar represents  $100 \mu m$ .

(B) mRNA expression level of Oct-4, Nanog, and KR at indicative day (\* in [Figure 2](#page-3-0)A, inserted) during spontaneous differentiation of KR-mESCs by qPCR (top). The typical differentiation marker gene of three germ layers; Fgf5 for ectoderm, Brachyury for mesoderm, and Sox17 for endoderm measured at 6 days of monolayer-culture (bottom). The results represent the mean  $\pm$ SD of two independent experiments performed in triplicate.

(C) KR-mESCs after 4 days of differentiation protocol (\*, inserted) were subject to immunostaining for OCT-4 with red fluorescence from KR (white dot line), DAPI for nuclear counterstaining. The scale bar represents 50  $\mu$ m.

that ROS generation following light-induced KR activation induced KR-mPSCs' cell death [\(Figure 4C](#page-5-0)). Consistently, KR-mPSCs underwent cell death after light exposure as determined by the detection of cleaved caspase-3, which was prevented by pretreatment with NAC ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)D).

#### Specific Induction of Cell Death in KR-mPSC

We demonstrated that KR is specifically expressed in pluripotent cells ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)) and that ROS generation by KR [\(Figures 4](#page-5-0)A and 4B) is responsible for phototoxicity in KR-mPSCs [\(Figures 4C](#page-5-0) and 4D). Accordingly, it was hypothesized that cell death effects would not be elicited in cells differentiated from KR-mPSCs, in which KR expression was strongly suppressed. Such a differential response to visible light between KR-mPSCs and differentiated cells is crucial if this approach is to be used to reduce the risk of teratoma formation in clinical application. To test this, we compared the cell death of KR-mPSCs and cells differentiated from KR-mPSCs. When KR-mPSCs were partially differentiated ([Figure 5A](#page-6-0), insert), a portion of cells remained undifferentiated, according to their red fluorescence owing to KR expression [\(Figure 5A](#page-6-0), top) and positive

staining for stage-specific embryonic antigen-1 (SSEA-1; [Figure 5A](#page-6-0), bottom).

After light exposure, only KR-expressing or SSEA-1-positive cells (undifferentiated PSCs) underwent cell death as determined by the detection of cleaved caspase-3 ([Fig](#page-6-0)[ure 5](#page-6-0)A), indicating that only KR-mPSCs underwent cell death by light exposure. Next, to quantify the light-dependent cell death of differentiated cells and KR-mPSCs, FACS analysis was performed of cells differentiated for 4 days ([Figure 5A](#page-6-0), insert). Either SSEA-1 expression or red fluorescence was used to recognize undifferentiated KR-mPSCs. Light exposure selectively induced cell death in those that exhibited red fluorescence or were SSEA-1-positive ([Figure 5B](#page-6-0)). For tumor-free cell therapy to be achieved, residual undifferentiated PSCs should be depleted following differentiation, as described in a previous study ([Lee](#page-12-13) [et al., 2013b\)](#page-12-13). At 24 hr after light exposure to cell mixture from 4 days of differentiation ([Figure 5](#page-6-0)A, insert), SSEA-1 positive cells were markedly depleted by a single exposure to light ([Figure 5C](#page-6-0)).

As few as 500 mESCs are required to form a teratoma in a mouse model (Erdö [et al., 2003\)](#page-12-5), whereas at least  $1 \times 10^5$ hESCs are required for teratoma formation in a mice



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

#### Figure 3. Induction of PSC-Specific Cell Death by Visible Light

KR-mESCs exposed to visual light, and the rate of cell death 24 hr after light exposure. (A) Number of KR expressing cells after light exposure monitored by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy (KR). The scale bar represents  $100 \mu m$ .

(B and C) Apoptotic cell death of KR-mESCs by light exposure determined by FACS analysis for Annexin V and 7-AAD staining (B) and caspase-3 enzyme activity assay (C). The results represent one of the experiments performed twice (for annexin V staining) and thrice in duplicate plates (for caspase-3 activity). The quantitative data are mean  $\pm$  SD (not significant: n/s and  $***p < 0.001$ ).

(D) Cell viability of control and light exposed KR-mESCs determined by trypan blue assay (more than 400 cells were counted at each condition.) The results represent the mean  $\pm$  SD of two independent experiments performed in duplicate.

(E) Morphological change of mESCs (Mock and KR) at indicative time after light exposure observed using a JuLI Smart fluorescent cell analyzer microscope.

xenograft model ([Lee et al., 2009](#page-12-14)), possibly due to hostdependent bias (Erdö [et al., 2003](#page-12-5)). For this reason, a mouse teratoma model combined with mPSC transplantation is a more suitable model to verify whether a strategy can inhibit teratoma formation. Since iPSCs develop teratomas more aggressively than ESCs, [\(Gutierrez-Aranda et al.,](#page-12-15) [2010\)](#page-12-15), we first examined whether light exposure could block teratoma formation by miPSCs. For maximization of teratoma formation,  $1 \times 10^6$  of KR-miPSCs, which were exposed to light or not, were subcutaneously injected into mice and teratoma formation was monitored. If more than 500 injected cells survived following light exposure, teratomas would develop (Erdö [et al., 2003\)](#page-12-5). After 32 days, five of six mice injected with KR-miPSCs that had not been exposed to light developed evident teratoma-like masses, whereas none of the mice injected with KR-miPSCs that had been exposed to light developed teratoma-like masses [\(Figure 5D](#page-6-0)). Accordingly, the teratomas formed by KR-miPSCs contained tissues of all three germ layers [\(Figure 5](#page-6-0)E). In addition, 26 mice (14 and 12 mice for control and light-exposed group, respectively) were examined for determining teratoma formation. As consistently, none of the mice developed teratoma after light exposure prior to transplantation (0 of 12 mice) [\(Figures](#page-6-0) [5](#page-6-0)F and S5).

#### Light Exposure Does Not Affect the Function of ECs Differentiated from KR-mPSCs In Vitro

Although selective cell death toward mPSCs was sufficient to prevent teratoma formation ([Figure 5](#page-6-0)), the function of differentiated cells must be preserved to conceptually prove that this approach has potential for future clinical



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

#### Figure 4. ROS Generation in KR-mPSCs after Light Exposure Is Responsible for Phototoxicity

KR-mESCs exposed to visual light.

(A and B) The cells were incubated for 1 hr then stained with DCF-DA for 30 min. ROS levels were measured by fluorescence microscopy (A) and flow cytometry (B). The scale bar in (A) represents 50  $\mu$ m. The quantitative data represent mean  $\pm$  SD of experiments performed in three independent studies  $(*p < 0.01)$ .

(C) mPSCs (Mock and KR) pretreated with 5 mM of NAC for 1 hr prior to the light exposure. Apoptotic cell death of mPSCs after light exposure was determined by FACS analysis for Annexin V and 7-AAD dual staining. The results represent one of the experiments performed twice in duplicate plates.

(D) Apoptotic cells monitored by immunostaining analysis for cleaved caspase-3 (green), DAPI for nuclear counterstaining. The scale bar represents  $100 \mu m$ .

applications. To prove that light exposure, which specifically induced the cell death of undifferentiated KR-mPSCs, is suitable to safely isolate differentiated cells, we differentiated KR-mESCs into ECs as described previously ([Kim](#page-12-16) [et al., 2008](#page-12-16)). In sorted ECs, large granules of von Willebrand factor (vWF) were dispersed throughout the cytoplasm (Figure S3A). The properties of ECs were briefly characterized by an acetylated low-density lipoprotein (Ac-LDL) uptake assay (Figure S3B). ECs derived from KR-mESCs (EC-KR-mESCs) remained functional after light exposure

as determined by in vitro assays of tubule formation ([Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 6A](#page-7-0)) and Ac-LDL uptake [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)B), with no obvious expression of active caspase-3 in any cells [\(Figure 6C](#page-7-0)). To support the selective cell death of KR-mESCs, but not EC-KR-mESCs, we mixed KR-mESCs prelabeled with CFSE fluorescent dye with EC-KR-mESCs and then subjected the cell mixture to the light exposure. Clear morphological change, indicating that cell death was manifested only in KRmESCs after light exposure, while no sign of change was observed in EC-KR-mESCs (Movie S3). These data indicate



<span id="page-6-0"></span>

#### Figure 5. Specific Induction of Cell Death in KR-mPSC

(A) Immunostaining for KR or SSEA-1 (red) and cleaved caspase-3 (green) with DAPI (blue) for partially differentiated KR-mESCs (4 days after monolayer culture,  $*$  inserted panel) with or without light exposure. The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m.

(B) Apoptotic cell death analyzed by FACS analysis for cleaved caspase-3 and KR (or SSEA-1) in KR-mPSCs with or without light exposure. The quantification of cleaved caspase-3-positive and -negative populations from KR (or SSEA-1) positive or negative populations is shown. (C) Mixed cell population in 4 days monolayer culture of KR-mESCs (with SSEA-1<sup>+</sup> and SSEA-1<sup>-</sup> population) exposed to visual light. Shown are live cells of the SSEA-1<sup>+</sup> and SSEA-1<sup>-</sup> population 24 hr after light exposure was determined by FACS analysis with SSEA-1 antibody (black arrow indicates SSEA-1+ population, left). The number of SSEA-1<sup>+</sup> population was quantified as a bar graph (right). The results represent one of the experiments performed twice in duplicate plates.

(D) Introduction of KR-miPSCs with or without light exposure through subcutaneous injection. Representative images of teratomas from KR-miPSCs with light exposure (0/6: zero out of six mice) and without (5/6: five out of six mice) are shown.

(E) Images of typical three germ layers from the teratoma stained with H&E staining, Masson's trichrome, and Alcian Blue. The scale bar represents 50 um.

(F) Teratomas were counted and these tumor sizes were measured 30 days after injection of cells (14 and 12 mice for control and light exposed group, respectively) (\*\*\*p < 0.001).

that EC-KR-mESCs are not susceptible to light-induced cell death, unlike KR-mESCs.

#### Intact Vasculogenesis by EC-KR-mESCs without Teratoma Formation after Light Exposure

EC-KR-mESCs were fully functional in vitro regardless of light exposure ([Figures 6A](#page-7-0) and 6B), and this supports the notion that EC-KR-mESCs isolated after light exposure would be an optimal cell source for recovery from ischemic injury without the risk of teratoma formation. For investigation of the therapeutic effects of EC-KR-mESCs, the cells were injected into hindlimb ischemic models, with or without light exposure. Changes in blood flow in the ischemic hindlimb were determined by laser Doppler perfusion imaging analysis at various time points. Blood perfusion was markedly higher in ischemic hindlimbs injected with EC-KR-mESCs, both exposed to light or not, than in those injected with PBS ([Figure 6D](#page-7-0), top). At 24 days after cell transplantation, the blood flow ratio (ischemic to non-ischemic limb), both EC-KR-mESCs regardless of light exposure  $(n = 5)$ , markedly increased in comparison to the PBS group  $(n = 5)$  ([Figure 6D](#page-7-0), bottom).



<span id="page-7-0"></span>

#### Figure 6. Functionally Intact in Endothelial Cells from KR-mESCs In Vitro and In Vivo after Light Exposure

(A) Tubule formation and (B) Ac-LDL (red) uptake assay performed 24 hr after light exposure. The scale bars represent 200  $\mu$ m (A) and 100  $\mu$ m (B), not significant: n/s. The results represent one of the experiments performed thrice.

(C) Cell death in ECs 24 hr after light exposure determined by immunostaining for cleaved caspase-3 and DAPI nuclear counterstaining. The scale bar represents  $100 \mu m$ .

(D) Representative images of serial laser Doppler perfusion images (red to yellow) (top). Quantitative analysis of blood perfusion ratio of ischemic to non-ischemic hindlimb at indicative days is shown as a line graph (bottom). Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM.

(E) Physiological status of ischemic limbs 24 days after PBS or cell transplantation. Standard scoring image of hindlimb of Limb salvage, Foot necrosis, Limb loss (top), and the recording number of cases for each condition with or without cell transplantation was summarized as a table (bottom).

(F) Histological and immunohistochemical analyses of normal limbs and ischemic limbs retrieved 24 days after treatment. Tissue regeneration and attenuated fibrosis were determined by H&E and trichrome Masson's staining and detection of capillary formation was by anti-PECAM in serial-sectioned tissues. The scale bar represents 200  $\mu$ m (Normal and EC-KR-mESCs) and 500  $\mu$ m (PBS).

(G) Testis injection of KR-mESCs (1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells containing 50% of KR-mESCs and 50% of EC-KR-mESCs) 24 hr after light exposure, 8 weeks after transplantation, representative images of teratoma mass (top) and normal testis (bottom) from mice transplanted with a cell mixture.

(legend continued on next page)



This difference was also obvious when assessing the histological status of ischemic limbs. Mice injected with EC-KRmESCs exposed to light showed a clear improvement in limb salvage ( $n = 2/5$ ) and foot necrosis ( $n = 3/5$ ) compared to mice injected with PBS, who exhibited extensive necrosis of the ischemic hindlimb and severe limb loss by autoamputation ( $n = 4/5$ ) ([Figure 6E](#page-7-0)). Histological analysis was performed of muscle degeneration and fibrosis in ischemic limbs at 24 days after injection of PBS [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)F, PBS) or EC-KR-mESCs exposed to light or not [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)F, Cont or Light exposure, respectively), as well as muscles from non-ischemic limbs [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)F, Normal). This revealed that muscles of PBS-injected mice displayed massive degeneration, abnormal structures, and fibrosis in contrast to EC-KR-mESC groups ([Figure 6F](#page-7-0)). Similarly, platelet EC adhesion molecule (PECAM) staining of serial-sectioned tissues was performed to assess capillary formation in ischemic areas, revealing that transplantation of EC-KRmESCs significantly enhanced the formation of PECAMpositive capillaries ([Figure 6F](#page-7-0), white arrows). Moreover, EC-KR-mESCs, prelabeled with dialkylcarbocyanime (DiI) prior to engraftment, were observed in the ECs-transplanted tissue (Figure S3C). In particular, DiI positive cells were found in the area with smooth muscle actin (SMA; Figure S3D, open arrows) staining (Figure S3D, closed arrows), indicating that EC-KR-mESCs contribute to vasculogenesis normally even after light exposure. These results highlight the safety of light exposure to differentiated cells from KR-mESCs.

For this strategy to be applicable to cell therapy, transplantation of a mixed population of cells containing undifferentiated PSCs should not lead to teratoma formation following light exposure. Finally, to verify that light exposure prevents teratoma formation by KR-mESCs, a mixed cell population  $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells}, 50\% \text{ EC-KR-mESCs}$  and 50% KR-mESCs) was exposed to light or not then transplanted into the mouse testis. As predicted, light exposure prior to transplantation successfully inhibited the formation of teratoma mass including all three germ-layer tissues at 8 weeks after transplantation, whereas such masses were seen in mice injected with cells that had not been exposed to light ([Figures 6G](#page-7-0) and S4). For statistical validation, the same experiments were repeated with total 20 mice (10 mice for each group) and showed that none of mice developed teratoma after light exposure [\(Figures 6](#page-7-0)H and S6). Most importantly, DiI prelabeled ECs were found in the testis tissues from one with teratoma and without teratoma [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)I), suggesting that light exposure prior to cell injection, selectively ablates KR-mESCs, but not EC-KR-mESCs [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)H).

#### Selective Cell Death by Light Exposure of KR-hESCs

We also established KR-expressing hESCs (KR-hESCs) based on H9 hESCs using lentiviral transduction system as described in experimental procedures [\(Figure 7](#page-9-0)A). For an efficient sorting, EGFP was conjugated along with KR. As shown in [Figures 7B](#page-9-0)–7D, KR expression in KR-hESCs was confirmed at mRNA level [\(Figure 7B](#page-9-0)) and protein level [\(Fig](#page-9-0)[ures 7C](#page-9-0) and 7D) after cell sorting. As similar to the case of mPSCs ([Figures 1F](#page-2-0) and 1G), KR expression in hESCs gave a marginal effect on the expression level of typical pluripotency specific transcription factors such as OCT-4, SOX2, and NANOG (Figure S7A). KR expression was suppressed during differentiation as OCT-4 and NANOG expression were decreased (Figure S7B). Upon visible light exposure, KR-hESCs underwent cell death in a comparable manner to that observed with mESCs ([Figure 3](#page-4-0)), which was determined by morphological changes ([Figure 7](#page-9-0)E), immunoblotting analysis ([Figure 7F](#page-9-0)), and Annexin V staining [\(Figure 7](#page-9-0)G). These data indicate that selective cell death with KR expression and visual light exposure can be also achieved in a hESC model.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Many small molecules, including two reported by our group [\(Lee et al., 2013b\)](#page-12-13), have been suggested to be selectively toxic to PSCs. However, as described previously [\(Knoepfler, 2009\)](#page-12-8), despite the high potency and efficacy of small molecules that target hPSC-specific genes (e.g., BIRC5) ([Lee et al., 2013b](#page-12-13)), there may be unexpected side effects on certain differentiated cell types owing to unexpected expression of the particular target protein (e.g., BIRC5 expression in hematopoietic stem cells, see [Leung](#page-12-17) [et al., 2007](#page-12-17)). Although the small molecules used in previous studies had no significant effects on cellular functions, at least those of dopaminergic neurons and smooth muscle cells ([Lee et al., 2013b](#page-12-13)), such possible side effects need to be carefully examined for other small molecules.

As selective cell death of undifferentiated hPSCs is crucial to guarantee functional safety of differentiated cells during a treatment, development of alternative strategies based on unique gene expression and properties of undifferentiated PSCs is required. In this respect, KR, which produces ROS

<sup>(</sup>H) Teratomas were counted and these tumor sizes were measured 51 days after injection of cells into total 20 mice (10 mice for each qroup)  $(***p < 0.001)$ .

<sup>(</sup>I) Fluorescent images of DiI (red) and DAPI (blue) for identifying ECs and nucleus, respectively. The squares with dotted lines were shown in the separate images with high-magnification field of each area (right and left). The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>

#### Figure 7. Selective Cell Death by Light Exposure of KR-hESCs

(A) Schematic presentation of the lentiviral vector used for stable transduction of hESCs expressing KR under the control of the EOS-S(4+) promoter (mitochondrial target sequence: MTS).

(B) Comparison of  $KR$  expression between established hESCs (GFP and KR) by qPCR. The results represent the mean  $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments  $(***p < 0.001).$ 

(C) Green and red fluorescence of KR-hESCs with DAPI counterstaining. The scale bar represents  $10 \mu m$ .

(D) Relative expression level of pluripotency markers (OCT-4, SOX2, and NANOG) between GFP and KR-hESCs by immunoblotting analysis.

(E) Light microscopic images of hESCs (GFP and KR) 24 hr after light exposure. The scale bar represents 500  $\mu$ m.

(F) Cell death was determined by immunoblotting for PARP cleavage and  $\alpha$ -tubulin was used as an equal loading control.

(G) Flow cytometry plots of Annexin V and 7-AAD staining 24 hr after light exposure (left) and a bar graph of relative level of cell death population (right). The results represent one of the experiments performed twice.

upon exposure to visible light of 540–580 nm ([Bulina et al.,](#page-11-9) [2006](#page-11-9)), was synergized with the unique features of PSCs, namely, their high sensitivity to oxidative stress [\(Han](#page-12-12) [et al., 2008](#page-12-12)) and high susceptibility to mitochondrialdependent apoptosis [\(Lee et al., 2013b\)](#page-12-13) to develop an alternative strategy.

To this end, KR was expressed at the mitochondria ([Fig](#page-2-0)[ure 1B](#page-2-0)), and this expression was tightly controlled by EOS-C(3+), an artificial pluripotent-specific promoter ([Okumura-Nakanishi et al., 2005](#page-12-10)) [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)). ROS generated upon exposure of KR to light specifically induced mPSC cell

death [\(Figure 5](#page-6-0)), and the PSCs specific cell death by KR expression blocked teratoma formation in a mouse model ([Figures 5](#page-6-0) and [6\)](#page-7-0). More importantly, EC-KR-mESCs were functional in vitro and in vivo [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)) after exposure to light at an intensity that was sufficient to inhibit teratoma formation [\(Figures 6](#page-7-0)G and 6H). This approach does not use additional chemicals, unlike the typical suicide gene-based approach, which uses thymidine kinase and ganciclovir, which may have cell-type-dependent cytotoxicity [\(Rong](#page-12-18) [et al., 2012; Janoly-Dumenil et al., 2009](#page-12-18)) or hepatotoxicity ([Shea et al., 1987](#page-12-19)).



Despite numerous studies demonstrating that teratomas do not form following xenotransplantation of cells differentiated from hPSCs into a rodent model ([Cho et al.,](#page-11-5) [2007; Kriks et al., 2011](#page-11-5)), review articles continue to warn of the risk of teratoma or tumor formation in hPSC-based therapies [\(Cunningham et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013a\)](#page-11-11). Cells derived from mPSCs are apparently more prone to develop tumors in a mouse model ([Arnhold et al., 2004; Moon et al.,](#page-11-7)  $2013$ ), consistent with host-dependent bias (Erdö [et al.,](#page-12-5) [2003\)](#page-12-5). Thereby, it is most appropriate to verify a teratoma inhibition strategy using mPSCs in a mouse model to exclude the host-dependent bias. Once the strategy is validated, it can be applied to hPSCs.

To this end, we also established KR-hESCs to examine selective cell death by light exposure. Of interest, we noticed that cell death rate by light exposure in KR-hESCs was significantly lower compared to  $\sim$  100% cell death achieved in mESCs under the identical condition [\(Figure 3\)](#page-4-0). We reasoned that, unlike in mESCs (Figure S7D), KR protein in hESCs underwent a consistent proteasome-dependent degradation despite its high mRNA expression level (Figure S7C). This notion was further supported by pretreatment of MG132, a proteasome inhibitor, which significantly increased KR protein level in KR-hESCs (Figure S7E). Recently, it has been reported that hESCs show high proteasome activity due to high expression of 19S proteasome subunit [\(Vilchez et al., 2012\)](#page-13-2). Considering a variety of cellular and molecular differences between hESCs and mESCs have been addressed by distinct characteristics of the primed (for hESCs) and naive (for mESCs) state, respectively ([Nichols and Smith, 2009](#page-12-20)), the different protein stability of KR between hESCs and mESCs might result from the increased proteasome activity in hESCs, which may be linked to distinct characteristics of the primed state of ESC.

A recently developed gene-editing technology using RNA-guided endonuclease ([Gaj et al., 2013\)](#page-12-21), which allows the desired gene to be inserted into a genomic safe harbor (GSH) area ([Papapetrou et al., 2011\)](#page-12-22), should be considered to avoid undesirable random genomic insertion of EOS- $C(3+)$ -KR in the generation of hPSCs that do not give rise to teratomas by simple light exposure. Especially, when applied to generate KR-human iPSCs, guided insertion of EOS-C(3+)-KR into the GSH area of parental cells allowed for red fluorescence to be emitted when reprogramming was complete to properly identify iPSC colonies ([Hotta](#page-12-9) [et al., 2009](#page-12-9)), similar to the mouse model reported in the current study ([Figure 1D](#page-2-0)).

In summary, we demonstrated that expression of EOS-KR in PSCs induces selective cell death specifically in undifferentiated PSCs upon exposure to visible light and inhibits teratoma formation without any additional chemical treatment. ECs differentiated from KR-mESCs were able to repair ischemic damage after light exposure. Therefore, this suicide gene-based approach represents a proof of concept to generate a safe source of hPSCs that do not give rise to teratomas or tumors.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Details of the methods are available in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

#### Generation of Transgenic PSC Clones

The KR backbone vector, designed to express at the mitochondria ([Bulina et al., 2006](#page-11-9)), was purchased from Evrogen (cat# FP964) for transgenic mPSC clones. Another plasmid was reconstructed for transgenic hESC clones using CSII-EF-EGFP lentiviral vector as a backbone. A vector containing the coding sequence for a EOS-C(3+) and -S(4+) cassettes [\(Hotta et al., 2009](#page-12-9)) were provided by Dr. Kwang-Soo Kim (Harvard Medical School). The EOS-C(3+) coding sequence was amplified by PCR and sub-cloned into the linearized KR backbone after the removal of CMV promoter in backbone vector. The EOS-S(4+) sequence was sub-cloned at the front of the KR sequence and then the EOS-S(4+)-KR cassette was subcloned into the CSII-EF-EGFP lentiviral backbone vector. In the resulting gene cassette, the EOS-C $(3+)$  and -S $(4+)$  promoters drive KR expression.

MEFs from the reprogrammable mice  $(Gt(ROSA))$  $26\mathrm{Sor}^\mathrm{tml(rtTA^*M2)Jae}$  Col1a1 $^\mathrm{tm3(tetO-Pou5f1,Sox2,Klf4, and -Myc)Jae}/\mathrm{J)}$  ([Carey](#page-11-10) [et al., 2010](#page-11-10)) was isolated in a standard protocol. J1 mouse ES cells and reprogrammable MEFs were transfected with a EOS-C(3+)-KR plasmid that had a neomycin gene that allowed for cell selection. Cell transfection was performed using Neon Transfection System (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reprogrammable MEFs were transfected with EOS-C(3+)-KR plasmid to induce KR and treated with  $2 \mu g/ml$  doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich) to induce reprogramming. Culture medium was replaced every 2 days by mouse ESC medium supplemented with 2 µg/ml doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich). Positive colonies were selected for mESC expressing KR using 200 μg/ml of neomycin, and selection of colony emitting red fluorescent colonies, and were maintained. H9 hESCs were transduced with a CSII-EF-EGFP-EOS-S(4+)-KR plasmid using lentiviral transduction system. EGFP and KR positive cells were sorted by flow cytometry and maintained as previously described [\(Lee et al., 2013b\)](#page-12-13).

#### Endothelial Tube Formation and Ac-LDL Uptake Assay

Endothelial tube formation assay was performed by sorting EC-KRmESCs (5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cells), which were subsequently seeded on 4-well matrigel-coated plates (BD Biosciences) and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 12 hr. For the Ac-LDL uptake assay, EC-KR-mESCs were incubated with 10 mg/ml DiI-labeled Ac-LDL (Biomedical Technologies) at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 4 hr. After the cells were washed three times with PBS, images were analyzed using fluorescence microscopy (Nikon).

#### Teratoma Formation

The pluripotency of KR-mPSCs were examined in vivo through a teratoma formation assay using two distinct methods: subcutaneous



inoculation and direct testicular injection. First,  $5 \times 10^6$  undifferentiated KR-miPSCs (with or without light-exposure) were inoculated subcutaneously under the right and left front leg of female BALB/c-nude mice. There are two groups of light-exposed KRmiPSCs  $(n = 12)$  and control KR-miPSCs  $(n = 14)$ . For direct testicular injection, undifferentiated KR-mESCs were mixed with EC-KRmESCs for a total count of  $5 \times 10^6$  cells. Cell types were categorized as  $KR-mESCs + EC-KR-mESCs$  ( $n = 10$ ), and  $KR-mESCs + EC-KR$ mESCs (light,  $n = 10$ ), and this routine was performed twice. All light-exposed groups did not form teratomas except the control KR-miPSCs group and KR-mESCs + EC-KR-mESCs group that underwent subcutaneous injection and testicular injection, respectively. All mice were euthanized 30 days or 51 days after the inoculation for KR-miPSCs or mixed population, respectively. Tumor volumes were calculated using the formula:  $V = a \times b \times c \times$  $\pi$  / 6 (a = length, b = width, and c = depth). All animal care and experimental procedures were performed under the approval of the animal care committees in Konkuk University (IACUC No. KU 13125-1).

#### Hindlimb Ischemia Model and Cell Transplantation

The 6-week-old female nude mice (body weight 25-30 g) (Orient bio) were anesthetized with rompun (20 mg/kg) and ketamine (100 mg/kg). The femoral artery and its branches were ligated through a skin incision with a 6-0 silk (Ethicon). The external iliac artery and all of the above arteries were then ligated. The femoral artery was excised from its proximal origin as a branch of the external iliac artery to the distal point where it bifurcates into the saphenous and popliteal arteries. Prior to transplantation, cells were labeled with CM-DiI (DiI, Molecular Probes) to detect survival and engraftment in vivo. The number of mES-ECs  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mouse})$  were suspended in 200 ml of PBS then injected intramuscularly into four sites of the gracilis muscle in the medical thigh with a 29-gauge insulin syringe.

#### Statistical Analysis

The graphical data were presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (error bars represent the SD). Statistical significance among groups and between groups were determined using one-way or two-way ANOVA following Bonferroni post-test and Student's t test, respectively. Significance was assumed for  $p < 0.05(*)$ ,  $p < 0.01$  (\*\*), and  $p < 0.001$  (\*\*\*).

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and three movies and can be found with this article online at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.10.004) [2015.10.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.10.004).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jae-Ung Lee and Seoncheol Cha for providing technical support of light exposure. We also thank Dr. Min-Joon Hahn and Yong-Hwan Kim for useful comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant (2014R1A2A2A01005970) and the Korea Health In-

dustry Development Institute (KHIDI) grants (HI14C3365 and HI14C2755020014), funded by the Korea government.

Received: March 11, 2015 Revised: October 7, 2015 Accepted: October 8, 2015 Published: November 12, 2015

#### **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-11-7"></span>[Arnhold, S., Klein, H., Semkova, I., Addicks, K., and Schraer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref1)[meyer, U. \(2004\). Neurally selected embryonic stem cells induce](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref1) [tumor formation after long-term survival following engraftment](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref1) [into the subretinal space. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref1) 45, [4251–4255](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref1).

<span id="page-11-1"></span>[Ban, K., Park, H.J., Kim, S., Andukuri, A., Cho, K.W., Hwang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref2) [J.W., Cha, H.J., Kim, S.Y., Kim, W.S., Jun, H.W., and Yoon, Y.S.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref2) [\(2014\). Cell therapy with embryonic stem cell-derived cardio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref2)[myocytes encapsulated in injectable nanomatrix gel enhances](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref2) [cell engraftment and promotes cardiac repair. ACS Nano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref2) 8, [10815–10825](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref2).

<span id="page-11-0"></span>[Behfar, A., Crespo-Diaz, R., Terzic, A., and Gersh, B.J. \(2014\). Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref3) [therapy for cardiac repair–lessons from clinical trials. Nat. Rev. Car](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref3)diol. 11[, 232–246](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref3).

<span id="page-11-8"></span>[Ben-David, U., Gan, Q.F., Golan-Lev, T., Arora, P., Yanuka, O., Oren,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref4) [Y.S., Leikin-Frenkel, A., Graf, M., Garippa, R., Boehringer, M., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref4) [\(2013\). Selective elimination of human pluripotent stem cells by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref4) [an oleate synthesis inhibitor discovered in a high-throughput](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref4) [screen. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref4) 12, 167–179.

<span id="page-11-3"></span>[Brederlau, A., Correia, A.S., Anisimov, S.V., Elmi, M., Paul, G., Roy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref5)[bon, L., Morizane, A., Bergquist, F., Riebe, I., Nannmark, U., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref5) [\(2006\). Transplantation of human embryonic stem cell-derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref5) [cells to a rat model of Parkinson's disease: effect of in vitro differen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref5)[tiation on graft survival and teratoma formation. Stem Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref5) 24, [1433–1440](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref5).

<span id="page-11-9"></span>[Bulina, M.E., Chudakov, D.M., Britanova, O.V., Yanushevich, Y.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref6) [Staroverov, D.B., Chepurnykh, T.V., Merzlyak, E.M., Shkrob, M.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref6) [Lukyanov, S., and Lukyanov, K.A. \(2006\). A genetically encoded](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref6) [photosensitizer. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref6) 24, 95–99.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>[Burdon, T., Smith, A., and Savatier, P. \(2002\). Signalling, cell cycle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref7) [and pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. Trends Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref7) 12, [432–438](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref7).

<span id="page-11-10"></span>[Carey, B.W., Markoulaki, S., Beard, C., Hanna, J., and Jaenisch, R.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref8) [\(2010\). Single-gene transgenic mouse strains for reprogramming](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref8) [adult somatic cells. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref8) 7, 56–59.

<span id="page-11-5"></span>[Cho, S.W., Moon, S.H., Lee, S.H., Kang, S.W., Kim, J., Lim, J.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref9) [Kim, H.S., Kim, B.S., and Chung, H.M. \(2007\). Improvement of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref9) [postnatal neovascularization by human embryonic stem cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref9) [derived endothelial-like cell transplantation in a mouse model of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref9) [hindlimb ischemia. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref9) 116, 2409–2419.

<span id="page-11-11"></span>[Cunningham, J.J., Ulbright, T.M., Pera, M.F., and Looijenga, L.H.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref10) [\(2012\). Lessons from human teratomas to guide development of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref10) [safe stem cell therapies. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref10) 30, 849–857.

<span id="page-11-6"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span>[Cyranoski, D. \(2013\). Stem cells cruise to clinic. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref11) 494, 413. [Doi, D., Morizane, A., Kikuchi, T., Onoe, H., Hayashi, T., Kawasaki,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref12) [T., Motono, M., Sasai, Y., Saiki, H., Gomi, M., et al. \(2012\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref12)



[Prolonged maturation culture favors a reduction in the tumorige](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref12)[nicity and the dopaminergic function of human ESC-derived neu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref12)[ral cells in a primate model of Parkinson's disease. Stem Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref12) 30, [935–945](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref12).

<span id="page-12-5"></span>Erdö, F., Bührle, C., Blunk, J., Hoehn, M., Xia, Y., Fleischmann, B., Föcking, M., Küstermann, E., Kolossov, E., Hescheler, J., et al. [\(2003\). Host-dependent tumorigenesis of embryonic stem cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref13) [transplantation in experimental stroke. J. Cereb. Blood Flow](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref13) Metab. 23[, 780–785](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref13).

[Fujikawa, T., Oh, S.H., Pi, L., Hatch, H.M., Shupe, T., and Petersen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref14) [B.E. \(2005\). Teratoma formation leads to failure of treatment for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref14) [type I diabetes using embryonic stem cell-derived insulin-produc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref14)[ing cells. Am. J. Pathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref14) 166, 1781–1791.

<span id="page-12-21"></span>[Gaj, T., Gersbach, C.A., and Barbas, C.F., 3rd. \(2013\). ZFN, TALEN,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref15) [and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. Trends](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref15) [Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref15) 31, 397–405.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>[Gruen, L., and Grabel, L. \(2006\). Concise review: scientific and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref16) [ethical roadblocks to human embryonic stem cell therapy. Stem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref16) Cells 24[, 2162–2169](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref16).

<span id="page-12-15"></span>[Gutierrez-Aranda, I., Ramos-Mejia, V., Bueno, C., Munoz-Lopez,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref17) M., Real, P.J., Mácia, A., Sanchez, L., Ligero, G., Garcia-Parez, J.L., [and Menendez, P. \(2010\). Human induced pluripotent stem cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref17) [develop teratoma more efficiently and faster than human embry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref17)[onic stem cells regardless the site of injection. Stem Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref17) 28, [1568–1570](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref17).

<span id="page-12-12"></span>[Han, M.K., Song, E.K., Guo, Y., Ou, X., Mantel, C., and Broxmeyer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref18) [H.E. \(2008\). SIRT1 regulates apoptosis and Nanog expression in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref18) [mouse embryonic stem cells by controlling p53 subcellular locali](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref18)[zation. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref18) 2, 241–251.

<span id="page-12-9"></span>Hotta, A., Cheung, A.Y., Farra, N., Vijayaragavan, K., Séguin, C.A., [Draper, J.S., Pasceri, P., Maksakova, I.A., Mager, D.L., Rossant, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref19) [et al. \(2009\). Isolation of human iPS cells using EOS lentiviral vec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref19)[tors to select for pluripotency. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref19) 6, 370–376.

[Janoly-Dumenil, A., Rouvet, I., Bleyzac, N., Bertrand, Y., Aulagner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref20) [G., and Zabot, M.T. \(2009\). Effect of duration and intensity of gan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref20)[ciclovir exposure on lymphoblastoid cell toxicity. Antivir. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref20) [Chemother.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref20) 19, 257–262.

<span id="page-12-16"></span>[Kim, G.D., Kim, G.J., Seok, J.H., Chung, H.M., Chee, K.M., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref21) [Rhee, G.S. \(2008\). Differentiation of endothelial cells derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref21) [from mouse embryoid bodies: a possible in vitro vasculogenesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref21) [model. Toxicol. Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref21) 180, 166–173.

<span id="page-12-8"></span>[Knoepfler, P.S. \(2009\). Deconstructing stem cell tumorigenicity: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref22) [roadmap to safe regenerative medicine. Stem Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref22) 27, 1050–1056.

<span id="page-12-4"></span>[Kriks, S., Shim, J.W., Piao, J., Ganat, Y.M., Wakeman, D.R., Xie, Z.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref23) [Carrillo-Reid, L., Auyeung, G., Antonacci, C., Buch, A., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref23) [\(2011\). Dopamine neurons derived from human ES cells efficiently](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref23) [engraft in animal models of Parkinson's disease. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref23) 480, [547–551](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref23).

[Laflamme, M.A., Chen, K.Y., Naumova, A.V., Muskheli, V., Fugate,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref24) [J.A., Dupras, S.K., Reinecke, H., Xu, C., Hassanipour, M., Police, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref24) [et al. \(2007\). Cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref24) [stem cells in pro-survival factors enhance function of infarcted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref24) [rat hearts. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref24) 25, 1015–1024.

<span id="page-12-14"></span>[Lee, A.S., Tang, C., Cao, F., Xie, X., van der Bogt, K., Hwang, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref25) [Connolly, A.J., Robbins, R.C., and Wu, J.C. \(2009\). Effects of cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref25) [number on teratoma formation by human embryonic stem cells.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref25) Cell Cycle 8[, 2608–2612.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref25)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[Lee, A.S., Tang, C., Rao, M.S., Weissman, I.L., and Wu, J.C. \(2013a\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref26) [Tumorigenicity as a clinical hurdle for pluripotent stem cell thera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref26)[pies. Nat. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref26) 19, 998–1004.

<span id="page-12-13"></span>[Lee, M.O., Moon, S.H., Jeong, H.C., Yi, J.Y., Lee, T.H., Shim, S.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref27) [Rhee, Y.H., Lee, S.H., Oh, S.J., Lee, M.Y., et al. \(2013b\). Inhibition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref27) [of pluripotent stem cell-derived teratoma formation by small mol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref27)[ecules. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref27) 110, E3281–E3290.

<span id="page-12-17"></span>[Leung, C.G., Xu, Y., Mularski, B., Liu, H., Gurbuxani, S., and Crisp](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref28)[ino, J.D. \(2007\). Requirements for survivin in terminal differentia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref28)[tion of erythroid cells and maintenance of hematopoietic stem and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref28) [progenitor cells. J. Exp. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref28) 204, 1603–1611.

<span id="page-12-7"></span>[Li, W., and Xiang, A.P. \(2013\). Safeguarding clinical translation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref29) [pluripotent stem cells with suicide genes. Organogenesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref29) 9, 34–39.

<span id="page-12-3"></span>[Masuda, S., Miyagawa, S., Fukushima, S., Sougawa, N., Ito, E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref30) [Takeda, M., Saito, A., and Sawa, Y. \(2014\). Emerging innovation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref30) [towards safety in the clinical application of ESCs and iPSCs. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref30) [Rev. Cardiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref30) 11, 553–554.

[Moon, J., Lee, H.S., Kang, J.M., Park, J., Leung, A., Hong, S., Chung,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref31) [S., and Kim, K.S. \(2013\). Stem cell grafting improves both motor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref31) [and cognitive impairments in a genetic model of Parkinson's dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref31)[ease, the aphakia \(ak\) mouse. Cell Transplant.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref31) 22, 1263–1279.

<span id="page-12-20"></span>[Nichols, J., and Smith, A. \(2009\). Naive and primed pluripotent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref32) [states. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref32) 4, 487–492.

<span id="page-12-10"></span>[Okumura-Nakanishi, S., Saito, M., Niwa, H., and Ishikawa, F.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref33) [\(2005\). Oct-3/4 and Sox2 regulate Oct-3/4 gene in embryonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref33) [stem cells. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref33) 280, 5307–5317.

<span id="page-12-22"></span>[Papapetrou, E.P., Lee, G., Malani, N., Setty, M., Riviere, I., Tiruna](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref34)[gari, L.M., Kadota, K., Roth, S.L., Giardina, P., Viale, A., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref34) [\(2011\). Genomic safe harbors permit high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref34)  $\beta$ -globin transgene [expression in thalassemia induced pluripotent stem cells. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref34) [Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref34) 29, 73–78.

<span id="page-12-11"></span>[Rizzuto, R., Brini, M., Pizzo, P., Murgia, M., and Pozzan, T. \(1995\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref35) [Chimeric green fluorescent protein as a tool for visualizing subcel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref35)[lular organelles in living cells. Curr. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref35) 5, 635–642.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>[Robinton, D.A., and Daley, G.Q. \(2012\). The promise of induced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref36) [pluripotent stem cells in research and therapy. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref36) 481, [295–305](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref36).

<span id="page-12-18"></span>[Rong, Z., Fu, X., Wang, M., and Xu, Y. \(2012\). A scalable approach](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref37) [to prevent teratoma formation of human embryonic stem cells.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref37) J. Biol. Chem. 287[, 32338–32345.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref37)

[Seminatore, C., Polentes, J., Ellman, D., Kozubenko, N., Itier, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref38) [Tine, S., Tritschler, L., Brenot, M., Guidou, E., Blondeau, J., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref38) [\(2010\). The postischemic environment differentially impacts tera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref38)[toma or tumor formation after transplantation of human embry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref38)[onic stem cell-derived neural progenitors. Stroke](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref38) 41, 153–159.

<span id="page-12-19"></span><span id="page-12-6"></span>[Shea, B.F., Hoffman, S., Sesin, G.P., and Hammer, S.M. \(1987\). Gan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref39)[ciclovir hepatotoxicity. Pharmacotherapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref39) 7, 223–226.

[Tang, C., Lee, A.S., Volkmer, J.P., Sahoo, D., Nag, D., Mosley, A.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref40) [Inlay, M.A., Ardehali, R., Chavez, S.L., Pera, R.R., et al. \(2011\). An](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref40) [antibody against SSEA-5 glycan on human pluripotent stem cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref40) [enables removal of teratoma-forming cells. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref40) 29, [829–834](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref40).



<span id="page-13-0"></span>[Thomson, J.A., Itskovitz-Eldor, J., Shapiro, S.S., Waknitz, M.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref41) [Swiergiel, J.J., Marshall, V.S., and Jones, J.M. \(1998\). Embryonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref41) [stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref41) 282, [1145–1147](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref41).

<span id="page-13-2"></span>[Vilchez, D., Boyer, L., Morantte, I., Lutz, M., Merkwirth, C., Joyce,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref42) [D., Spencer, B., Page, L., Masliah, E., Berggren, W.T., et al. \(2012\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref42) [Increased proteasome activity in human embryonic stem cells is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref42) [regulated by PSMD11. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref42) 489, 304–308.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>[Wang, Y., Nartiss, Y., Steipe, B., McQuibban, G.A., and Kim, P.K.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref43) [\(2012\). ROS-induced mitochondrial depolarization initiates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref43) [PARK2/PARKIN-dependent mitochondrial degradation by auto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref43)[phagy. Autophagy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref43) 8, 1462–1476.

Zhang, S.C., Wernig, M., Duncan, I.D., Brüstle, O., and Thomson, [J.A. \(2001\). In vitro differentiation of transplantable neural precur](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref44)[sors from human embryonic stem cells. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref44) 19, 1129– [1133](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(15)00301-X/sref44).

**Stem Cell Reports Supplemental Information**

# **Repair of Ischemic Injury by Pluripotent Stem Cell Based Cell Therapy without Teratoma through Selective Photosensitivity**

**Seung-Ju Cho, So-Yeon Kim, Ho-Chang Jeong, Hyeonsik Cheong, Doseok Kim, Soon-Jung Park, Jong-Jin Choi, Hyongbum Kim, Hyung-Min Chung, Sung-Hwan Moon, and Hyuk-Jin Cha**

### **SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

### **ES cell culture**

The mouse J1 cell line was purchased from the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC, VA, USA). To maintain the cells in an embryonic state, they were cultured on a feeder free in mESC medium (DMEM (Gibco, Life technologies, NY, USA) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 1% nonessential amino acids (Gibco), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Gibco), 0.1% gentamycin (Gibco) and 1,000 U/ml mouse leukemia inhibitory factor (mLIF) (Millipore, Merck, Germany) in a humidified atmosphere at  $37^{\circ}$ C with  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>. The medium was changed daily, and the cells were passaged every 2~3 days. Human ESCs (H9: Wicell Research Institute, Madison) were maintained in  $TesR^{TM} - Es^{TM}$  medium (Stemcell Technologies, Canada) on matrigel (BD Biosciences, NJ, USA)-coated 60-mm dishes.

## **Spontaneous differentiation**

To induce differentiation of mESCs, they were allowed to form mEB in suspension culture conditions in mESC medium without mLIF for 3 days. EB media was changed every 2 days. mEBs were then plated on 1% gelatin-coated plates and cultured in mESC medium without mLIF for 12 days. For rapid hESC differentiation, hESCs were cultured in media containing 10% FBS for 7 days on matrigel-coated dishes.

## **Annexin V staining**

mESCs (Mock and KR) and miPSCs (Mock and KR) were plated at  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/well of gelatinized 24-well plate and cultured for 24 hours in standard ES cell medium. hESCs (GFP and KR) were cultured in standard medium for appropriate time. Cells were exposed by light of 540~580 nm and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were stained

using the PE Annexin V apoptosis detection kit I (BD Pharmingen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Annexin  $V^+$  cells were gated as dead cells. Gating Annexin V<sup>−</sup> population was used as a negative control. The cell death percentage was determined by flow cytometric analysis.

### **Morphological analyze for cell death**

mESCs (Mock and KR) were exposed by light of 540 nm for an appropriate time. Cell death kinetics of mESCs (Mock and KR) cells was recorded using a JuLI Smart fluorescent cell analyzer microscope (Montreal Biotechnologies Inc. (MBI), Dorval, PQ, Canada) for approximately 24 hours. For mix populations, EC-KR-mESCs ( $2 \times$  $10<sup>5</sup>$  cells/well) were previously plated on gelatinized 24-well plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. KR-mESCs were stained with carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE) (Life Technologies), fluorescein-derived intracellular green fluorescent label, to distinguish undifferentiated KR-mESCs from mixed cells. CFSE staining performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. CFSE-stained KR-mESCs were plated on EC-KR-mESCs plated 24-well plate. Mixed cell populations were exposed to light of 540 nm and analyzed morphological change for their apoptotic response by JuLI Smart fluorescent cell analyzer microscope (Montreal Biotechnologies Inc.).

### **Trypan blue assay**

Mock- and KR-mESCs were exposed to light of 540 nm for proper time and incubated for 24 hours. The cells were stained with 0.4% trypan blue dye and then counted using a hematocytometer. Each experiment was conducted in triplicate and the results were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM for each group.

## **Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed with TLB buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.4), 137 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% triton X-100, and 10% glycerol) supplemented with 10 uM sodium vanadate and 1 mM protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using primary antibodies KillerRed (Evrogen, Russia), cleaved caspase-3 (9664S), OCT-4 (2840P), SOX2 (14962P), and NANOG (4903P) (Cell signaling, Danvers, MA), PARP (sc-7150) , and ERK2 (sc-154) (Santa Cruz Biotech Inc., Dallas, TX), and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA).

## **Caspase-3 activity assay**

The Ac-DEVD-AMC caspase-3 fluorogenic substrate (BD Pharmingen) was used for assays performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### **Total RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR analysis**



GTGCACTCAAGGACAGGTTTCAG-3', reverse: 5'-CTGCAATGGATGCTGGGATACTC-3'); *hNANOG* (forward: 5'- AAATTGGTGATGAAGATGTATTCG-3', reverse: 5'-GCAAAACAGAGCCAAAAACG-3'); *mSox2* (forward: 5'- ATGGGCTCTGTGGTCAAGTC-3', reverse: 5'-CCCTCCCAATTCCCTTGTAT-3'); *hSOX2* (forward: 5'-TTCACATGTCCCAGCACTACCAGA-3', reverse: 5'- TCACATGTGTGAGAGGGGCAGTGTGC-3'); *KillerRed* (forward: 5'- CAACGAGACCCACATGTTCC-3', reverse: 5'-CTGGTGTCCCTCATCTGCTT-3'); *mBrachyury(T)* (forward: 5'-CATCTGCTTGTCTGTCCATGCTG-3', reverse: 5'- GAGAACCAGAAGACGAGGACGTG-3'); *mFgf5* (forward: 5'- CATCGGTTTCCATCTGCAGATCTAC-3', reverse: 5'-GTTCTGTGGATCGCGGACGCATAG-3'); *mSox17* (forward: 5'- ACCCAGATCTGCACAACGCAGAG-3', reverse: 5'-GCTTCATGCGCTTCACCTGCTTG-3'); and *mGapdh* (forward: 5'- TCTGGAAAGCTGTGGCGTGATGG-3', reverse: 5'-CAGATGCCTGCTTCACCACCTTC-3'). The amplified template was detected using  $SYBR^®$  Premix Ex Taq<sup>TM</sup> (Takara Bio Inc.) with a real-time PCR system (LightCycler® 480; Roche, Basel, Switzerland). PCR was conducted in 20 ul of reaction volume containing 10 ul SYBR green mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA), 0.1 uM of each primer, and 2 ul of cDNA template with the following conditions: 95°C for 30 sec for denaturation of template, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 sec, 58°C for 15 sec, and 72°C for 20 sec.

## **ROS detection**

Intracellular hydroperoxide and superoxide anion production were determined by

flow cytometry using DCF-DA as fluorescent probes. DCF-DA fluorescent signal from KR-mEDSCs with no light exposure was used as a negative control. KR-mESCs were exposed by light of 540~580 nm and incubated for 2 hours. The cells were then also incubated with the probes (10 uM) in HBSS (Gibco) for 30 min at 37°C, after which they were washed, and analyzed for fluorescence intensity using fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and FACS Caliber (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA). Higher DCF-DA signal than negative control was gated as a positive population that produced ROS.

## **Immunocytochemistry**

KR-mESCs and endothelial cells derived from KR-mESCs (ECs-KR-mESCs) were fixed in 4% PFA (paraformaldehyde) for 20 minutes and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes. After treatment, 5% normal goat serum (blocking solution) was applied for 30 minutes and the cells were incubated with primary antibodies OCT-4 (2840P), cleaved caspase-3 (9664S) (Cell signaling), SSEA-1 (nb 100-1831, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), and vWF (ab11713, Abcam, Cambridge, MA) in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The cells were then washed three times with PBS and incubated with  $Cy^{TM}2$  (711-225-152, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), Alexa Fluor® 488 (A-21200), and Alexa Fluor® 594 (A-21201) (Molecular probe Inc., Eugene, OR) conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour The images were analyzed using fluorescence microscopy (Olympus and Nikon, Eclipse Ti, Japan).

## **Differentiation and cell sorting**

To induce endothelial cells, KR-mESCs were allowed to form mEB in suspension culture conditions in DMEM (Gibco) containing 5% FBS (Hyclone), 20 ng/ml mouse recombinant vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGF (493-MV, R&D system Inc., Minneapolis, MN) with basic medium components for 10 days. EB media was changed every 2 days for 10 days. mEBs were then plated on 0.2% gelatin coated plates and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS for 7 days. To isolate ECs from differentiated mEBs, cell sorting was performed with a FACS Aria 3 cell sorter (BD Biosciences) using APC-conjugated anti-mouse CD31 antibody (12-0311-82, eBioscience, CA, USA). APC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (340754, BD Biosciences) was used as a negative control. CD31 positive cells were cultured on the 0.2% gelatin coated plates with DMEM containing 5% FBS, 20 ng/ml VEGF with basic medium components, and expanded for 2 passages.

## **Doppler analysis**

Laser doppler imaging analysis was performed as previously described (18) A laser doppler perfusion imager (Moor Instruments, Devon, UK) was used to measure blood flow in the hind limbs on days 0, 3, 7, 14 and 28 post cell treatment. The digital colorcoded images were analyzed to quantify the blood flow in the region from the knee joint to the toe, and the mean perfusion values were calculated.

## **Histology for ischemic tissues**

For tissue staining, the specimens were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 4 week. The sample was dehydrated with a series of graded ethanol, embedded in paraffin and serial sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Masson's trichrome collagen staining was performed to assess the existent of fibrosis in the ischemic tissue. To detect the capillary expression, tissue 5-µm serial sectioned tissues were stained with PECAM (CBL1337, Millipore) primary antibodies. The staining signal for PECAM was visualized with avidinebiotin

complex immunoperoxidase (Vectastain ABC kit, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame,

CA) and 3,30-diaminobenzidine substrate solution kits (Vector Laboratories)

**Figure S1** (A) Sections of teratomas generated by KR-mESCs are shown stained with H&E, Masson's trichrome, and Alcian Blue. Teratoma produced cells indicative of gut for endoderm, adipose tissue, cartilage, muscle fiber, and connective tissue for mesoderm, neural rosette, keratin pearl, and squamous tissue for ectoderm. (Scale bar,  $50 \mu m$ ) (B) Phase contrast images (Phase contrast) and fluorescence microscopic images (RFP) of control miPSCs (Mock-miPSCs) and KR-miPSCs (Scar bar,  $200 \mu m$ ) (C) Sections of teratomas generated by KR-miPSCs are shown. (Scale bar,  $50 \mu m$ )

**Figure S2** (A) Immunoblotting analysis for KR and OCT-4 in KR-mESCs differentiated with monolayer-culture for 14 days after 3 days of EB formation for spontaneous differentiation. PCNA is for equal protein loading control. (B) Quantitative data of apoptotic cell death by Annexin V staining represent mean  $\pm$  SD of experiments performed in two independent studies. (C) Immunoblotting analysis for cleaved caspase-3. ERK2 is for equal protein loading control.

**Figure S3** (A) CD31<sup>+</sup> cell sorting from endothelial differentiation of KR-mESCs and characterization of sorted  $CD31<sup>+</sup>$  cells as EC-KR-mESCs by morphology and immunostaining of vWF (Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m (left) and 20  $\mu$ m (right)) (B) Characterization of  $CD31<sup>+</sup>$  EC-KR-mESCs with tubule formation assay (top panel) and acetylated low-density lipoprotein (ac-LDL, Red) uptake assay (bottom panel) (Scale bars,  $1000 \mu m$  (top) and  $100 \mu m$  (bottom) respectively) (C) Fluorescence images of normal limbs and ischemic limbs with or without DiI (red) labeled EC-KRmESCs transplantation, 24 days after treatment, DAPI staining (blue) for identifying the nucleus of cells (Scale bar,  $100 \mu m$ ) (D) Fluorescence images of ischemic limbs after transplantation of DiI (red) labeled EC-KR-mESCs with (bottom panels) or without light exposure (top panels), SMA (green) and DAPI (blue) staining identifying recruited ECs and nucleus respectively, Open arrows for SMA positive area and closed arrows for Dil/SMA dual positive area, Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m

**Figure S4** Analysis of all three germ-layer tissues within a formed teratoma after transplantation of mixed population (KR-mESCs and EC-KR-mESCs). (Scale bar,  $100 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ 

**Figure S5** All images of mice and teratomas developed from KR-miPSCs with  $(0/12)$ : zero out of twelve mice) and without (14/14: fourteen out of fourteen mice) light exposure

**Figure S6**. All images of mice and teratomas from developed from transplantation of mixed population (KR-mESCs and EC-KR-mESCs) with (0/10: zero out of ten mice) and without (10/10: ten out of ten mice) light exposure

**Figure S7.** Characteristics of KR-hESCs and degradation of KR in human ESCs (A) Comparison of pluripotency markers (*hOCT-4, hSOX2 and hNANOG*) expression between GFP and KR-hESCs by quantitative real-time PCR analysis (B) Spontaneous differentiation of KR-hESCs for indicative days with FBS-contained medium in the absence of bFGF2. Results in A and B represent one of the experiments performed twice in duplicate plates. (C and D) Comparison of KR mRNA expression between established KR-hESCs and KR-mESCs by quantitative PCR (C) and immunoblotting analysis (D) Quantitative data represent mean  $\pm$  SD of experiments performed in two independent studies (SE, short exposure; LE, long exposure) (E) Established hESCs (GFP and KR) were treated with 125 nM of MG-132 for 1 hour, and KR protein levels were determined by immunoblotting analysis. α-tubulin was used as a loading control.





Mock-miPSCs KR-miPSCs

**C** 















**0/12** 







**C** 







**D** 

KR (SE) KR (LE) α-tubulin

hESC mESCs



