Ch	hecklist for Intervention research. Systematic Revi	iew Monitoring		
Tit	itle			
	uthorDevice			
	ournal, publication date			
Re	eviewerDateDate	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Relo	elevance	Yes	No	Unclear
1. 2.	Is the spectrum of patients representative of a general hospital ward popular Provides the investigated device or system decision support, analyzing the sit to present state a patients' health?		()	()
3.	Is the monitoring device wireless?	()	()	()
4.	Is the monitoring device contactless?	()	()	()
5.	Is the test device easily applicable in low care clinical practice?	()	()	()
Vali	alidity			
Quo	uality/ design			
5.		()	()	()
6.				()
7.		()	()	()
8.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	()	()	()
9.		()	()	()
	Time span: How long was the intervention delivered? Who assessed/ acted on the initial results from the monitor?			()
	Who was blinded to the study condition assignment? Participants / care pro			()
J. 6.	those assessing outcomes *	vider3 /		()
13.	Statistical method to compare groups for outcomes:			()
Qua	uality / study execution			
14.	l. Population, setting:			()
	5. When was the study performed (Date)			()
	5. Participants. Baseline characteristics reported?	()	()	()
	Patients Age Male		230.00	
	Study group			
	Usual care			
17	7. Index monitor, name:			()
	3. Method of respiratory observation (e.g. pulse oximetry):			()
	Measurement (e.g. humidity in expired air):			()
). Sensor placement (e.g. on the ear):			()
	L. Additional vital sign measurements (e.g. HR):			()
	2. Was standardized care applied at all times?	()	()	()
23.	3. Were any other health care changes made along with the introduction of the	e ()	()	()
	monitoring system?			
24.	1. Primary endpoint			()
	Secondary endpoints	7.474	2025	()
25.	5. Was the flow of participants through each stage clear: enrollment, assignme	ent, ()	()	()
	allocation, intervention, follow-up?			4.5
	Enrollment: (no. participants screened for eligibility)			()
	Assignment: (no. participants assigned to a condition)			()
	Intervention exposure: (no. participants receiving each intervention)			()
	Follow-up (no. participants who completed follow-up)			()

^{*} delete what is not applicable

Checklist Systematic Review Continuous Monitoring in general hospital wards. Based on the CONSORT and TREND checklist.

		Yes	No	Unclear	
26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32.	Was a summary of results provided for each primary- and secondary outcome? Mortality	()	()	() () () () () ()	
	Quality / article				
	Is the research question clearly stated? Is the target population and study sample clearly described? Is the intervention clearly described to allow replication? Is the data acquisition described sufficiently? Were the results/ outcomes clearly and correctly reported? Were the indeterminate results (artifacts) and outliers reported? Were the results discussed taking into account -the trial limitations? -generalisability? -benefit and harms?	() () () () () ()	() () () () () ()	() () () () () () ()	

Ch	ecklist for diagnost	tic stud	ies. Syste	ematic Re	view Monito	ing		
Tit	le	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Au	thor			Device			•••••	
Joi	urnal, publication d	ate						
	viewer							
		••••••	*************	Date				*********
Rele	evance					Yes	No	Unclea
1. 2.	Is the spectrum of patients re Provides the investigated de					()	()	()
	to present state a patients' h	ealth?		,, , ,	•			• • •
3. 4.	Is the monitoring device wire Is the monitoring device con					()	()	()
5 .	Is the test device easily appli		v care clinical	practice?		()	()	()
				,		.,		,,
Vali Quo	dity dity / design							
6.	Diagnostic accuracy / compa							()
7.	Sampling: Cohort / Case-Con Was a sample size calculation			r:	*	()		()
8. 9.	Was the method of recruitm			l systematically	7	()	()	()
	Were cutoff points (normal v					()	()	()
	investigated device determin							
11.	Were the reference measure measurements (cross-section		formed simul	taneously with	the index	()	()	()
12.	Blinding of the index monito					()	()	()
	Blinding of the reference mo					()	()	()
Quo	llity/ study execution							
1/	Population, setting:							/)
	When was the study perforn							()
	Participants, baseline charac					()	()	()
	Patients Age	Male						
l	No. %							
17.	Index monitor, name:				*******			()
	Method of respiratory obser							()
	Measurement (e.g. humidity Sensor placement (e.g. on the	•						()
	Additional vital sign measure							()
	Reference test:							()
	Was standardized care appli					()	()	()
24.	Were any other health care monitoring system?	changes ma	ade along with	h the introduct	ion of the	()	()	()
25.	is a cross tabulation reported	d?						
	Ref+ Ref-]				()	()	()
	Test+							
	Test-							
26	Is diagnostic accuracy and st	atistical un	certainty ren	orted?		()	()	()
20.		PPV	NPV	LR+	LR-			• •
	If a second of the second							
27.	If comparability between tes Mean SD -	sts is tested Iimit	l: Is a Bland Al	itman analysis	done?	()	()	()
			***************************************	-				

Checklist Systematic Review Continuous Monitoring on general hospital wards. Based on the STARD Checklist

Quality / article

28.	Is the research question clearly stated?	()	()	()
29.	Is the target population and study sample clearly described?	()	()	()
30.	Is the index monitor clearly described?	()	()	(i)
31.	Is the reference monitor clearly described?	()	()	(i)
32.	Is the data acquisition described sufficiently?	()	i)	Ö
33.	Were the results/ outcomes clearly and correctly reported?	()	()	Ö
34.	Were the indeterminate results (artifacts) and outliers reported?	Ö	Ö	Ö
35.	Is clinical applicability of study findings reported?	()	Ö	Ö

^{*} delete what is not applicable.