SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES



Supplementary Figure 1: Discordant PSA and AR protein expression and subtypes of PCa cells in untreated HPCa. A. Representative immunofluorescence images (×400) illustrating 4 subpopulations of PCa cells in HPCa 12. Although most cells are AR⁺PSA⁺ PCa cells marked by red nuclei and green cytoplasm, AR⁻PSA⁺ cells can be seen by green staining alone (panel c, white circled areas), AR⁺PSA⁻ cells by red staining alone (panel d, one white circled area), and AR⁻PSA⁻ cells by being negative or low for both red and green staining (panel d, dashed white circled areas). **B.** Representative immunofluorescence images (×400) illustrating 4 subpopulations of PCa cells in HPCa 18. AR⁺PSA⁻ cells are identified by red staining alone (panel c, white circled area), AR⁻PSA⁺ cells by green staining alone (panel d, white asterisk), and AR⁻PSA⁻ cells by being negative or low for both colors (panel d, white circled area and white arrowheads). **C–D.** Representative immunofluorescence images (×400) illustrating 4 subpopulations of PCa cells in HPCa 19. AR⁺PSA⁻ cells are identified by red staining alone (Cc, white circled area and white arrow), AR⁻PSA⁺ cells by green staining alone (Cd and Dc-d, white asterisk), and AR⁻PSA⁻ cells or low staining) for both colors (Cd, white circled area).



Supplementary Figure 2: Discordant PSA and AR protein expression and subtypes of PCa cells in untreated HPCa. A–B. Representative immunofluorescence images (×400) illustrating 4 subpopulations of PCa cells in HPCa 27. AR⁺PSA⁻ cells are quite abundant in this sample (Ac, red alone cells; Bc, white circled area). Rare AR⁻PSA⁺ cells can be seen by green staining alone (Bd, white asterisk). AR⁻PSA⁻ cells are negative or low for both red and green staining (Ad and Bd, cells positive for DAPI only). C. Representative immunofluorescence images (×400) of AR and PSA staining in HPCa 33. This Gleason 7 tumor manifests numerous small glands in which PSA⁺ secretions can be observed in the lumen. There are many AR⁺PSA⁻ cells (Cc, cells with red nuclei alone) and AR⁻PSA⁻ cells (Cd, cells low or negative for both red and green signals). D. Representative immunofluorescence images (×400) illustrating 4 subpopulations of PCa cells in HPCa 38. AR⁺PSA⁺ cells are marked by red nuclei and green cytoplasm (panel c), AR⁺PSA⁻ cells by red staining alone (panel c, white arrowheads), AR⁻PSA⁺ cells by green staining alone (panel c, white dashed circled cells), and AR⁻PSA⁻ cells by being negative or low for both red and green staining (panel d, white circled area and many single DAPI-positive cells).

www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

Oncotarget, Supplementary Materials 2015



Supplementary Figure 3: Discordant PSA and AR protein expression, 4 subtypes of PCa cells, and increase of PSA^{-/lo} **PCa cells in CRPC. A.** AR and protein expression in 20 CRPC patient samples on a TMA. Shown on top are HE (a) and AR (b) and PSA (c) IHC images of the TMA and below the TMA grid (d). The 20 CRPC samples are in the middle (demarcated by two vertical lines whereas several benign/normal prostate and PCa samples are shown on the left and right, respectively. All samples were cut in duplicate. NP, normal prostate (i.e., no cancer); BN, benign prostate from patients with PCa; PCa, hormone-naïve prostate cancer. CRPC1–13, PCa patients treated with castration (mostly bicalutamide) and eventually failed after months to years; CRPC14–17, patients failed both radiation and hormonal therapies; CRPC18, the patient failed radiation and cryotherapy; CRPC19, the patient with advanced PCa treated with Lupron for 2 weeks; CRPC20, the patient received 4 months of Lupron treatment plus 2 months of Casodex. **B.** IHC analysis of AR and PSA in the TMA samples. Shown are 7 CRPC samples illustrating prominent loss of PSA, heterogeneous expression of AR, and discordant AR and PSA expression (insets: 400×). CRPC21 – CRPC23 were 3 separate patient CRPC samples not included in the TMA. **C.** Double immunofluorescence staining of AR and PSA in AD vs. AI LNCaP xenograft tumors. Arrows indicate AR⁻PSA^{-/lo} (d) cells. Note significantly increased PSA^{-/lo} LNCaP cells in the AI tumor (f). Shown are representative confocal images (original magnification; ×400). **D.** Double immunofluorescence staining of AR and PSA in AD vs. AI LAPC4 xenograft tumors. In panel c, the white circle indicates several AR⁺PSA^{-/lo} cells and arrows indicate AR⁻PSA⁺ cells. In panel d, arrows point to AR⁻PSA^{-/lo} cells. Note significantly increased PSA^{-/lo} LAPC4 cells in the AI tumor (f). Shown are representative confocal images (original magnification;



Supplementary Figure 4: PSA^{-/0} **PCa cells are heterogeneous in AR expression and overexpress anti-stress genes. A.** GFP⁺ (i.e., PSA⁺) LNCaP cells express high levels of nuclear AR whereas GFP^{-//0} (PSA^{-//0}) LNCaP cells are negative or weakly positive for nuclear AR. Shown are 3 representative fields (×400) of purified GFP⁺ (top) and GFP⁻ (bottom) LNCaP cells stained for AR and DAPI, representing 3 independent sorts. **B–C.** Commonly upregulated genes in PSA^{-//0} LAPC9 and LNCaP cells. Shown in A is Venn diagram presentation of commonly upregulated genes and in B is GO analysis performed using DAVID. The EASE score (a modified Fishcer's Exact test) cut off was set to stringent *p*-value of 0.005 and the top 10 GO categories appeared under GO term 'Biological Process' are presented in the graph. The GO terms and the GO term numbers are presented on the Y-axis and the gene counts are presented inside the bars. The genes for 'Cellular response to stress' are LPO, XRCC2, GEN1, SNCA, SMC5, BRCA2, LIG4, TP73, DCLRE1C, FANCD2, BCL2, GPX3, TPO, MAPK8, TLK1, TLK2, POLQ, MAP2K7, WDR33, and FGD4. The genes for 'Response to wounding' are CCL2, GATM, FUT10, IGF1, ABHD2, GPR68, TP73, PLAA, SLC11A1, F5, FCN3, SAA1, BCL2, IL1RAP, PDGFRA, SPRR3, SERPINB2, SOX15, BMPR1B, and PLAU. The genes for 'Response to abiotic stimulus' are KCNMA1, TG, PTPRC, CCL2, XRCC2, TGFBR1, BRCA2, LIG4, SNAI2, DCLRE1C, FANCD2, BCL2, MAPK8, and RHO. The genes for 'Immune system development' are PTPRC, HSPD1P6, SWAP70, TGFBR1, FUT10, BRCA2, HSPD1P1, LIG4, HSPD1P5, HSPD1P4, DCLRE1C, TAL1, SNRK, BCL2, ZAP70, and HSPD1. The genes for 'Wound healing' are SLC11A1, F5, GATM, SAA1, FUT10, PDGFRA, SPRR3, SERPINB2, SOX15, IGF1, and PLAU.





Supplementary Figure 5: Relationship between PSA^{-/lo} PCa cells and other tumorigenic subsets in xenograft tumors and HPCa samples. A. PSA^{-/lo} LAPC9 cells express lower levels of *PSA* (top) and *AR* (bottom) mRNAs than the corresponding PSA⁺ cells. The two populations of LAPC9 cells were freshly purified out from maintenance AD LAPC9 reporter tumors and used in qRT-PCR of *AR* and *PSA*. Shown are the qPCR results (n = 3 independent experiments). *P < 0.001. **B.** PSA^{-/lo} LAPC9 cells express higher levels of *CD44* mRNAs than the corresponding PSA⁺ cells. Shown are the qPCR results (n = 3). *P < 0.01. **C.** PSA^{-/lo} LAPC9 tumors serially passaged in intact male NOD/SCID mice have higher % of $\alpha 2\beta 1^+$, CD44⁺, and ALDH1A1⁺ cells compared to corresponding PSA⁺ LAPC9 cell-derived tumors. Shown are representative IHC images from the 3^o generation tumors. Original magnification, ×400. **D–E.** Marker expression in normal (benign) prostate tissues. Shown in D are basal expression of CK5 and p63 as well as CD44 and ALDH1A1 in benign prostatic glands in HPCa84(N). Shown in E are dual IF images in HPCa96(N) of PSA, which is expressed in the luminal layer, and $\alpha 2\beta 1$, which is expressed in a fraction of basal cells (demarcated by white line; *indicates $\alpha 2\beta 1$ expression in some stromal cells). Similar results were obtained in HPCa93(N) (not shown). Note that although CK5, p63, and CD44 are expressed in virtually all basal cells, ALDH1A1 and $\alpha 2\beta 1$ are expressed in only a fraction of basal cells (all images: ×200).

www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/



Supplementary Figure 6: Marker expression in 3 PCa cell lines. A. LNCaP, Du145, and PC3 cell spheres/spheroids on cryosections were used in immunostaining for the molecules indicated. Note that all three PCa cell lines expressed CK18 but only LNCaP cells stained positive for AR. **B.** The % of CK5+ cells was quantified in LNCaP, Du145 or PC3 spheres/spheroids. The total numbers of cells counted were indicated parentheses. **C.** In PC3 cell spheroids, CD44 staining overlapped with CK5 staining. Original magnifications: ×200.



| Incidence | Weight (g) |
|-----------|-------------|
| 8/8 | 0.393±0.409 |
| 7/8 | 0.131±0.104 |
| 3/8 | 0.067±0.025 |
| 2/5 | 0.345±0.205 |
| 2/8 | 0.320±0.085 |
| 0/8 | |



Supplementary Figure 7: LDA tumorigenic assays in subpopulations of Du145 (A) and PC3 (B) cells. (A) Integrin $\alpha 2\beta 1^+$ and $\alpha 2\beta 1^-$ Du145 cells were FACS-purified from log-phase cultures and implanted, at the indicated numbers, subcutaneously, in 50% Matrigel in female NOD/SCID mice. The experiment was terminated 74 d after implantation. (B) ABCG2⁺ and ABCG2⁻ PC3 cells were purified from cultures and implanted, at the indicated numbers, subcutaneously, in 50% Matrigel in female NOD/SCID mice. The experiment was terminated 74 d after implantation. (B) ABCG2⁺ and ABCG2⁻ PC3 cells were purified from cultures and implanted, at the indicated numbers, subcutaneously, in 50% Matrigel in female NOD/SCID mice. The experiment was terminated 57 d after implantation. Not shown were tumor images of the 10k injections, which were harvested 47 d after implantation. The 10k ABCG2⁺ (incidence 5/5) and ABCG2⁻ (incidence 7/8) PC3 tumors were 0.316 ± 0.191 and 0.240 ± 0.138 g, respectively. For both A and B, tumor incidence (also see Table 1) and mean tumor weight (no statistical significance) are indicated.



Supplementary Figure 8: The ALDH⁺ PCa cell subpopulations are enriched in tumor-initiating cells. A. Shown are the representative FACS profiles of ALDH activities in four PCa models assessed by the ALDHFLOUR assays. **B.** ALDH⁺ and ALDH⁻ cells were sorted from cultured Du145 cells and plated in 6-well dishes at clonal density (100 cell per well). 15 days after plating, holoclones were counted. Shown are the clone number in bar graph (mean \pm S.D) and representative clone images (inset). **C.** Purified ALDH⁺ and ALDH⁻ Du145 cells were subcutaneously implanted in NOD/SCID mice at the indicated cell doses. Tumor images, incidence and weights (mean \pm S.D) are shown. **D.** The percentage of ALDH⁺ Du145 cells in parental Du145 cell culture and 1° tumors derived from ALDH⁺ cells. **E.** ALDH⁺ and ALDH⁻ LAPC9 cells were purified and cultured in anchorage-independent conditions in IMDM-15% FBS. 24 d later, spheres were counted and photographed. Insets were representative images of spheres derived from ALDH⁺ and ALDH⁻ LAPC9 cells, respectively. **F.** Sorted ALDH⁺ and ALDH⁻ cells from LAPC4 xenograft tumors were subcutaneously injected in intact male mice. Shown are tumor weights (mean \pm S.D) and incidence of tumors derived from indicated cell doses (also see Table 2).

| Tumorigenicity of | the orthotopic | LAPC9- | ar i | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--------------|
| Cell type (number) ^a | Incidence ^b | Term. time (d) ^c | Weight (g) ^d | | |
| CD44+ | | | | | \ |
| 1,000 | 2/5 (40%) | 65 | 0.1 | × | \mathbf{X} |
| 10,000 | 5/9 (56%)* | 65 | 1.2 | SP | Non-SP |
| CD44 ⁻ | | | | 1 K (8/10) | 1 K (0/10 |
| 1,000 | 0/8 | 90 | | l , | 10 K (0/8 |
| 10,000 | 1/12 (8.3%) | 76 | 0.05 | 2 ° | ` |
| ^a LAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact ^b Refers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to | were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of C | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla tice. implants. The % incider D44- cells. | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓ | |
| aLAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact bRefers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to c ⁻ Time (in days) from tumo dThe mean tumor weight | were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of C or implantation to te in grams. | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla tice. i implants. The % incider D44 ⁻ cells. ormination (term.). | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓3° | |
| aLAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact bRefers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to cTime (in days) from tumo dThe mean tumor weight | were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of C or implantation to te in grams. | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla ice. implants. The % incider D44 ⁻ cells. rrmination (term.). | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓ 3° ↓ 1 K (3/4) | |
| aLAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact bRefers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to c ⁻ Time (in days) from tumo dThe mean tumor weight 2° transplantation | s were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of Cl or implantation to te in grams. (LAPC9) | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla nice. implants. The % incider D44 ⁻ cells. ormination (term.). | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓ 3° ↓ 1 K (3/4) 100 (6/6) 100 (6/6) | |
| aLAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact bRefers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to cTime (in days) from tumo dThe mean tumor weight 2° transplantation | were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of C or implantation to te in grams. (LAPC9) | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla nice. 7 implants. The % incider D44 ⁻ cells. rrmination (term.). | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓ 3° ↓ 1 K (3/4) 100 (6/6) 10 (3/8) | |
| aLAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact bRefers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to o'Time (in days) from tumo d'The mean tumor weight 2° transplantation | s were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of Cl or implantation to te in grams. (LAPC9) | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla nice. implants. The % incider D44 ⁻ cells. ermination (term.). D 444 ⁺ (3/6) | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓ 3° ↓ 1 K (3/4) 100 (6/6) 10 (3/8) | |
| aLAPC9 xenograft tumors for CD44 ⁺ and CD44 ⁻ c into the DP of the intact bRefers to tumors develo *P < 0.001 compared to c ⁻ Time (in days) from tumo d'The mean tumor weight 2° transplantation | s were digested into ells. Either 1,000 or male NOD/SCID m ped/total number of the incidence of Cl or implantation to te in grams. (LAPC9) | o single-cell suspension 10,000 cells were impla tice. implants. The % incider 044 ⁻ cells. D 4 4 ⁺ (3/6) 44 ⁻ (0/4) | and used in sorting anted in 50% Matrigel nce was indicated. | ↓ 1 K (6/6) 1 (1/8) ↓ 3° ↓ 1 K (3/4) 100 (6/6) 10 (3/8) | |

Supplementary Figure 9: Tumorigenic properties of prospectively purified CD44⁺ and SP LAPC9 cells. A. LDA transplantation assays in CD44⁺ and CD44⁻ LAPC9 cells implanted in the DP of male NOD/SCID mice. Experimental details and outcome are indicated in the Table legend. This represents an independent experiment from what was shown in Table 2. B. CD44⁺ and CD44⁻ LAPC9 cells were purified, resepctively, from the CD44⁺ and CD44⁻ cell-derived 1° tumors (in A) and implanted in the DP of male NOD/SCID mice (1,000 cells/injection). The experiment was terminated 3 months after tumor cell injections. C–D. An independent tumor transplantation assay in SP and non-SP LAPC9 cells. The SP and non-SP cells were purified out from a LAPC9-GFP xenograft tumor and indicated numbers of cells were implanted subcutaneously in male NOD/SCID mice. The SP cells were subsequently purified out from regenerated tumors for 2° and 3° transplantations. Tumor incidence is indicated in parentheses. Shown in D is the image (inset, GFP) of the tumor derived from a single SP cell injection in 2° transplantations (C).



Supplementary Figure 10: CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta 1^+$ LAPC4 cells are more tumorigenic than CD44⁻ $\alpha 2\beta 1^-$ cells. CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta 1^+$ and CD44⁻ $\alpha 2\beta 1^-$ LAPC4 cells were FACS-purified from maintenance xenograft tumors and implanted, at the indicated numbers, subcutaneously, in 50% Matrigel in female NOD/SCID mice. The experiment was terminated 66 d after implantation. Not shown were tumor images of the 4 10k injections of CD44⁻ $\alpha 2\beta 1^-$ LAPC4 cells, which were harvested one week earlier due to IACCUC regulation (lower panel, indicated by an asterisk). Shown on the right are tumor incidence and mean tumor weight. Shown below is the calculated TIF and *P* value (also see Table 2).



Supplementary Figure 11: Gene expression and functional studies in tumorigenic PCa cell populations. A. Expression of 84 stem cell-related genes in the CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta$ 1⁺ LAPC9 cells. Relative expression levels were normalized to 5 internal controls (B2M, HPRT1, RPL13A, GAPDH and ACTB). Scale bars depict fold changes (in log 2 ratio), centered at 0. Interestingly, CD44 mRNA (highlighted in bold) was not among the highest expressed genes. B. Relative mRNA levels of CD44, ABCG2, ALDH1A1, and ALDH2 in the indicated Du145 and LAPC9 cell populations. ++++ to +, strongest to moderately increased; –, no significant enrichment in marker-positive cells; \downarrow , decreased in marker positive population. C. The FGFR inhibitor SU5402 dose-dependently inhibited the sphere formation of CD44⁺ PCa cells. FACS-purified Du145 and LAPC9 CD44⁺ cells were plated in 6-well ULA plates (2,000 cells/well) and treated with SU5402 at 1, 10, and 50 μ M. Spheres were enumerated 2 weeks after plating. *P* values were indicated where appropriate (Student's *t*-test). D–E. Knocking down integrin α 2 and ABCG2 but not CD44 inhibited clonogenic activity of CD44⁺ LAPC9 cells. FACS-purified LAPC9 CD44⁺ cells were infected with non-silencing (NS) or 3 target silencing lentiviral vectors (MOI 20) and plated in Matrigel-coated 12-well plates (3,000 cells/ well). Spheres were enumerated 2 weeks after plating. *P* values were indicated where appropriate (Student's *t*-test).

www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/



Supplementary Figure S12: Phenotypic relationship between different tumorigenic subpopulations of Du145 (A) and LAPC9 (B) cells. (A) Cultured Du145 cells were used in flow analysis of CD44 and integrin $\alpha 2\beta 1$ expression, in which CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta^+$ cells constituted 57.8% of the total (a) whereas the ALDH⁺ populations represented ~29% (b). Approximately 82% of the ALDH⁺ population was CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta^+$ (c) whereas only ~13% of ALDH⁺ cells were ABCG2⁺ (d). ~98% (i.e., 81.7% + 16.1%) of ALDH⁺ cells were CD44⁺ (c) while virtually 100% of ABCG2⁺ Du145 cells were harbored in the CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta^+$ cell population (e). A summary Venn diagram was presented in f. (B) LAPC9 cells were acutely purified from xenograft tumors and used in flow analysis of CD44 and integrin $\alpha 2\beta 1$ expression, in which CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta^+$ cells constituted 19.4% of the total (a) whereas the ALDH⁺ populations represented ~25% (b). Approximately 53% of the ALDH⁺ population was CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta^+$ (c) whereas only ~4% of ALDH⁺ cells were ABCG2⁺ (d). Nearly 100% (i.e., 53.3% + 46.3% = 99.6%) of ALDH⁺ cells were CD44⁺ (c) while 98% ABCG2⁺ Du145 cells were harbored in the CD44⁺ $\alpha 2\beta^+$ cell population (e). A summary Venn diagram was presented in f.



Supplementary Figure 13: In vitro studies on CD44⁺ and CD133⁺ HPCa cells. A-B. Highly FACS-purified primary CD44⁺ HPCa44 (A) and HPCa52 (B) cells were plated on collagen in serum-free PrEGM supplemented with a cocktail of mitogens (37, 38) overnight followed by IF labeling of the molecules indicated. Shown are representative images (original magnification: ×400) of the overlay of DAPI (for nucleus) and the indicated markers. Note that all CD44⁺ HPCa cells stained positive for CD44, $\alpha 2\beta 1$, and hTERT but negative for AR and PSA. C. CD44⁺ HPCa50 cells possess higher survival advantages compared to the corresponding CD44⁻ cells. Purified CD44⁺ and CD44⁻ HPCa50 cells were plated in quadruplicate on collagen-coated 6-well dishes. Shown below are the cell numbers plated, time when surviving cells were enumerated, and the cumulative PDs. In this experiment, few CD44⁻ HPCa50 cells survived. **D.** CD44⁺ and CD44⁻ HPCa46 cells exhibit similar proliferative potential. Purified CD44⁺ and CD44⁻ HPCa46 cells were plated in triplicate on collagen-coated 6-well dishes (10,000 cells/well). Live cell numbers were determined 23 days after plating. Shown are the cumulative PDs (mean \pm S.D). E. CD133 expression (%) in untreated HPCa samples. The combined Gleason score (GS) of patient tumors is indicated. F. The CD133⁺ LAPC4 cells possess higher proliferative and sphere-forming potentials than corresponding CD133⁻ cells. 15, 000 CD133⁺ or CD133⁻ LAPC4 cells freshly purified from xenograft tumors were plated in triplicate in 6-well plates coated with collagen (a) or a layer of agarose (b). Cell numbers (a) or spheres (b) were determined 3 weeks after plating. G. CD133⁺ (2, 000) and CD133⁻ (100, 000) HPCa13 cells were freshly purified out using MACS and plated, in quadruplicate, on collagen-coated dishes and cultured in 1% O2. Cell number (expressed as fold increase) was enumerated 3 weeks after plating. *P < 0.001. Shown in the inset are representative microphotographs (original magnification: ×100). H. CD133⁺ and CD133⁻ HPCa36 cells were freshly purified out using MACS and plated, in quadruplicate, on a feeder layer of Swiss 3T3 cells in 12-well culture plates (4, 000 cells/well). Cell number was determined 3 weeks after plating and bars represent the mean \pm S.D (right; *P < 0.01). Shown on the left are representative microphotographs (original magnification: $\times 100$) and the cumulative PDs. I. CD133⁺ HPCa40 cells also overexpress CD44 and integrin $\alpha 2$ mRNAs. Primary tumor HPCa40 (Gleason 7) was used to purify out Lin⁻CD133⁺ and Lin⁻CD133⁻ PCa cells, which were analyzed, by qPCR, for CD44 and α2 mRNAs. P values (Student *t*-test) are indicated.

Supplementary Table S1: Oncomine data sets analyzed in the current study

| Patient | Age | Gleason | Stage | Marker(s) analyzed (%) ^s | | | | | Experiments |
|---------|-----|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | CD133 | ALDH | CD44 | α2β1 | CD44α2β1 | • |
| HPCa4 | 63 | 7 (4+3) | N/A | | | 17.8 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa6 | 68 | 7 (3+4) | T2a, N0, MX | | | 4.0 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa7 | 66 | 7 (4+3) | N/A | | | 15.0 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa8 | 56 | 6 (3+3) | T2c, NX, MX | | | 12.4 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa9 | 62 | 7 (4+3) | N/A | 0.84 | | | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa10 | 71 | 6 (3+3) | T2a, NX, MX | | | 3.3 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa12 | 59 | 7 (4+3) | N/A | 0.61 | | | | | Marker quantification; AR/ PSA IF |
| HPCa13 | 62 | 6 (3+3) | N/A | 0.25 | | | | | CD133 ^{+/-} clonal assay |
| HPCa14 | 68 | 7 (4+3) | T3, N1, MX | | | 5.63 | | | Marker quantification: AR/ PSA IF |
| HPCa16 | 58 | 6 (3+3) | pT3a, pN0, pMX | 0.46 | | | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa17 | 60 | 7 (4+3) | T2c, N0, MX | 1.4 | | | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa19 | 61 | 9 (4+5) | pT3b, NX, MX, G4 | | | | | | AR/PSA IF |
| HPCa18 | 68 | 7 (4+3) | N/A | 0.94 | | 19.4 | | | Marker quantification; AR/ PSA IF |
| HPCa25 | 58 | 8 (3+5) | pT2c, NX, MX, G4 | 0.73 | | 7.7 | | | Marker analysis |
| HPCa27 | 47 | 8 (4+4) | pT3a, pNX, pMX, G4, R1 | | | 4.8 | | | Marker quantification; AR/ PSA IF |
| HPCa31 | 63 | 7 (3+4) | N/A | 10.3 | | 71.4 | | | Marker quantification; AR/ PSA IF |
| НРСа33 | 59 | 7 (3+4) | pT2, pNX, pMX, G3, R1 | | | | | | AR/PSA IF |

Supplementary Table S2: HPCa and HPCa(N) samples used in this study*

(*Continued*)

| Patient | Age | Gleason | Stage | Marker(s) analyzed (%) ^s | | | | | Experiments |
|---------|-----|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | CD133 | ALDH | CD44 | α2β1 | CD44α2β1 | • |
| HPCa34 | 58 | 7 (4+3) | N/A | | | 5.5 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa36 | 65 | 9 (4+5) | pT3b, pR1, pN0, pMX | 1.23 | | | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa37 | 59 | 9 (4+5) | pT3b, R1, pNX, pMX | | | | | | AR/PSA IF |
| HPCa38 | 63 | 9 (4+5) | pT3b, RpNX, pMX | | | | | | AR/PSA IF |
| HPCa39 | 63 | 7 (3+4) | pT3a, NX, MX, G3–4 | | | 56.5 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa40 | 58 | 7 (3+4) | pT2a, pNX, pMX | | | | | | qPCR CD44, Φ2, and CD133 |
| HPCa41 | 49 | 9 (4+5) | pT2a, pNX, pMX | | | 18.6 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa42 | 54 | 9 (5+4) | T3b, NX, MX | | | 20.3 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa43 | 55 | 7 (4+3) | T3a, NX, MX | | | 13.3 | | | Marker quantification; clonal analysis |
| HPCa44 | 61 | 9 (5+4) | pT3b, R, pNX, pMX | | | 30.5 | | | Marker quantification; clonal analysis |
| HPCa45 | 70 | 9 (4+5) | pT2c, R, pNX, pMX | | | 3.6 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa46 | 51 | 9 (4+5) | T2c, NX, MX | | | 19.6 | | | Marker quantification; clonal analysis |
| HPCa47 | 56 | 7 (4+3) | pT2c, R, pNX, pMX | | | 5.45 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa48 | 59 | 7 (3+4) | T2c, NX, MX | | | 1.53 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa49 | 57 | 7 (4+3) | T3b, R1, NX, MX | | | 2.65 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa50 | 60 | 7 (4+3) | T3a, NX, MX | | | 7.9 | | | Marker quantification; clonal, & clonogenic |
| HPCa51 | 44 | 7 (4+3) | pT3a, pNX, pMX, G3 | | | 6.1 | | | Marker quantification; clonogenic analysis |
| HPCa52 | 63 | 8 (4+4) | pT2a | | | 3.2 | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa53 | 58 | 7 (4+3) | T3a, NX, MX | | | | | | Clonal & clonogenic assays |

(Continued)

| Patient | Age | Gleason | Stage | Marker(s) analyzed (%) ^s | | | | | Experiments |
|---------|-----|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------------|------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | CD133 | ALDH | CD44 | α2β1 | CD44α2β1 | - |
| HPCa54 | 61 | 8 (4+4) | pT3a, R1, pNX, pMX | | | | | | Clonal & clonogenic assays |
| HPCa57 | 53 | 7 (3+4) | pT2c, NX, MX, G3, R1 | | | | | | Clonal & clonogenic assays |
| HPCa58 | 58 | 7 (3+4) | pT3a, NX, MX, G3, R1 | | | | | | Clonal & clonogenic assays |
| HPCa60 | 54 | 8 (3+5) | T2c, NX, MX | | | 8.7 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa61 | 56 | 6 (3+3) | T2c, NX, MX | | | | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44 |
| HPCa62 | 59 | 7 (4+3) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | 2.4 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa63 | 51 | 7 (4+3) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | | | | Clonal & clonogenic assays |
| HPCa65 | 59 | 7 (4+3) | T3b, NX, MX | | | 19.9 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa66 | 58 | 6 (3+3) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | 15.0 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa68 | 64 | 6 (3+3) | T2c, NX, MX | | | | | | Clonal & clonogenic assays |
| HPCa72 | 58 | 7 (3+4) | pT2a, pNX, pMX | | | 10.2 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa74 | 59 | 7 (3+4) | pT2c, NX, MX | | | 16.2 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa76 | 64 | 7 (4+3) | T3a, NX, MX | | | 0.02 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa77 | 46 | 6 (3+3) | T2c, NX, MX | | | 14.2 | | | Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa78 | 64 | 7 (3+4) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | 19.2 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa79 | 67 | 7 (4+3) | pT3a, pNX, pMX, G3 | | | 8.2 | | | Marker a quantification [#] |
| HPCa80 | 65 | 9 (4+5) | T2c, NX, MX | | | 4.4 | | | Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa81 | 54 | 7 (3+4) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | 20.9 | | | Marker quantification [#] |

(*Continued*)

| Patient | Age | Gleason | Stage |] | Marker(s | Experiments | | | |
|-------------------------|-----|---------|---------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | CD133 | ALDH | CD44 | α2β1 | CD44α2β1 | |
| HPCa83 | 69 | 7 (3+4) | T2a, NX, MX | | | | | | AR/PSA IF |
| HPCa84 & HPCa84(N) | 66 | 7 (4+3) | T3a, R1, N0, MX | | | | | | Marker IHC |
| HPCa87 | 57 | 9 (4+5) | pT3b, pNX, pMX, G4, R1 | | | UD | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa89 & HPCa89(N) | 55 | 9 (4+5) | pT3a, pN0, pMX | | | 24 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa91 | 60 | 8 (3+5) | pT3a, NX, MX | | | UD | | | Marker quantification |
| HPCa93 & HPCa93(N) | 58 | 7 (4+3) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | 0.99 | | | Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa96 & HPCa96(N) | 55 | 9 (5+4) | T3b, R1, N1, MX | | | | | | Marker IF; $\alpha 2\beta 1$ cell counting |
| HPCa98 | 64 | 8 (4+4) | pT3a | | | 5.74 | | | IF of PSA and α2β1; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa101** | 71 | 9 (4+5) | pT3a, NX, MX | | 1.5 | 91.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | Marker quantification |
| HPCa102 | 55 | 6 (3+3) | pT2c, pNX, pMX | | | 24.8 | | | qPCR of AR/PSA/ CD44; Marker quantification [#] |
| HPCa110 & HPCa110(N) | 73 | 8 (4+4) | pT3, N0, MX | | | | | | IF of PSA and CD44; α2β1 cell counting |
| HPCa124 | 65 | 9 (4+5) | pT2b, N0, cM0 | | 7.2 | 81.4 | 50.4 | 49.7 | Marker quantification |
| HPCa126 | 68 | 7 (3+4) | pT2c, pN0, pMX | | 4.4 | UD | UD | UD | Marker quantification |
| HPCa128 & HPCa128(N) | 61 | 7 (3+4) | T3b, N0, MX | | 3.6 | 2.4 | 8.3 | | UD IF of PSA & ALDH1A1; Marker quantification |
| HPCa134 & HPCa134(N) | 65 | 7 (4+3) | pT2a, R1, pN0 | | | | | | Analysis of ALDH ⁺ CD44 ⁺ $\alpha 2\beta 1^{+}$ cells |

*HPCa samples were obtained from radical prostatectomy with patients' consent under IRB LAB04–0498. The # refers to de-identified patient numbers used in our lab.

HPCa(N) refers to normal (benign) tissues from the matching HPCa samples.

^{\$}The % marker-positive cells were determined by FACS, MACS, or immunofluorescence (IF) staining. IHC,

immunohistochemistry (i.e., Marker quantification).

[#]Part of the information for these samples was presented in ref. 12.

**Analyzed in early xenograft tumors.

Supplementary Table S3: Marker expression and tumorigenic properties in 4 commonly used PCa cell lines

| Cells | Markers (%) ^a | | | | | | | Clonogenicity ^b (%) | Tumorigenicity ^c | Metastasis ^d |
|-------|--------------------------|------|-----|-----|------|----|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | CD44 | α2β1 | CK5 | Tel | CK18 | AR | PSA | - | | |
| LNCaP | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | 0.5 | + | +/- |
| Du145 | 28 | 5.5 | 22 | + | + | — | - | 0.9 | ++ | ++ |
| PC3 | 99 | 100 | 90 | +++ | + | — | - | 1.2 | +++ | +++ |
| PPC-1 | 99 | 100 | 92 | +++ | + | _ | - | 1.9 | +++ | +++ |

^aFor CD44, $\alpha 2\beta 1$, and CK5 staining, cells cultured on coverslips were used in immunofluorescent staining. The % of marker-positive cells was determined by randomly counting 600 – 1,000 cells. Telomerase (Tel) activity in these cells was determined as previously described (Bhatia et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 283:27957–72,

2008) and presented as relative levels, i.e., from negative (-) to very strong (+++). For luminal cell markers (CK18, AR, and PSA), cells were qualitatively assessed in tumor cell spheres/spheroids as either positive (+) or negative (see Supplementary Figure 6).

^bClonogenicity was performed by plating 1,000 - 10,000 cells (depending on cell types) on a layer of solidified agarose gel and quantifying spheres that arose in 1-2 weeks (see Materials & Methods).

^cTumorigenicity was determined by injecting 100 - 1,000,000 cells in 50% Matrigel subcutaneously in male NOD/SCID mice and scoring tumor latency, incidence, and weight. +, ++, and +++ refer to increasing tumor development with lower numbers of cells implanted (see also Table 1).

^dThe metastatic potential of these cells was determined by implanting 100,000 or 1,000,000 GFP-labeled tumor cells in the dorsal prostate of male NOD/SCID mice and analyzing, 3-5 months after implantation (depending on cell types), the levels of GFP⁺ cells that had metastasized to the lung, lymph nodes, pancreas, brain, and kidney. +/-, ++, and +++ refer to increasing levels of metastasis to these end organs. Note that the quantitative results for metastasis assays are to be presented elsewhere.

| Cells | ABCG2 ⁺ | CD44 ⁺ | $\alpha 2\beta 1^+$ | $CD44^{\scriptscriptstyle +}\alpha 2\beta 1^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ | SP ⁺ | ALDH ⁺ |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| Du145 | + (10×) | $+(30\times)$ | $+(31\times)$ | $+(2\times)^{\#}$ | UD | + (>60×) |
| PC3 | - | N/A | N/A | N/A | UD | $+(4 \times)$ |
| LAPC9 | - | + (6–19×) | - | + (>900×) | + (>500×) | + (~90×) |
| LAPC4 | N/A | - | - | $+(5.7\times)$ | UD | - |

Supplementary Table S4: Different PCa models possess distinct tumorigenic subsets*

*Based on data in Tables 1 and 2. +, more tumorigenic compared to the corresponding marker-negative subpopulation; –, no significant difference between the marker-positive vs. marker-negative subpopulations. Numbers in parentheses indicate relative fold enrichment in tumor-initiating activity (TIF). N/A, not assayed (or not applicable as PC3 cells were nearly 100% positive for CD44 and $\alpha 2\beta$ 1); UD, undetectable; ALDH, Aldefluor assay. *Did not reach statistical significance.

Supplementary Table S5: RT² Profiler[™] PCR Array Human Stem Cell List of Genes*

Stem Cell Specific Markers:

Cell Cycle Regulators: APC, AXIN1, CCNA2, CCND1, CCND2, CCNE1, CDC2, CDC42, EP300, FGF1, FGF2, FGF3, FGF4, MYC, NOTCH2, PARD6A, RB1.

Chromosome and Chromatin Modulators: GCN5L2, HDAC2, MYST1, MYST2, RB1, TERT.

Genes Regulating Symmetric/Asymmetric Cell Division: DHH, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NUMB, PARD6A.

Self-Renewal Markers: HSPA9B, MYST1, MYST2, NEUROG2, SOX1, SOX2.

Cytokines and Growth Factors: BMP1, BMP2, BMP3, CXCL12, FGF1, FGF2, FGF3, FGF4, GDF2, GDF3, IGF1, JAG1. *Genes Regulating Cell-Cell Communication:* DHH, DLL1, GJA1, GJB1, GJB2, JAG1. *Cell Adhesion Molecules:* APC, BGLAP, CD4, CD44, CDH1, CDH2, COL9A1, CTNNA1, CXCL12, NCAM1.

Metabolic Markers: ABCG2, ALDH1A1, ALDH2, FGFR1.

Stem Cell Differentiation Markers:

Embryonic Cell Lineage Markers: ACTC, ASCL2, FOXA2, IPF1, ISL1, KRT15, MSX1, MYOD1, T. *Hematopoietic Cell Lineage Markers:* CD3D, CD4, CD8A, CD8B1, MME. *Mesenchymal Cell Lineage Markers:* AGC1, ALPI, BGLAP, COL1A1, COL2A1, COL9A1, PPARG. *Neural Cell Lineage Markers:* CD44, NCAM1, OPRS1, S100B, TUBB3.

Signaling Pathways Important for Stem Cell Maintenance: *Notch Pathway:* DLL1, DLL3, DTX1, DTX2, DVL1, EP300, GCN5L2, HDAC2, JAG1, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NUMB. *Wnt Pathway:* ADAR, APC, AXIN1, BTRC, CCND1, FRAT1, FZD1, MYC, PPARD, WNT1.

*This stem cell gene expression qPCR panel was custom-made by the SuperArray Bioscience Corporation. The 84 genes are categorized into several different functional groups by GO terms.