Cell Reports

Regulation of mRNA Levels by Decay-Promoting **Introduced Exosome Specific that Recruit the Exosome Specific Specific Factor** Mmi1

Graphical Abstract

Highlights

- "Decay-promoting" introns contain sequences that activate nuclear mRNA decay
- Fast splicing of decay-promoting introns prevents recruitment of decay factors
- **.** In contrast, increased intron retention under stress results in low gene expression
- This mechanism acts to promote cell survival in response to stress

Authors

Cornelia Kilchert, Sina Wittmann, Monica Passoni, Sneha Shah, Sander Granneman, Lidia Vasiljeva

Correspondence

sgrannem@staffmail.ed.ac.uk (S.G.), lidia.vasilieva@bioch.ox.ac.uk (L.V.)

In Brief

Inefficient splicing is common and leads to the degradation of transcripts with retained introns. Kilchert et al. show that ''decay-promoting'' introns harbor sites that recruit RNA decay factors. Although fast splicing precludes recruitment of the nuclear surveillance machinery, increased intron retention reduces gene expression, which can promote cell survival under stress.

Accession Numbers

GSE73144

Regulation of mRNA Levels by Decay-Promoting Introns that Recruit the Exosome Specificity Factor Mmi1

Cornelia Kilchert,1 Sina Wittmann,1 Monica Passoni,1 Sneha Shah,1 Sander Granneman,^{2,*} and Lidia Vasiljeva^{1,*}

1Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK

2Institute for Structural and Molecular Biology, Centre for Synthetic and Systems Biology (SynthSys), C.H. Waddington Building, the King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK

*Correspondence: sgrannem@staffmail.ed.ac.uk (S.G.), lidia.vasilieva@bioch.ox.ac.uk (L.V.)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.026>

This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

SUMMARY

In eukaryotic cells, inefficient splicing is surprisingly common and leads to the degradation of transcripts with retained introns. How pre-mRNAs are committed to nuclear decay is unknown. Here, we uncover a mechanism by which specific intron-containing transcripts are targeted for nuclear degradation in fission yeast. Sequence elements within these ''decay-promoting'' introns co-transcriptionally recruit the exosome specificity factor Mmi1, which induces degradation of the unspliced precursor and leads to a reduction in the levels of the spliced mRNA. This mechanism negatively regulates levels of the RNA helicase DDX5/Dbp2 to promote cell survival in response to stress. In contrast, fast removal of decay-promoting introns by co-transcriptional splicing precludes Mmi1 recruitment and relieves negative expression regulation. We propose that decay-promoting introns facilitate the regulation of gene expression. Based on the identification of multiple additional Mmi1 targets, including mRNAs, long non-coding RNAs, and sn/ snoRNAs, we suggest a general role in RNA regulation for Mmi1 through transcript degradation.

To produce functional mRNAs, introns in nascent pre-mRNAs must be removed by splicing. Recent studies suggest that splicing is a highly regulated process and responsible for changes in gene expression during differentiation or in response to environmental cues ([Bergkessel et al., 2011; Braunschweig](#page-11-0) [et al., 2014; Colak et al., 2013; Parenteau et al., 2011; Pleiss](#page-11-0) [et al., 2007; Wong et al., 2013; Yap et al., 2012](#page-11-0)). In higher eukaryotes in particular, the use of alternative splice sites increases protein diversity by yielding variant proteins. In addition, regulated splicing can also impact mRNA levels. For example, intron retention (IR) can lead to the degradation of pre-mRNAs in the cytoplasm by nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) ([Wong](#page-12-0) [et al., 2013](#page-12-0)). In yeast, IR is widespread and results in the degra-

dation of pre-mRNAs by the nuclear exosome complex [\(Bous](#page-11-0)[quet-Antonelli et al., 2000; Gudipati et al., 2012; Lemieux et al.,](#page-11-0) [2011; Schneider et al., 2012\)](#page-11-0), but how pre-mRNAs are committed to decay by the exosome remains poorly understood.

The exosome is a conserved multi-subunit ribonuclease with various functions in RNA metabolism (reviewed in [Chlebowski](#page-11-0) [et al., 2013\)](#page-11-0). It consists of a non-catalytic core and two associated $3'$ to $5'$ exonucleases, Rrp6 and Dis3. The exosome relies on co-factors for optimal activity. One of the best studied is the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Trf4/5-Air1/2-Mtr4 polyadenylation (TRAMP) complex consisting of the RNA helicase Mtr4, the polyA (pA) polymerase Trf4, and the RNA-binding protein Air1 or Air2. TRAMP adds short pA tails to transcripts (reviewed in [Schmidt](#page-12-0) [and Butler, 2013](#page-12-0)). In contrast to their stabilizing function in the cytoplasm, nuclear pA tails have been linked to RNA degradation and nuclear pA-binding proteins to downregulation of pre-mRNA levels in both yeast and mammals ([Bergeron et al., 2015; Lemieux](#page-11-0) [et al., 2011; Muniz et al., 2015; Schmid et al., 2012\)](#page-11-0). In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the Trf4 homolog Cid14 appears to play a less prominent role in RNA decay. Instead, the Mtr4-like helicase Mtl1 and the RNA-binding protein Red1 (Mtl1-Red1 core, MTREC) associate with the canonical pA polymerase Pla1 and function as central activators of the nuclear exosome [\(Egan et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2015](#page-11-0)). The nuclear pA-binding protein Pab2 also associates with MTREC. The precise mechanisms through which MTREC is targeted to its broad range of specific substrates remains unknown.

Approximately 30 meiotic mRNAs are known to be unstable during mitotic growth because they carry ''determinants of selective removal'' (DSRs), RNA sequences that are enriched for the hexanucleotide motif U(U/C/G)AAAC [\(Chen et al., 2011; Har](#page-11-0)[igaya et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2012](#page-11-0)). This results in co-transcriptional recruitment of the conserved RNA-binding protein Mmi1 to target genes, which programs transcripts for decay by the exosome ([Chen et al., 2011; Harigaya et al., 2006; Hiriart](#page-11-0) [et al., 2012; Tashiro et al., 2013; Yamashita et al., 2012; Zofall](#page-11-0) [et al., 2012\)](#page-11-0). In addition, Mmi1 has been reported to regulate RNA processing, including splicing and 3' end formation ([Chen](#page-11-0) [et al., 2011; McPheeters et al., 2009; Shah et al., 2014](#page-11-0)). Mmi1 dependent RNA decay has been linked to the presence of pA tails on targeted transcripts, which are frequently extended (St-André [et al., 2010; Yamanaka et al., 2010; Zhou et al.,](#page-12-0)

[2015\)](#page-12-0). This Mmi1-dependent hyperadenylation is executed by Pla1 rather than by the TRAMP complex [\(Chen et al., 2011; Yama](#page-11-0)[naka et al., 2010](#page-11-0)). Moreover, degradation of meiotic transcripts also requires Pab2 (St-André [et al., 2010\)](#page-12-0). Recent studies have demonstrated that Mmi1 co-purifies with MTREC subunits [\(Egan et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013; Sugiyama and Sugioka-Su](#page-11-0)[giyama, 2011](#page-11-0)), suggesting that Mmi1 could provide specificity to MTREC and act more broadly in gene regulation. We and others have recently found Mmi1 to also induce turnover of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) that regulate phosphate-responsive genes [\(Ard](#page-11-0) [et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2014\)](#page-11-0), supporting the idea that Mmi1 does not exclusively target meiotic transcripts. Previous studies aimed at the identification ofMmi1 targets relied on microarray analyses in temperature-sensitive *mmi1* mutants [\(Chen et al., 2011;](#page-11-0) [Harigaya et al., 2006\)](#page-11-0). Although these experiments provided valuable insight into gene networks regulated by Mmi1, they failed to distinguish between direct and indirect targets.

Here, we perform UV cross-linking and analysis of cDNA (CRAC) to identify Mmi1 RNA substrates. Unexpectedly, our results demonstrate that Mmi1 associates with RNAs synthesized by all three RNA polymerases (Pol), I, II, and III. To determine how Mmi1 contributes to the regulation of RNA metabolism, we used RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to measure changes in RNA expression levels in a *mmi1* deletion strain (*mmi1*₂). We report that expression of many protein-coding and ncRNAs is significantly changed in $mmi1A$, suggesting that Mmi1 could act as a global regulator of mRNA metabolism. However, based on our

Figure 1. Identification of Mmi1 RNA Targets and the Binding Motif

(A) Mmi1 CRAC cDNA read distribution over four well described Mmi1 targets, the meiotic mRNAs *mei4* and *crs1* and ncRNAs *prt/pho1* and *nc-tgp1*. The positions of the TNAAAC motifs are indicated. Previously mapped DSRs are indicated in green ([Harigaya et al., 2006; McPheeters et al., 2009;](#page-11-0) [Shah et al., 2014](#page-11-0)). ORF, open reading frame; nt, nucleotide.

(B) RNA-seq analysis of the Mmi1 target genes shown in (A). norm., normalized.

(C) Hexamers that closely resemble the consensus Mmi1 motif were enriched significantly in the CRAC data.

(D) Mmi1-binding motifs enriched in cDNA clusters that mapped to mRNAs. Motif analysis was performed using the pyMotif tool in the pyCRAC package.

See also Figure S1.

data, we conclude that the main role of Mmi1 is the activation of RNA decay. Substrates include pre-mRNAs, ncRNAs, and 3'-extended RNAs that are products of leaky transcription termination.

Importantly, we show that, in some cases, Mmi1 preferably binds introns, suggesting that Mmi1 function on these transcripts depends on splicing. By studying the regulation of two individual examples,

dbp2 and *rps2202*, which encode a conserved essential RNA helicase and the ribosomal protein S15a, respectively, we show that Mmi1 binding to the intron reduces gene expression levels under conditions that favor IR. If conditions allow fast splicing, then Mmi1 is no longer recruited, leading to increased levels of mRNA. Strikingly, deletion of the introns deregulates gene expression and alters cell viability in response to environmental stresses. We propose that these ''decay-promoting'' introns facilitate the regulation of gene expression in response to environmental cues.

RESULTS

Identification of Mmi1 RNA Targets and the Binding

To identify direct Mmi1 RNA substrates, we performed CRAC. Actively growing cells were UV-irradiated using the Megatron, and cross-linked Mmi1 substrates were purified by stringent two-step affinity purification and sequenced ([Granneman](#page-11-0) [et al., 2009, 2011](#page-11-0)). Untagged strains served as negative controls. We detected efficient cross-linking with previously described targets of Mmi1, such as the meiotic mRNAs *mei4* and *crs1* or the regulatory phosphate-responsive ncRNAs *prt* and *nc-tgp1* ([Ard et al., 2014; Harigaya et al., 2006; McPheeters](#page-11-0) [et al., 2009; Shah et al., 2014;](#page-11-0) Figure 1A). To complement these analyses, we performed RNA-seq to measure changes in gene expression in an *mmi1* A strain. RNA-seq analyses were performed in triplicate, and differential expression was analyzed

using DESeq2 ([Love et al., 2014\)](#page-12-0). Consistent with previous work, our RNA-seq data analyses revealed a significant accumulation of known Mmi1 targets in the *mmi1∆* strain [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)B). 30 nonoverlapping transcripts had been assigned to the ''Mmi1 regulon'' based on their altered expression in *mmi1-ts* mutants ([Chen et al., 2011\)](#page-11-0). Of these, 19 cross-linked to Mmi1. For three of the regulon genes, CRAC reads mapped anti-sense to the gene (Figure S1A; Table S1). To identify the Mmi1-binding motif, read clusters were subjected to K-mer analyses using pyMotif from the pyCRAC package [\(Webb et al., 2014](#page-12-0)). In agreement with the previously defined Mmi1-binding motif [\(Chen et al.,](#page-11-0) [2011; Yamashita et al., 2012](#page-11-0)), TNAAAC hexamers were enriched significantly ([Figures 1](#page-2-0)C and 1D). The position of Mmi1 crosslinks along transcripts appeared to be random (Figure S1B).

Surprisingly, our analysis revealed Mmi1 binding to diverse classes of RNAs produced by Pol I, II, and III. In addition to \sim 450 protein-coding and ncRNA genes transcribed by Pol II, Mmi1 cross-linked to small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs)/small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) and many Pol III transcripts. The long ribosomal precursor generated by Pol I contributed strongly to the total number of reads. 13.5% of total reads mapped to intergenic regions, consistent with a role for Mmi1 in regulating long noncoding RNA (IncRNA) expression and/or quality control of 3' end processing of RNAs.

Low-Abundance Transcripts Are Highly Enriched in

To evaluate the significance of the observed binding events, we normalized all uniquely mapped cDNA counts to the abundance of the target mRNA using polyA+ sequencing data ([Marguerat](#page-12-0) [et al., 2012](#page-12-0); Table S2). Befittingly, low-abundance transcripts were particularly enriched in Mmi1 CRAC [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)A). For example, the highly unstable meiotic mRNAs *mei4*, *crs1*, *spo5*, and *rec8* were among the most enriched mRNAs. *sme2*, a well-characterized ncRNA target of Mmi1 ([Chen et al., 2011;](#page-11-0) St-André [et al., 2010; Yamashita et al., 2012\)](#page-11-0), clustered together with Mmi1-regulated meiotic mRNAs [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)A).

Because not all ncRNAs are polyadenylated, we recalculated CRAC enrichment using published abundance data for total RNA ([Marguerat et al., 2012\)](#page-12-0). Strikingly, ncRNAs fall into two distinct clusters that correlated with the presence or absence of pA tails [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)B). The classification into pA-containing (pA+) or non-polyadenylated (pA) was based on the relative enrichment of the RNA in the oligo-dT-selected fraction versus the total RNA transcriptome (cutoff, 5.0-fold; [Marguerat et al., 2012](#page-12-0)). The results showed that highly enriched ncRNAs in the Mmi1 CRAC data were generally low-abundance and pA+. Conversely, high-abundance, pA- ncRNAs were generally underrepresented in the CRAC data. Intergenic transcripts could also be divided into $pA+$ and $pA-$ populations ([Figure 2C](#page-4-0)). Intergenic transcripts were most highly enriched in the CRAC experiment, followed by ncRNAs and mRNAs, whereas rRNA enrichment in CRAC was very low (Figure S2A). tRNAs were not included in this analysis because not enough reads could be mapped uniquely.

The Role of Mmi1 in Transcriptome Regulation Analysis of our RNA-seq data revealed a large number of differentially expressed genes [\(Figures 2D](#page-4-0)–2F). 445 transcripts were

downregulated significantly in $mmi1\Delta$ (>1.5-fold, p < 0.05; Table S3). Of these, 159 were ncRNAs (36%), 147 mRNAs (33%), 135 derived from intergenic regions (30%), and the remaining 1% sn/snoRNAs or pseudogenes. 1,610 transcripts were upregulated significantly in $mmi1\Delta$ (>1.5-fold, $p < 0.05$; Table S3), 1,153 of which derived from intergenic regions (72%), 221 were mRNAs (14%), and 210 ncRNAs (13%). The remaining were sn/ snoRNAs, tRNAs, or pseudogenes. Transcripts highly enriched in the CRAC data were upregulated frequently in $mmi1\Delta$ [\(Fig](#page-4-0)[ure 2](#page-4-0)D and S2B). To verify some of our findings, we performed northern blot (NB) or RT-PCR on several transcripts bound by Mmi1, including *rpl3002*, which encodes the ribosomal protein L30, and several ncRNAs (*nc532*, *nc1366*, *nc-tgp1* [not annotated, part of the intergenic feature INT_0_2957], and *nc*spcc11e10.01 [annotated as the extended 5' UTR of *spcc11e10.01*]) (Figures S2C–S2E). Strikingly, Mmi1 frequently crosslinked with transcripts derived from intergenic regions, which also tended to be upregulated in $mmi1A$. This included some non-annotated ncRNAs like *nc-tgp1* or *sme2-L*, a 1.5-kb 3'-extended isoform of sme2 ([Yamashita et al., 2012;](#page-12-0) [Figure 2F](#page-4-0)). In the majority of cases, however, the increased intergenic signal most likely represented 3′-extended transcripts. These fell into (at least) the following three different classes. (1) Increased reads downstream of genes that were strongly upregulated in *mmi1*D; for example, *crs1*, *spo5*, and *prt/pho1* [\(Figures 2D](#page-4-0) and 2F). (2) Increased reads downstream of genes of which the overall transcript levels did not change in *mmi1*D; for example, *pre6* [\(Fig](#page-4-0)[ure 2](#page-4-0)F and S3A). Generally, these 3'-extended transcripts were at very low levels compared with processed transcripts, suggesting that Mmi1 might be involved in the degradation of read-through products resulting from leaky transcription termination. (3) A small number of genes where we could detect a decrease in reads over the gene body but increased transcription downstream of the 3' end of the gene, indicative of a transcription termination defect. These included *cox12*, *rpl3202*, *rpl802*, *rps1102*, and *rps2202* [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)F and S3B; Table S3). What predisposes this small subset of genes to defective termination in $mmi1\Delta$ remains to be determined. In addition, we detected 3'-extended snoR69b in *mmi1*² (Figure S3C). cDNA reads from this snoRNA were also present in the Mmi1 CRAC data, indicating that Mmi1 might be involved in its 3' processing. However, we did not find evidence for a general role for Mmi1 in 3' processing of sn/snoRNAs.

Interestingly, for \sim 100 intron-containing genes, we observed an increase in $5'$ ss exon-intron boundary reads in $mmi1A$ (>2-fold, per million reads) (Table S3). These results can be explained by stabilization of the pre-mRNA, a splicing defect, or a combination of both. To distinguish between these possibilities, we analyzed intron/exon fragments per kilobase of transcript per million reads (FPKM) ratios for intron-containing genes in the RNA-seq data (Figure S3D), which provided an indication of changes in splicing efficiency in the $mmi1\Delta$ strain. In the majority of cases, the intron/exon FPKM ratio did not change noticeably, suggesting that the increase in 5' ss exon-intron boundary reads was probably the result of increased stabilization of the pre-mRNA (Figure S3D). However, for a small number of genes, we could detect an increase both in 5' ss exon boundary reads and in the intron/exon FPKM ratio in the *mmi1* Δ strain, indicative of a splicing defect (Figure S3D). This included the Mmi1 target

(legend on next page)

Figure 3. Mmi1 Binding to Introns Regulates the Accumulation of Spliced Product

(A) Mmi1 CRAC cDNA reads over the intron-containing genes *dbp2* and *rps2202*. The positions of the TNAAAC motifs and position of probes used for NB in (C) and (D) are indicated.

(B) RNA-seq analysis of the Mmi1 target genes shown in (A).

(C) *dbp2* northern blot analysis. A DNA probe against exon 3 was used (see A).

(D) *rps2202* northern analysis. Bands corresponding to spliced and unspliced *rps2202* and the paralogue *rps2201* are indicated. Because of high sequence conservation, the intron-less paraloque *rps2201* is also detected (Figure S4C). Left: a DNA probe against exon 2 was used. Right: a strandspecific RNA probe against the intron was used (see A).

(E) *rps2202* northern blot analysis on total RNA treated or not treated with RNaseH in the presence or absence of oligo-dT to cleave pA tails. (F) *rps2202* northern blot analysis.

See also Figure S4.

Finally, 57% of mRNAs upregulated in an MTREC mutant (red14) [\(Sugiyama](#page-12-0) [and Sugioka-Sugiyama, 2011](#page-12-0)) were also upregulated in $mmi1\Delta$ (fold change >1.5 , p < 0.05; Table S3). The MTREC-associated protein Pab2 has also been implicated in the downregulation of meiotic genes via Mmi1 (St-André [et al., 2010](#page-12-0)). In addition, Pab2 has been found to act in the selective degradation of pre-mRNAs ([Lemieux et al., 2011](#page-12-0)). Strikingly, roughly half of all Pab2-regulated intron-containing mRNAs were also upregulated significantly in $mmi1\Delta$ (Table S3), which sug-

rps2202. However, it should be noted that the splicing defect in *rps2202* appears to be independent of recruitment of Mmi1 to the transcript because it was not observed upon mutation of the Mmi1-binding site (see below). Why specifically these transcripts show splicing defects in *mmi1* d remains unclear. Our data strongly suggest that the main function of Mmi1 is RNA degradation. Only a minor portion of the transcriptome depends on Mmi1 for RNA processing (splicing and 3' end formation), and it is not clear whether these effects are direct.

gests that Mmi1 binding could be an important determinant for Pab2-mediated pre-mRNA turnover.

Mmi1 Binding to Introns Regulates the Accumulation

Intriguingly, among the transcripts with the highest number of cDNA reads in the Mmi1 CRAC data were *dbp2* and *rps2202*, where Mmi1 is bound within intronic regions (Figure 3A; Table S4). This suggested that Mmi1 could specifically regulate levels

Figure 2. The Role of Mmi1 in Regulation of the Transcriptome

(A) Mmi1 CRAC enrichment for mRNAs plotted versus RNA abundance (oligo-dT-selected; [Marguerat et al., 2012\)](#page-12-0). Several known (*mei4*, *crs1*, *spo5*, and *rec8*) and selected additional Mmi1 mRNA targets are indicated. The black triangle denotes *sme2* ncRNA.

(B) Mmi1 CRAC enrichment plotted versus total RNA abundance ([Marguerat et al., 2012\)](#page-12-0). Circles denote mRNAs, triangles ncRNAs, and diamonds sn/snoRNAs. Open and filled markers denote pA- and pA+ transcripts, respectively. Several known (*mei4* and sme2) and selected additional Mmi1 ncRNA targets are indicated.

(C) Mmi1 CRAC enrichment plotted versus total RNA abundance ([Marguerat et al., 2012](#page-12-0)). Circles denote mRNAs and squares intergenic sequences without annotated features. Open and filled markers denote pA- and pA+ transcripts, respectively. Intergenic regions containing the non-annotated ncRNAs sme2-L and *nc-tgp1* or 3'-extended reads (RT) downstream of the indicated genes are labeled.

(D–F) RNA-seq analysis of all mRNAs (D), ncRNAs (E), or intergenic regions without annotated features (F) in the WT and *mmi1*D. The expression of genes that lie outside of the area indicated by the dashed lines changes >2-fold. Individual transcripts are indicated. See also Figures S2 and S3.

Figure 4. dbp2 Intron 2 Is Involved in Negative Expression Regulation

(A) Schematic of the constructs used in (B)–(E). The position of the Mmi1 CRAC signal in the WT is indicated.

(B) ChIP analysis of Mmi1-HTP recruitment across the *dbp2* locus. The positions of the amplicons are indicated above the bar plot. Error bars indicate SEM of at least two biological replicates. Note that amplicon 3 is shorter in *dbp2-4dsr* and, therefore, cannot be compared with the results for the other strains.

(C) *dbp2* northern blot analysis. For *dbp2-*D*dsr* and *dbp2-i2* Δ *, we included three separate clones.*

(D) Serial dilution of the indicated yeast strains on YES plates with various salt concentrations, incubated at 30°C. Note that the plates were photographed after different incubation times (1–3 days), depending on cell growth.

(E) *dbp2* northern blot analysis of RNA extracted from cells grown for 24 hr in YES with or without added NaCl. Note that the two panels are taken from different blots. For direct comparison of the WT and *mmi1*¹, refer to Figure S5F.

(F) Western blot analysis of whole-cell extracts from strains expressing Dbp2-HTP and grown for 24 hr in YES with or without added NaCl. See also Figure S5.

appeared upon RNase H digestion in the presence of oligo-dT [\(Figure 3E](#page-5-0)). Hyperadenylation of meiotic mRNAs depends on Mmi1 but does not require the TRAMP component *cid14* ([Yamanaka et al.,](#page-12-0) [2010\)](#page-12-0), and we found the same for *rps2202* ([Figure 3](#page-5-0)F). However, *dbp2* and

of the unspliced pre-mRNA, whereas the mRNA is not expected to be targeted because the Mmi1 binding site is removed by splicing. Indeed, we observed an increase in intronic reads in $mmi1\Delta$ ([Fig](#page-5-0)[ure 3B](#page-5-0)) and could show accumulation of *rps2202* and *dbp2* premRNA in *mmi1*∆ and *rrp6∆* by NB ([Figures 3C](#page-5-0) and 3D) or RT-PCR (Figure S4A). Pre-mRNA accumulation was also observed when *pab2* or *red1* was deleted (Figure S4B, lanes 6 and 7), which are known to act in the turnover of meiotic mRNAs ([Egan et al.,](#page-11-0) 2014; Lee et al., 2013; St-André et al., 2010; Sugiyama and Su[gioka-Sugiyama, 2011\)](#page-11-0). Unexpectedly, levels of the spliced *dbp2* transcript were also increased strongly in $mmi1\Delta$, suggesting that Mmi1 binding regulates mRNA levels, although Mmi1 cross-linking to regions outside of the intron was negligible ([Fig](#page-5-0)[ures 3](#page-5-0)A and 3C). In contrast, *rps2202* splicing was inhibited in *rrp6∆* and, to some extent, in *mmi1*∆ [\(Figure 3](#page-5-0)D and S4A). Intron hyperretention (hyper-IR) resulted in decreased levels of mRNA and was observed in other nuclear surveillance mutants (*dis3- 54* and *cid14∆*) but not in *pab2∆* or *red1∆* (Figure S4B, lanes 4-7). The *rrp6∆* strain also accumulated 3'-extended *rps2202* species that were detected as a smear above the major premRNA band [\(Figure 3D](#page-5-0)). This can be indicative of hyperadenylation, which has been reported to occur on several meiotic Mmi1 targets in exosome mutants ([Chen et al., 2011; Yamanaka et al.,](#page-11-0) [2010; Zhou et al., 2015\)](#page-11-0). Consistent with this idea, the smear dis*rps2202* pre-mRNAs were stabilized in *cid14*D (Figure S4B, lane 5). Also, the double mutant *cid14₁ rrp6₁* accumulated more *rps2202* pre-mRNA than the single mutants (the strain is very sick; [Figure 3](#page-5-0)F, lanes 2 and 3). This suggests that *cid14* is involved in pre-mRNA quality control in *S. pombe*.

dbp2 Intron 2 Negatively Regulates Levels of the Spliced
mRNA

www.
Next, we wanted to investigate how Mmi1 regulates *dbp2* expression. *dbp2* encodes a conserved DEAD box helicase with functions in RNA metabolism and harbors two introns. Interestingly, intron 2, which contains the Mmi1-binding sites, is conserved in *S. cerevisiae* and higher eukaryotes with respect to its position and length. Splicing efficiency has been reported to be low for this intron in various organisms, including *S. pombe*, where it induces Pab2-dependent decay of the pre-mRNA when transplanted into a different gene [\(Barta and Iggo, 1995; Iggo et al., 1991; Lemieux et al.,](#page-11-0) [2011; Moore et al., 2011](#page-11-0)). In agreement with this, pre-mRNA with a retained intron 2 was observed in the wild-type (WT) (Figure S5A). This is unlike intron 1, which is spliced efficiently [\(Lemieux et al., 2011](#page-12-0); Figure S5A). To test whether intron 2 is involved in the regulation of *dbp2* levels, we generated an intron 2 deletion mutant (*dbp2-i24*; Figure 4A). Although this

mutant failed to recruit Mmi1 to *dbp2*, binding of Mmi1 to *rps2202* was unaffected ([Figure 4B](#page-6-0) and S5B), demonstrating that Mmi1 preferentially binds the *dbp2* intron. Notably, levels of *dbp2*-*i2*D mRNA were increased strongly compared with the WT transcript ([Figure 4C](#page-6-0), lanes 6–8), reminiscent of what we observed in the $mmi1\Delta$ strain [\(Figure 4C](#page-6-0), lane 2).

Because deletion of the entire intron can remove additional regulatory elements that are independent of Mmi1, we deleted a 73 base pair (bp) region within the intron for which we had detected the strongest cross-linking to Mmi1 (*dbp2-Adsr*; [Figure 4A](#page-6-0)). Although Mmi1 recruitment to *dbp2* was reduced strongly and the pre-mRNA stabilized, this mutation had little influence on spliced RNA levels, suggesting that additional destabilization elements are present in the intron, perhaps other Mmi1 binding sites not detected in CRAC ([Figures 4](#page-6-0)B and 4C, lanes 3–5).

Given that a futile cycle of synthesis and decay is energyconsuming, we reasoned that the increased energy expenditure associated with the *dbp2* intron may be evolutionarily tolerated because the presence of the intron (or specific features within the intron, such as the Mmi1-binding motifs) could confer an advantage to the cell; for example, by facilitating regulation of gene expression. This prompted us to investigate whether removal of the intron would be detrimental to growth under certain conditions. To test this, we serially diluted cells expressing WT *dbp2* or *dbp2-i2*D onto different growth media. In *S. cerevisiae*, Dbp2 responds to glucose depletion ([Beck et al.,](#page-11-0) [2014](#page-11-0)). However, we did not observe any differences in growth on plates with different carbon sources (Figure S5C). In contrast, cells expressing *dbp2-i2*D grew slower in medium containing elevated salt concentrations [\(Figure 4](#page-6-0)D). Under these conditions, levels of WT *dbp2* were dramatically reduced [\(Figure 4](#page-6-0)E, lane 2), whereas *dbp2-i24* levels remained constitutively high [\(Figure 4](#page-6-0)E, lane 4). Pol II occupancy at the *dbp2* gene locus was decreased under high-salt conditions (Figure S5D). Importantly, however, deletion of intron 2 had no effect on Pol II levels compared with the WT strain, in stark contrast to the pronounced differences in RNA levels. This suggested that the intron exerts its influence through a post-transcriptional mechanism. Intron 2 was also required for *dbp2* destabilization under other stress conditions, including DNA damage (Figure S5E, lanes 2 and 4). Therefore, we hypothesized that increased retention of intron 2 and targeted removal of the unspliced RNA by the Mmi1/exosome pathway negatively regulates *dbp2* levels in response to stress. In agreement with increased IR, in *mmi1* A, more pre-mRNA was stabilized under high-salt conditions, and less fully spliced *dbp2* was generated ([Figure 4](#page-6-0)E, lane 6). Also, negative regulation in response to salt was impaired in *mmi1* d (Figure S5F), which was best observed at the protein level [\(Figure 4F](#page-6-0)). This strongly supported the notion that Mmi1 recruitment to introns can amplify the effects of inefficient splicing and suggests that fission yeast employs IR coupled to rapid nuclear RNA decay to tightly control Dbp2 expression.

Recruitment of Mmi1 to the rps2202 Intron Occurs in the
Context of Paralogue-Dependent Negative Splicing **Regulation**

Regulation As shown above, Mmi1 also strongly binds the intron of *rps2202*, which encodes the highly conserved ribosomal protein (RP)

S15a [\(Figure 3A](#page-5-0)). Unspliced *rps2202* was detectable in the parental strain, suggesting that splicing is inefficient under normal growth conditions (Figure S4A). To test whether this intron, too, contributes to the regulation of mRNA levels, we constructed a mutant in which the intron was deleted (rps2202-*i* Δ ; [Figure 5](#page-8-0)A). The deletion strongly affected Mmi1 recruitment to *rps2202* but not to a control gene (*dbp2*) [\(Figures 5B](#page-8-0) and S6A) and resulted in increased levels of *rps2202* ([Figures 5](#page-8-0)C and S6C). This suggested that the presence of the intron negatively regulates *rps2202* expression.

In *mmi1*² and various nuclear surveillance mutants, we observed hyper-IR of this intron ([Figures 3D](#page-5-0) and S4B). This could indicate that Mmi1 binding is required for splicing. However, a single base pair mutation in the tandem Mmi1-binding site did not induce hyper-IR, although co-transcriptional Mmi1 recruitment was reduced strongly (*rps2202-A>G*; [Figures 5B](#page-8-0) and S6B). Rather, as for *rps2202-i∆*, *rps2202* levels were increased in this strain [\(Figure 5D](#page-8-0), lane 3). We take this as an indication that hyper-IR may not be directly linked to Mmi1 recruitment to the locus but could be caused by the misregulation of an unknown factor in nuclear surveillance mutants. In addition, *rps2202-A>G* did not rescue hyper-IR in *rrp6∆* nor, interestingly, hyperadenylation of the pre-mRNA [\(Figure 5D](#page-8-0), lane 4), suggesting that hyperadenylation may not be strictly dependent on recruitment of Mmi1 to the gene.

Based on the phenotypes of *rps2202-i* 4 and *rps2202-A>G*, we conclude that, similar to *dbp2*, the poorly spliced intron limits *rps2202* expression through Mmi1/exosome-dependent decay. For several other RP genes it has been reported that their levels are regulated by paralogue-dependent inhibition of splicing [\(Le](#page-12-0)mieux et al., 2011; Macías [et al., 2008; Plocik and Guthrie, 2012;](#page-12-0) [Vilardell and Warner, 1997\)](#page-12-0). The *S. pombe* genome harbors a second copy of S15a, *rps2201*, which encodes the identical protein but contains no intron. With our NB probes we detected both *rps2201* and *rps2202* because of the high sequence conservation [\(Figures 5](#page-8-0)C and 5D). This raised the possibility that S15a levels are controlled by a similar regulatory feedback mechanism. Accordingly, deletion of *rps2201* resulted in increased *rps2202* expression, comparable with *rps2202-i*^D ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)E, lanes 2 and 3). In both mutants, Pol II levels over *rps2202* were unchanged, making regulation at the transcriptional level unlikely (Figure S6D). Also, deletion of *rps2201* in the intron-less strain did not lead to a further increase in *rps2202* levels, compatible with the idea that Rps2201 affects *rps2202* levels by modulating its splicing ([Figure 5E](#page-8-0), lane 4). To examine whether Rps2201 regulates IR in *rps2202*, we compared splicing efficiencies in the Pol II-bound RNA fraction of $rps2201\Delta$ and the WT to accurately evaluate levels of the unstable precursor. Almost no spliced *rps2202* was detected in *rrp64*, confirming the occurrence of hyper-IR in this strain (Figure S6E, lane 5). Importantly, upon deletion of *rps2201*, higher levels of the spliced form were associated with Pol II (Figure S6E, lane 6), indicative of increased rates of splicing. Interestingly, deletion of *rps2201* also rescued *rps2202* hyper-IR in nuclear surveillance mutants (Figure S6F, lanes 3, 5, 7, and 9). We conclude that IR in *rps2202* depends on S15a levels and propose that negative regulation of *rps2202* splicing helps to tightly control S15a expression through the Mmi1/exosome pathway. Importantly, this regulation

(A) Schematic of the constructs used in (B)–(E). *rps2202-A>G* harbors a single base pair mutation in the TNAAAC motif. The position of the Mmi1 CRAC signal in the WT is indicated.

(B) ChIP analysis of Mmi1-HTP recruitment across *rps2202*. The positions of the amplicons are indicated above the bar plot. Error bars indicate SEM of at least two biological replicates.

(legend continued on next page)

provided us with a tool to assess the influence of splicing rates on the recruitment of Mmi1 to intronic sites. Strikingly, Mmi1 recruitment to *rps2202* was reduced dramatically in the absence of Rps2201, suggesting a kinetic competition between splicing and Mmi1 recruitment ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)F).

To examine whether the presence of the *rps2202* intron was sufficient to destabilize RNA, we inserted the intron into an *ura4* reporter driven by the *tub1* promoter, which enables growth on selective media lacking uracil (Edinburgh minimal medium with glutamate without uracil [EMMG-URA]). As negative controls, we either integrated intron-less *ura4* or a construct that contained the *rps3* intron, which is spliced efficiently ([Lemieux](#page-12-0) [et al., 2011](#page-12-0)). The presence of the *rps3* intron within *ura4* had a very mild effect on growth on EMMG-URA, suggesting that the *rps3* intron is indeed spliced efficiently. However, cells that expressed the *ura4-rps2202i* reporter were unable to grow [\(Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 5](#page-8-0)G). Similarly, hardly any spliced mRNA could be detected when the *rps2202* intron was inserted into another reporter, EGFP (Figures S6G, lane 2, and S6H). However, splicing was enabled when the paralogue *rps2201* was deleted (Figure S6G, lane 4), again confirming that the *rps2202* intron is subject to S15a level-dependent negative splicing regulation. We conclude that the *rps2202* intron can repress expression when integrated into a reporter construct and retains its ability to be regulated.

DISCUSSION

Orchestrated changes in RNA stability play an important role in transitions between different metabolic states, and widespread intron retention has been proposed to be an important trigger for RNA decay in response to stress [\(Bergkessel et al., 2011;](#page-11-0) [Gagnon et al., 2015; Marguerat et al., 2014; Pleiss et al., 2007](#page-11-0)). The importance of this pathway has been underscored by a systematic study that analyzed the effect of intron deletions on cell fitness in *S. cerevisiae*, which frequently resulted in reduced survival under stress ([Parenteau et al., 2011](#page-12-0)). However, a substantial fraction of pre-mRNAs is degraded by the nuclear exosome even under optimal growth conditions, resulting in constant removal of newly transcribed material [\(Gudipati et al., 2012; Schneider et al.,](#page-11-0) [2012](#page-11-0)). Why cells employ such a seemingly energy-expensive system has been a matter of debate. It has been suggested that quality control could be favored kinetically to minimize the risk of toxicity associated with defects in processing. But why should RNA processing be that error-prone in the first place?

Both *dbp2* and *rps2202* transcripts are spliced inefficiently under standard laboratory conditions, and the pre-mRNAs are turned over rapidly, resulting in a futile cycle of RNA synthesis and decay. Importantly, however, the rates with which these introns are spliced are not constant but change according to the conditions. Fast intron removal precludes Mmi1 recruitment and results in increased gene expression. It seems likely that fission yeast maintains these introns, although this is energyconsuming, because they facilitate the regulation of gene expression and ensure cell survival at times of changing conditions ([Figure 6](#page-10-0)). Importantly, we find that the selective degradation of these pre-mRNAs involves active targeting of the RNA decay machinery to the intron-containing transcript. This contrasts with the current view in the field, which has been focused on the identification of a default pathway that could, for example, respond to stalled spliceosomes ([Lee et al., 2013; Nag and](#page-12-0) [Steitz, 2012; Zhou et al., 2015](#page-12-0)). To differentiate between both mechanisms, we propose to introduce the concept of decaypromoting introns that actively induce RNA degradation when retained. This category could also include introns that contain RNase III cleavage sites that elicit RNase III-mediated decay (RMD) ([Danin-Kreiselman et al., 2003; Roy and Chanfreau,](#page-11-0) [2014\)](#page-11-0). Nuclear RNA turnover induced by decay-promoting introns complements other post-transcriptional gene regulatory pathways, such as alternative splicing coupled with NMD or spliceosome-mediated decay (SMD), where non-productive splicing generates unstable products that are turned over rapidly by the exosome [\(Volanakis et al., 2013\)](#page-12-0).

Currently, we do not know which factors negatively regulate splicing of *dbp2*, and we are only aware of conditions that decrease the low splicing efficiency even further (high salt). Intriguingly, the pathway may be conserved. DDX5/p68, for example, the human homolog of *dbp2*, also contains the conserved intron ([Moore et al., 2011](#page-12-0)). In *S. cerevisiae*, IR of the *dbp2* intron is relieved in a *dbp2* point mutant (helicase-dead), suggesting that the gene may be autoregulated [\(Barta and Iggo,](#page-11-0) [1995](#page-11-0)). In *S. pombe*, we could detect Dbp2 across the *dbp2* locus (data not shown). Therefore, autoregulation of *dbp2* splicing in *S. pombe* clearly remains a possibility. If true, then this would suggest that IR could be alleviated under conditions where the pool of free Dbp2 is decreased or its access to the nucleus is restricted, as occurs in *S. cerevisiae* upon glucose depletion [\(Beck et al., 2014](#page-11-0)).

For *rps2202*, we find that splicing is influenced negatively by its paralogue Rps2201, suggestive of tight control of protein homeostasis. There is precedence for paralogue-dependent regulation of splicing: *S. cerevisiae* Rpl30p is known to inhibit splicing of its own mRNA by impeding the progression of splicing (Macías et al., [2008; Vilardell et al., 2000\)](#page-12-0). Fission yeast possesses two copies of *RPL30*, and here the protein product of one paralogue (*rpl30- 1*) negatively influences splicing of the other (*rpl30-2*) [\(Lemieux](#page-12-0) [et al., 2011](#page-12-0)). Interestingly, *rpl30-2* is also among the Mmi1 targets identified by our CRAC and is upregulated in $mmi1\Delta$ [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)D). More reports of auto- or cross-paralogue inhibition of splicing in different species exist, and this mode of regulation appears to be particularly widespread among ribosomal protein genes [\(Few](#page-11-0)[ell and Woolford, 1999; Lemieux et al., 2011; Malygin et al., 2007;](#page-11-0)

See also Figure S6.

⁽C–E) *rps2202* northern blot analysis. Because of high sequence conservation, the paralogue *rps2201* is also detected. (C) The *rps2202*-*i*D mutant was backcrossed into the WT background to verify that the phenotype co-segregated with the mutation (lanes 3–5). (D) *rps2202-A>G* was crossed with *rrp6*D to generate the double mutant (lane 4). (E) Note that the unspliced precursor co-migrates with an extended spliced form (*) that is also present in rps2202-i 1. No introncontaining band is detected in $\textit{ns2202-i}\Delta$ by RT-PCR (Figure S6C).

⁽F) ChIP analysis of Mmi1-HTP recruitment across *rps2202*. Error bars indicate SEM of at least two biological replicates.

⁽G) Serial dilution of yeast strains with an *ura4* reporter (intron-free or containing introns of *rps3* or *rps2202*) driven by the *tub1* promoter integrated into the *leu1* locus grown on plates lacking uracil (EMMG-URA).

Figure 6. Model of Regulation of Gene Expression by Decay-Promoting Introns that Activate Nuclear Surveillance Decay-promoting introns contained in fission yeast pre-mRNAs harbor Mmi1-binding sites that have the ability to induce fast transcript turnover. Although fast splicing prevents the recruitment of Mmi1 and the activation of nuclear surveillance, increased IR under stress conditions or in the presence of a specific splicing inhibitor results in reduced gene expression. It is currently unclear whether intron-dependent recruitment of the exosome to the gene locus could also trigger degradation of fully spliced molecules that are retained in the same nuclear compartment.

[Parenteau et al., 2011; Plocik and Guthrie, 2012\)](#page-11-0). Interestingly, RP genes are enriched significantly in our Mmi1 CRAC (gene ontology [GO] term enrichment for ''cytoplasmic translation'' [GO:0002181] 47/238 [p = 2.52 \times 10⁻⁸] and for "translation" [GO:0006412] 54/ 350 [p = 5.32 \times 10⁻⁶]). Therefore, Mmi1 could have a broader role in the regulation of ribosome biogenesis.

Several points remain to be addressed in the future. What causes hyper-IR in *rps2202* in nuclear surveillance mutants? Globally, only a handful of genes display hyper-IR in $mmi1\Delta$ (Figure S3D), and it will be an interesting direction for future research to find out whether they are regulated by common factors. Multiple mRNAs identified in Mmi1 CRAC and/or deregulated in $mmi1_{\Delta}$ encode proteins that have been linked to RNA biogenesis. One example is Mlo3 (a homolog of the *S. cerevisiae* export factor Yra1), which associates with TRAMP to suppress RNA transcribed from heterochromatic loci [\(B](#page-11-0)ü[hler et al., 2007; Zhang](#page-11-0) [et al., 2011](#page-11-0)). In *mmi14*, *mlo3* transcription termination is defective (Table S3; data not shown), and its reduced expression could be a possible cause of the pleiotropic effects observed in *mmi1* mutants.

Based on our Mmi1 CRAC experiment, we identified only two dozen introns that could qualify as decay-promoting (Table S4). However, our data clearly suggest that fast splicing precludes Mmi1 recruitment ([Figure 5F](#page-8-0)). Therefore, other introns may splice too rapidly under normal growth conditions to be bound by Mmi1 but may have the potential to do so should the rate of splicing decrease. In accordance with this idea, 4.8% of *S. pombe* introns contain at least one TNAAAC motif. Contrary to what was observed in reporter assays, where at least six repeats of the motif were needed for efficient silencing [\(Yamashita et al.,](#page-12-0) [2012\)](#page-12-0), our data suggest that a single intronic TNAAAC motif is sufficient to commit *rps2202* to decay. On the other hand, we did not observe Mmi1 binding to every possible motif. These observations point to additional requirements for Mmi1 binding, such as a specific RNA fold or the presence of accessory proteins. Alternatively, as has been shown recently for other YTH domain proteins, Mmi1 affinity may be modulated by N6-methylated adenosines, an RNA modification that has been linked to alternative splicing [\(Dominissini et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014\)](#page-11-0). It will be an interesting future direction of research to study whether Mmi1 binding could be regulated by this RNA modification.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Additional detailed protocols are available in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. All oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Table S5.

All S. pombe strains used in this study are listed in Table S6. Standard methods were used for cell growth and genetic manipulations ([Moreno et al., 1991](#page-12-0)). Cells were grown in yeast extract with supplements (YES) at 30° C unless indicated otherwise.

RNA Sequencing

mare sequencen.
Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. Library preparation and analysis are described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Prenaration of RNA and RT-PCR

Preparation of RNA and RT-PCR
RNA extractions were performed as described previously ([Vasiljeva and Bur](#page-12-0)[atowski, 2006](#page-12-0)). Reverse transcription was carried out on DNase-treated total

RNA using gene-specific primers followed by PCR. RT controls were performed for each experiment (data not shown).

NB experiments were performed as described previously [\(Vasiljeva and Bura](#page-12-0)[towski, 2006\)](#page-12-0). RNA was resolved on 1.2% agarose gels. For strand-specific NB, digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled probes were in vitro-transcribed with MAXIscript (Ambion) and detected using the DIG system (Roche). RNase H treatment in the presence of oligo-dT was carried out on 10 µg total RNA as described previously [\(Yamanaka et al., 2010](#page-12-0)). In all cases, methylene blue staining of ribosomal bands served as a loading control.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed as described previously [\(Shah et al., 2014](#page-12-0)) and quantified with qPCR using SensiMix SYBR (Bioline). Immunoprecipitations (IPs) were conducted with either rabbit IgG agarose (Sigma) or antibody against Rpb1 (Millipore, 8WG16) coupled to protein G Dynabeads (Life Technologies). The values shown correspond to the ChIP signal above the non-tagged background (for immunoglobulin G [IgG]) over the input relative to a control gene (*fbp1*). Error bars represent SEM of at least two biological replicates.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The accession number for the raw (fastq) and processed sequencing data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE73144.

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, six figures, and six tables and can be found with this article online at [http://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.026) [dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.026.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.026)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.K., S.G., and L.V. designed experiments; C.K., S.W., M.P., S.S., and S.G. performed experiments; C.K. and S.G. analyzed data; C.K., S.G., and L.V. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. Allshire, F. Bachand, A. Diepold, S. Grewal, M. Gullerova, T. Nakamura, K. Nasmyth, and T. Sugiyama for strains and constructs. High-throughput sequencing was performed by the High-Throughput Genomics Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics (Wellcome Trust 090532/Z/09/Z) and Edinburgh Genomics, the University of Edinburgh. Edinburgh Genomics is partly supported through core grants from NERC (R8/H10/56), MRC (MR/K001744/1), and BBSRC (BB/J004243/1). This work was supported by a research fellowship from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (KI1657/1-1 to C.K.), an MRC studentship (to S.W.), an Exeter College SKP studentship and a Hilla Ginwala Scholarship (to S.S.), and the Wellcome Trust (WT091549 to SG; WT088359MA and WT106994MA to L.V.).

Received: June 18, 2015 Revised: September 19, 2015 Accepted: November 6, 2015 Published: December 3, 2015

REFERENCES

[Ard, R., Tong, P., and Allshire, R.C. \(2014\). Long non-coding RNA-mediated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref1) [transcriptional interference of a permease gene confers drug tolerance in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref1) [fission yeast. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref1) *5*, 5576.

[Barta, I., and Iggo, R. \(1995\). Autoregulation of expression of the yeast Dbp2p](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref2) ['DEAD-box' protein is mediated by sequences in the conserved DBP2 intron.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref2) EMBO J. *14*[, 3800–3808.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref2)

[Beck, Z.T., Cloutier, S.C., Schipma, M.J., Petell, C.J., Ma, W.K., and Tran, E.J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref3) [\(2014\). Regulation of glucose-dependent gene expression by the RNA heli](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref3)[case Dbp2 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref3) *198*, 1001–1014.

[Bergeron, D., Pal, G., Beaulieu, Y.B., Chabot, B., and Bachand, F. \(2015\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref4) [Regulated intron retention and nuclear pre-mRNA decay contribute to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref4) [PABPN1 autoregulation. Mol. Cell. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref4) *35*, 2503–2517.

[Bergkessel, M., Whitworth, G.B., and Guthrie, C. \(2011\). Diverse environ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref5)[mental stresses elicit distinct responses at the level of pre-mRNA processing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref5) [in yeast. RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref5) *17*, 1461–1478.

[Bousquet-Antonelli, C., Presutti, C., and Tollervey, D. \(2000\). Identification of a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref6) [regulated pathway for nuclear pre-mRNA turnover. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref6) *102*, 765–775.

[Braunschweig, U., Barbosa-Morais, N.L., Pan, Q., Nachman, E.N., Alipanahi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref7) [B., Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis, T., Frey, B., Irimia, M., and Blencowe, B.J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref7) [\(2014\). Widespread intron retention in mammals functionally tunes transcrip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref7)[tomes. Genome Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref7) *24*, 1774–1786.

Bühler, M., Haas, W., Gygi, S.P., and Moazed, D. (2007). RNAi-dependent and [-independent RNA turnover mechanisms contribute to heterochromatic gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref8) [silencing. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref8) *129*, 707–721.

[Chen, H.-M., Futcher, B., and Leatherwood, J. \(2011\). The fission yeast RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref9) [binding protein Mmi1 regulates meiotic genes by controlling intron specific](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref9) [splicing and polyadenylation coupled RNA turnover. PLoS ONE](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref9) *6*, e26804.

[Chlebowski, A., Lubas, M., Jensen, T.H., and Dziembowski, A. \(2013\). RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref10) [decay machines: the exosome. Biochim. Biophys. Acta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref10) *1829*, 552–560.

[Colak, D., Ji, S.-J., Porse, B.T., and Jaffrey, S.R. \(2013\). Regulation of axon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref11) [guidance by compartmentalized nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref11) *153*, [1252–1265](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref11).

[Danin-Kreiselman, M., Lee, C.Y., and Chanfreau, G. \(2003\). RNAse III-medi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref12)[ated degradation of unspliced pre-mRNAs and lariat introns. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref12) *11*, [1279–1289](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref12).

[Dominissini, D., Moshitch-Moshkovitz, S., Schwartz, S., Salmon-Divon, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref13) [Ungar, L., Osenberg, S., Cesarkas, K., Jacob-Hirsch, J., Amariglio, N., Kupiec,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref13) [M., et al. \(2012\). Topology of the human and mouse m6A RNA methylomes re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref13)[vealed by m6A-seq. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref13) *485*, 201–206.

[Egan, E.D., Braun, C.R., Gygi, S.P., and Moazed, D. \(2014\). Post-transcrip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref14)[tional regulation of meiotic genes by a nuclear RNA silencing complex. RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref14) *20*[, 867–881](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref14).

[Fewell, S.W., and Woolford, J.L., Jr. \(1999\). Ribosomal protein S14 of Saccha](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref15)[romyces cerevisiae regulates its expression by binding to RPS14B pre-mRNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref15) [and to 18S rRNA. Mol. Cell. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref15) *19*, 826–834.

[Gagnon, J., Lavoie, M., Catala, M., Malenfant, F., and Elela, S.A. \(2015\). Tran](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref16)[scriptome wide annotation of eukaryotic RNase III reactivity and degradation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref16) [signals. PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref16) *11*, e1005000.

[Granneman, S., Kudla, G., Petfalski, E., and Tollervey, D. \(2009\). Identification](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref17) [of protein binding sites on U3 snoRNA and pre-rRNA by UV cross-linking and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref17) [high-throughput analysis of cDNAs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref17) *106*, 9613– [9618](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref17).

[Granneman, S., Petfalski, E., and Tollervey, D. \(2011\). A cluster of ribosome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref18) [synthesis factors regulate pre-rRNA folding and 5.8S rRNA maturation by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref18) [the Rat1 exonuclease. EMBO J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref18) *30*, 4006–4019.

Gudipati, R.K., Xu, Z., Lebreton, A., Séraphin, B., Steinmetz, L.M., Jacquier, A., [and Libri, D. \(2012\). Extensive degradation of RNA precursors by the exosome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref19) [in wild-type cells. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref19) *48*, 409–421.

[Harigaya, Y., Tanaka, H., Yamanaka, S., Tanaka, K., Watanabe, Y., Tsutsumi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref20) [C., Chikashige, Y., Hiraoka, Y., Yamashita, A., and Yamamoto, M. \(2006\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref20) [Selective elimination of messenger RNA prevents an incidence of untimely](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref20) [meiosis. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref20) *442*, 45–50.

[Hiriart, E., Vavasseur, A., Touat-Todeschini, L., Yamashita, A., Gilquin, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref21) [Lambert, E., Perot, J., Shichino, Y., Nazaret, N., Boyault, C., et al. \(2012\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref21) [Mmi1 RNA surveillance machinery directs RNAi complex RITS to specific](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref21) [meiotic genes in fission yeast. EMBO J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref21) *31*, 2296–2308.

[Iggo, R.D., Jamieson, D.J., MacNeill, S.A., Southgate, J., McPheat, J., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref22) [Lane, D.P. \(1991\). p68 RNA helicase: identification of a nucleolar form and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref22) [cloning of related genes containing a conserved intron in yeasts. Mol. Cell.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref22) Biol. *11*[, 1326–1333.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref22)

[Lee, N.N., Chalamcharla, V.R., Reyes-Turcu, F., Mehta, S., Zofall, M., Bala](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref23)[chandran, V., Dhakshnamoorthy, J., Taneja, N., Yamanaka, S., Zhou, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref23) [and Grewal, S.I. \(2013\). Mtr4-like protein coordinates nuclear RNA processing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref23) [for heterochromatin assembly and for telomere maintenance. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref23) *155*, 1061– [1074.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref23)

Lemieux, C., Marguerat, S., Lafontaine, J., Barbezier, N., Bähler, J., and Bach[and, F. \(2011\). A Pre-mRNA degradation pathway that selectively targets](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref24) [intron-containing genes requires the nuclear poly\(A\)-binding protein. Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref24) Cell *44*[, 108–119](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref24).

[Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. \(2014\). Moderated estimation of fold](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref25) [change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref25) *15*, 550. Macías, S., Bragulat, M., Tardiff, D.F., and Vilardell, J. (2008). L30 binds the

[nascent RPL30 transcript to repress U2 snRNP recruitment. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref26) *30*, [732–742.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref26) [Malygin, A.A., Parakhnevitch, N.M., Ivanov, A.V., Eperon, I.C., and Karpova,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref27)

[G.G. \(2007\). Human ribosomal protein S13 regulates expression of its own](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref27) [gene at the splicing step by a feedback mechanism. Nucleic Acids Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref27) *35*, [6414–6423](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref27).

Marquerat, S., Schmidt, A., Codlin, S., Chen, W., Aebersold, R., and Bähler, J. [\(2012\). Quantitative analysis of fission yeast transcriptomes and proteomes in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref28) [proliferating and quiescent cells. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref28) *151*, 671–683.

Marguerat, S., Lawler, K., Brazma, A., and Bähler, J. (2014). Contributions of [transcription and mRNA decay to gene expression dynamics of fission yeast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref29) [in response to oxidative stress. RNA Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref29) *11*, 702–714.

[McPheeters, D.S., Cremona, N., Sunder, S., Chen, H.-M., Averbeck, N., Leath](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref30)[erwood, J., and Wise, J.A. \(2009\). A complex gene regulatory mechanism that](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref30) [operates at the nexus of multiple RNA processing decisions. Nat. Struct. Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref30) Biol. *16*[, 255–264](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref30).

[Moore, H.C., Johnston, M., Nicol, S.M., Bourdon, J.-C., Thompson, A.M., Hut](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref31)[vagner, G., and Fuller-Pace, F.V. \(2011\). An evolutionarily conserved, alterna](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref31)[tively spliced, intron in the p68/DDX5 DEAD-box RNA helicase gene encodes a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref31) [novel miRNA. RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref31) *17*, 555–562.

[Moreno, S., Klar, A., and Nurse, P. \(1991\). Molecular genetic analysis of fission](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref32) [yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Methods Enzymol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref32) *194*, 795–823.

[Muniz, L., Davidson, L., and West, S. \(2015\). Poly\(A\) polymerase and the nu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref33)[clear poly\(A\) binding protein, PABPN1, coordinate the splicing and degrada](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref33)[tion of a subset of human pre-mRNAs. Mol. Cell. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref33) *35*, 2218–2230.

[Nag, A., and Steitz, J.A. \(2012\). Tri-snRNP-associated proteins interact with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref34) [subunits of the TRAMP and nuclear exosome complexes, linking RNA decay](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref34) [and pre-mRNA splicing. RNA Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref34) *9*, 334–342.

[Parenteau, J., Durand, M., Morin, G., Gagnon, J., Lucier, J.-F., Wellinger, R.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref35) [Chabot, B., and Elela, S.A. \(2011\). Introns within ribosomal protein genes regu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref35)[late the production and function of yeast ribosomes. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref35) *147*, 320–331.

[Pleiss, J.A., Whitworth, G.B., Bergkessel, M., and Guthrie, C. \(2007\). Rapid,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref36) [transcript-specific changes in splicing in response to environmental stress.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref36) Mol. Cell *27*[, 928–937.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref36)

[Plocik, A.M., and Guthrie, C. \(2012\). Diverse forms of RPS9 splicing are part of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref37) [an evolving autoregulatory circuit. PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref37) *8*, e1002620.

[Roy, K., and Chanfreau, G. \(2014\). Stress-induced nuclear RNA degradation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref38) [pathways regulate yeast bromodomain factor 2 to promote cell survival.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref38) [PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref38) *10*, e1004661.

[Schmid, M., Poulsen, M.B., Olszewski, P., Pelechano, V., Saguez, C., Gupta,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref39) [I., Steinmetz, L.M., Moore, C., and Jensen, T.H. \(2012\). Rrp6p controls mRNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref39) [poly\(A\) tail length and its decoration with poly\(A\) binding proteins. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref39) *47*, [267–280.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref39)

[Schmidt, K., and Butler, J.S. \(2013\). Nuclear RNA surveillance: role of TRAMP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref40) [in controlling exosome specificity. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref40) *4*, 217–231.

[Schneider, C., Kudla, G., Wlotzka, W., Tuck, A., and Tollervey, D. \(2012\). Tran](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref41)[scriptome-wide analysis of exosome targets. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref41) *48*, 422–433.

[Shah, S., Wittmann, S., Kilchert, C., and Vasiljeva, L. \(2014\). lncRNA recruits](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref42) [RNAi and the exosome to dynamically regulate pho1 expression in response](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref42) [to phosphate levels in fission yeast. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref42) *28*, 231–244.

St-André, O., Lemieux, C., Perreault, A., Lackner, D.H., Bähler, J., and Bach[and, F. \(2010\). Negative regulation of meiotic gene expression by the nuclear](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref43) [poly\(a\)-binding protein in fission yeast. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref43) *285*, 27859–27868.

[Sugiyama, T., and Sugioka-Sugiyama, R. \(2011\). Red1 promotes the elimina](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref44)[tion of meiosis-specific mRNAs in vegetatively growing fission yeast. EMBO J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref44) *30*[, 1027–1039](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref44).

[Tashiro, S., Asano, T., Kanoh, J., and Ishikawa, F. \(2013\). Transcription](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref45)[induced chromatin association of RNA surveillance factors mediates faculta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref45)[tive heterochromatin formation in fission yeast. Genes Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref45) *18*, 327–339.

[Vasiljeva, L., and Buratowski, S. \(2006\). Nrd1 interacts with the nuclear exo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref46)[some for 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref46)' [processing of RNA polymerase II transcripts. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref46) 21, [239–248.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref46)

[Vilardell, J., and Warner, J.R. \(1997\). Ribosomal protein L32 of Saccharo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref47)[myces cerevisiae influences both the splicing of its own transcript and the pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref47)[cessing of rRNA. Mol. Cell. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref47) *17*, 1959–1965.

[Vilardell, J., Chartrand, P., Singer, R.H., and Warner, J.R. \(2000\). The odyssey](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref48) [of a regulated transcript. RNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref48) *6*, 1773–1780.

[Volanakis, A., Passoni, M., Hector, R.D., Shah, S., Kilchert, C., Granneman, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref49) [and Vasiljeva, L. \(2013\). Spliceosome-mediated decay \(SMD\) regulates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref49) [expression of nonintronic genes in budding yeast. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref49) *27*, 2025–2038.

[Wang, X., Lu, Z., Gomez, A., Hon, G.C., Yue, Y., Han, D., Fu, Y., Parisien, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref50) [Dai, Q., Jia, G., et al. \(2014\). N6-methyladenosine-dependent regulation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref50) [messenger RNA stability. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref50) *505*, 117–120.

[Webb, S., Hector, R.D., Kudla, G., and Granneman, S. \(2014\). PAR-CLIP data](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref51) [indicate that Nrd1-Nab3-dependent transcription termination regulates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref51) [expression of hundreds of protein coding genes in yeast. Genome Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref51) *15*, R8.

[Wong, J.J.-L., Ritchie, W., Ebner, O.A., Selbach, M., Wong, J.W.H., Huang, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref52) [Gao, D., Pinello, N., Gonzalez, M., Baidya, K., et al. \(2013\). Orchestrated intron](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref52) [retention regulates normal granulocyte differentiation. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref52) *154*, 583–595.

[Yamanaka, S., Yamashita, A., Harigaya, Y., Iwata, R., and Yamamoto, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref53) [\(2010\). Importance of polyadenylation in the selective elimination of meiotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref53) [mRNAs in growing S. pombe cells. EMBO J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref53) *29*, 2173–2181.

[Yamashita, A., Shichino, Y., Tanaka, H., Hiriart, E., Touat-Todeschini, L., Va](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref54)[vasseur, A., Ding, D.-Q., Hiraoka, Y., Verdel, A., and Yamamoto, M. \(2012\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref54) [Hexanucleotide motifs mediate recruitment of the RNA elimination machinery](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref54) [to silent meiotic genes. Open Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref54) *2*, 120014.

[Yap, K., Lim, Z.Q., Khandelia, P., Friedman, B., and Makeyev, E.V. \(2012\). Co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref55)[ordinated regulation of neuronal mRNA steady-state levels through develop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref55)[mentally controlled intron retention. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref55) *26*, 1209–1223.

[Zhang, K., Fischer, T., Porter, R.L., Dhakshnamoorthy, J., Zofall, M., Zhou, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref56) [Veenstra, T., and Grewal, S.I.S. \(2011\). Clr4/Suv39 and RNA quality control](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref56) [factors cooperate to trigger RNAi and suppress antisense RNA. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref56) *331*[, 1624–1627.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref56)

[Zhou, Y., Zhu, J., Schermann, G., Ohle, C., Bendrin, K., Sugioka-Sugiyama, R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref57) [Sugiyama, T., and Fischer, T. \(2015\). The fission yeast MTREC complex tar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref57)[gets CUTs and unspliced pre-mRNAs to the nuclear exosome. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref57) *6*[, 7050](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref57).

[Zofall, M., Yamanaka, S., Reyes-Turcu, F.E., Zhang, K., Rubin, C., and Grewal,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref58) [S.I.S. \(2012\). RNA elimination machinery targeting meiotic mRNAs promotes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref58) [facultative heterochromatin formation. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(15)01326-1/sref58) *335*, 96–100.

Cell Reports Supplemental Information

Regulation of mRNA Levels

by Decay-Promoting Introns that Recruit

the Exosome Specificity Factor Mmi1

Cornelia Kilchert, Sina Wittmann, Monica Passoni, Sneha Shah, Sander Granneman, and Lidia Vasiljeva

Regulation of mRNA levels by decay-promoting introns that recruit the exosome specificity factor Mmi1

Cornelia Kilchert, Sina Wittmann, Monica Passoni, Sneha Shah, Sander Granneman and Lidia Vasiljeva

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Strain construction

Genomic mutations were introduced by the pop-in, pop-out method (Gao et al., 2014). DNA fragments containing the desired mutation were generated by 2-step PCR with the oligonucleotides listed in Table S5, inserted into pCR blunt II TOPO (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and subcloned into pKS-URA4 (Bähler et al., 1998) after BamHI/NotI digestion. Plasmids were linearized with BseRI before transformation into yeast. Positive clones after pop-out on 5-FOA plates were identified by colony PCR and the presence of the mutations was verified by sequencing. Plasmids used as templates for cassette generation were pKS-URA4 (Bähler et al., 1998) for the deletion of *rps2201*, and pBS1479-HTP-KanMX for his₆-TEV-ProtA-tagging, in which the TRP selection marker on pBS1479-HTP (Granneman et al., 2009) was replaced with KanMX. Reporter constructs were generated in a pDUAL derivative (Matsuyama et al., 2004) that contains the *S. pombe tub1* promoter (a kind gift from the Nasmyth lab) and integrated into the *leu1* locus after restriction digest. The *ura4* sequence was taken from pKS-URA4 (Bähler et al., 1998), EGFP from pAD301 (a kind gift from A. Diepold).

CRAC and bioinformatics analyses

S. pombe cells were grown in YES medium to an OD⁶⁰⁰ of 0.5 and UV-irradiated in the Megatron UV cross-linker (Granneman et al., 2011) for 200 seconds. CRAC was performed as previously described (Granneman et al., 2009) with slight modifications: We used App_PE 3' adapter and App_RT oligonucleotide (see Table S5) to make the libraries compatible with paired-end sequencing. The cDNA libraries were PCR amplified using P5 and barcoded P3 oligonucleotides (Table S5) and CRAC libraries were paired-end sequenced on a HiSeq2500 at Edinburgh Genomics, University of Edinburgh. Data from two biological replicates (untagged control and Mmi1-HTP samples) were subsequently merged. Data analyses were performed using the pyCRAC package (Webb et al., 2014) and in-house python scripts. Demultiplexing was performed using pyBarcodeFilter. After trimming adapter sequences from the fastq files, potential PCR duplicates were removed using pyFastqDuplicateRemover and reads with more than 7nt A or T homopolymeric stretches were filtered out. The cDNAs were aligned to the *S. pombe* genomic reference sequence (ASM294v2.19) using Novoalign version 2.05. The cDNAs that mapped to multiple genomic positions were randomly distributed over possible mapping positions (novoalign -r Random flag). Feature counts were generated using pyReadCounters. All analyses were performed on cDNA that mapped to a single genomic position. For the motif analyses, cDNA clusters were generated for reads mapping to protein-coding genes using pyClusterReads (default settings). The resulting GTF output file was subsequently fed to pyMotif to identify enriched 4-8nt k-mers. The sequence logo shown in Figure 1C was prepared using Weblogo (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi).

RNA-seq and bioinformatics analyses

Total RNA was extracted from three separate cultures of wt and *mmi1Δ* grown to mid-log phase and DNase-treated. Ribodepletion and library preparation were performed by the High-Throughput Genomics Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics according to the TruSeq protocol. Quality trimming of reads was performed using FlexBar (version 2.5) after which reads were aligned to the *S. pombe* genomic reference sequence (ASM294v2.19) using Novoalign version 2.05. The reads that mapped to multiple genomic positions were randomly distributed over possible mapping positions (novoalign -r Random flag). Feature counts were generated using pyReadCounters from the pyCRAC package (Webb et al., 2014) and differential expression analyses was performed using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

Analysis of splicing efficiencies of Pol II-associated transcripts by RT-PCR

To analyse splicing efficiencies of Pol II-associated transcripts, 1 litre of yeast culture was grown in YES to an OD_{600} of 1.5, harvested and yeast beads shock-frozen in liquid N_2 . Beads were ground to a fine powder in the presence of liquid N_2 and the powder thawed slowly at 4^oC. An equal volume of TNG buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, supplemented with 2 mM DTT, fungal protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), and RNasin (Promega)) and acid-washed glass beads were added, and the mixture vortexed at full speed for 5 x 30 sec pulses. The lysate was transferred to a centrifuge tube with one volume of $TNG + 1.5 \text{m}M \text{ MgCl}_2$ and glass beads and cellular debris pelleted at low speed (10 min, 4000 rpm). The supernatant was then cleared by ultracentrifugation (50.1 Ti, 30 min, 15000 rpm). 4 ml of the cleared lysate were incubated with 160 µl Protein G-dynabeads (Life Technologies) (50% slurry, preincubated with 40 µl anti-Pol II antibody (8WG16, Millipore)) for 4h at 4 \degree C. Beads were repeatedly washed with TNG + 1.5mM MgCl₂ and eluted with 250 µl elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) for 10 min at 65°C. The RNA contained in the elution fraction was phenol extracted, DNase-treated and analysed by RT-PCR.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Table S1. Overlap between genes in the Mmi1 Regulon and Mmi1 CRAC Data, Related to Figure 1.

Table S2. Comparison of CRAC data with polyA+ RNA-seq data, Related to Figure 2. – see xlsx file

Table S3. Analyses of *mmi1Δ* and wt RNA-seq data, Related to Figure 2. – see xlsx file

Table S4. Protein-coding genes with intronic Mmi1 CRAC cDNA reads, sorted by read number, Related to Figure 3.

Table S5. Oligonucleotides used in this study, Related to Experimental Procedures. – see xlsx file

Table S6. Yeast strains used in this study, Related to Experimental Procedures. – see xlsx file

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Figure S1. Mmi1 CRAC analysis. Related to Figure 1.

(**A**) Venn diagram showing the overlap between the 391 mRNAs cross-linked to Mmi1 in the CRAC experiments and the 30 members of the "Mmi1 regulon" (Chen et al., 2011). One ncRNA (*sme2*) had been assigned to the regulon and was also included in this graph. See also Table S1. (**B**) Heat map of TNAAAC motif distribution in protein-coding genes. Genes were sorted according to length and aligned at the transcription start site (TSS). The region shown includes 200 bp up- and downstream of each annotated transcript (pink). The dotted lines indicate the position of the annotated TSS and termination site. TNAAAC motifs are indicated in brown.

 $\, {\bf B}$

Figure S2. Validation of Mmi1 targets identified by CRAC. Related to Figure 2.

(**A**) Box plot of Mmi1 CRAC enrichment of different transcript classes. Boxes represent 25-75%, whiskers 1.5-fold interquartile range. The maximum value $(-)$, median line $(-)$, mean $(•)$, and 1-99% range (x) are indicated. (**B**) Correlation between Mmi1 CRAC and expression fold change (RNA-seq). Circles denote mRNAs, triangles ncRNAs, and diamonds sn/snoRNAs. Open and filled markers denote pA- and pA+ transcripts, respectively. (**C**) *nc-tgp1* and *rpl3002* Northern analysis of RNA extracted from the indicated strains*.* (**D**) *spcc11e10.01* was proposed to be regulated by an upstream ncRNA (Lee et al., 2013). Similar to *pho1* or *tgp1*, we find the ncRNA to be a target of Mmi1. RT-PCR for the ncRNA (position of oligos indicated below), RNA-seq analysis and CRAC cDNA reads over the locus are shown. (**E**) RT-PCR analysis of *nc532* and *nc1366* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains.

Kilchert et al., Figure S3

mmi1∆ / wt intron / exon (FPKM)

Figure S3. Analysis of gene features differentially regulated in *mmiΔ***. Related to Figure 2.**

(**A**) RNA-seq analysis of gene with increased 3'extended reads in *mmi1Δ*. On the right side, the scale is increased ten-fold to resolve the 3'extended species. (**B**) RNA-seq traces of genes likely to have a termination defect in *mmi1Δ.* (**C**) RNA-seq analysis of *snoR69b*. (**D**) Effects of *mmi1Δ* on introncontaining genes were evaluated by plotting the ratio of 5'ss exon-intron boundary reads found in *mmi1∆* and wt (normalized to per million mapped reads) against the change of the intron/exon FPKM ratio for each transcript.

(**A**) RT-PCR analysis of *rps2202* and *dbp2* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains using intron-spanning primers. Levels of *adh1* are shown as control. (**B**) Northern analysis of *rps2202* and *dbp2* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains. (**C**) Northern analysis of *rps2202* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains. Because of high sequence conservation, the probe also detects the *rps2201* paralogue. This cross-reactive band disappears when *rps2201* is deleted (lane 2). The splicing mutant *prp10-1* and a wt were grown in YES at 25°C, then shifted to 37°C for 2h prior to RNA extraction. The band corresponding to spliced *rps2202* is strongly reduced in the splicing mutant (lane 4).

Kilchert et al., Figure S5

Figure S5. *dbp2* **intron 2 is involved in negative expression regulation. Related to Figure 4.**

(**A**) RT-PCR analysis of *dbp2* on total RNA extracted from wt and three splicing mutants (*prp2-1*, *prp10-1* and *prp39-1*) grown in YES at 25°C, then shifted to 37°C for 2h using two different sets of intron-spanning primers. The amplicons are indicated on the right of the gel using oligonucleotides F, R1 and R2.. Levels of *fbp1* are shown as control. (**B**) ChIP analysis of Mmi1-HTP recruitment across the *rps2202* locus as a negative control. Positions of the amplicons are indicated above the bar plot. Error bars represent SEM of at least two biological replicates. (**C**) Test for growth on different carbon sources. wt, *dbp2-Δdsr* or *dbp2-i2Δ* (two clones for each mutant) were grown to log phase in YES at 30°C, then serially diluted onto EMMG plates containing either glucose or galactose/raffinose as a carbon source. The plates were then incubated at 30°C and photographed. (**D**) ChIP analysis of Pol II recruitment across the *dbp2* locus using a Pol II antibody (8WG16). Chromatin was extracted from the indicated strains grown in YES with or without added 0.5M NaCl for at least 24h at 30°C. Positions of the amplicons are indicated above the bar plot. Error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least two biological replicates. (**E**) Northern analysis of *dbp2* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains grown in YES with or without addition of 10 mM hydroxyurea (HU) for 3h at 30°C. (**F**) Northern analysis of *dbp2* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains grown in YES with or without added NaCl for at least 24h at 30°C. Note that for the +NaCl samples, 10 µg total RNA instead of 8 µg were loaded per lane.

Kilchert et al., Figure S6

G

Figure S6. Recruitment of Mmi1 to *rps2202* **intron occurs in a context of paralogue-dependent negative splicing regulation. Related to Figure 5.**

(**A** and **B**) ChIP analysis of Mmi1-HTP recruitment across the *dbp2* locus as a negative control. Positions of the amplicons are indicated above the bar plot. Error bars represent SEM of at least two biological replicates. (**C**) RT-PCR analysis of *rps2202* on total RNA extracted from the indicated strains*.* The *rps2202*-*iΔ* mutant was backcrossed into wt background to verify that the phenotype cosegregated with the mutation (lanes 3-5). (**D)** ChIP analysis of Pol II recruitment across the *rps2202* locus using a Pol II antibody (8WG16). Positions of the amplicons are indicated above the bar plot. Error bars represent SEM of at least two biological replicates. (**E**) Splicing of nascent *rps2202* transcript in different mutants evaluated by analysis of Pol II-associated transcripts. Cells were grown in YES at 30°C, lysates prepared and Pol II IP-ed with 8WG16 antibody. RNA extracted from input and IP samples was analysed by RT-PCR. (**F**) Northern analysis of *rps2202* on total RNA extracted from *rps2201Δ* and nuclear surveillance mutants *rrp6Δ*, *dis3-54*, *cid14Δ* or *mmi1Δ* with or without additional deletion of *rps2201*. Bands corresponding to spliced and unspliced *rps2202* transcripts and the paralogue *rps2201* are indicated. (**G**) Northern analysis of EGFP on total RNA extracted from wt or *rps2201Δ* with a *EGFP* reporter driven by the *tub1* promoter integrated into the *leu1* locus. The reporter gene was either intron-free or contained the *rps2202* introns, as indicated. Note that levels of the unspliced construct are difficult to assess because it co-migrates with an extended species of the reporter transcript. (**H**) Schematics of the constructs used in (G).

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

Bähler, J., Wu, J.Q., Longtine, M.S., Shah, N.G., McKenzie, A., Steever, A.B., Wach, A., Philippsen, P., and Pringle, J.R. (1998). Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene targeting in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast *14*, 943–951.

Bayne, E.H., Portoso, M., Kagansky, A., Kos-Braun, I.C., Urano, T., Ekwall, K., Alves, F., Rappsilber, J., and Allshire, R.C. (2008). Splicing factors facilitate RNAi-directed silencing in fission yeast. Science *322*, 602–606.

Bühler, M., Spies, N., Bartel, D.P., and Moazed, D. (2008). TRAMP-mediated RNA surveillance prevents spurious entry of RNAs into the Schizosaccharomyces pombe siRNA pathway. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *15*, 1015–1023.

Gao, J., Kan, F., Wagnon, J.L., Storey, A.J., Protacio, R.U., Davidson, M.K., and Wahls, W.P. (2014). Rapid, efficient and precise allele replacement in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Curr. Genet. *60*, 109–119.

Matsuyama, A., Shirai, A., Yashiroda, Y., Kamata, A., Horinouchi, S., and Yoshida, M. (2004). pDUAL, a multipurpose, multicopy vector capable of chromosomal integration in fission yeast. Yeast *21*, 1289–1305.

Nicolas, E., Yamada, T., Cam, H.P., Fitzgerald, P.C., Kobayashi, R., and Grewal, S.I.S. (2007). Distinct roles of HDAC complexes in promoter silencing, antisense suppression and DNA damage protection. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *14*, 372–380.

Ohkura, H., Adachi, Y., Kinoshita, N., Niwa, O., Toda, T., and Yanagida, M. (1988). Cold-sensitive and caffeine-supersensitive mutants of the Schizosaccharomyces pombe dis genes implicated in sister chromatid separation during mitosis. EMBO J. *7*, 1465–1473.